

# Randomized Algorithms

Ioannis Caragiannis (this time) and Kasper Green Larsen



# Multi-dimensional data

- **Documents** as bag of words: # of occurrences of word  $w$  in a document
- **Network traffic**: number of packets sent by node  $i$  to node  $j$
- **User ratings**: rating of user  $i$  for service/product/business/etc  $j$

# How can we compare documents?

- **Similarity** between two documents is given by the **distance** of their “vectors”
- Claim: **projecting** the document vector in a **smaller space** preserves the similarity between documents
- How? E.g., using the **Johnson-Lindenstrauss** transform

# The Johnson-Lindenstrauss transform

# The Johnson-Lindenstrauss lemma

- For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$  and any integer  $m$ , then for integer  $k = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \ln m\right)$  and any points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exists a **linear map** (matrix)  $L: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  such that for any  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ , it holds
$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$$

# The Johnson-Lindenstrauss lemma

- For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$  and any integer  $m$ , then for integer  $k = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \ln m\right)$  and any points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exists a **linear map** (matrix)  $L: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  such that for any  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ , it holds
$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$$
- The linear transformation  $L$  is simply **multiplication** by a matrix whose entries are **sampled independently from a standard Gaussian**, **scaled appropriately**

# The Johnson-Lindenstrauss lemma

- For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$  and any integer  $m$ , then for integer  $k = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \ln m\right)$  and any points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exists a **linear map** (matrix)  $L: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  such that for any  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ , it holds
$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$$
- The linear transformation  $L$  is simply **multiplication** by a matrix whose entries are **sampled independently from a standard Gaussian, scaled appropriately**
- Let  $A$  be random  $k \times d$  matrix with  $A_{i,j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , independently from the other entries
- Set  $L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} A$

# The Johnson-Lindenstrauss lemma

- For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$  and any integer  $m$ , then for integer  $k = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \ln m\right)$  and any points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exists a **linear map** (matrix)  $L: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  such that for any  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ , it holds
$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$$
- The linear transformation  $L$  is simply **multiplication** by a matrix whose entries are **random +1/-1, scaled appropriately**
- Let  $A$  be a random  $k \times d$  matrix with  $A_{i,j}$  selected equiprobably from  $\{+1, -1\}$ , independently from the other entries
- Set  $L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} A$



Lemma: For any unit vector  $v$ ,  $\|Av\|_2^2$  is sharply concentrated around its expectation

- Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a **unit vector**
- Let  $A$  be a **random  $k \times d$  matrix** with  $A_{i,j}$  selected **equiprobably from  $\{+1, -1\}$** , independently of the other entries
- Then, the **squared norm  $Q = \|Av\|_2^2$**  has  $\mathbb{E}[Q] = k$ , and for  $\eta \in [0, 1/2]$ ,  $\Pr[|Q - k| \geq \eta k] \leq 2\exp(-\eta^2 k/8)$

The easy part of the proof:  $\mathbb{E}[Q] = k$

- By **linearity of expectation**:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[Q] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \sum_{j=1}^d A_{i,j} v_j \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^d A_{i,j}^2 v_j^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j'=j+1}^d A_{i,j} A_{i,j'} v_j v_{j'} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^d v_j^2 \right] + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j'=j+1}^d \mathbb{E}[A_{i,j}] \mathbb{E}[A_{i,j'}] v_j v_{j'} = k\end{aligned}$$

## Summarizing up to now

- $\Pr[|\|Av\|_2^2 - k| \geq \eta k] \leq 2\exp(-\eta^2 k/8)$
- Since  $L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}A$ , this is equivalent to
$$\Pr[|\|Lv\|_2^2 - 1| \geq \eta] \leq 2\exp(-\eta^2 k/8)$$
- I.e.,  **$L$  does not distort the squared norm of the unit vector  $v$**  by much

Lemma: It suffices to focus on unit vectors

- For  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ , denote by  $v_{ij}$  the unit vector  $v_{ij} = \frac{x_i - x_j}{\|x_i - x_j\|_2}$
- Assume that matrix  $L$  is such that  $1 - \varepsilon \leq \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ , for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$
- Then,  $(1 - \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$ , for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$

