

Landscape scale risk assessment of cyanobacteria blooms in California lakes

Marcus W. Beck¹, Martha Sutula, Meredith Howard, Eric Stein

¹Southern California Coastal Water Research Project, Costa Mesa, CA
marcusb@sccwrp.org, Phone: 714-755-3217


May 24th, 2018





California lakes have a HAB problem

- 2017 targeted sampling on Labor Day revealed a problem
- Over half of sampled lakes exceeded a proposed recreational criteria






California lakes have a data problem

Limited *in situ* data for California, tons of watershed data

NLA07, NLA12: 59 lakes



LakeCat: 4924 lakes



[USEPA, 2009, USEPA, 2017, Hill et al., 2018]



Develop a screening tool for HAB risk

Despite the problem, we have no formal bioassessment program for lakes

- Current thresholds and reporting are voluntary



Develop a screening tool for HAB risk

Despite the problem, we have no formal bioassessment program for lakes

- Current thresholds and reporting are voluntary
- No recommendations for regional water boards on listing/delisting or where to monitor



Develop a screening tool for HAB risk

Despite the problem, we have no formal bioassessment program for lakes

- Current thresholds and reporting are voluntary
- No recommendations for regional water boards on listing/delisting or where to monitor
- Data limitations make it difficult to identify spatial/temporal trends



Develop a screening tool for HAB risk

Despite the problem, we have no formal bioassessment program for lakes

- Current thresholds and reporting are voluntary
- No recommendations for regional water boards on listing/delisting or where to monitor
- Data limitations make it difficult to identify spatial/temporal trends

Goal: develop screening tool to evaluate the relative risk of lakes exceeding a eutrophication endpoint related to bloom occurrence



Develop a screening tool for HAB risk

A four-step approach to identify risk from a limited dataset:

1. Develop link between chlorophyll and microcystin from *in situ* data



Develop a screening tool for HAB risk

A four-step approach to identify risk from a limited dataset:

1. Develop link between chlorophyll and microcystin from *in situ* data
2. Develop link between chlorophyll and location using spatial model



Develop a screening tool for HAB risk

A four-step approach to identify risk from a limited dataset:

1. Develop link between chlorophyll and microcystin from *in situ* data
2. Develop link between chlorophyll and location using spatial model
3. Estimate microcystin risks from statewide chlorophyll predictions



Develop a screening tool for HAB risk

A four-step approach to identify risk from a limited dataset:

1. Develop link between chlorophyll and microcystin from *in situ* data
2. Develop link between chlorophyll and location using spatial model
3. Estimate microcystin risks from statewide chlorophyll predictions
4. Identify landscape factors that are related to risk




Develop a screening tool for HAB risk

A four-step approach to identify risk from a limited dataset:

1. Develop link between chlorophyll and microcystin from *in situ* data
2. Develop link between chlorophyll and location using spatial model
3. Estimate microcystin risks from statewide chlorophyll predictions
4. Identify landscape factors that are related to risk


An exercise in diminishing returns...

Modelling approach




Modelling approach

2




Link between chlorophyll and location

3 Estimated risk using chlorophyll prediction




Modelling approach

4 Identify landscape factors related to risk




Modelling approach





1) Link between chlorophyll and microcystin






- *In situ* NLA data as probabilistic survey
- Build a simple model of the likelihood of exceeding some threshold
- Define a criteria threshold, arbitrary at this point




2) Link between chlorophyll and location

Using a spatial model to predict chlorophyll from lat/lon



2) Link between chlorophyll and location




Predicted chlorophyll from location seems okay





3) Estimated risk from chla prediction


Use predicted chlorophyll to estimate probability of exceeding threshold, categorize relative risk





4) Identify landscape factors related to risk

Top five most important watershed factors linked to risk categories





A vision for lake bioassessment in CA

- Despite limited data, we effectively screened lakes by HAB risk





A vision for lake bioassessment in CA

- Despite limited data, we effectively screened lakes by HAB risk
- Relatively low risk in the Sierra Nevada, North Coasts, portions of Central Valley





A vision for lake bioassessment in CA

- Despite limited data, we effectively screened lakes by HAB risk
- Relatively low risk in the Sierra Nevada, North Coasts, portions of Central Valley
- Higher risk in Chapparal, Desert, Urban centers





A vision for lake bioassessment in CA

- Despite limited data, we effectively screened lakes by HAB risk
- Relatively low risk in the Sierra Nevada, North Coasts, portions of Central Valley
- Higher risk in Chapparal, Desert, Urban centers
- Landscape position is a potentially powerful predictor of water quality





A vision for lake bioassessment in CA

- Alternative data acquisition can be explored to further assess risk
- Additional *in situ* and probabilistic sampling needed
- Leverage both for rapid response to bloom incidence



<https://www.epa.gov/water-research/cyanobacteria-assessment-network-cyan>

Acknowledgments:

Research staff and employees at Southern California Coastal Water Research Project

Blake Schaeffer (USEPA, ORD) for CyAN data

Ryan Hill (USEPA, ORISE) for LakeCat data

Photo credits: Meredith Howard, Susan Fricke, Carey Nagoda

Funding sources and contact:



marcusb@sccwrp.org, 7147553217

GitHub (project):
https://github.com/fawda123/cali_lake

GitHub (presentation):
https://github.com/fawda123/SFS_2018

Twitter: @fawda123

References

- Hill RA, Weber MH, Debbout R, Leibowitz SG, Olsen AR. 2018.
The Lake-Catchment (LakeCat) dataset: Characterizing landscape features for lake basins within teh conterminous USA.
Freshwater Science, pages 1–14.
- USEPA. 2009.
National Lakes Assessment 2007: a collaborative survey.
Technical Report EPA-841-R-09-001, Washington, DC.
- USEPA. 2017.
National Lakes Assessment 2012: technical report.
Technical Report EPA-841-R-16-114, Washington, DC.