

Equilivest: A Robotic Vest to aid in Post-Stroke Dynamic Balance Rehabilitation

Franco Paviotti¹, Esteban Buniak², Rodrigo Ramele³, Orestes Freixes⁴ and Juan Miguel Santos⁵

Abstract—Stroke is a medical condition that can affect motor function, particularly dynamic balance. Biofeedback can aid in rehabilitation procedures which help patients to regain lost motor activity and recover functionality. In this work, we are presenting a smart-vest device that assist in rehabilitation procedures by providing feedback in the form of vibrotactile stimulation. Information provided by principal caregivers, family, patient in the form of surveys and interview, is used to derive potential clinical causal hypothesis and these are used to drive the experimentation paradigm, and the robotic smart-vest to aid in the whole procedure...

Index Terms—Stroke, Balance, Rehabilitation, Biofeedback, Vibrotactile

I. INTRODUCTION

Brain stroke is a devastating medical condition, that affects world population and is the main cause of disabilities worldwide [1]. Disabilities related to stroke can affect motor pathways, and may lead to several motor function disorders. One important aspect of motor function is balance which is the ability to control the center of mass of the body inside the base support provided by the lower limb [2]. Stroke can affect dynamic balance as well, which is manifested while walking, impairing autonomy and independence, important factors in Activities of Daily Living (ADL) particularly for young patients [3], [4].

Strong evidence suggests that neuroplasticity can be enhanced by neural rehabilitation [5], [6]. These procedures are aimed to relearn movements that can trigger new neural pathway generation which reroute, or even completely replace, those pathways that were damaged by the stroke. Neurorehabilitation procedures are performed by a group of interdisciplinary caregivers and technicians. Recently, biofeedback techniques, aiming at providing extra information to the patient that can be used to aid in the relearning have appeared as an alternative treatment to increase neuralplasticity. These are in the form of Wearable devices-based biofeedback rehabilitation (WDBR) [7] or more advanced robotic rehabilitation gait devices [8]–[10].

Therefore, the addition of an independent and new peripheral therapeutic signal, that can be assimilated as an extrasensory input [11], could improve dynamic balance performance on post-stroke patients which may have yet insufficiency to deal properly with the complexities of walking. Meaningful balance information, in terms of timing and location, can provide this extra signal in any form of stimulation, particularly vibrotactile feedback (VF). Although the effectiveness of biofeedback on static balance has been studied more extensively in the literature [5], to the best of our knowledge works dealing with dynamic balance problems while walking have been more scarce.

This work presents the development of a device which is grounded on this idea, and aims to help a post-stroke patient with a remaining dynamic balance problem, presenting it as a case study. The proposed development is implemented as a smart-vest [11], which we will call, *Equilivest*, that address three possible clinical hypothesis of the underlying problem. We aim to provide motor learning, meaning to generate a fading compliant assist-as-needed vibrotactile feedback signal which is manifested to the patient as less conscious as possible [4], [12]. The device aims to promote plasticity by producing timing vibrotactile stimulation based on kinematic and dynamics measurements.

Section II-A presents the case study. Next section II-B summarize the results of the interviews and surveys performed by the patient, their family and professional caregivers, presenting the underlying clinical causal hypothesis. Section II-C describes the vest details and architecture. The experimental design protocol is expounded in Section II-D. Preliminary results are presented on the next section, and this work concludes with discussion and conclusion.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Patient Case Study

Patient is a 31 years old female, without any history of chronic ailment, who suffered an acute brainstem stroke after giving birth. The stroke was on posterior fossa subarachnoid due to a brain arteriovenous malformation (AVM), which could be linked to pregnancy or puerperium [13]. Patient was in coma for around 2 months, and after that unable to walk, move, talk or swallow. After two years of intensive rehabilitation, Patient managed to recover significantly, including from dysphagia, which was very important in order to remove the feeding tube allowing her to start speech recovering.