Lemma: It suffices to focus on unit vectors

- For  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ , denote by  $v_{ij}$  the unit vector  $v_{ij} = \frac{x_i - x_j}{\|x_i - x_j\|_2}$
- Assume that matrix  $L$  is such that  $1 - \varepsilon \leq \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ , for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$
- Then,  $(1 - \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$ , for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$
- Proof: Notice that

$$\|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 = \|L(x_i - x_j)\|_2^2 = \left\| \|x_i - x_j\|_2 L \frac{x_i - x_j}{\|x_i - x_j\|_2} \right\|_2^2 = \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \cdot \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2$$

# Lemma: It suffices to focus on unit vectors

- For  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ , denote by  $v_{ij}$  the unit vector  $v_{ij} = \frac{x_i - x_j}{\|x_i - x_j\|_2}$
- Assume that matrix  $L$  is such that  $1 - \varepsilon \leq \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ , for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$
- Then,  $(1 - \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$ , for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$

- Proof: Notice that

$$\|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 = \|L(x_i - x_j)\|_2^2 = \left\| \|x_i - x_j\|_2 L \frac{x_i - x_j}{\|x_i - x_j\|_2} \right\|_2^2 = \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \cdot \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2$$

- Hence  $(1 - \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$

# Final push: Proof of JL lemma

- So, we know that if  $\left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \leq \varepsilon$  for the  $m(m-1)/2$  unit vectors  $v_{ij}$ , then  $(1 - \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$ , for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$

# Final push: Proof of JL lemma

- So, we know that if  $\left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \leq \varepsilon$  for the  $m(m-1)/2$  unit vectors  $v_{ij}$ , then  $(1 - \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$ , for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$
- We have shown that  $\Pr \left[ \left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq 2\exp(-\varepsilon^2 k/8)$



# Final push: Proof of JL lemma

- So, we know that if  $\left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \leq \varepsilon$  for the  $m(m-1)/2$  unit vectors  $v_{ij}$ , then  $(1 - \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$ , for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$
- We have shown that  $\Pr \left[ \left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq 2\exp(-\varepsilon^2 k/8)$
- Selecting  $k = 24\varepsilon^{-2} \ln m$ , we have that  $\Pr \left[ \left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq \frac{2}{m^3}$

# Final push: Proof of JL lemma

- So, we know that if  $\left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \leq \varepsilon$  for the  $m(m-1)/2$  unit vectors  $v_{ij}$ , then  $(1 - \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$ , for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$
- We have shown that  $\Pr \left[ \left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq 2\exp(-\varepsilon^2 k/8)$
- Selecting  $k = 24\varepsilon^{-2} \ln m$ , we have that  $\Pr \left[ \left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq \frac{2}{m^3}$
- Using the union bound, we have  $\Pr \left[ \exists i, j: \left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq \frac{1}{m}$

# Final push: Proof of JL lemma

- So, we know that if  $\left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \leq \varepsilon$  for the  $m(m-1)/2$  unit vectors  $v_{ij}$ , then  $(1 - \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$ , for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$
- We have shown that  $\Pr \left[ \left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq 2\exp(-\varepsilon^2 k/8)$
- Selecting  $k = 24\varepsilon^{-2} \ln m$ , we have that  $\Pr \left[ \left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq \frac{2}{m^3}$
- Using the union bound, we have  $\Pr \left[ \exists i, j: \left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq \frac{1}{m}$
- Equivalently,  $\Pr \left[ \forall i, j: \left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| < \varepsilon \right] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{m}$

