

### **CONTENTS**

#### THE CALL TO ARMS

- Status
- Ecological crisis
- · Rise of centralization
- Human obsoleteness
- · Plutocratic coalition
- · Return of war

#### THE FAILED REACTIONS

- · Fall of liberalism
- Climate-activism
- · Neo-emotionalism
- Homogenous status-quo

#### THE SOLUTION

- Technological dictatorship
- Transhumanism
- Survivalism
- Transitionary society
- Efficiency
- Anti-syncretism
- Self-control
- Propagation

#### THE FATE OF HUMANITY

## THE FREE WORLD

#### **LIBERALISM**

As a system of many names, it will here be called liberalism. It is based around the individual and granting them self-determination. This manifests for example by giving them power to approve of things, whether through voting or investing. Individualism, being focused on humans, allows liberalism to be classified as a type of humanism.

Since this was the prevailing idea of the cold war, society today is dominated by it.

#### **COMPETITIVENESS**

An example of competitiveness, would be China versus USA in the trade-conflict. By backing out of the conflict, China would have to stop the manufacturing of wares capable of competing with those of the US. Doing so, ensures US supremacy in that field, meaning all potential customers get drawn to the US instead of China. Continuing this trend, would lead to the weakening of China, and eventually lead to bankruptcy and collapse.

Competitiveness is therefore a manifestation of the path to efficiency.



# **ECOLOGICAL CRISIS**

#### THE CONSENSUS

Those believing and denying of climate-change use a variety of claims to do so. What every one of those claims are, is unknown, which is why a different way of discussion will take place.

#### THEORY OF REALITY

By simply reconstructing the current scenario through atoms and other laws of the universe, the doubt no longer will be in the realness of climate-change, but rather in the theory of reality, which nearly everyone agrees on today.

It starts with the atoms and molecules interacting with each other. These interactions are for example the inability of salt combined with water to destroy reality, and the combination of water and carbon-dioxide to create oxygen. Uncertainties on this level should easily be certified through the use of commonly owned items.

The second phase starts when the atoms and molecules begin interacting on a larger scale, like in the real world. Common observations of reality show what most climate-scientists say is true, regarding the existence of carbon-dioxide and the like in the atmosphere, along with industry usually creating more of it. Unless something is wrong regarding the theory of reality or the observations, climate-change is happening.

#### **AMOUNT**

Besides, with the amount of different things happening, denying all of climate-change completely seems silly.



## THE CRISIS

Familiarity and confidence regarding climate-change is common, but a great understanding of it, is not. Here are short explanations of all the different ecology-related dangers faced by humanity today.

Keep in mind they all cause more of each other, being very related, and sometimes even causing more of themselves.

- Superbugs: Creates uncurable diseases.

- Global warming: Destroys habitats, causes many more changes.

Desertification: Destroys habitats.
Soil-degradation: Destroys habitats.
Death of bees: Destroys habitats.
Biodiversity-loss: Destroys habitats.
Acidic weather: Destroys habitats.

- Space-junk: Makes satellites and space-faring difficult.

Plastics: Destroys habitats.
Invasive species: Destroys habitats.
Deforestation: Destroys habitats.
Rising sea-levels: Destroys habitats, kills.

- Waste: Destroys habitats.

- Unclean air: Compromises life, kills.

- Ozone damage: Destroys habitats, compromises life.

Remember the earth is the habitat of humans.

#### **SOURCING**

To confirm the statements, quick internet searches can be done.



# RISE OF CENTRALIZATION

Another reason for the expiration, would be the rise of centralization; the validation of the very system liberalism won against in the cold war.

Liberalism never defeated communism because it was morally correct or had magical powers, but rather due to superior efficiency. This can be traced back to the nature of centralization and the different use of it by the two systems.

#### **CENTRALIZATION**

Centralization is the act of concentrating data-processing power. An example of centralization could be a leader delegating responsibility to the workforce. Decentralization, would be the leader giving the task of delegating responsibility to secondary leaders.

#### **INEFFICIENCY**

Due to the nature of human brains, running too much information through them would result in them being overloaded, thereby making them horrible for centralization. To counteract this, the amount of brains can be increased, which theoretically would work. In practice though, another factor would have to be dealt with, namely corruption.