¹F. Paviotti is with Bioengineering, Instituto Tecnológico de Buenos Aires Buenos Aires, Argentina fpaviotti@itba.edu.ar

²E. Buniak is with Ingeniería en Informática, Instituto Tecnológico de Buenos Aires Buenos Aires, Argentina ebuniak@itba.edu.ar

³R. Ramele is with Ingeniería en Informática, Instituto Tecnológico de Buenos Aires Buenos Aires, Argentina rramele@itba.edu.ar

⁴O. Freixes is with the CINER Centro Integral de Neurorehabilitación, Buenos Aires, Argentina orestesfreixes@gmail.com

⁵J.M. Santos is with the UNAHUR Universidad Nacional de Hurlingham, Buenos Aires, Argentina juanmiguel Santos@gmail.com

After 24 months since event, the patient, was discharged from hospital and only maintained a 3 times per week rehabilitation treatment, focusing on a remaining affection related with dynamic balance problems while walking. The patient achieved satisfactory index scores in static balance tests and is able to perform static hip-balance and ankle-balance. She has recovered muscle in her legs and can perform lower-limb exercises. Her vision is normal.

However, when the patient tries to walk on open-spaces, or with confronting lights (like walking towards sunlight), with other people moving around, or while walking concentration fades, she is unable to keep up with the pace of the gait and the risk of fall increases. Nowadays, the patient walks freely unaided at home but requires a Canadian cane otherwise.

B. Underlying hypothesis

Human balance is composed of a complex interaction of different subsystems, which includes somatosensorial information, vestibular system and visual information as input sources. These are later processed in different networks of the Central Nervous System (CNS), and finally actuated by motor pathways at many different scales [4].

We perform a series of surveys and interviews with the patient and their caregivers. Main results are summarized in Tables I and II. Based on the clinical history, the results from the surveys and interviews, we postulate three different potential clinical situations that could use the external signal in the form of biofeedback and could potentially aid in rehabilitation procedures. The first (i) is a potential problem in the integration of vestibular information while walking, the second (ii) is bradykinesia where the required processing speed to effectively adjust the lower limb to keep the center of mass inside the base support is not achieved. Finally, the (iii) hypothesis is an ataxic gait, where non-automated gait produces an increase in the likelihood of failing.

C. Robotic Device Vest

The vest system prototype is based on Internet of Robot Thing (IoRT) technology [14], [15]. The controller is an Arduino UNO (Arduino LLC, Italy) board coupled with ESP8266 shield (WeMos, United Kingdom). The system is powered by a commercial power bank with Li-Po 18650 cells (Ipower, United States), an inertial measurement unit Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) MPU 6050 (OEM ITG/MPU6050) and a FA-12350 DC motor scavenged from old compact discs which provide the vibrotactile feedback. The IMU uses the accelerometer and gyroscope data to get 3-dimensional angular acceleration and measure pitch roll and yaw angles. These angles are calculated from a set of transforming equations and processed with a complementary filter which relies 80% in gyroscope data and 20% in accelerometer data [16]. These values are transmitted by telemetry in real-time as UDP packets for off-board register, processing and further analysis. Data recovered by the device is sampled at 1000 Hz.

The smart-vest prototype can be seen in Figure ??

D. Experimental Design

Three experiments are designed in order to assess each one of the potential clinical causes, and to derive for each one of them a stimulation strategy. Participants are recruited voluntarily and the experiment is conducted anonymously in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki published by the World Health Organization. No monetary compensation is handed out and all participants agree and sign a written informed consent. This study is approved by the Departamento de Investigación y Doctorado, Instituto Tecnológico de Buenos Aires (ITBA). All the participants wear the smart-vest with an elastic band pressed tightly towards the chest, tied in non-restrictive manner, holding the sensor firmly.

1) *Vestibular Information Integration*: This experiment aims to study the falling process. Participants are told to lean forward until they couldn't maintain balance anymore and let themselves fall into a mattress. This experiment is used to determine a threshold for the data that can help to identify the breakpoint conditions based on the IMU information where each subject falls.

In order to test it, 5 healthy participants are recruited to perform 10 runs of falling situations Participants wearing the vest perform a one-step forward walking exercise with the upper-trunk leaned forward at different angles progressively until they can no longer cope with the unbalance situation and fall to the mattress.

2) *Ataxic Gait*: This experiment has the purpose of analyzing and studying gait's behavior. In order to accomplish this, 5 participants are instructed to perform 10 sessions of walking in a straight line across ten meters, performing Ten-Meter Walking (10MWT) assesment [17]. We collected and analyzed pitch, roll and yaw values as well as angular acceleration changes registered by the IMU gyroscope. In this study our goal is to be able to process and identify gait abnormalities as well as all steps occurring and any fall that might develop.

3) *Bradykinesia*: This experiment involves the coupling of the other two. Five participants are instructed to perform 10 runs of a ten-meter walking procedure, followed by a falling into a mattress. The purpose of this experiment is obtain a multichannel time series of the whole sequence, including the walk and the falling action.

