The Moments of the Hydrogen Atom
by the Method of Brackets

Ivan GONZALEZ [†1], *Karen T. KOHL* [†2], *Igor KONDRASHUK* [†3], *Victor H. MOLL* [†4]
and Daniel SALINAS [†5]

[†1] *Instituto de Física y Astronomia, Universidad de Valparaiso,*
   Avda. Gran Bretaña 1111, Valparaiso, Chile
   E-mail: *ivan.gonzalez@uv.cl*

[†2] *Department of Mathematics, University of Southern Mississippi,*
   Long Beach, MS 39560, USA
   E-mail: *karen.kohl@usm.edu*

[†3] *Grupo de Matemática Aplicada & Grupo de Física de Altas Energías,*
   Departmento de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad del Bío-Bío, Campus Fernando May,
   Av. Andres Bello 720, Casilla 447, Chillán, Chile
   E-mail: *igor.kondrashuk@gmail.com*

[†4] *Department of Mathematics, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA 70118, USA*
   E-mail: *vhm@tulane.edu*
   URL: http://129.81.170.14/~vhm/

[†5] *Departamento de Fisica, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María,*
   Casilla 110-V, Valparaiso, Chile
   E-mail: *salinas.a.daniel@gmail.com*

Abstract. Expectation values of powers of the radial coordinate in arbitrary hydrogen states are given, in the quantum case, by an integral involving the associated Laguerre function. The method of brackets is used to evaluate the integral in closed-form and to produce an expression for this average value as a finite sum.

Key words: non-relativistic hydrogen atom; method of brackets; hypergeometric function; associated Laguerre functions

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 33C45; 33C20; 81V45

1   Introduction

The computation of the expectation $\langle r^k \rangle$ of the electron for atoms with a single electron is a standard problem in quantum mechanics, see [19, 29]. For a given energy state n, the problem is expressed as

$$\langle r^k \rangle = \int_0^\infty R_{n\ell}^2(r) r^{k+2} dr,$$

where $R_{n\ell}(r)$ is the radial solution of the Schrödinger equation for the hydrogen atom. Conditions on the parameters n, ℓ, k are determined by the convergence of this integral.

In the non-relativistic situation, the solution is given in terms of the Hahn polynomials [4]:

$$h_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,N) = \frac{(1-N)_m(\beta+1)_m}{m!} \, {}_3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c} -m,\ \alpha+\beta+m+1,\ -x \\ \beta+1,\ 1-N \end{array} \middle| 1 \right). \tag{1.1}$$

In particular, these expectations are given in terms of the Chebyshev polynomials of discrete variables [18, 24]

$$t_m(x, N) = h_m^{(0,0)}(x, N)$$

in the form

$$\langle r^k \rangle = \frac{1}{2n}(2\mu)^{-k} t_{k+1}(n - \ell - 1, -2\ell - 1), \qquad \text{when} \quad k = -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\langle r^k \rangle = \frac{1}{2n}(2\mu)^{-k} t_{-k-2}(n - \ell - 1, -2\ell - 1), \qquad \text{when} \quad k = -2, -3, \ldots, -2\ell - 2. \tag{1.3}$$

The parameters are $\mu = Z/na_0$ with $a_0 = \hbar^2/me^2$ the Bohr radius and Z is the nuclear charge. The constants m and e are the mass and charge of the electron, respectively.

The identity

$$t_k(n - \ell - 1, -2\ell - 1) = \frac{\Gamma(2\ell + k + 2)}{\Gamma(2\ell + 2)} \, {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} -k, \ k + 1, \ -n + \ell + 1 \\ 1, \ 2\ell + 2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right)$$

follows from (1.1). Then (1.2) becomes

$$\langle r^k \rangle_{n\ell} = \frac{1}{2n(2\mu)^k} \frac{\Gamma(2\ell + k + 3)}{\Gamma(2\ell + 2)} \, {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} -1 - k, \ k + 2, \ -n + \ell + 1 \\ 1, \ 2\ell + 2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right)$$

for $k = -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, and (1.3)

$$\langle r^k \rangle_{n\ell} = \frac{1}{2n(2\mu)^k} \frac{\Gamma(2\ell - k)}{\Gamma(2\ell + 2)} \, {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} -2 + k, \ -k + 3, \ -n + \ell + 1 \\ 1, \ 2\ell + 2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right)$$

for $k = -2, -3, \ldots, -2\ell - 2$, where the dependence upon the parameters n and ℓ have been made explicit.

