1-91

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + 1$$

$$T(n-1) = T(n-2) + 1 + 1$$

$$T(n-1) = T(n-2) + 1 + 1$$

$$T(n) = T(n-2) + 1 + 1$$

1-b)
$$T(n) = T(n/2) + T(n/2) + 1$$
 $= 2T(n/2) + 1$
 $= 2$

Those algorithms almost the same. They have egral time complexity. b has two more variable than a but in today's conditions that won't be a problem. So I would choose a, because it is easier to understand.

for 1=0 to n-1 j=171 To 11-1

if (distance (set[i], set[i]) \(\text{min} \) \\

min = distance (set[i], set[i]);

x1 = i; for jeitl to n-1

x1 = [j

x2 = jj

end if end for

n-1-+ n-2 +n-3 + ... + 1+0 (n-1), (n-2) = n2

end for return x1 and X2

Pine Complexity is B(n2)

end procedure

Yes, there is a way to do that faster with Horner's method. For example

 $x^3 + 5x^2 + 2x - 1$. This polynomial can be evaluated as ((x + 5)x + 2)x - 1. That means writing the polynomial as coefficients of x^n and repeatedly multiplying with x.

That way the time complexity will be O(n)

```
5)
```

```
curr_path.append(regions[y]) \longrightarrow (4)
                  max_path = curr_path.copy() -> (5-(
       print(max_path)
def maxClusterConnected(l, m, r): # left middle right
def cluster_b(l, r):
```