# Final push: Proof of JL lemma

- So, we know that if  $\left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \leq \varepsilon$  for the  $m(m-1)/2$  unit vectors  $v_{ij}$ , then  $(1 - \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$ , for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$
- We have shown that  $\Pr \left[ \left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq 2\exp(-\varepsilon^2 k/8)$
- Selecting  $k = 24\varepsilon^{-2} \ln m$ , we have that  $\Pr \left[ \left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq \frac{2}{m^3}$
- Using the union bound, we have  $\Pr \left[ \exists i, j: \left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq \frac{1}{m}$
- Equivalently,  $\Pr \left[ \forall i, j: \left| \|Lv_{ij}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| < \varepsilon \right] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{m}$
- Hence, with probability at least  $1 - 1/m$ , we get that, for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ ,  
$$(1 - \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$$

QED

Sparse embeddings

# Literature on JL transforms

- Johnson & Lindenstrauss (1984): statement and **first proof** of the JL lemma
- Larsen & Nelson (2017): **tight lower bound** on the number of dimensions of the host space
- Kane & Nelson (2014): **sparse** JL transform
- Nelson & Nguyen (2013): **lower bound** for sparse embeddings
- Weinberger, Dasgupta, Langford, Smola, & Attenberg (2009): **feature hashing**
- Freksen, Kamma, & Larsen (2018): when does feature hashing work?
- Ailon & Chazelle (2009): **fast** JL transform

# Sparse embeddings

- For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$  and any integer  $m$ , then for integer  $k = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \ln m\right)$  and any points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exists a **linear map** (matrix)  $L: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  such that for any  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ , it holds
$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$$
- Embedding time:  $O(kd)$  per point
- Usually, vectors have few non-zero entries  $\|x\|_0$  (e.g., bag of words data)
- Embedding time:  $O(k\|x\|_0)$
- Can be improved further if the matrix  $L$  has few non-zero entries

# Sparse embeddings

- For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$  and any integer  $m$ , then for integer  $k = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \ln m\right)$  and any points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exists a **linear map** (matrix)  $L: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  such that for any  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ , it holds
$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|Lx_i - Lx_j\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$$
- For each column of the matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$ , pick a uniform random set of  $t = O(\varepsilon^{-1} \log m)$  rows and assign  $-1$  or  $+1$  to the corresponding entries equiprobably and independently
- Set  $L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} A$
- Embedding time improved to  $O(\|x\|_0 \varepsilon^{-1} \log m)$



Lemma: For any vector  $v$ ,  $\|Lv\|_2^2$  has expectation  $\|v\|_2^2$

- Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a **vector**
  - Let  $A$  be a  **$k \times d$  matrix** defined as follows: For each column of the matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$ , pick a **uniform random set of  $t$  rows** and assign  **$-1$  or  $+1$**  to the corresponding entries equiprobably and independently
  - Then, the **squared norm  $\|Lv\|_2^2$**  satisfies  $\mathbb{E}[\|Lv\|_2^2] = \|v\|_2^2$
- 
- The proof that  $\|Lv\|_2^2$  is sharply concentrated around its expectation is considerably more difficult


# Proof

$$\mathbb{E}[\|Lv\|_2^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}Av\right\|_2^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^k\left(\sum_{j=1}^d A_{i,j}v_j\right)^2\right]$$

# Proof

linearity of expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[\|Lv\|_2^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}Av\right\|_2^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^k\left(\sum_{j=1}^d A_{i,j}v_j\right)^2\right]$$


$$= \frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^d A_{i,j}^2 v_j^2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\sum_{j'=j+1}^d A_{i,j}A_{i,j'}v_jv_{j'}\right]$$

# Proof

$$\mathbb{E}[\|Lv\|_2^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}Av\right\|_2^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^k\left(\sum_{j=1}^d A_{i,j}v_j\right)^2\right]$$

linearity of expectation

$$= \frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^d A_{i,j}^2 v_j^2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\sum_{j'=j+1}^d A_{i,j}A_{i,j'}v_jv_{j'}\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^k\sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E}[A_{i,j}^2]v_j^2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\sum_{j'=j+1}^d \mathbb{E}[A_{i,j}]\mathbb{E}[A_{i,j'}]v_jv_{j'}$$

