Arif Jahid Hasan, 192095

Data Warehouse Optimization – report

1. Aim of the laboratory

The aim of the task is to show issues concerning various physical cube models and aggregation design.

2. Preliminary assumptions

Size of the database (data warehouse): 247 MB

Number of Rows: 500 000

Testing environment:

- Microsoft SQL Server Management Studio 20
- Visual Studio Enterprise 2022
- SQL Server Profiler 18
- about PC:
 - o 16GB RAM
 - O Windows 11
 - o Processor Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-1035G1 CPU @ 1.00GHz 1.19 GHz

	МО	LAP	ROI	PAP	НО	LAP
	Aggr	No Aggr	Aggr	No Aggr	Aggr	No Aggr
Querying speed (for	30	34.7	109.3	366.2	110.7	113
3 different	5.7	25.7	116.2	197.7	4.8	111
queries)						
	43.8	46.5	124	127.3	127	108.7
Processing	5 s	5 s	1 s	1 s	1 s	1 s
time						
Total size	16.18	16.07	3.80	3.80	3.92	3.80
	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB

3. Testing

Testing query execution times for different models, with and without defined aggregations. Testing cube processing times in the same testing settings. Brief description of the queries:

1. (one with aggregations on dates)

```
--1. Compare the number of appointments near-the-holidays days in current and previous
month? (Query with Aggregations on Dates)

WITH MEMBER [Measures].[Before Holiday Day] AS
    Aggregate(
        [Date].[Date].CurrentMember.PrevMember
    )

SELECT
    {[Measures].[Appointment Count]} ON COLUMNS,
    NON EMPTY
    {
        [Date].[Year-Month-Hierarchy].[Year].&[2023].Children,
        [Date].[Year-Month-Hierarchy].[Month].Members
    } ON ROWS

FROM [Appointify Warehouse]
```

Times for MOLAP with NO AGGREGATIONS

EventClass	DatabaseName	Duration
Query Cube End	Appointif	30
Query Cube End	Appointif	28
Query Cube End	Appointif	30
Query Cube End	Appointif	29
Query Cube End	Appointif	28
Query Cube End	Appointif	30
Query Cube End	Appointif	28
Query Cube End	Appointif	29
Query Cube End	Appointif	26
Query Cube End	Appointif	56

Times for **MOLAP** with **AGGREGATIONS**

EventClass	DatabaseName	Duration
Query Cube End	Appointif	61
Query Cube End	Appointif	27
Query Cube End	Appointif	37
Query Cube End	Appointif	29
Query Cube End	Appointif	28
Query Cube End	Appointif	28
Query Cube End	Appointif	3
Query Cube End	Appointif	30
Query Cube End	Appointif	28
Query Cube End	Appointif	29

Times for **ROLAP** with **NO AGGREGATIONS**

EventClass	DatabaseName	Duration
Query Cube End	Appointif	317
Query Cube End	Appointif	96
Query Cube End	Appointif	103
Query Cube End	Appointif	100
Query Cube End	Appointif	110
Query Cube End	Appointif	97
Query Cube End	Appointif	102
Query Cube End	Appointif	2517
Query Cube End	Appointif	116
Query Cube End	Appointif	104

Times for **ROLAP** with **AGGREGATIONS**

Eve	ntClass	DatabaseName	Duration
Qu	ry Cube End	Appointif	176
Qu	ry Cube End	Appointif	98
Qu	ry Cube End	Appointif	103
Qu	ry Cube End	Appointif	96
Qu	ry Cube End	Appointif	91
Qu	ry Cube End	Appointif	97
Qu	ry Cube End	Appointif	102
Qu	ry Cube End	Appointif	99
Qu	ry Cube End	Appointif	104
Qu	ry Cube End	Appointif	127

Times for **HOLAP** with **NO AGGREGATIONS**

EventClass	DatabaseName	Duration
Query Cube End	Appointif	170
Query Cube End	Appointif	111
Query Cube End	Appointif	115
Query Cube End	Appointif	108
Query Cube End	Appointif	98
Query Cube End	Appointif	100
Query Cube End	Appointif	107
Query Cube End	Appointif	113
Query Cube End	Appointif	103
Query Cube End	Appointif	105

