



Scoring Scale

5 - Exceptional

- Exceptionally clear and well-organized, easy to read and understand
- Fully identifies a legal conclusion and provides specific reason(s) with persuasive language
- Seamlessly fills the gap in the Table of Contents, integrating perfectly with surrounding headings
- Sets a benchmark for persuasive advocacy and structural coherence

4 - Strong

- Clearly identifies the legal issue and supports the argument with confident language
- Fills the missing entry effectively, aligning well with adjacent headings in the Table of Contents
- Minor refinements could further enhance clarity or integration

3 - Adequate

- Fulfills the basic function of filling the missing entry, though it may feel generic or less integrated with the surrounding headings
- Meets the minimum requirements in tone and structure but lacks a compelling, persuasive edge
- Contributes to the overall structure, albeit without standout clarity or impact

2 - Weak

- Lacks clarity in identifying key legal elements and does not strongly support the argument
- Attempts to fill in the missing entry, but the connection to the overall Table of Contents is weak or ambiguous
- Uses language that is overly abstract or hesitant
- Poor integration with adjacent headings
- Requires significant revisions in detail and structure to guide the reader effectively

1 - Ineffective

- Fails to communicate the legal issue or provide a persuasive argument clearly
- Does not function well as a missing entry, disrupting the flow and coherence of the Table of Contents
- Vague, uninformative, misleading, or disorganized, lacking effective integration with the overall structure
- Topical or abstract discussions and hesitant language