independence

# Proof

$$\mathbb{E}[\|Lv\|_2^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}Av\right\|_2^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^k\left(\sum_{j=1}^d A_{i,j}v_j\right)^2\right]$$

linearity of expectation

$$= \frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^d A_{i,j}^2 v_j^2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\sum_{j'=j+1}^d A_{i,j}A_{i,j'}v_jv_{j'}\right]$$

independence

$$= \frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^k\sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E}[A_{i,j}^2]v_j^2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\sum_{j'=j+1}^d \mathbb{E}[A_{i,j}]\mathbb{E}[A_{i,j'}]v_jv_{j'}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[A_{i,j}^2] = t/k$$

$$A_{i,j}^2 = 1 \text{ w.p. } t/k,$$

$$A_{i,j}^2 = 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

$$= \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^k\sum_{j=1}^d v_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^d v_j^2 = \|v\|_2^2$$

$$\mathbb{E}[A_{i,j}] = 0$$

# Proof

$$\mathbb{E}[\|Lv\|_2^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}Av\right\|_2^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^k\left(\sum_{j=1}^d A_{i,j}v_j\right)^2\right]$$

linearity of expectation

$$= \frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^d A_{i,j}^2 v_j^2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\sum_{j'=j+1}^d A_{i,j}A_{i,j'}v_jv_{j'}\right]$$

independence

$$= \frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^k\sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E}[A_{i,j}^2]v_j^2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\sum_{j'=j+1}^d \mathbb{E}[A_{i,j}]\mathbb{E}[A_{i,j'}]v_jv_{j'}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[A_{i,j}^2] = t/k$$

$$A_{i,j}^2 = 1 \text{ w.p. } t/k,$$

$$A_{i,j}^2 = 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

$$= \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^k\sum_{j=1}^d v_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^d v_j^2 = \|v\|_2^2$$

$$\mathbb{E}[A_{i,j}] = 0$$

QED

# Feature hashing

- Feature hashing: selects **just one row per column** that is  $+1/-1$
- Important question: Assuming an optimal number of dimension, what is a sufficient/necessary condition so that feature hashing preserves distances within  $1 \pm \varepsilon$ ?
- Quantification in terms of  $\|x\|_{\infty} / \|x\|_2$  (hopefully small)
- Recall the **bag of word** example
- By ignoring very frequent words (e.g., “the” has frequency 5% in english texts), the document vectors do not have any large coordinate

Fast Johnson-Lindenstrauss transform



# High-level idea

- First multiply all vectors with a matrix that **ensures that coordinates are small** (like in feature hashing)
- Then, use a **sparse embedding**

# Fast JL transform

- Assume  $t$  is power of 2
- $\bar{H}_t$  is a **Walsh-Hadamard matrix**, defined as
- $\bar{H}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
- $\bar{H}_{2t} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{H}_t & \bar{H}_t \\ \bar{H}_t & -\bar{H}_t \end{bmatrix}$
- Property: all rows of  $\bar{H}_t$  are **orthogonal** (differ in exactly half of the entries)

# Fast JL transform

- Assume  $d$  is power of 2
- $\bar{H}_t$  is a **Walsh-Hadamard matrix**, defined as
- $\bar{H}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
- $\bar{H}_{2t} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{H}_t & \bar{H}_t \\ \bar{H}_t & -\bar{H}_t \end{bmatrix}$
- Property: all rows of  $\bar{H}_t$  are **orthogonal** (differ in exactly half of the entries)
- Property: the matrix-vector product  $\bar{H}_t v$  can be computed **efficiently** (faster than the naïve  $O(t^2)$  time)

Computing the matrix-vector product  $\bar{H}_t v$

- Write  $v$  as  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}$

# Computing the matrix-vector product $\bar{H}_t v$

- Write  $v$  as  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}$
- Then,  $\bar{H}_t v = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{H}_{t/2} & \bar{H}_{t/2} \\ \bar{H}_{t/2} & -\bar{H}_{t/2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{H}_{t/2} v_1 + \bar{H}_{t/2} v_2 \\ \bar{H}_{t/2} v_1 - \bar{H}_{t/2} v_2 \end{pmatrix}$

