INTRODUCTION TO ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE LECTURE 10B: BELIEF REVISION ON PLAUSIBILITY ORDERS

Nina Gierasimczuk



THREE PARTS OF TAKING IN NEW INFORMATION

What can I do to my belief?

- 1. **Revision**: $B * \varphi$ is a new belief that includes φ .
- 2. **Contraction**: $B \div \varphi$ is a new belief that does not include φ .

THINKING IN TERMS OF PLAUSIBILITY ORDERS: PRIOR

Bob believes: $Cn(\{p,q,p\to q\})$, i.e., the state x is the most plausible. But there are different ways in which the remaining options can be ordered.

p, q	p, \bar{q}	\bar{p}, q	\bar{p}, \bar{q}
			w
	У		
		z	
X			

p, q	p, \bar{q}	\bar{p}, q	\bar{p}, \bar{q}
	У		
		z	
			w
X			

In the above pictures, the lower the state the more plausible it is.

THINKING IN TERMS OF PLAUSIBILITY ORDERS

REVISION POSTERIOR

Bob believes: $Cn(\{p, q, p \rightarrow q\})$, i.e., the state x is the most plausible.

After revising with $\neg q$ his **posterior plausibility** changes differently depending on the **prior plausibility**.

We are looking for prior-minimal states that do not satisfy q.

p, q	p, \bar{q}	\bar{p}, q	\bar{p}, \bar{q}
			W
	y		
		Z	
X			

TABLE: Option B: $Cn(\{p, \neg q\})$

p, q	p, \bar{q}	\bar{p}, q	\bar{p}, \bar{q}
	У		
		Z	
			W
X			

Table: Option E: $Cn(\{p \rightarrow q, \neg q\})$

THINKING IN TERMS OF PLAUSIBILITY ORDERS CONTRACTION

Bob believes: $Cn(\{p, q, p \rightarrow q\})$, i.e., the state x is the most plausible.

After contracting with q, Bob has to expand his view.

We are looking for prior-minimal states that do not satisfy q.

p, q	p, \bar{q}	\bar{p}, q	\bar{p}, \bar{q}
			W
	y		
		Z	
X			

TABLE: $Cn(\{p\})$

p, q	p, \bar{q}	\bar{p}, q	$ar{p},ar{q}$
	у		
		Z	
			W
X			

Table: $Cn(\{p \leftrightarrow q\})$

After contraction Bob's beliefs are specified by the union of his prior most plausible world and the prior most plausible word not-entailing q.

p, q	p, \bar{q}	\bar{p}, q	\bar{p}, \bar{q}	
		z		
			w	
	у			
X				

more plausible

 $\ensuremath{\mathrm{TABLE}}\xspace$ Plausibility order over valuations

p, q	p, \bar{q}	\bar{p}, q	$ar{p}, ar{q}$
		z	
			W
	у		
×			



Table: Plausibility order over valuations

DEFINITION

Let P be a set of propositions (e.g. above, $P = \{p, q\}$). A **plausibility order** is a total preorder \leq over the possible truth assignments W on P. A total preorder on X is a binary relation that is:

- ▶ transitive: for all $x, y, z \in X$, if $x \le y$ and $y \le z$, then $x \le z$;
- ▶ complete: for all $x, y \in X$, $x \le y$ or $y \le x$.

p, q	p, \bar{q}	\bar{p}, q	\bar{p}, \bar{q}		
		z			
			w	more plausible	Э
	у				
×				\	

TABLE: Plausibility order over valuations

p,q	p, \bar{q}	\bar{p}, q	\bar{p}, \bar{q}
		z	
			w
	У		
x			

more plausible

Table: B is determined by the most plausible world(s)

•
$$\varphi \in B$$
 iff $min_{\leq}(W) \subseteq |\varphi|$;

p, q	p, \bar{q}	\bar{p}, q	\bar{p}, \bar{q}
		z	
			w
	у		
×			



Table: $B * \neg p$ is determined by min world(s) with $\neg p$

- $\varphi \in B \text{ iff } \min_{\leq}(W) \subseteq |\varphi|;$
- $\varphi \in B * \psi \text{ iff } \min_{\leq} (|\psi|) \subseteq |\varphi|;$

p,q	p, \bar{q}	\bar{p}, q	\bar{p}, \bar{q}
		z	
			w
	у		
х			

more plausible

TABLE: $B \div \neg p$ is the union of the previous two

- $\varphi \in B \text{ iff } \min_{\leq}(W) \subseteq |\varphi|;$
- $\varphi \in B * \psi$ iff $\min_{\leq} (|\psi|) \subseteq |\varphi|$;
- $\blacktriangleright \ \varphi \in \textit{B} \div \psi \ \text{iff} \ \textit{min}_{\leq}(|\neg \psi|) \cup \textit{min}_{\leq}(\textit{W}) \subseteq |\varphi|$

Exercise 1 in Week 11

Assume Bob's belief set $B = Cn(\{p, p \leftrightarrow q, \neg r\})$. Come up with an appropriate prior plausibility order on W (the set of all possible truth assignments over p, q, r), which will satisfy both of the requirements below:

- 1. after revision with r Bob would believe that $\neg q$;
- 2. after contraction with $p \rightarrow q$ Bob would believe that p.

SOLUTION

p, q, r	p, q, \bar{r}	p, \bar{q}, r	p, \bar{q}, \bar{r}	\bar{p}, q, r	\bar{p}, q, \bar{r}	\bar{p}, \bar{q}, r	$\bar{p}, \bar{q}, \bar{r}$
а			d	е	f	g	h
		С					
	b						

TABLE: An example of a prior plausibility

- 1. After revision with r Bob would believe that $\neg q$: after revision with r the minimal world becomes c, and $\neg q$ is true in c.
- 2. After contraction with $p \to q$ Bob would believe that p: after contraction with $p \to q$, c is the minimal world which does not satisfy $p \to q$, since p is true in c and q is false in c. Note that, $p \in B \div (p \to q)$ because p is true in both b and c.

THE END OF LECTURE 10b