RESULTS

Preliminary results show that

DISCUSSION

The information provided from all the experiments allow us to implement three different VF strategies: The first is an **Artificial Vestibular Feedback**, which provides information as a feedback for vestibular information integration process. This is implemented as a frequency-increasing VF signal that represents a distance from the breaking condition position where the fall is inevitable. The purpose is to provide the patient with a continuous sensation that modulates the risk of falling.

Question	Answer
Under which situations do you feel you are prone to fall ?	When I am tired, distracted or stressed.
What do you feel ?	I feel that I loss my balance
What do you feel before you fall ?	Sometimes I do feel something before. I realize that I am going to fall, but there isn't anything I can do to avoid it.
When do you use the cane ?	Only when I am out of home.

TABLE I
SURVEY AND RESPONSES PROVIDED BY THE PATIENT.

Question	Answer
Describe the situation when the patient loss her balance	Loss of balance is manifested at the beginning, during the gait cycle or with sudden stops. Walking is performed using intense visual compensation. When the patient is distracted, or when she stops looking at the floor, or looks sideways, instability increases. Gait is not automated, and demands cognitive resources.
Is there any significant muscle loss in lower-limbs ?	No
Does she presents dysmetria ?	No
Does she presents heavy shaking ?	No
Does she have any visible reaction before falling?	She presents dynamic alterations in hip and ankle compensation.
Does she have any somatosensorial alteration?	No
Does she have any proprioceptive alteration?	No
Is the patient gait normal ?	No, the patient presents ataxic gait, likely triggered by slow reaction to perform lower-limb balance correction.
Is there any behavioural pattern linked to falling events ?	Yes, cognitive workload. Dual tasks situations, when the patient needs to perform something extra while walking.
Describe current treatment.	The patient is currently working on rehabilitation exercises to retrain her Vestibulo-Ocular Reflex (VOR), to improve her static and dynamic balance, and improve her locomotor automatism. She is improving on a montly basis, but still she has not reached the level to walk autonomy without any aid.

TABLE II
SURVEY AND RESPONSES PROVIDED BY HIS MAIN PROFESSIONAL REHABILITATION CAREGIVER.

The second strategy is the implementation of **Gait Pacemaker**. The obtained data from the analysis of the ataxic gait is used to implement a podometer. It has been showed that gait synchronization with music increase gait performance [18] and synchronized gait trainer achieved positive results on patient [19]. Hence, the aim of this stimulation approach is to drive the keep the patient as close as possible to a normal and safe gait.

The final stimulation approach deals with the Bradykinesia condition, and aims to have a **Risk-Predictor** [20], [21]. The IMU data represents a multichannel time series. Hence, it can be used to predict a risk of falling, ahead of its occurrence, by implement a machine-learning predictor, based on the analysis of the time-series data. The rationale is to counter the slowness of the response by predicting ahead of its occurrence, a high risk of falling, and providing the VF stimulation to force a change in the gait pattern.

CONCLUSION

Overall, this device allow to implement a testbed that can be iteratively extended to obtain experimental data and to implement biofeedback strategies which could potentially lead to an increase in the effectiveness of different rehabilitation procedures [2].

REFERENCES

- [1] L. R. Caplan, R. P. Simon, and S. Hassani, "Chapter 27 - cerebrovascular disease—stroke," in *Neurobiology of Brain Disorders (Second Edition)*, second edition ed., M. J. Zigmond, C. A. Wiley, and M.-F. Chesselet, Eds. Academic Press, 2023, pp. 457–476. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780323856546000447>
- [2] T. Bowman, E. Gervasoni, C. Arienti, S. G. Lazzarini, S. Negrini, S. Crea, D. Cattaneo, and M. C. Carrozza, "Wearable devices for biofeedback rehabilitation: A systematic review and meta-analysis to design application rules and estimate the effectiveness on balance and gait outcomes in neurological diseases," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 10, 2021.
- [3] A. Afrasiabifar, Z. Mehri, and H. R. G. Shirazi, "Orem's self-care model with multiple sclerosis patients' balance and motor function," *Nursing Science Quarterly*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 46–54, 2020, pMID: 31795883. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894318419881792>
- [4] S. M. Donato, K. H. Pulaski, and G. Gillen, "Chapter 19 - overview of balance impairments: Functional implications," in *Stroke Rehabilitation (Fourth Edition)*, fourth edition ed., G. Gillen, Ed. Mosby, 2016, pp. 394–415. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780323172813000198>
- [5] S. De Angelis, A. A. Princi, F. Dal Farra, G. Morone, C. Caltagirone, and M. Tramontano, "Vibrotactile-based rehabilitation on balance and gait in patients with neurological diseases: A systematic review and metanalysis," *Brain Sciences*, vol. 11, no. 4, 2021.
- [6] S. J. Albert and J. Kesselring, "Neurorehabilitation of stroke," *Journal of Neurology*, vol. 259, no. 5, pp. 817–832, 2012. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-011-6247-y>
- [7] J. M. Peake, G. Kerr, and J. P. Sullivan, "A critical review of consumer wearables, mobile applications, and equipment for providing biofeedback, monitoring stress, and sleep in physically active populations," *Frontiers in physiology*, vol. 9, p. 743, 2018.
- [8] A. D. Zhao, B. T. Zhang, C. H. Liu, D. J. Yang, and E. H. Yokoi, "Gait rehabilitation training robot: A motion-intention