# Computing the matrix-vector product $\bar{H}_t v$

- Write  $v$  as  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}$
- Then,  $\bar{H}_t v = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{H}_{t/2} & \bar{H}_{t/2} \\ \bar{H}_{t/2} & -\bar{H}_{t/2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{H}_{t/2} v_1 + \bar{H}_{t/2} v_2 \\ \bar{H}_{t/2} v_1 - \bar{H}_{t/2} v_2 \end{pmatrix}$
- So, to compute  $\bar{H}_t v$ , it suffices to compute the vectors  $\bar{H}_{t/2} v_1$  and  $\bar{H}_{t/2} v_2$  and their addition and difference

# Computing the matrix-vector product $\bar{H}_t v$

- Write  $v$  as  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}$
- Then,  $\bar{H}_t v = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{H}_{t/2} & \bar{H}_{t/2} \\ \bar{H}_{t/2} & -\bar{H}_{t/2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{H}_{t/2} v_1 + \bar{H}_{t/2} v_2 \\ \bar{H}_{t/2} v_1 - \bar{H}_{t/2} v_2 \end{pmatrix}$
- So, to compute  $\bar{H}_t v$ , it suffices to compute the vectors  $\bar{H}_{t/2} v_1$  and  $\bar{H}_{t/2} v_2$  and their addition and difference
- I.e., time  $T(t) = 2T(t/2) + O(t)$ , or  $T(t) = O(t \log t)$

# Fast JL transform

- Let  $H$  be a **normalized Walsh-Hadamard matrix**, i.e.,  $H = d^{-1/2} \bar{H}_d$
- Draw a **random diagonal matrix**  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  where each diagonal entry is equiprobably and independently  $-1$  and  $+1$
- **Draw a matrix  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$  with  $k = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \ln m\right)$**
- Each entry of  $P$  is 0 with probability  $1 - q$  and drawn from  $\mathcal{N}(0, (kq)^{-1})$  otherwise
- **Embedding of point  $v$  at  $PHDv$** : takes time  $O(d)$  to compute  $Dv$ ,  $O(d \log d)$  to compute  $HDv$ , and  $O(qkd)$  to compute  $PHDv$
- Achieves the JL guarantee by setting  $q = O(\log^2 m / d)$
- Embedding time:  $O(d \log d + \varepsilon^{-2} \log^3 m)$



# Properties of $HDv$

- Multiplication  $Dv$  leaves the norm of  $v$  unaffected
- $H$  is an orthogonal matrix with all rows having norm 1
- Hence,  $\|HDv\|_2^2 = \|v\|_2^2$  for any vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$

# Proof of the JL guarantee

- Step 1:  $\|HDv\|_\infty / \|HDv\|_2 = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log m}{d}}\right)$  w.h.p
- Step 2: Assuming  $\|HDv\|_\infty / \|HDv\|_2 = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log m}{d}}\right)$ , multiplication with matrix  $P$  preserves the norms with  $1 \pm \varepsilon$

Proof of step 1:  $\|HD\mathbf{v}\|_\infty / \|HD\mathbf{v}\|_2 = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log m}{d}}\right)$  w.h.p.

- First observe that the entries in each row of the  $d \times d$  matrix  $HD$  are either  $d^{-1/2}$  or  $-d^{-1/2}$  and independent (why?)
- Hence,  $(HD\mathbf{v})_i$  is a **sum of independent random variables**  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d$  with  $X_j$  takes values either  $d^{-1/2}v_j$  or  $-d^{-1/2}v_j$  (equiprobably)
- Hence,  $\mathbb{E}[(HD\mathbf{v})_i] = 0$
- How large can  $(HD\mathbf{v})_i$  be?