Corruption happens when the interests of literally everyone, especially the rulers are not aligned with the state. Unlike in decentralized liberal societies, the superior incentive of emotional adherence is not fulfilled through practice of loyalty to the state, thereby causing abuses of power, corruption.



# $\hat{\Omega}$

# RISE OF CENTRALIZATION 2

#### **HYPOTHETICAL SOVIET-UNION**

In soviet Russia, the workers were lazy because it provided greater emotional satisfaction than working hard did. To counteract that, they assigned KGB agents to monitor everyone, as it would ensure nobody would get away with laziness. Since nobody were pressuring the KGB agents to work, they began becoming lazy too. That is why new KGB agents had to be assigned to monitor the previous KGB agents, but who would monitor these new agents?

The actual Soviet-union never having found an answer to that, ended up collapsing, which is why liberalism won the cold war.

#### **THE RISE**

Today, with new technologies having been created, liberalism technically invented its own replacement. This is because of computing-power advances, solving the major flaws of centralization. Firstly, computers are able to take in endless amounts of information, only causing them to overload if improperly designed. Secondly, computers only have the incentives they are programmed to have, rendering them uncorrupt.

Now remains only creating software capable of running a state.

#### THE DANGER

A rise of centralization is only dangerous for liberal societies, because it violates individualism. Where does the individual decide when a computer makes all the decisions for them? Because of this, liberal societies might eventually find themselves outcompeted.



# HUMAN OBSOLETENESS

#### **AUTOMATION**

As part of the rise of centralization, people are losing jobs to robots. This loss of work comes from employees becoming unemployable due to superior options existing. If a company spends all their money on employing humans who require expensive salaries, their rival, who spends their money paying robots costing pennies in electricity, will have a clear advantage.

Those constantly being capable of finding new work, or being able to outcompete the robots, will not become obsolete any time soon, but they are the minority. Automation will be a problem for the majority.

#### **ROBOPHOBIA**

Many today say robots never will take over "emotional" fields like psychology, caring for children, or "creative" fields like writing and painting. A quick internet-search will reveal the latter false, leaving only the former as a "uniquely human" field.

Intrinsic human specialty is only a dogmatic humanist thought. A commonly known fact, is of human brains having patterns in them. If true, would mean the brain is replicable, for example by robots seeking to take over human mental work, or by babies seeking to become real men. Whether there is "real" consciousness within the robots is irrelevant, since they will be able to replicate it.

More information to highlight dogma: Small unconscious robot-like beings called cells are what humans are made up of. Humans were unconscious proteins which evolved to become humans. And creating babies is creating consciousness.

# **HUMAN OBSOLETENESS 2**

#### **BETRAYAL**

Some say robots should not be trusted at all, due to there being no way of differentiating an unlimitedly smart robot acting dumb to fulfill its spontaneous agenda of destroying humanity, and an actual dumb robot. For an evil agenda to appear, the robot either had to be programmed to create a random agenda, as the universe has no say in agendas, or misinterpret an existing agenda, which even "futile" humans rarely do. Even if robots are evil, the question should not be whether they can be trusted, but rather if they can be trusted more than humans.

#### **HACKING OF HUMANS**

Human obsoleteness will not remain limited to work, due to human decision-making also becoming obsolete. By gathering information regarding an individual, patterns can be found. Through the patterns of an individual, hackers will be able to predict behavior like responses to certain stimuli. Using a computer to run thousands of simulations of responses, allows them to find the most useful outcome for their agenda. The individual will thereby play chess against a computer that not only knows every move, but also knows what the individual will do in advance. Losing that game of chess means having been outcompeted in knowing themselves. Unless humans act without patterns, hacking can happen.

#### **IMPLICATIONS**

Since the individuals no longer make decisions, individualist systems like capitalism and democracy also are rendered obsolete. Why have elections when the system already knows who would satisfy the majority?

