

## QUESTION 1

a) Prove by induction.

$k = i + 1$  is given.

For inductive step assume  $k = i + n$

Then we should  $k + 1 = i + n + 1$  prove.

All above these then we get:

Assumption:

$$A[i, j] + A[k, j + 1] \leq A[i, j + 1] + A[k, j]$$

Given:

$$A[k, j] + A[k + 1, j + 1] \leq A[k, j + 1] + A[k + 1, j]$$

Then we convert above to these:

$$\begin{aligned} A[i, j] + A[k, j + 1] + A[k, j] + A[k + 1, j + 1] \\ \leq A[i, j + 1] + A[k, j] + A[k, j + 1] + A[k + 1, j] \end{aligned}$$

We achieve:

$$A[i, j] + A[k + 1, j + 1] \leq A[i, j + 1] + A[k + 1, j]$$

b) I use the  $A[i, j] + A[i + 1, j + 1] \leq A[i, j + 1] + A[i + 1, j]$  rule. I check the all appropriate element for this rule, if does not fit the element then it takes the difference between them after adds and completes the missing part.

### function oneChangeToSpecial(matrix)

begin function

for i = 0 to length of matrix-1

begin for

for j = 0 to length of matrix[0]-1

begin for

leftside = matrix[i][j] + matrix[i+1][j+1]

rightside = matrix[i][j+1] + matrix[i+1][j]

if leftside > rightside

begin if

diff=leftside-rightside

matrix[i][j+1]+=diff

end if

end for

end for

end function

c) I implement merge-sort for all of the rows and creates new array appending 0<sup>th</sup> elements of sorted rows.

**d) MergeSort(arr[], l, r)**

If  $r > l$

1. Find the middle point to divide the array into two halves:  
middle  $m = (l+r)/2$
2. Call mergeSort for first half:  
Call mergeSort(arr, l, m)
3. Call mergeSort for second half:  
Call mergeSort(arr, m+1, r)
4. Merge the two halves sorted in step 2 and 3:  
Call merge(arr, l, m, r)

$T(n/2)$  come from 2<sup>nd</sup> part.

$T(n/2)$  come from 3<sup>rd</sup> part

$\Theta(n)$  come from 4<sup>th</sup> part

so the recurrence relation for merge-sort is:  $2T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$

the for loop cycle is: number of row(r)

n = number of column(c)

after that the total recurrence relation is:  $r(2T(c/2) + \Theta(c))$

## QUESTION 2

I find the elements in the  $k/2$ th indexes in two arrays and evaluate them according to these elements. Let's say  $k/2$ th element calls current, arr1's size size1 arr2's size size2 and these array's indexes are index1 and index2. Then I make a recursive call depending on whether the  $current-1 \geq size1-index1$  and  $current-1 \geq size2-index2$  and so on. Thus, in each recursive call, the sub problems are reduced to half in sum of two arrays.

So if the first array's size m and second array's size n, then the maximum value of traverse can take  $m+n$ . Thus the worst running time case is worst case running time is  $O(\log_2(m+n))$  because of the continuously dividing by 2 the main problem .

## QUESTION 3

I used an approach similar to merge sort to find the maximum contiguous subset. The approach as follows:

**First:** Divide array into two parts.

**Second:** Return the maximum sum of these:

Recursively found maximum sum in left part.

Recursively found maximum sum in right part.

Sum of subarray constructed to exceed the midpoint.

The main approach is this:

Find the maximum sum middle point to any point on left of mid, then find the maximum sum mid+1 to any point on right of mid+1. And then merge two and return this. Then apply this sum as recursive for the whole array and find the result.

So I can calculate the complexity like bottom:

- Finding recursively sum of left half of the array's worst case is  $T(n/2)$
- Finding recursively sum of right half of the array's worst case is  $T(n/2)$
- Merge these two:  $\Theta(n)$

Total recurrence is  $2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(n)$  so according to master theorem  $a = 2, b = 2, d = 1$   
The complexity is  $W(n) = \theta(n \log n)$

## QUESTION 4

I used to DFS algorithm and graph coloring in this part. DFS is a decrease by constant algorithm. So the sub problem's size reduced by some constant on each loop or recursive calls. Graph coloring is a way of marking graph nodes. Nodes connected directly to each other are marked with a different color so that we can control 2 clusters with different colors. In terms of these I combined the two approach like this: Mark with the coloring approach while traversing DFS. The graph is not bipartite if there exists an edge connecting current node to a other colored node with the same color. In contrast, the condition is bipartite.

The worst complexity should be normally sum of  $m + n$  because of the dfs search ( $n$  is number of node and  $m$  is number of edges). But I used to adjacency matrix(so  $m$  is near  $n^2$ ) in code because of this the worst case complexity is  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$

- For four element number of iteration:

```
Is bipartite?:True  
16
```

- For five element number of iteration:

```
Is bipartite?:True  
25
```

## QUESTION 5

I used the merge sort algorithm in this part. First I find linearly the gains of given cost and price in days. I constructed the 2d gain array first dimension is normally gains and second dimension is the day that it belongs in gain. And then I merge sorted according to first dimension (gains). Last I return the last element's 2th element in gain array. Thus I provide return the maximum gain's day.

I found the recurrence relation of merge sort in the first part d:  $2T(n/2) + \mathcal{O}(n)$

So if I apply the master theorem in this relation ( $a = 2, b = 2, d = 1$ )

The complexity is  $\mathcal{W}(n) = \theta(n \log n)$

The algorithm includes merge sort + for loop 0 to n-1

So the total complexity is:  $\theta(n \log n + n)$