# Hoeffding inequality

- Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d$  be independent random variables where  $X_j$  takes values in  $[a_j, b_j]$ . Let  $X = \sum_{j=1}^d X_j$ . Then

$$\Pr[|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| > t] < 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{j=1}^d (b_j - a_j)^2}\right)$$

# Proof (of Hoeffding inequality)

- Let  $\lambda > 0$ . Using **Markov inequality**,

$$\Pr[X - \mathbb{E}[X] \geq t] = \Pr[\exp(\lambda(X - \mathbb{E}[X])) \geq \exp(\lambda t)] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda(X - \mathbb{E}[X]))]}{\exp(\lambda t)}$$

- The numerator becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda(X - \mathbb{E}[X]))] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\lambda \sum_{j=1}^d (X_j - \mathbb{E}[X_j])\right)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{j=1}^d \exp(\lambda(X_j - \mathbb{E}[X_j]))\right] = \prod_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda(X_j - \mathbb{E}[X_j]))] \end{aligned}$$

# Hoeffding's lemma

- Let  $Y$  be a random variable taking values in  $[a, b]$
- Then, for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , it is

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda Y)] \leq \exp\left(\lambda \mathbb{E}[Y] + \lambda^2 \frac{(b - a)^2}{8}\right)$$

# Proof (of Hoeffding inequality)

- Random variable  $X_j - \mathbb{E}[X_j] \in [a_j - \mathbb{E}[X_j], b_j - \mathbb{E}[X_j]]$  has expectation 0
- By Hoeffding's lemma, we get that the numerator is upper-bounded by  $\prod_{j=1}^d \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2 (b_j - a_j)^2}{8}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{8} \sum_{j=1}^d (b_j - a_j)^2\right)$

# Proof (of Hoeffding inequality)

- Random variable  $X_j - \mathbb{E}[X_j] \in [a_j - \mathbb{E}[X_j], b_j - \mathbb{E}[X_j]]$  has expectation 0
- By Hoeffding's lemma, we get that the numerator is upper-bounded by  $\prod_{j=1}^d \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2(b_j - a_j)^2}{8}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{8} \sum_{j=1}^d (b_j - a_j)^2\right)$
- Putting everything together

$$\Pr[X - \mathbb{E}[X] \geq t] \leq \exp\left(-\lambda t + \frac{\lambda^2}{8} \sum_{j=1}^d (b_j - a_j)^2\right)$$



# Proof (of Hoeffding inequality)

- Random variable  $X_j - \mathbb{E}[X_j] \in [a_j - \mathbb{E}[X_j], b_j - \mathbb{E}[X_j]]$  has expectation 0
- By Hoeffding's lemma, we get that the numerator is upper-bounded by  $\prod_{j=1}^d \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2(b_j - a_j)^2}{8}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{8} \sum_{j=1}^d (b_j - a_j)^2\right)$

- Putting everything together

$$\Pr[X - \mathbb{E}[X] \geq t] \leq \exp\left(-\lambda t + \frac{\lambda^2}{8} \sum_{j=1}^d (b_j - a_j)^2\right)$$

- Setting  $\lambda = 4t \left(\sum_{j=1}^d (b_j - a_j)^2\right)^{-1}$  we get  $\Pr[X - \mathbb{E}[X] \geq t] \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{j=1}^d (b_j - a_j)^2}\right)$

# Proof (of Hoeffding inequality)

- Need also to prove that  $\Pr[X - \mathbb{E}[X] \leq -t] \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{j=1}^d (b_j - a_j)^2}\right)$
- Very similar!

Proof of step 1:  $\|HD\boldsymbol{v}\|_\infty / \|HD\boldsymbol{v}\|_2 = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log m}{d}}\right)$  w.h.p.

- $(HD\boldsymbol{v})_i$  is a sum of independent random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d$  with  $X_j$  takes values either  $d^{-1/2}v_j$  or  $-d^{-1/2}v_j$  and  $\mathbb{E}[(HD\boldsymbol{v})_i] = 0$

Proof of step 1:  $\|HD\boldsymbol{v}\|_\infty / \|HD\boldsymbol{v}\|_2 = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log m}{d}}\right)$  w.h.p.

