CENG331 Take-Home Final

Fatih Yildiz 2306793

For more accurate analysis, I extended the algorithm to loop through 100 times for each index.

As I proved in the following pages, the misprediction rates are as follows:

1-bit => 0,019797 2-bit frost => 0,010008 2-bit second => 0,0101998

As me can see, the 2-bit predictors outperformed the 1-bit predictor, and the first 2-bit predictor mode slightly less errors than the second 2-bit predictor for input size 100. These rates are of course subject to change for different input sizes.

For the 1-bit predictor, I assumed the initial state to be O (taken). Here is a summery of the desicions made:

Guess Actual Result To Taken, NT = Not then

T

T

T

T

T

L=99

T

T

T

W;
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Count of wreng desicion on loop:

 $t=0$
 $t=0$

T

NT

X

 $t=0$
 $t=0$

NT

T

X

 $t=0$
 $t=0$
 $t=0$

NT

T

X

 $t=0$
 $t=0$
 $t=0$

NT

T

X

 $t=0$
 $t=0$
 $t=0$
 $t=0$

NT

T

X

 $t=0$
 $t=0$

Then, the total misprediction rate for input size 100 is:

$$r = \frac{99 + 98 + 98.(99 + 98 + 1) + 0}{6} = 0.019797$$

For the first 2-bit predictor, I assumed the initial state to be 0 (strongly taken.). Note that the input size is kept unchanced to observe the effect of predictors on misprediction rate. Here is a summy of the desicions made:

			CTI
	GURSS	Actual New State	ST-strongly T-stahn
) = {	ST	taken ST	ton ETN Elevots ETNS
2 = 1	ST	taken ST	
k=1	ST	taken ST	Wi => count of wrong desicions on loop i
k=09	ST	taken ST not taken T	
k=100	ST	not taken T	t; =) Lotal count of
J=2	T	taken ST	desicions on loopi
k= 1	ST	tahen ST	i = 1
k=99	ST	taken ST	w;=) 99,1+1
£=100	T2	not taken T	t; =) 99.100+100+1
1			
ブ=100	T	not taken NT	$\frac{1=299}{w_1 = 99.1 + 1 + 1}$
1=100	NT	not taken SNT	t; =98,100+100+1

$$\frac{1=100}{w_{1}=0}$$

Then, the total misprediction rate for mput size 100 is:

$$r = \frac{100}{t_i} = \frac{99 + 1 + 98(99 + 1 + 1) + 0}{99.100 + 100 + 1 + 98(99.100 + 101) + 1} = 0.010098$$

For the second 2-bit predictor, I assumed the inital state to be 00 (not taken). The input size is kept unchanged to observe the effect of predictors on misprediction rate. Here is the summery of the desicions made:

Then, the total mispredicture rate for input size 100 is: $I = \frac{100}{1-4} \frac{wi}{t_0} = \frac{(99+11+1+10).11+0.1}{(99.100+100+1)99+1} = 0.010199$

OO(NT)

1=100

NT OO(NT