Times for **HOLAP** with **AGGREGATIONS**

EventClass	DatabaseName	Duration
Query Cube End	Appointif	119
Query Cube End	Appointif	105
Query Cube End	Appointif	133
Query Cube End	Appointif	99
Query Cube End	Appointif	105
Query Cube End	Appointif	98
Query Cube End	Appointif	111
Query Cube End	Appointif	110
Query Cube End	Appointif	120
Query Cube End	Appointif	107

2. (one for particular dimension attribute)

--2. How effective are promo codes? (Query for a Particular Dimension Attribute)

{[Measures].[Appointment Count]} ON COLUMNS,
[Junk].[Promo Code Usage Hierarchy].[Is Promo Code Used].MEMBERS ON ROWS
FROM [Appointify Warehouse]

Times for MOLAP with NO AGGREGATIONS

EventClass	Duration	DatabaseName
Query Cube End	45	Appointif
Query Cube End	25	Appointif
Query Cube End	26	Appointif
Query Cube End	21	Appointif
Query Cube End	20	Appointif
Query Cube End	26	Appointif
Query Cube End	25	Appointif
Query Cube End	23	Appointif
Query Cube End	22	Appointif
Query Cube End	24	Appointif

Times for MOLAP with AGGREGATIONS

EventClass	DatabaseName	Duration
Query Cube End	Appointif	33
Query Cube End	Appointif	4
Query Cube End	Appointif	4
Query Cube End	Appointif	2
Query Cube End	Appointif	2
Query Cube End	Appointif	3
Query Cube End	Appointif	3
Query Cube End	Appointif	2
Query Cube End	Appointif	2
Query Cube End	Appointif	2

Times for **ROLAP** with **NO AGGREGATIONS**

EventClass	DatabaseName	Duration
Query Cube End	Appointif	252
Query Cube End	Appointif	843
Query Cube End	Appointif	103
Query Cube End	Appointif	110
Query Cube End	Appointif	121
Query Cube End	Appointif	114
Query Cube End	Appointif	99
Query Cube End	Appointif	122
Query Cube End	Appointif	110
Query Cube End	Appointif	103

Times for **ROLAP** with **AGGREGATIONS**

EventClass	DatabaseName	Duration
Query Cube End	Appointif	147
Query Cube End	Appointif	131
Query Cube End	Appointif	111
Query Cube End	Appointif	105
Query Cube End	Appointif	108
Query Cube End	Appointif	95
Query Cube End	Appointif	9344
Query Cube End	Appointif	127
Query Cube End	Appointif	106
Query Cube End	Appointif	116

While calculating ROLAP with AGGREGATION we come a cross an outlier with duration equal 9344. Therefore, we calculated mean without this value.

Times for **HOLAP** with **NO AGGREGATIONS**

EventClass	DatabaseName	Duration
Query Cube End	Appointif	156
Query Cube End	Appointif	113
Query Cube End	Appointif	116
Query Cube End	Appointif	92
Query Cube End	Appointif	87
Query Cube End	Appointif	120
Query Cube End	Appointif	116
Query Cube End	Appointif	94
Query Cube End	Appointif	112
Query Cube End	Appointif	104

Times for **HOLAP** with **AGGREGATIONS**

EventClass	DatabaseName	Duration
Query Cube End	Appointif	21
Query Cube End	Appointif	4
Query Cube End	Appointif	2
Query Cube End	Appointif	3
Query Cube End	Appointif	3
Query Cube End	Appointif	4
Query Cube End	Appointif	2
Query Cube End	Appointif	2
Query Cube End	Appointif	3
Query Cube End	Appointif	4

3. (general one)

Times for MOLAP with NO AGGREGATIONS

EventClass	DatabaseName	Duration
Query Cube End	Appointif	52
Query Cube End	Appointif	36
Query Cube End	Appointif	49
Query Cube End	Appointif	44
Query Cube End	Appointif	48
Query Cube End	Appointif	38
Query Cube End	Appointif	57
Query Cube End	Appointif	46
Query Cube End	Appointif	35
Query Cube End	Appointif	60