In the quantum case, the radial component of the wave function for a hydrogen atom with nuclear charge Z is characterized by two quantum numbers: n the *principal quantum number* and ℓ the *orbital number*. The corresponding normalized radial function is

$$R_{n\ell}(r) = A_{n\ell}(2\mu r)^\ell \exp(-\mu r) L_{n-\ell-1}^{2\ell+1}(2\mu r),$$

where the normalization constant is

$$A_{n\ell} = \sqrt{\frac{(2\mu)^3}{2n} \frac{(n - \ell - 1)!}{(n + \ell)!}}$$

and

$$L_m^\alpha(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + m + 1)}{\Gamma(m + 1)\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \, {}_1F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -m \\ 1 + \alpha \end{matrix} \middle| x \right)$$

is the associated Laguerre function; see [14, formula 8.972.1]. The expectation value of a power of the radial coordinate is given by

$$\langle r^k \rangle_{n\ell} = (2\mu)^{2\ell} A_{n\ell}^2 \int_0^\infty r^{2+2\ell+k} e^{-2\mu r} \left[L_{n-\ell-1}^{2\ell+1}(2\mu r) \right]^2 dr, \tag{1.4}$$

with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \ell \leq n - 1$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The goal of the work is to compute the integral in (1.4) by the *method of brackets*, to illustrate its flexibility. The reader will find in [3, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16] a collection of examples of definite integrals evaluated by this method. The basic procedure is described in Section 3.

The examples presented here are to be considered as the beginning of a series of calculations of integrals related to the hydrogen atom. These include the evaluation of the integral [13]

$$J_{nms}^{\alpha\beta} = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{\alpha+s} L_n^\alpha(x) L_m^\beta(x) dx$$

given by S.K. Suslov and B. Trey [25]. The method of brackets provides an alternative method of proof that *only uses* the hypergeometric representation of the Laguerre function. The method can also be used to discuss the relativistic situation. Details will appear elsewhere.

The reductions of the formulas discussed here uses basic properties of the gamma function, such as

$$\Gamma(a+n) = \Gamma(a)(a)_n \qquad \text{and} \qquad (a)_{-n} = \frac{(-1)^n}{(1-a)_n} \qquad \text{for} \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.5}$$

Here $(a)_n = a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)$ is the Pochhammer symbol.

2 A direct evaluation

This section presents a direct evaluation of the integral

$$\langle r^k \rangle_{n\ell} = (2\mu)^{2\ell} A_{n\ell}^2 \int_0^\infty r^{2+2\ell+k} e^{-2\mu r} \left[L_{n-\ell-1}^{2\ell+1}(2\mu r) \right]^2 dr \tag{2.1}$$

given in (1.4). The proof is based on some identities for the associated Laguerre function appearing in the integrand. The methods presented here are then compared with the evaluation by the *method of brackets* explained in the next section.

The first identity used to modify the integrand appears in [14, formula 8.976.3]

$$\left[L_m^\alpha(x) \right]^2 = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+m+1)}{2^{2m}\Gamma(m+1)} \sum_{s=0}^m \binom{2m-2s}{m-s} \frac{\Gamma(2s+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+s+1)\Gamma(s+1)} L_{2s}^{2\alpha}(2x). \tag{2.2}$$

Therefore

$$\langle r^k \rangle_{n\ell} = (2\mu)^{2\ell} A_{n\ell}^2 \frac{\Gamma(\ell+n+1)}{2^{2(n-\ell-1)}\Gamma(n-\ell)}$$
$$\times \sum_{s=0}^{n-\ell-1} \binom{2(n-\ell-1-s)}{n-\ell-1-s} \frac{\Gamma(2s+1)}{\Gamma(2\ell+2+s)\Gamma(s+1)} G_{\ell,k,s}(\mu), \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$G_{\ell,k,s}(\mu) = \int_0^\infty r^{2+2\ell+k} e^{-2\mu r} L_{2s}^{2(2\ell+1)}(4\mu r) dr. \tag{2.4}$$

To obtain an expression for $G_{\ell,k,s}(\mu)$, the representation

$$L_n^a(x) = \frac{\Gamma(a+n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(1+a)} {}_1F_1\left(\begin{array}{c} -n \\ 1+a \end{array} \middle| x \right) \tag{2.5}$$

for the Laguerre function (see [14, formula 8.972.1]) is used.