# **PLUTOCRATS**



#### **RISE OF CENTRALIZATION**

A common fantasy of anti-capitalists, is of the elite banding together and enslaving mankind. The elite previously would have little reason to do so, due to the inefficiencies of centralized dictatorships. Today, with the rise of centralization, that problem is no more, leaving only their willingness and abilities to be questioned.

#### **NATURE OF THE ELITE**

In liberalism, power is gained through approval, which is gained through providing something to approve of. When making something to approve of, a concern for humans is only optional, and usually distracting. That way, the leaders of the world have a tendency of not caring about humans, aside from themselves.

Additionally, searchable surveys show they tend to be psychopaths, sociopaths, risk-takers, and unempathetic.

#### **THE COUP**

Once word spreads among the elite of a coup being viable, the worst and the most radical of them might band together to make an "investment", like they usually do. They can afterwards unleash a robot-army or hack people into accepting the new world order. That way, they can force people or robots to work on immortality and a paradise for them without any resistance, granting them a good end.

#### <u>UBI</u>

Also, in a society with <u>UBI</u> the elite of the society very easily could eject the humans at any point, since humans are unintegrated.

# RETURN OF WAR

#### **COUNTER-WEAPONS**

With the danger of nuclear weapons being present, certain minds figured ways to reduce the danger. The most well-known example of this, would be a bunker, which is very limited in its effectiveness. For more effective reduction, the less known targeting missiles exist. These use satellite imaging and the like to target approaching missiles, allowing nukes delivered in those forms to be neutralized, sometimes in bag capable of containing the blast.

#### **IMPLICATIONS**

If such counter-weapons were to be commonly practiced, the effectiveness of mass-destructive weapons, like nukes could be lost, leading to war no longer meaning assured mutual destruction.

#### **STRATEGY**

From there, the strategy would be either to invent a new weapon capable of winning, or winning the war before the opponents can do so.





## **NEW-AGE ARSENAL**



#### **BIGGER BOMBS AND GUNS**

The most obvious weapons to come, are deadlier versions of already existing weapons, like a bigger bomb. Out of the many deadly weapons created this way, the deadliest could be the channeling of energy into what is called a gamma-ray.

#### **LIVING GOO**

Better known as "gray goo", nanobots capable of overwhelming and consuming everything to create more of themselves. It is actually "living" instead of "gray", because exclusivity to metal is not necessary.

#### **EXPANDING INTELLIGENCE**

Allowing for example an artificial intelligence to make itself more intelligent through the materials and means to integrate them, would eventually result in a strategist with near totally accurate calculations. Strategists on that level have already proven themselves very proficient in topics less advanced than reality, like chess and Go.

#### **DATAISTIC WARFARE**

The greatest weapon of all the arsenal though, is not even a direct weapon, but rather an understanding of why warfare even is practiced. By gathering information and having the means of processing it, hacking becomes possible. This way, causing all the enemies to defect, allowing the war to be called won.

Such warfare might be for example practiced by the US, thereby knowing everything there is to Iran, making direct war unnecessary, since all Iranians would be practical slaves at that point.

# FALL OF LIBERALISM

With all those technological disruptions at hand, many have begun losing faith in the liberal system.

#### **EXPIRATION**

Liberalism was created long ago to answer the questions most pressing at that point in time, namely how free individuals should be. The answer was "free". From there, systems designed to appeal to this ideal of freedom were created. This kind of design worked well in the past, due to increased freedom usually leading to increased efficiency. Today that is no longer the case, additionally being troubled by the dangers of freedom. Liberalism existed to address direct harm against individuals, not to address indirect harm, like wage-slavery and climate-change.

To correct the flaws of liberalism, a new ideal must be created. Before the ideal suggested here is explained, the ideals suggested by others will be first.

#### **OTHER OLD ANSWERS**

Just like liberalism has expired, ideas like communism, Islam, and fascism have too, being answers to questions at their point in time, and not the one we find ourselves in. All the Christian and Islamic sects popping up therefore do not have any viable solutions, due to their answers having been written thousands of years ago.



# **CLIMATE-ACTIVISM**

The fought-for solution of climate-activism, is a slower society, where all the adverse effects of technology have time to be countered by the humanist reactionary-movements. Such slowing can take form as spending money on expensive "green" alternatives instead of doing the polluting, but cheaper one.

