



Universität Stuttgart

Godly Governance

Examining Support for Religious Governance
in Arab Muslim Majority Countries

Fabio Votta

 favstats

 @FabioFavusMaxim

 fabio.votta@gmail.com

2018-28-05

Slides available at <https://godly-governance.netlify.com/>

Research Question:

What role does religiosity play in support for religious governance?

Outline

1. Theoreteical Framework
2. Data & Methodology
3. Challenges
4. Analysis & Results
5. Conclusions & Future Research

Theoretical Link between Deliberation and Regime Legitimacy

Theory

- Central claim of deliberative theory:
 - making it possible to arrive at (more) legitimate decisions

Theory

- Central claim of deliberative theory:
 - making it possible to arrive at (more) legitimate decisions
- Conceptualization of legitimacy as regime support

Theory

- Central claim of deliberative theory:
 - making it possible to arrive at (more) legitimate decisions
- Conceptualization of legitimacy as regime support
- General assumption: Deliberative qualities of a political system increase support from its citizens

Theory

- Central claim of deliberative theory:
 - making it possible to arrive at (more) legitimate decisions
- Conceptualization of legitimacy as regime support
- General assumption: Deliberative qualities of a political system increase support from its citizens
- *Authoritarian deliberation*: Might decrease support due to increasing democratic capabilities

Data & Methodology

Data & Methodology

Individual-Level Data

- Merging of the following datasets:
 - Afrobarometer Survey, Round 5 and Round 6 (data from 2011-2015)
 - Asian Barometer Survey, Wave 3 and Wave 4 (2010-2015)
 - AmericasBarometer (2010-2014)
 - European Social Survey, Round 6 (2012)
 - Latinobarómetro (2015)
 - World Values Survey (2010-2014)
- In total 316,938 individual cases in 119 Countries
 - weighted to same sample size (=1000)

Data & Methodology

Individual-Level Data

- Merging of the following datasets:
 - Afrobarometer Survey, Round 5 and Round 6 (data from 2011-2015)
 - Asian Barometer Survey, Wave 3 and Wave 4 (2010-2015)
 - AmericasBarometer (2010-2014)
 - European Social Survey, Round 6 (2012)
 - Latinobarómetro (2015)
 - World Values Survey (2010-2014)
- In total 316,938 individual cases in 119 Countries
 - weighted to same sample size (=1000)

Country-Level Data

- "Varieties of Democracy"-Dataset: Deliberative Component Index
- Control variables come from V-Dem and the QoG-Dataset
- Lagging of Independent Variables (Averaging from 2000 - 2010)

Data & Methodology

- Control Variables
 - Micro Level
 - Age
 - Sex (Male/Female)
 - Financial Security
 - Education
 - Employment (0/1)
 - Macro Level
 - Polity/FH
 - Democracy (0/1)
 - Autocracy (0/1)
 - logged GDP per capita
 - logged Population
 - Life Expectancy
 - Urban Pop. Ratio
 - Survey dummies
 - WVS, Afrobarometer, Latinobarometro, Americasbarometer, Asianbarometer, ESS

Operationalization of Regime Support

Three types of traditional political branches

- executive (political leadership)
- judicial and legal system (courts and police)
- legislation (parliament)

Deliberative Component Index (DCI)?

- short description?
- Table with five components?

World Maps

Challenges

Challenges

Two major challenges emerged:

- Colinearity between Deliberation indicators and Democracy Measures

Challenges

Two major challenges emerged:

- Colinearity between Deliberation indicators and Democracy Measures
- Possibly biased self-reported regime support

Challenges

Two major challenges emerged:

- Colinearity between Deliberation indicators and Democracy Measures
- Possibly biased self-reported regime support

Multicollinearity issue

Correlation between DCI and Polity/FH

Possibly biased self-reported Regime Support

Correlation between Regime Support and Discussion Suppression

Weighting of Regime Support

Analysis & Results

Analysis

- Multilevel Models
 - In total 91 separate models
 - DVs: Regime Support (No, Low, High Bias)
 - Main IVs: All six Deliberation indicators

Results

Model Comparisons -Just Polity/FH Models vs. Complete Models

Conclusions & Future Research

Conclusions & Future Research

- No simple answer to research question
 - deliberation seems to have a positive effect on regime support in democracies
 - less robust and ambiguous results for non-democracies and complete sample
- Presumably biased regime support
 - should be taken into account
 - possible remedies? (e.g. weighting procedures, survey design)
- Validity of the DCI
 - more sensitive approaches to measure deliberation on the country level are necessary
 - nevertheless interesting differences regarding the subsamples

Thanks for Listening!

Full Paper: [Deliberation Across the World](#)

Slides: <https://deliberation-and-legitimacy.netlify.com>

Online Appendix can be found [here](#)

Code and Data on [GitHub](#)

References

Appendix

Operationalization of Regime Support

Maps - Deliberation

Maps - Regime Support

Coefficient Plots - Complete Sample

Coefficient Plots - Democracy Sample

Coefficient Plots - Non-Democracy Sample

Model Comparisons - Just Control Models vs. Just Deliberation Models

Model Comparisons - Complete Sample

Model Comparisons - Democracy Sample

Model Comparisons - Non-Democracy Sample