Theorem 2.1. *The integral $G_{\ell,k,s}(\mu)$ is given by*

$$G_{\ell,k,s}(\mu) = \frac{\Gamma(4\ell + 2s + 3)\Gamma(2\ell + k + 3)}{\Gamma(2s + 1)\Gamma(4\ell + 3)(2\mu)^{2\ell+k+3}} \, {}_2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c} -2s, \, 2\ell + k + 3 \\ 4\ell + 3 \end{array}\middle|\, 2\right).$$

Proof. The hypergeometric representation (2.5) shows that

$$L_{2s}^{2(2\ell+1)}(4\mu r) = \frac{\Gamma(4\ell + 2s + 3)}{\Gamma(2s + 1)\Gamma(4\ell + 3)} \, {}_1F_1\left(\begin{array}{c} -2s \\ 4\ell + 3 \end{array}\middle|\, 4\mu r\right).$$

Expanding the hypergeometric function gives

$$G_{\ell,k,s}(\mu) = \frac{\Gamma(4\ell + 2s + 3)}{\Gamma(2s + 1)\Gamma(4\ell + 3)} \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=0}^{2s} \frac{(-2s)_j}{(4\ell + 3)_j} \frac{(4\mu r)^j}{j!} r^{2\ell+2+k} e^{-2\mu r} dr$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(4\ell + 2s + 3)}{\Gamma(2s + 1)\Gamma(4\ell + 3)} \sum_{j=0}^{2s} \frac{(-2s)_j}{(4\ell + 3)_j j!} (4\mu)^j \int_0^\infty r^{2\ell+2+k+j} e^{-2\mu r} dr$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(4\ell + 2s + 3)}{\Gamma(2s + 1)\Gamma(4\ell + 3)} \sum_{j=0}^{2s} \frac{(-2s)_j (4\mu)^j}{(4\ell + 3)_j j!} \frac{\Gamma(2\ell + k + j + 3)}{(2\mu)^{2\ell+k+j+3}}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(4\ell + 2s + 3)}{\Gamma(2s + 1)\Gamma(4\ell + 3)(2\mu)^{2\ell+k+3}} \sum_{j=0}^{2s} \frac{(-2s)_j 2^j}{(4\ell + 3)_j j!} \Gamma(2\ell + k + j + 3)$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(4\ell + 2s + 3)\Gamma(2\ell + k + 3)}{\Gamma(2s + 1)\Gamma(4\ell + 3)(2\mu)^{2\ell+k+3}} \sum_{j=0}^{2s} \frac{(-2s)_j (2\ell + k + 3)_j}{(4\ell + 3)_j j!} 2^j$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(4\ell + 2s + 3)\Gamma(2\ell + k + 3)}{\Gamma(2s + 1)\Gamma(4\ell + 3)(2\mu)^{2\ell+k+3}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-2s)_j (2\ell + k + 3)_j}{(4\ell + 3)_j j!} 2^j$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(4\ell + 2s + 3)\Gamma(2\ell + k + 3)}{\Gamma(2s + 1)\Gamma(4\ell + 3)(2\mu)^{2\ell+k+3}} \, {}_2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c} -2s, \, 2\ell + k + 3 \\ 4\ell + 3 \end{array}\middle|\, 2\right).$$

This is the stated form for $G_{\ell,k,s}(\mu)$.   ∎

Note 2.2. Observe that $s \in \mathbb{N}$, so the hypergeometric function in the expression for $G_{\ell,k,s}(\mu)$ is actually a polynomial in its last variable. Thus, there are no convergence issues.

The expression for $G_{\ell,k,s}(\mu)$ and (2.3) are used to produce the next result (after the change $s \mapsto n - \ell - 1 - s$).

Corollary 2.3. *For $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, $\ell = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2\ell + k + 3 > 0$. The moments of the hydrogen atom are given by*

$$\langle r^k \rangle_{n\ell} = \frac{\Gamma(2\ell + k + 3)(2n + 2\ell)!}{n 2^{2n-2\ell-1}(4\ell + 2)!(2\mu)^k (n + \ell)!(n - \ell - 1)!}$$

$$\times \sum_{s=0}^{n-\ell-1} \frac{\binom{n+\ell}{s}\binom{n-\ell-1}{s}}{\binom{2n+2\ell}{2s}} \, {}_2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c} -2(n - \ell - 1 - s), \, 2\ell + k + 3 \\ 4\ell + 3 \end{array}\middle|\, 2\right).$$

Note 2.4. The restriction $2\ell + k + 3 > 0$ avoids the singularities of the gamma factor $\Gamma(2\ell + k + 3)$. Also observe that the first entry in the series ${}_2F_1$ in the answer is a negative integer, therefore the series reduces to a finite sum.

