

What does this do?

```
int foo(char *p)
{
    int i = 0;
    while (*p++) ++i;
    return i;
}
```

while (\*p++) // when does this loop exit?

note: we have unary \* and postfix ++  
pointer dereference and post-increment

this is either \*(p++) or (\*p)++  
\*(p++) returns the value that p points to, and then increments p  
(\*p)++ increment the char that p points to

++ has higher precedence than \*, so \*p++ is the same as \*(p++)

recall: p++ evaluates to the current value of p, and increments p later  
++p increments p first, and evaluates to the new value

when is a char considered false?  
chars are integers, so the char with int value 0 is false  
-> '\0'  
-> 0 (another way to write the same value)

so the loop could be rewritten while (\*(p++) != '\0') ++i;

or even: while (\*p != '\0') { ++p; ++i; }

char \*p = "false";  
p would be considered true, because only NULL is false for pointers

contrast with

```
int i;
for (i = 0; p[i] != '\0'; i++) {};
```

// theoretically less efficient (due to array indexing),  
// but compilers can optimize it

note:  
p++ evaluates to current value of p and increments p  
\*p++ evaluates to the value that p points to and increments p

if we have char \*p  
  
p++ is a pointer (char \*)  
\*p++ is a char

assignment 1  
-----

Assignment 1 is out, due in a week

This is not a project: work by yourself

It is primarily about getting comfortable with the compiler and writing code

Submit your final program, including

the rewritten triangle() using a for loop, h\_triangle(), and v\_triangle()

Due to a transcription error, the code I posted prints a blank line above the triangle  
feel free to ignore that (it should have been i = 1;)

any library in the C standard library is fair game  
-> as long as it is available on the iLab

This will be hand-graded, so don't make life too hard for the TA

If you want to use make, you can use a simple makefile

```
triangle: triangle.c
gcc -Wall triangle.c -o triangle
```

this is entirely optional

malloc & free  
-----

last time: we talked about three kinds of objects  
global objects exist for the entire process runtime  
stack objects are created when a function starts, and destroyed when it returns

global and stack objects are managed for you  
every variable refers to a stack or global object

heap objects are managed by the program  
program decides when to allocate/deallocate heap objects

pointer variables can point to heap objects (or any object)

We allocate space on the heap using malloc()

```
void *malloc(size_t); // #include <stdlib.h>
```

size\_t is just an unsigned integer type that is "big enough"

what is void\*?

void\* is the generic pointer type  
a void\* is a pointer where we don't specify the type of what it points to

you can't do anything interesting with a void\*  
-> you can't dereference, because you don't know the size of the data it points to  
-> you can't sensibly do pointer arithmetic, because we don't know the size

what can you do?  
pass to functions  
receive from functions  
cast to some other pointer type

Use of malloc: allocate space for 20 integers

```
int *p = (int *) malloc(sizeof(int) * 20);
```

The "(int \*)" says we want to "cast" the void pointer to an int pointer  
This does not do anything at run-time: it is just bookkeeping for the compiler

Note: C does not cast pointers by default (no promotion)

```
char *p;
int *q;

p = q; // type error: char* and int* are incompatible

p = (char *) p; // tells C to do the cast anyway
```

Casting pointers is a good way to get bizarre unexpected behavior  
or bizarre expected behavior

Exception: C automatically casts to/from void\*

```
int *p = malloc(20 * sizeof(int));
// not including the (int *) is fine; it will produce the same code
```

Whether to explicitly cast the result of malloc is a matter of taste

Including the cast may catch some errors

```
p = (float *) malloc(20 * sizeof(float));
// this will be a type error, because we declared p as int *

p = (int *) malloc(20 * sizeof(float));
// valid C, but probably not intended
```

Why does malloc return void\*?  
- C does not have type parameters  
- no way to have malloc return a specified pointer type  
- we don't want to have a different malloc for every possible pointer type  
- void \* is how we get around not having polymorphism

Related function: free

```
void free(void *);
```

free takes a void \*, because it needs to work with any type of pointer

```
int *p = malloc(...); // implicitly cast void* to int*
...
free(p); // implicitly cast int* to void*
```

free deallocates heap objects  
-> the space used by the heap object will be available for later use

only give free addresses obtained from malloc!

BAD:

```
int i;
free(&i);
```

compiler will accept this, but your program is undefined!

BAD

```
int *p = malloc(100 * sizeof(int));
free(p + 1);
```

don't free in the middle of the heap object!

OKAY

```
int *p, *q;

p = malloc(100 * sizeof(int));
q = p;
free(q);
```

the variable isn't important  
we just have to pass an address that we got from malloc

BAD: use after free

```
free(p);
int i = *p; // can't use p after it has been freed
```

BAD: double free

```
free(p);
free(p); // can't free an object that no longer exists
```

---

```
struct node {
    int data;
    struct node *next;
};
```

```
struct node *head = NULL;
```

```
void push(int i)
{
    // allocate a new linked list node on the heap
    struct node *new = malloc(sizeof(struct node));

    // add the new node to the heap ---
    new->data = i;
    new->next = head;
    head = new;
}
```

// note that "new" is a stack variable that ceases to exist when push returns  
// but the struct it pointed to persists in the heap  
// in Java, we use "new" instead of malloc

```
int pop()
{
    if (!head) return 0; // do something if the list is empty

    struct node *old = head; // hold on to ptr to current head
    head = head->next; // remove current head from stack

    int i = old->data; // remember the value we are popping
    free(old); // deallocate old head

    return i;
}
```

function pointers  
-----

Functions exist in memory and we can find their addresses and store those addresses  
in variables or pass them to other functions

- the syntax for this is not very friendly

Let's say I want a pointer to a function that takes two ints and returns an int

```
int (*p)(int, int);
```

p  
is a pointer (\*p)  
to a function that takes two integers (\*p)(int, int)  
and returns an integer (int (\*p)(int, int))

How do we obtain a function pointer?  
- write the name of a function with no arguments  
... and that's it; we can't allocate new functions at run-time or  
do pointer arithmetic  
... functions are always global objects

We can pass function pointers as arguments to other functions

Why would we do this?  
1. multithreading requires us to indicate what code the new thread will execute  
2. many algorithms are parameterized by sub-algorithms

Example: sorting  
to write a general sort function, we have to know how to compare the objects we  
are sorting  
there is no way to associate a comparison function with a type  
-> instead, we pass the comparison function to our sorting function as an argument

```
// assume data is non-NULL, and len > 0
int infimum(int *data, int len, int (*compare)(int, int))
{
    int inf = data[0];
    int i;
```

```
    for (i = 1; i < len; ++i) {
        if (compare(inf, data[i]) > 0) inf = data[i];

        // note that calling a function pointer looks just like a regular
        // function call!
    }
```

```
    return inf;
}
```