

## Chapter 6.7

1. The inner product is  $\langle x, y \rangle = 4x_1y_1 + 5x_2y_2$ . Let  $\mathbf{x} = (1, 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = (5, -1)$ .
  - a. Since  $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \langle x, x \rangle = 9$ ,  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = 3$ . Since  $\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = \langle y, y \rangle = 105$ ,  $\|\mathbf{y}\| = \sqrt{105}$ . Finally,  $|\langle x, y \rangle|^2 = 15^2 = 225$ .
  - b. A vector  $\mathbf{z}$  is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{y}$  if and only if  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ , that is,  $20z_1 - 5z_2 = 0$ , or  $4z_1 = z_2$ . Thus all multiples of  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$  are orthogonal to  $\mathbf{y}$ .
3. The inner product is  $\langle p, q \rangle = p(-1)q(-1) + p(0)q(0) + p(1)q(1)$ , so  $\langle 4+t, 5-4t^2 \rangle = 3(1) + 4(5) + 5(1) = 28$ .
21. The inner product is  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(t)g(t)dt$ . Let  $f(t) = 1 - 3t^2$ ,  $g(t) = t - t^3$ . Then  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 (1 - 3t^2)(t - t^3) dt = \int_0^1 3t^5 - 4t^3 + t dt = 0$ .
23. The inner product is  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(t)g(t) dt$ , so  $\langle f, f \rangle = \int_0^1 (1 - 3t^2)^2 dt = \int_0^1 9t^4 - 6t^2 + 1 dt = 4/5$ , and  $\|f\| = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle} = 2/\sqrt{5}$ .
25. The inner product is  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 f(t)g(t)dt$ . Then 1 and  $t$  are orthogonal because  $\langle 1, t \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 t dt = 0$ . So 1 and  $t$  can be in an orthogonal basis for  $\text{Span}\{1, t, t^2\}$ . By the Gram-Schmidt process, the third basis element in the orthogonal basis can be  $t^2 - \frac{\langle t^2, 1 \rangle}{\langle 1, 1 \rangle} 1 - \frac{\langle t^2, t \rangle}{\langle t, t \rangle} t$ . Since  $\langle t^2, 1 \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 t^2 dt = 2/3$ ,  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 1 dt = 2$ , and  $\langle t^2, t \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 t^3 dt = 0$ , the third basis element can be written as  $t^2 - (1/3)$ . This element can be scaled by 3, which gives the orthogonal basis as  $\{1, t, 3t^2 - 1\}$ .

## Chapter 6.8

1. The weighting matrix  $W$ , design matrix  $X$ , parameter vector  $\beta$ , and observation vector  $\mathbf{y}$  are:

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The design matrix  $X$  and the observation vector  $\mathbf{y}$  are scaled by  $W$ :

$$WX = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 2 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, W\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 8 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Further compute  $(WX)^T WX = \begin{bmatrix} 14 & 0 \\ 0 & 16 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $(WX)^T W\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 28 \\ 24 \end{bmatrix}$  and find that

$$\hat{\beta} = ((WX)^T WX)^{-1} (WX)^T W\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/14 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/16 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 28 \\ 24 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3/2 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Thus the weighted least-squares line is } y = 2 + (3/2)x.$$

2. Let  $X$  be the original design matrix, and let  $\mathbf{y}$  be the original observation vector. Let  $W$  be the weighting matrix for the first method. Then  $2W$  is the weighting matrix for the second method. The weighted least-squares by the first method is equivalent to the ordinary least-squares for an equation whose normal equation is  $(WX)^T WX \hat{\beta} = (WX)^T W\mathbf{y}$ , while the second method is equivalent to the ordinary least-squares for an equation whose normal equation is  $(2WX)^T (2W)X \hat{\beta} = (2WX)^T (2W)\mathbf{y}$ . Since the second equation can be written as  $4(WX)^T WX \hat{\beta} = 4(WX)^T W\mathbf{y}$ , it has the same solutions as the first equation).

10. Let  $f(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq t < \pi \\ -1 & \text{for } \pi \leq t < 2\pi \end{cases}$ . The Fourier coefficients for  $f$  are:

$$\frac{a_0}{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} dt = 0, \text{ and for } k > 0,$$

$$a_k = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) \cos kt \, dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \cos kt \, dt - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} \cos kt \, dt = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$b_k = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) \sin kt \, dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sin kt \, dt - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} \sin kt \, dt = \begin{cases} 4/(k\pi) & \text{for } k \text{ odd} \\ 0 & \text{for } k \text{ even} \end{cases}.$$

The third-order Fourier approximation to  $f$  is thus  $b_1 \sin t + b_3 \sin 3t = \frac{4}{\pi} \sin t + \frac{4}{3\pi} \sin 3t$ .

- 13.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be in  $C[0, 2\pi]$  and let  $m$  be a nonnegative integer. Then the linearity of the inner product shows that  $\langle (f+g), \cos mt \rangle = \langle f, \cos mt \rangle + \langle g, \cos mt \rangle$  and  $\langle (f+g), \sin mt \rangle = \langle f, \sin mt \rangle + \langle g, \sin mt \rangle$ .

Dividing these identities respectively by  $\langle \cos mt, \cos mt \rangle$  and  $\langle \sin mt, \sin mt \rangle$  shows that the Fourier coefficients  $a_m$  and  $b_m$  for  $f+g$  are the sums of the corresponding Fourier coefficients of  $f$  and of  $g$ .