# Constructive Vector Fields for Path Following in Matrix Lie Groups

Aluno: Felipe Bartelt de Assis Pessoa

Orientador: Luciano Cunha de Araújo Pimenta

Coorientador: Vinicius Mariano Gonçalves

Belo Horizonte, 21 de Fevereiro de 2025



### **Motivation**

- Generalize the vector field strategy in Rezende et al. (2022) to allow more motion possibilities, including rotations:
- Gain deeper insight into vector field properties through generalization;
- Facilitate path following for systems with both translational and rotational motion, such as omnidirectional UAVs and robotic manipulators.




## **Contributions**

- Development of a novel vector field guidance strategy applicable to systems with an inherent matrix Lie group structure;
- Implementation framework for SE(3) systems, providing all necessary tools for practical application of the proposed strategy;
- Validation through kinematic simulations in SE(3) and SO<sup>+</sup>(3,1), demonstrating the theoretical results and their practical implications;
- Design of an adaptive control strategy for collaborative simulations in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times SO(3)$ , where the vector field guidance strategy generates reference velocities for dynamic control.



## **Background**






The vector field strategy in Rezende et al. (2022) is based on a parametric curve representation and is characterized by:

- A distance function *D*:
- $\dot{D} = \nabla D \boldsymbol{\xi} = -\boldsymbol{\xi}_{N}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\xi}$ ;

Introduction

- Tangent component depends only on the curve;
- Normal and tangent components are orthogonal:
- Absence of local minima outside the curve:
- Vector field  $\Psi(\mathbf{h}) = k_N(D)\boldsymbol{\xi}_N(\mathbf{h}) + k_T(D)\boldsymbol{\xi}_T(\mathbf{h}).$



## Lie group G

Manifolds with group structure. The group operation and inverse map are continuous and smooth.

E.g.: set of rotation matrices SO(3).

#### Lie algebra $\mathfrak g$

Tangent space of G at the identity.

E.g.: skew-symmetric matrices for SO(3).

#### Exponential map

Maps elements of  $\mathfrak g$  to G. For matrix Lie groups:  $\exp(\mathbf A) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \frac{\mathbf A^n}{n!} = \mathbf X \in G$ . Not always surjective.


#### $\mathcal{S}$ map

Linear map from  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$ , relating velocities to tangent space elements.

E.g.: angular velocities  $\rightarrow$  skew-symmetric matrices in  $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ .

## **Formulation**

Introduction



We assume the system model:

$$\dot{\mathbf{H}}(t) = \mathcal{S}(\boldsymbol{\xi}(t))\mathbf{H}(t), \quad \mathbf{H} \in \mathcal{G}, \ \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

The vector field is given by:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{H}) \triangleq k_N(\mathbf{H})\xi_N(\mathbf{H}) + k_T(\mathbf{H})\xi_T(\mathbf{H}).$$

## **Gradient in Lie groups**


The L operator acts as a gradient while respecting Lie group constraints.

For any scalar function  $f:G\to\mathbb{R}$ , it is implicitly defined as:

#### L operator

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( f \Big( \exp \big( \mathcal{S}(\zeta) \, \varepsilon \big) \mathbf{G} \Big) - f \Big( \mathbf{G} \Big) \right) = \mathsf{L}[f](\mathbf{G}) \zeta \, \, \forall \, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \left( f \Big( \exp \big( \mathcal{S}(\zeta) \, \varepsilon \big) \mathbf{G} \Big) \right) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = \mathsf{L}[f](\mathbf{G}) \zeta \, \, \forall \, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^m$$



## **Distances**

Introduction

The vector field formulation requires two distance functions:

#### EE-distance $\widehat{D}$

Measures the distance between two Lie group elements.

Positive definite:  $\widehat{D}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}) \geq 0$ ,  $\widehat{D}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}) = 0 \iff \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{W}$ ;

Differentiability: at least once differentiable in both arguments almost everywhere.