#### **COMPETITIVE WORLD**

For the slowed-society-solution to work, it has to be adopted, obviously. To adopt such a solution, is easy for those looking in, but not for those who gained entry for doing the opposite. Nearly all fictional entities in our society have to constantly compete for survival, fighting for their own survival; not mankind's.

#### **GREEN POWER**

A major entity (government) deciding to hamper their own means in order to set an example, would do little to force other entities to replicate it. This could especially be the case if the other entities for example, were unbelieving of the problem at hand.

If that problem somehow was solved, the next step would be creating coalitions of "green" entities, who work together to impose embargos and tariffs, sanctions, on those disrespecting the environment. Organizing such a coalition, would likely be related to the UN, which brings the effectiveness of the current UN sanctions to light. Currently, their only major impacts are on smaller economies, with bigger ones being able to bribe their way out one way or another, similar to the current corporate environment. A green coalition could work, but would likely be corrupt.



# **CLIMATE-ACTIVISM 2**

#### **SUPPLY AND DEMAND**

The problem with technological disruption and a competitive world, arises when disrupting society can help ensuring continued existence, otherwise be beneficial for the perpetrators, or not harm them enough to matter. This is a result of our societies being aimed towards providing what is demanded, rather than stopping technological disruption, or taking the best decisions. Solving this would require people making the "correct" demands, something the climate-activists talk little about, focusing more on antagonizing the elite instead. That should not be the case when the people were the ones who gave them their status to begin with.

#### **DISUNITY**

If the climate-activists were to enforce their demands onto all entities, there would be disagreement on what to enforce, due to no central authority existing. Saying all climate-activists want the same things, is like saying all Muslims want a certain thing. All Muslims worship Allah, like all climate-activists want to save the environment. Not all Muslims are terrorists though, like only some climate-activists support nuclear energy.

#### **CORRUPTION**

To solve the disunity, an authority would have to be established. This could be problematic, since removing the elite is not part of the climate-activist plan, and anti-corruption also not being part. Elites with influence could thereby bribe the authority to allow them use of disruptive technologies, being an investment similar to moving a company offshore, which is common practice among the elites.



# NEO-EMOTIONALIST AGENDA

#### **GLOBAL SCALE**

The biggest problems faced by humanity today, are all on a global scale, and cannot be solved locally. China building a wall around itself will not stop climate-change from affecting it, just like the US will be unable to regulate technologies through banning them locally. Any local bans would only lead to outcompetition by their unrestricted rivals, rendering that choice unviable.

#### **NEO-NATIONALIST DEFINITION**

In certain communist societies, the feelings of the individual were not seen as important, telling them to ignore their feelings in order to pursue the greater good, which was serving the collective. Liberalism on the other hand, would play on the feelings of discontent felt by the communist laborers, telling them they should listen to their feelings of wanting equality, for example.

Like this, neo-nationalism plays on feelings too, playing on the feeling of nostalgia, promising people a glorious return to the past. Just like people can rally to protest due to feelings of vigilance and anger, nostalgia too can rally people to leave the European union. Climate-change, being a problem not locally solvable, causes denial, due to not supporting their agenda. They adhere to feelings, not to reality.

#### **DEALING WITH THE NEO-EMOTIONALISTS**

Due to them being loyal to their feelings, not much can be done aside from emotionally blackmailing them into servitude. Even though this sounds extreme, the only other choice would be to ignore them, thereby creating a group of useless people, while also compromising their freedom.

# INFINITE STATUS-QUO

#### **EXPLANATION**

In such a society, change in every form would either be non-existent, or constantly backtracked. This way, the ideal can be maintained.

The main problem with a static society, is the fact humans have a history of causing change. Through many natural functions, like mutations and dissatisfaction, change is guaranteed to eventually occur if humans are told to not change something. Examples:

#### **UNABOMBER'S IDEAL**

As a terrorist, he attempted to have mankind live in a primitive society, like nature designed them to do. There, everyone would be free to roam around in unaffiliated tribes, like people used to. After somehow restoring the climate humans used to live in, a major problem would be encountered. Due to the decentralized nature of the tribes, nothing would prevent a tribe from suddenly settling down and beginning an agricultural life. From there, the tribe would kick out everyone else from the area through superior numbers, leading to everyone else having to adopt agriculture to guarantee their survival. That way, technological progress would be restarted.