- $(HD\boldsymbol{v})_i$  is a sum of independent random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d$  with  $X_j$  takes values either  $d^{-1/2}v_j$  or  $-d^{-1/2}v_j$  and  $\mathbb{E}[(HD\boldsymbol{v})_i] = 0$
- By Hoeffding inequality,

$$\Pr[|(HD\boldsymbol{v})_i| \geq t] \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2 d}{2 \sum_{j=1}^d v_j^2}\right) = 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2 d}{2 \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2}\right)$$

Proof of step 1:  $\|HD\mathbf{v}\|_\infty / \|HD\mathbf{v}\|_2 = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log m}{d}}\right)$  w.h.p.

- $(HD\mathbf{v})_i$  is a sum of independent random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d$  with  $X_j$  takes values either  $d^{-1/2}v_j$  or  $-d^{-1/2}v_j$  and  $\mathbb{E}[(HD\mathbf{v})_i] = 0$
- By Hoeffding inequality,

$$\Pr[|(HD\mathbf{v})_i| \geq t] \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2 d}{2 \sum_{j=1}^d v_j^2}\right) = 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2 d}{2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2}\right)$$

- Setting  $t = \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln dm^3}{d}} \|HD\mathbf{v}\|_2$  (recall that  $\|HD\mathbf{v}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{v}\|_2$ ), we get that the probability that  $(HD\mathbf{v})_i / \|HD\mathbf{v}\|_2 \geq \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln dm^3}{d}}$  is  $\frac{2}{dm^3}$

Proof of step 1:  $\|HD\mathbf{v}\|_\infty / \|HD\mathbf{v}\|_2 = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log m}{d}}\right)$  w.h.p.

- $(HD\mathbf{v})_i$  is a sum of independent random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d$  with  $X_j$  takes values either  $d^{-1/2}v_j$  or  $-d^{-1/2}v_j$  and  $\mathbb{E}[(HD\mathbf{v})_i] = 0$
- By Hoeffding inequality,

$$\Pr[|(HD\mathbf{v})_i| \geq t] \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2 d}{2 \sum_{j=1}^d v_j^2}\right) = 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2 d}{2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2}\right)$$

- Setting  $t = \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln dm^3}{d}} \|HD\mathbf{v}\|_2$  (recall that  $\|HD\mathbf{v}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{v}\|_2$ ), we get that the probability that  $(HD\mathbf{v})_i / \|HD\mathbf{v}\|_2 \geq \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln dm^3}{d}}$  is  $\frac{2}{dm^3}$
- Hence, the probability that  $\|HD\mathbf{v}\|_\infty / \|HD\mathbf{v}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln dm^3}{d}}$  is  $1 - \frac{2}{m^3}$

Proof of step 1:  $\|HD\mathbf{v}\|_\infty / \|HD\mathbf{v}\|_2 = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log m}{d}}\right)$  w.h.p.

- $(HD\mathbf{v})_i$  is a sum of independent random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d$  with  $X_j$  takes values either  $d^{-1/2}v_j$  or  $-d^{-1/2}v_j$  and  $\mathbb{E}[(HD\mathbf{v})_i] = 0$

- By Hoeffding inequality,

$$\Pr[|(HD\mathbf{v})_i| \geq t] \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2 d}{2 \sum_{j=1}^d v_j^2}\right) = 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2 d}{2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2}\right)$$

- Setting  $t = \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln dm^3}{d}} \|HD\mathbf{v}\|_2$  (recall that  $\|HD\mathbf{v}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{v}\|_2$ ), we get that the probability that  $(HD\mathbf{v})_i / \|HD\mathbf{v}\|_2 \geq \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln dm^3}{d}}$  is  $\frac{2}{dm^3}$

- Hence, the probability that  $\|HD\mathbf{v}\|_\infty / \|HD\mathbf{v}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln dm^3}{d}}$  is  $1 - \frac{2}{m^3}$  QED

# Lemma: Multiplication with $P$ preserves norms in expectation

- For every vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , it is  $\mathbb{E}[\|Pv\|_2^2] = \|v\|_2^2$
- The proof that  $\|PHDv\|_2^2$  is sharply concentrated around its expectation (which would complete the proof of Step 2) is considerably more difficult