E.g.: for exponential Lie groups, an EE-distance is given by

$$\widehat{D}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}) = \left\| \log(\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{W}) \right\|_{F}.$$

#### EC-distance D

Measures the distance between a Lie group element and a curve:

$$D(\mathbf{H}) \triangleq \min_{\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{C}} \widehat{D}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{Y}) = \min_{s \in [0,1]} \widehat{D}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_d(s)).$$

## **Components and Properties**

Normal and tangent components:

$$oldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{H}) \triangleq - \mathsf{L}_{\mathbf{V}}[\widehat{D}] \Big( \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_d(s^*) \Big)^{ op} \ oldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{H}) \triangleq \mathcal{S}^{-1} \Big( rac{d\mathbf{H}_d}{ds} (s^*) \mathbf{H}_d(s^*)^{-1} \Big)$$

Components are orthogonal if


#### Left-invariant distance

$$\widehat{D}(\mathbf{XV},\mathbf{XW})=\widehat{D}(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W})\,\forall\,\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W},\mathbf{X}\in\mathit{G}.$$

Absence of local minima outside the curve if

#### Chainable distance

$$\widehat{D}(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W}) = \widehat{D}(\mathbf{V},\Phi_{\sigma}) + \widehat{D}(\Phi_{\sigma},\mathbf{W}).$$



Referências

## **Proof Sketch**

Let  $\widehat{D}$  be a left-invariant and chainable EE-distance, then:

$$\dot{D} = \mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{V}}[\widehat{D}]\boldsymbol{\xi} + \left(\mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{W}}[\widehat{D}]\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathcal{T}}\right) \frac{ds^*}{dt}.$$

By the optimality condition of D, we have

$$\dot{D} = \mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{V}}[\widehat{D}]\boldsymbol{\xi} = -\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\xi}.$$

If the system follows the vector field,  $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ , then

$$\dot{D} = -\xi_N^{\top} (k_N \xi_N + k_T \xi_T) = -k_N \|\xi_N\|^2 \le 0.$$

Circulation relies on proper parametrization.

## Kinematic control in SE(3)

The distance function has a simpler expression. Let

$$\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{W} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{u} \ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

then

Introduction

$$\widehat{D}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}) = \|\log(\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{W})\|_F = \sqrt{2 heta^2 + \mathbf{u}^{ op}\mathbf{ar{X}}\mathbf{u}},$$

where

$$\begin{split} \theta &= \mathsf{atan2}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\|\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}^\top\|_F, \ \frac{1}{2}\big(\mathsf{tr}(\mathbf{Q}) - 1\big)\right), \\ \bar{\mathbf{X}} &= (1 - 2\beta_0)\mathbf{I} + \beta_0\big(\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}^\top\big), \\ \beta_0 &= \frac{2 - 2\cos\theta - \theta^2}{4(1 - \cos\theta)^2}. \end{split}$$

## Kinematic control in SE(3)

Vector field gains:

Introduction

$$k_N(\mathbf{H}) = \tanh(20D(\mathbf{H})),$$
  
 $k_T(\mathbf{H}) = 1 - 0.5 \tanh(D(\mathbf{H})).$ 

Lie algebra basis reflecting the mechanical twist:

$$\mathcal{S}(oldsymbol{\xi}) = egin{bmatrix} 0 & -\xi_6 & \xi_5 & \xi_1 \ \xi_6 & 0 & -\xi_4 & \xi_2 \ -\xi_5 & \xi_4 & 0 & \xi_3 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$


The normal and tangent components were numerically approximated.

The system was integrated using:

$$\mathbf{H}(t+\Delta t) pprox \exp\Bigl(\mathcal{S}ig(\Psi(\mathbf{H})ig)\Delta t\Bigr)\mathbf{H}(t),$$


with a time step of  $\Delta t = 1 \cdot 10^{-2} \, \mathrm{s}.$ 

## **Trajectory**





## **Distances**





EE-distance:

Introduction

$$\widehat{D}(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W}) = \left\|\log(\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{W})
ight\|_F$$

Vector field gains:

$$k_N(\mathbf{H}) = \tanh(1000D(\mathbf{H}))$$
  
 $k_T(\mathbf{H}) = 0.5(1 - \tanh(100D(\mathbf{H}))).$ 

Lie algebra isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{S}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\xi_3 & \xi_2 & \xi_4 \\ \xi_3 & 0 & -\xi_1 & \xi_5 \\ -\xi_2 & \xi_1 & 0 & \xi_6 \\ \xi_4 & \xi_5 & \xi_6 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Parameterized curve:

$$\mathbf{H}_d(s) = egin{bmatrix} \gamma(s) & 0 & 0 & -\gamma(s) v(s) \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ -\gamma(s) v(s) & 0 & 0 & \gamma(s) \end{bmatrix},$$


where 
$$v(s) = 0.9 + \frac{0.09}{2}(\cos(2\pi s) + 1)$$
,  $\gamma(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v(s)^2}}$ .