- recognition approach with safety and convenience,” *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, p. 104260, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S092188902200152X>
- [9] M. Peshkin, D. A. Brown, J. J. Santos-Munné, A. Makhlin, E. Lewis, J. E. Colgate, J. Patton, and D. Schwandt, “Kineassist: A robotic overground gait and balance training device,” in *9th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics, 2005. ICORR 2005*. IEEE, 2005, pp. 241–246.
 - [10] R. K. Tong, M. F. Ng, and L. S. Li, “Effectiveness of gait training using an electromechanical gait trainer, with and without functional electric stimulation, in subacute stroke: a randomized controlled trial,” *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, vol. 87, no. 10, pp. 1298–1304, 2006.
 - [11] C. Brandebusemeyer, A. R. Luther, S. U. König, P. König, and S. M. Kärcher, “Impact of a vibrotactile belt on emotionally challenging everyday situations of the blind,” *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 21, 2021.
 - [12] S. Srivastava and P. C. Kao, “Robotic Assist-As-Needed as an Alternative to Therapist-Assisted Gait Rehabilitation,” *International Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*, vol. 4, no. 5, 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5450822/>
 - [13] J. L. Porras, W. Yang, E. Philadelphia, J. Law, T. Garzon-Muvdi, J. M. Caplan, G. P. Colby, A. L. Coon, R. J. Tamargo, and J. Huang, “Hemorrhage risk of brain arteriovenous malformations during pregnancy and puerperium in a north american cohort,” *Stroke*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 1507–1513, 2017.
 - [14] P. Simoens, M. Dragone, and A. Saffiotti, “The internet of robotic things: A review of concept, added value and applications,” *International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems*, vol. 15, p. 10, 1 2018. [Online]. Available: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1729881418759424>
 - [15] M. C. Domingo, “An overview of the internet of things for people with disabilities,” *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, vol. 35, pp. 584–596, 3 2012. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1084804511002025>
 - [16] R. J. Fetick, *MPU6050 Library*, 2022 (accessed September 16, 2022), https://github.com/rfetick/MPU6050_light.
 - [17] L. E. Olmos, O. Freixes, M. A. Gatti, D. A. Cozzo, S. A. Fernandez, C. J. Vila, P. E. Agrati, and I. F. Rubel, “Comparison of gait performance on different environmental settings for patients with chronic spinal cord injury,” *Spinal Cord*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 331–334, 2008. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.sc.3102132>
 - [18] M. Roerdink, C. J. Lamoth, G. Kwakkel, P. C. Van Wieringen, and P. J. Beek, “Gait coordination after stroke: benefits of acoustically paced treadmill walking,” *Physical therapy*, vol. 87, no. 8, pp. 1009–1022, 2007.
 - [19] J. U. Blicher and J. F. Nielsen, “Cortical and spinal excitability changes after robotic gait training in healthy participants,” *Neurorehabilitation and neural repair*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 143–149, 2009.
 - [20] W. Rahman, M. Hasan, M. S. Islam, T. Olubajo, J. Thaker, A. Abdelkader, P. Yang, T. Ashizawa, and E. Hoque, “Auto-gait: Automatic ataxia risk assessment with computer vision on gait task videos,” 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.08215>
 - [21] F. Ali and E. Benarroch, “What is the brainstem control of locomotion?” *Neurology*, vol. 98, no. 11, pp. 446–451, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://n.neurology.org/content/98/11/446>