# Lemma: Multiplication with $P$ preserves norms in expectation

- For every vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , it is  $\mathbb{E}[\|Pv\|_2^2] = \|v\|_2^2$
- Proof: Entry  $P_{i,j}$  can be written as  $b_{i,j}n_{i,j}$ , where  $b_{i,j}$  takes value 1 with probability  $q$  and 0 with probability  $1 - q$ , and  $n_{i,j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (kq)^{-1})$

# Proof

$$\mathbb{E}[\|P\boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^d P_{i,j} v_j\right)^2\right]$$

# Proof

linearity of expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[\|P\mathbf{v}\|_2^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^d P_{i,j} v_j\right)^2\right] = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^d P_{i,j}^2 v_j^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j'=j+1}^d P_{i,j} P_{i,j'} v_j v_{j'}\right]$$

# Proof

linearity of expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[\|P\mathbf{v}\|_2^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^d P_{i,j} v_j\right)^2\right] = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^d P_{i,j}^2 v_j^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j'=j+1}^d P_{i,j} P_{i,j'} v_j v_{j'}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E}[b_{i,j}^2] \mathbb{E}[n_{i,j}^2] v_j^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j'=j+1}^d \mathbb{E}[b_{i,j}] \mathbb{E}[b_{i,j'}] \mathbb{E}[n_{i,j}] \mathbb{E}[n_{i,j'}] v_j v_{j'} \right)$$

linearity of expectation  
and independence

# Proof

linearity of expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[\|P\mathbf{v}\|_2^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^d P_{i,j} v_j\right)^2\right] = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^d P_{i,j}^2 v_j^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j'=j+1}^d P_{i,j} P_{i,j'} v_j v_{j'}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E}[b_{i,j}^2] \mathbb{E}[n_{i,j}^2] v_j^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j'=j+1}^d \mathbb{E}[b_{i,j}] \mathbb{E}[b_{i,j'}] \mathbb{E}[n_{i,j}] \mathbb{E}[n_{i,j'}] v_j v_{j'} \right)$$

linearity of expectation  
and independence

definition of variance  
of  $n_{i,j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (kq)^{-1})$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^d q(kq)^{-1} v_j^2$$

# Proof

linearity of expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[\|Pv\|_2^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^d P_{i,j} v_j\right)^2\right] = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^d P_{i,j}^2 v_j^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j'=j+1}^d P_{i,j} P_{i,j'} v_j v_{j'}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E}[b_{i,j}^2] \mathbb{E}[n_{i,j}^2] v_j^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j'=j+1}^d \mathbb{E}[b_{i,j}] \mathbb{E}[b_{i,j'}] \mathbb{E}[n_{i,j}] \mathbb{E}[n_{i,j'}] v_j v_{j'} \right)$$

linearity of expectation  
and independence

definition of variance  
of  $n_{i,j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (kq)^{-1})$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^d q(kq)^{-1} v_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^d v_j^2 = \|v\|_2^2$$

# Proof

linearity of expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[\|Pv\|_2^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^d P_{i,j} v_j\right)^2\right] = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^d P_{i,j}^2 v_j^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j'=j+1}^d P_{i,j} P_{i,j'} v_j v_{j'}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E}[b_{i,j}^2] \mathbb{E}[n_{i,j}^2] v_j^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j'=j+1}^d \mathbb{E}[b_{i,j}] \mathbb{E}[b_{i,j'}] \mathbb{E}[n_{i,j}] \mathbb{E}[n_{i,j'}] v_j v_{j'} \right)$$

linearity of expectation  
and independence

definition of variance  
of  $n_{i,j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (kq)^{-1})$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^d q(kq)^{-1} v_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^d v_j^2 = \|v\|_2^2$$

QED

# Last slide

- Johnson-Lindenstrauss transform (alternative proof using random coins)
- Sparse embeddings
- Fast JL transform