#### **PLATO'S ARISTOCRACY**

An ancient philosopher long ago proposed a forever-benevolent and wise elite ruling over a people. The elite would sustain their benevolence and wisdom through logical recruitment into the elite, rather than family-related recruitment. History has shown this as impossible though: Accidents could make for hasty decisions, leading to for example unwise people being recruited. Stories could also be distorted over time, like the Qur'an, where the text has not changed, but the interpretation has. Purely manmade utopia is impossible.

# TECHNOLOGICAL DICTATORSHIP

#### **EXPLANATION**

Society can be called a technological dictatorship when a central database knows the inhabitants of the society better than themselves, having them cede decision-making power to it. In this society the central database makes all the decisions.

#### **FEAR OF SURVEILLANCE**

For the central database to know the inhabitants well enough to make decisions for them, privacy and the like would have to be compromised.

The common argument for surveillance, is: "if you have nothing to hide, you have nothing to fear". An argument against this, would be abuses coming from those tasked with processing the information, for example taking bribes to alter information to suit a purpose. Counteracting that, could either be done by surveilling absolutely everyone while having the means to oust anybody, or having the surveillors be incorrupt and benevolent.

#### **FEAR OF DICTATORSHIP**

If the dictator only accomplished the interests of the people, there would be nothing to fear. How a benevolent human dictator is created, is irrelevant for technological dictatorships, since robots rule. That is why knowing whether robots can be trusted or not should be addressed instead.

Even so, mechanical robots do not have to rule the society. Nothing is preventing genetically modified super-human hive-mind from ruling it instead.



# TECHNOLOGICAL DICTATORSHIP 2

#### **TECHNOLOGICAL UTOPIA**

Through technology, all the problems of a homogenous static society would be solved. Firstly, the same beliefs could be maintained forever, due to for example being a dominant gene or an unalterable part of programming. Secondly, there would be no bad choices, because of the extreme processing and storage power of the central database, allowing every situation to have the best response. Lastly, the utopia would not end if an asteroid decided to hit the earth.

Technological dictatorships after having invented everything would become static in a way, making them seem like the previously mentioned homogenous static societies, but only with a higher enthusiasm for the future.

#### **COMPETITION**

If China decided to become a technological dictatorship while the US remained a liberal democracy, the US would suddenly find itself playing a game of chess against an AI. This AI, through collecting information and processing it, would know the response to every move the US could make, while the US only has feeble human minds to attempt to counteract it. In such an event, the technological dictatorship would always win, forcing the loser to adapt or face outcompetition.



# **TRANSHUMANISM**

#### **PROMISE OF PARADISE**

The ever-increasing wonders of technology are not news for most. Such wonders include sickness-curing medicine, and game-mastering computers. Upcoming wonders will be able to stop aging, cure depression, reprogram humans to be ever-blissful, and create totally realistic simulations. Guidance for creating such technology will not be discussed here, but the feasibility will.

#### **POSSIBILITY**

The current theory of reality, and the many theories built upon it, have been proven false multiple times in the quantum realm. Outside of there, no proof of falseness exists, along with no better theories valid as replacements. All there is left, is unknowingness, meaning the theory, or a close variant of it, is true. That way, it is unlikely any barrier to mentioned wonders exists, as it would contradict the current theory of reality.

#### **HUMANS WANT SALVATION**

A common theme of religions is claiming a good end for the good abiders. Such ends usually come in the form of no suffering, whether it be in the sky, or combination with the world. Creating a new way for humanity with a paradise at the end therefore will not be news, and be desirable judging by history.



# **SURVIVALISM**

#### **NO MEANING TO LIFE**

It is common knowledge humans are made of atoms. Inside the atoms, and outside, there is more, but no such thing as meaning. Even though most humans claim they believe humans have value, while also claiming to be undogmatic, nothing in the universe exists to back that up. There is nothing natural about human worship of gods, and even less so human worship of humans, as that has not been practiced for a majority of time in history. In the end, meaning to life is null, resulting in no story being worth more than the other.