Times for MOLAP with AGGREGATIONS

EventClass	DatabaseName	Duration
Query Cube End	Appointif	77
Query Cube End	Appointif	42
Query Cube End	Appointif	37
Query Cube End	Appointif	35
Query Cube End	Appointif	55
Query Cube End	Appointif	33
Query Cube End	Appointif	41
Query Cube End	Appointif	37
Query Cube End	Appointif	48
Query Cube End	Appointif	36

Times for **ROLAP** with **NO AGGREGATIONS**

EventClass	DatabaseName	Duration
Query Cube End	Appointif	180
Query Cube End	Appointif	107
Query Cube End	Appointif	142
Query Cube End	Appointif	116
Query Cube End	Appointif	112
Query Cube End	Appointif	116
Query Cube End	Appointif	112
Query Cube End	Appointif	154
Query Cube End	Appointif	119
Query Cube End	Appointif	115

Times for **ROLAP** with **AGGREGATIONS**

EventClass	DatabaseName	Duration
Query Cube End	Appointif	169
Query Cube End	Appointif	121
Query Cube End	Appointif	122
Query Cube End	Appointif	109
Query Cube End	Appointif	121
Query Cube End	Appointif	109
Query Cube End	Appointif	117
Query Cube End	Appointif	115
Query Cube End	Appointif	112
Query Cube End	Appointif	145

Times for **HOLAP** with **NO AGGREGATIONS**

EventClass	DatabaseName	Duration
Query Cube End	Appointif	120
Query Cube End	Appointif	113
Query Cube End	Appointif	111
Query Cube End	Appointif	137
Query Cube End	Appointif	122
Query Cube End	Appointif	116
Query Cube End	Appointif	127
Query Cube End	Appointif	113
Query Cube End	Appointif	7
Query Cube End	Appointif	121

Times for **HOLAP** with **AGGREGATIONS**

EventClass	DatabaseName	Duration
Query Cube End	Appointif	178
Query Cube End	Appointif	117
Query Cube End	Appointif	126
Query Cube End	Appointif	130
Query Cube End	Appointif	123
Query Cube End	Appointif	110
Query Cube End	Appointif	116
Query Cube End	Appointif	116
Query Cube End	Appointif	139
Query Cube End	Appointif	115

4. Assessment

MOLAP:

Querying Speed: MOLAP is consistently faster with aggregations than without. This is because MOLAP stores all its data and aggregations directly in the cube, allowing quick data retrieval.

Processing Time: Remains constant at 5 seconds, indicating that MOLAP's processing is not heavily impacted by whether aggregations are used or not.

Total Size: Slightly larger with aggregations, but the difference is minimal, reflecting the overhead of storing aggregation data.

ROLAP:

Querying Speed: Dramatically slower without aggregations. This slowdown occurs because ROLAP has to query the relational database each time, which is slower without pre-computed aggregations.

Processing Time: Faster at 1 second. ROLAP processes quickly because it doesn't store the data within the cube; it stays in the relational database.

Total Size: Smallest among all models because it doesn't store data within the cube itself.

HOLAP:

Querying Speed: Similar performance with and without aggregations. HOLAP benefits from having aggregations pre-stored, but detailed data still requires accessing the relational database, which evens out the performance gains.

Processing Time: Consistent at 1 second, indicating efficient handling of both detailed and aggregated data.

Total Size: Marginally larger with aggregations, suggesting minimal storage of aggregated data in the cube

Conclusions:

We run all the queries **10** times for accurate results. And cleared the caches after each execution.

Clear Cache:

```
<ClearCache xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/analysisservices/2003/engine">
        <Object>
            <DatabaseID>AppointifyWarehouse</DatabaseID>
            </Object>
        </ClearCache>
```

MOLAP provides the best performance for queries due to pre-stored data and aggregations, making it suitable for environments where query speed is crucial.

ROLAP There was not that significat difference between agregatinos.

HOLAP offers a balance between MOLAP and ROLAP, with moderate query speeds and minimal storage overhead, making it ideal for scenarios where both storage and speed are considerations.