Initial condition:

$$\mathbf{H}(0) = \exp(\mathcal{S}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_0)),$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_0 = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0.7 \ 0 \ 0]^{\top}$ .

## Distance






## **Collaborative Manipulation**

Each agent can measure the pose and velocity of the object's measurement point:

pose: 
$$\boldsymbol{\chi} = (\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{R}) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathsf{SO}(3)$$
; velocity:  $\dot{\boldsymbol{\chi}} = \left[\dot{\mathbf{p}}^\top, \boldsymbol{\omega}^\top\right]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^6$ ; acceleration:  $\ddot{\boldsymbol{\chi}} = \left[\ddot{\mathbf{p}}^\top, \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^\top\right]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^6$ . System model:

$$oldsymbol{ au} = \mathbf{M}(\chi) \ddot{\chi} + \mathbf{C}(\chi, \dot{\chi}) \dot{\chi} + \mathbf{g},$$

Unknowns:  $m, \mathbb{I}_{cm}^{\mathfrak{B}}, \mathbf{r}_{p}, \mathbf{r}_{i}$ 





Introduction

Equivalent matrix Lie group: ISE(3). Let

$$\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{W} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{ISE}(3)\,,\,\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{SO}(3),\,\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

Results 0000000000000

then the EE-distance is computed as:


$$\widehat{D}(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W}) = \left\|\log(\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{W})\right\|_F = \sqrt{2\theta^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|^2}.$$

Isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{S}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = egin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}(\omega) & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\xi} = [\mathbf{v}^{\top} \ \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\top}]^{\top}$ .

#### **Control**




Reference Model:

$$oldsymbol{ au}_i = \mathbf{G}(oldsymbol{\chi}, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_i)^{-1} oldsymbol{\eta}_i, \quad \dot{\hat{\mathbf{o}}}_i = -\mathbf{\Gamma}_o \mathbf{Y}_o^{ op} \left( oldsymbol{\chi}, \dot{oldsymbol{\chi}}, \Psi, \dot{\Psi} 
ight) oldsymbol{\zeta},$$

$$\alpha_i \left( \mathbf{M}(\boldsymbol{\chi}) \dot{\boldsymbol{\Psi}} + \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\chi}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\chi}}) \boldsymbol{\Psi} + \mathbf{g} \right) = \mathbf{Y}_o \mathbf{o}_i \quad \boldsymbol{\eta}_i = \mathbf{Y}_o \hat{\mathbf{o}}_i - \mathbf{K}_D \boldsymbol{\zeta}, \quad \dot{\hat{\mathbf{r}}}_i = -\mathbf{\Gamma}_r \mathbf{Y}_r^\top \left( \boldsymbol{\eta}_i, \boldsymbol{\chi} \right) \boldsymbol{\zeta}.$$




## **Trajectory**






## Velocity error





## **Distance**





## **Conclusion and Future Work**

#### Conclusions:

- The proposed vector field strategy successfully guides systems in different Lie groups to track a desired curve;
- the approach demonstrates the flexibility of using different distance metrics in Euclidean space;
- the vector field can function as a high-level controller, providing velocity references for a lower-level dynamic controller.

#### Future works include:

- Handling time-varying and self-intersecting curves;
- exploring simpler distance functions;
- improving optimization algorithms;
- incorporating nonholonomic constraints;
- validating the approach in real-world systems.



## References

- P. Culbertson, J.-J. Slotine, and M. Schwager. Decentralized Adaptive Control for Collaborative Manipulation of Rigid Bodies. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 37(6):1906–1920, dec 2021. doi: 10.1109/TRO.2021.3072021. 4
- F. B. A. Pessoa and L. C. A. Pimenta. Vector Field Based Adaptive Control for Collaborative Manipulation. In XXV Congresso Brasileiro de Automática, 2024. 4
- A. M. C. Rezende, V. M. Goncalves, and L. C. A. Pimenta. Constructive Time-Varying Vector Fields for Robot Navigation. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 38(2):852–867, apr 2022. doi: 10.1109/TRO.2021.3093674. 2, 4, 5