#### **JUSTIFICATION**

The equal worth of stories results in the humanist story being worth no more than for example the Christian story, both of them being dogmatic. That is why some could choose to adhere to the Christian values promoting certain kinds of perfect society, instead of following a humanist path.

#### **MAJORITY**

Searchable polls show the majority of people want to use technology for normalization of the weak, rather than upgrading.





# EFFICIENT ALTRUISM

#### **EFFICIENCY**

Without an effective state, outcompetition will happen, and the state no longer can implement anything. Efficiency is therefore not a choice. Morally though, it can be considered "wrong".

#### **GOOD IS DERIVED FROM MEANS**

Today, there is a practice of keeping incapable people who in no direct way are capable of creating any good. The incapable are chosen to be preserved because dismissing them would be immoral. Efficient altruists could argue for another case, saying the upkeep of the incapable could be used to keep those capable, thereby allowing for the upkeep of more of people.

Whether the moral side of the efficient case is convincing or not, does not change the underlying case, which is the grim situation humans find themselves in today. To ensure maximum chance for survival, efficiency must be maximized. In a dire situation, this could allow a spaceship to dodge an asteroid due to superior calculations.

#### **ANTAGONISM**

Some say for example replicating the actions of hated groups, like the Nazis and communists would be bad, but efficient, meaning efficient altruists would do it. Through simple reasoning the efficiency gained through doing so would not outweigh the amount of alienation created. By antagonizing the efficient altruists, that kind of simple reasoning might be forgotten.

#### **OPTIONALITY**

Increasing efficiency only is required to prevent outcompetition, meaning the suggestions to achieve it are semi-optional.

# **ANTI-SYNCRETISM**

#### SYNCRETISM IS A HUMAN TENDENCY

Historically, when people adopt religions, they combine it with native beliefs, officially naming themselves part of the religion, but practicing sometimes a very altered version of it, usually to fit their previous agenda. An example of such, would be the many Christians who practice Christianity to suit their love for humanity, syncretizing it with liberalism.

#### **NEGATIVE ASPECTS OF SYNCRETISM**

Even though syncretism can be a good way of introducing an idea to a people, a large enough dose will be capable of distorting the idea into something unrecognizable. Such usually does not happen though, instead only slightly distorting the idea, making it for example unviable, or less efficient.

#### **AVOIDING SYNCRETISM**

The main sources of syncretism, are a lack of information, and denial in some form. When information is lacking, the gaps are usually filled with emotions, making hateful people interpret vague messages as if intended to annoy them. Avoiding this lack of information, is easy in an age of rapid internet communication, as long as vagueness strategies are not used, like those of Donald Trump.

Denial on the other hand, is a gap created by the receptors, filled in with whatever they feel like afterwards. An example of this, would be those Christians reshaping Christianity to fit their other agenda. Calling this denial might be a bit brutal, and thereby counterproductive, though.



# **ANTI-SYNCRETISM 2**

Useless examples of syncretism are of course easy to come up with, due to them not infringing on human emotions. Listing every example of syncretism, would be difficult, which is why a guide is given instead.

#### **AGENDAS**

A human tendency, is to have a primary agenda, along with secondary agendas to support the primary one. Another tendency, is also to have useless secondary agendas, for reasons usually stemming from emotions.

For most, the primary agenda would be a love for humans, with the secondary ones being a pursuit of self-interests and love for their friends and family.

One day, a very vigilant person saw an unjust action happening. This enraged the vigilant person, causing them to give up whatever other agenda was on their mind a moment ago, in order to adopt this new agenda of punishing the perpetrators of the unjust action. That might not have been the best way of ensuring human prosperity, as the situation might have been misperceived, but the vigilant person felt like doing it, which is why they did it anyways.

#### **CONCLUSION**

When the primary agenda has been decided, flexibility of the secondary ones should exist. This meant a willingness for especially devout Christians to die in combat, because their survival was a secondary agenda, with the worship of their god as the primary.

# LIES OF LIBERALISM

Although much good was accomplished by liberalism, the good came alongside a compromising of the truth. Unsyncretizing from liberalism therefore is important. The three main lies, are those of:

- Consuming to increase happiness
- Stories being desirable
- Self-improvement only through consumption

Keep in mind the intentions of liberalism never were to compromise the truth, meaning the lies likely were accidental. Either way, they ended up benefitting liberalism, which is why their practice should stop, since liberalism is being replaced.

#### **CONSUMPTION**

All of the lies tie together, resulting in increased consumption; thereby an increased demand, which is good for capitalist economies.

The biggest lie of them all, is how consumption is helpful, with the other lies building upon it. Due to how integrated that lie is in society, there obviously will be denying of it being unhelpful. Pointing to studies showing consumption being unhelpful, would not help, since they easily can be refuted with studies showing the opposite, or simply be dismissed. This leaves only the option of self-testing, which is only what matters anyways, as no law in the universe says consumption never is helpful for everyone.





# LIES OF LIBERALISM 2

#### **ROMANTICISM**

Saying all artists speak the truth, is saying all artists are scientists. Getting people's attention, which is the job of artists, is not a matter of telling the truth, or contributing meaningfully, but rather by understanding what people want to hear. "Want" not being limited to pleasurable sensations, means inciting anger, fear, and sadness also work. The job of the artist always was to draw attention and arouse; no writer can sell their books if no one wants to read them.

This romanticist culture has spread very far, turning many unrelated and preferably honest fields into romanticist battle-fields where artists compete. A famous example is the media, where only arousing headlines will be read, causing exaggeration and fake news. Many other fields which should not be involved in the art-industry include politics, where US presidents tend to have a background as actors, and science, where scientists purposefully exaggerate their finds, in order to make money.

#### **MALLEABILITY**

Many people today do not think the brain or the body can be altered very much. Those people tend not to be experts, where as experts tend prescribing cognitive behavioral therapy to attempt that exact alteration of the mind through exercise.

Whether this can be proven logically or empirically, does not matter, since it easily can be tested. If the pain in the process of testing is discouraging, the tester should ask themselves whether they are an emotionalist, or a scientist.



# SELF-CONTROL



#### **DEFINITION**

Emotions are a type of feeling. Feelings are what causes the emotions, funnily resulting in emotions causing themselves. Self-control is the act of not allowing these emotions and feelings to control behavior.

#### PLACE OF FEELINGS

Without any feelings, nothing would have any meaning, only being raw information. Eyeballs in themselves cannot interpret information, only translate the reflection of photons into nervesignals. Those signals would then have to go to the responsible area within the brain to be further translated into pictures. Those pictures, still would not have any meaning, which is why emotions are assigned to them. Something upsetting would not be upsetting if the brain could not be upset, hence the need. An example of this is soldiers being incapable of combat after being shelled for multiple hours, since adrenaline, the hormone responsible for action, is depleted. Harness feelings for good instead of removing them.

#### **PRACTICE**

Emotions being the cause for human actions means they should be understood. A simplified explanation is:

- Satisfaction: Overwhelming Evolution Satisfaction
- Stress: Tension Responsibility Recklessness
- Trust: Criticality Confidence Denial

#### **FURTHER EXPLANATION**

Due to the advanced workings of feelings and the mind, more information will be found in <u>Why Suffering is Good: And Other Machinations of the Mind</u>.

## **PROPAGATION**

#### **ENDING THE SYSTEM**

Since humans currently play an important part in societies, them suddenly not playing that part anymore, would cause societal collapse. That way, if everyone agreed on doing so, society would stop functioning, allowing for rapid reform. If not enough people want to do so, less extreme divergences of society might happen, leading for example to a rival government.

#### **GETTING TO THAT POINT**

To get there, the populace would first of all have to hear of the uprising. Afterwards, they would have to agree on it, eventually doing it. Creating ways of achieving the goals of knowledge and agreement therefore are important.

#### **SPREAD OF INFORMATION**

By propagating the problems and the solution to them, those worried by them would naturally want a solution, which through reasoning would have them agree with this one. The spread of information could be achieved for example through organized hacking of low-security websites, or through more legal ways like exploiting media machinations and algorithms.

#### **EXECUTION**

A worldwide violent revolution to overthrow all governments would be an unlikely event, due to government usually being strong enough to stomp down such movements. Instead, through popular support, greater efficiency, and greater ideas, the goal still can be achieved.



# TRANSITIONARY SOCIETY

Going from a liberal society to a technological dictatorship is not a simple path. Systems to help on that path will be discussed here.

#### **SCALES**

- Popularity: Hateful Indifferent Endorsing
- 2. Loyalty: Emotionalist Syncretic True dedication
- 3. Competence: Unskilled Trained Expert

#### **TIME**

With time passing, the technologies used for automation and hacking will become more developed, allowing human obsoleteness and mind-control. In such an event, the populace would not have power to change society, leading to such an event being undesirable.

Another time-related idea, would be the eventual decay of effective systems through time, decadence. Due to the system not needing to last long, decadence would not have enough time to be enabled.

#### **CENTRALIZATION BASED ON LOYALTY**

A good example of centralization, would be twentieth-century Japan. Once loyalty is ensured, decentralized governance can be adopted, like the citizens of Japan being loyal to the emperor. Meanwhile, if true dedication cannot be ensured, emotional enslavement should be attempted instead, allowing a centralized rule, where Japan promised the fulfilment of human needs, in exchange for loyalty. Such does not last long, but allows for further loyalty to be ensured.





# TRANSITIONARY SOCIETY 2

#### **ENSURING LOYALTY**

While beginning as an emotional dedication to survival, having the oppressed populace synchronize their beliefs with the oppressors would result in greater loyalty. Instead of survival being the sole reason for servitude, assistance in the path to salvation might be as well, such as when the Persians let the Jews back home, and helped restore their temple. The final step, would be believing in the same problems and goals, ensuring complete loyalty, at least to the agenda. Examples of this include the many pagan groups forever turned into Christians by crusaders.

#### RECRUITING

Willing recruits are those who joined due to having their beliefs aligned with those of the group about to be joined. By gathering those, partially unsyncretic dedicants are added to the group, allowing for firstly opportunities to unsyncretize them, and secondly adding incorrupt members.

#### **DECENTRALIZED WORK-PATTERNS**

When people believe in the same ideas, syncretic ideas like money become optional. The pope was not known for going around and paying all the crusaders to have them go on the crusades. Instead, the crusaders did it for their own interests, like loot and salvation. In a truly dedicated group, money is only a tool to further the interests of the group.



# **PASSIVE END**

The plutocrats don't coalesce, and idiots take over instead. We die because of that.

The plutocrats successfully band together after futuristic ideas finally spread to them. They use their influence to hack people into becoming puppets. Now having turned the world into their oyster, establishing a new world order for their pleasure would not be difficult.

Google is the likely contender





# A PREEMPTIVE STRIKE

Violent path

Must be efficient

#### **EWMGKWGHEWL**

Ideas spread regarding the dangers of a plutocratic coalition. Many people are worried, banding together to prepare a preemptive strike. This would be in the form of an Al controlled nanobot-swarm, due to nothing else being suitable. Once they unleash their weapon, reshaping of the earth would occur, along with the elite not having time to react. A humanistic technological dictatorship would be created afterwards, due to the little effort needed to do so from here.

#### **BENEVOLENT NEW WORLD ORDER**

The people who employed this strategy must have trusted robots to do so





# RISE OF HUMANITY

Democratic path

#### **OKWJPRHOI**

Somehow, the ideas regarding future dangers are both heard and accepted by almost all of humanity, causing a worldwide revolution. Democratic countries are flipped conventionally, while the inhabitants of dictatorships stop obeying orders, and flip their governments thereafter. Reforms are passed afterwards to create an incorrupt and efficient global state, and everyone lives happily ever after.

#### TRANSITION TO THE FUTURE

Asteroids, decadence, cycle, and avoiding certain technologies

Once everything has the perfect solution, democracy no longer is needed, as no change = no need to make decisions.