In this article the expectation values of the powers of the radial coordinate of the hydrogen atom in a framework of quantum mechanics, that is, in the non-relativistic case are computed. In the Introduction it was stated that this already has appeared in the literature. In the relativistic case, results for these expectation values of the powers of the radial coordinate appeared in 2009. Indeed, the relativistic Coulomb integrals are contained in [22, 23]. The treatment of the results obtained in [22, 23] by computer algebra methods is described in [17, 20].

In the nonrelativistic case of quantum mechanics, the corresponding questions were successfully solved by direct calculation. For example, in [21] useful relations between different Laguerre polynomials were found. In [5] the radial expectation values are given for D-dimensional hydrogenic states with $D > 1$. The same quantities are discussed in a more general setting in [26, 27]. The radial expectation values of hydrogenic states in momentum space appear in [28], represented in terms of Gegenbauer polynomials instead of Laguerre polynomials. All these results were obtained by direct calculations too. The method of brackets may significantly simplify the calculations for these tasks. This will be discussed in a future publication.

The method of brackets is not the unique successful method which involves integral transformations. Traditional methods based on Mellin–Barnes transformation may be efficient tools in order to obtain new results in quantum field theory [1, 2, 7, 8, 15].

3 The method of brackets

The evaluation of the integral giving the mean value $\langle r^k \rangle$ (2.1) presented in the previous section, used the relation (2.2) in a fundamental way. A method to evaluate integrals over the half line $[0, \infty)$, based on a small number of rules has been developed in [11, 12]. This *method of brackets* is described next. The heuristic rules are currently being placed on solid ground [3]. The reader will find in [6, 9, 10] a large collection of evaluations of definite integrals that illustrate the power and flexibility of this method.

For $a \in \mathbb{C}$, the symbol

$$\langle a \rangle = \int_0^\infty x^{a-1} dx$$

is the *bracket* associated to the (divergent) integral on the right. The symbol

$$\phi_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)}$$

is called the *indicator* associated to the index n. The notation $\phi_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_r}$, or simply $\phi_{12 \cdots r}$, denotes the product $\phi_{i_1} \phi_{i_2} \cdots \phi_{i_r}$.

Rules for the production of bracket series.

Rule P_1. Assign to the integral $\int_0^\infty f(x)\, dx$ a bracket series:

$$\sum_n \phi_n a(n) \langle \alpha n + \beta \rangle.$$

Here the coefficients $a(n)$ come from an assumed expansion $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \phi_n a(n) x^{\alpha n + \beta - 1}$. The extra '$-1$' in the exponent is set for convenience. The coefficients are written as $a(n)$ because these will soon be evaluated at complex numbers n, not necessarily positive integers. Now we need to state how to convert the bracket series into a number.

Rule P_2. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, the multinomial power $(a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_r)^\alpha$ is assigned the r-dimensional bracket series

$$\sum_{n_1} \sum_{n_2} \cdots \sum_{n_r} \phi_{n_1 n_2 \cdots n_r} a_1^{n_1} \cdots a_r^{n_r} \frac{\langle -\alpha + n_1 + \cdots + n_r \rangle}{\Gamma(-\alpha)}.$$

Rules for the evaluation of a bracket series.

Rule E_1. The one-dimensional bracket series is assigned the value

$$\sum_n \phi_n f(n)\langle an + b\rangle = \frac{1}{|a|}f(n^*)\Gamma(-n^*),$$

where n^* is obtained from the vanishing of the bracket; that is, n^* solves $an + b = 0$. This is precisely the Ramanujan's master theorem.

The next rule provides a value for multi-dimensional bracket series of index 0, that is, the number of sums is equal to the number of brackets.

Rule E_2. Assume the matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is non-singular, then the assignment is

$$\sum_{n_1}\cdots\sum_{n_r}\phi_{n_1\cdots n_r}f(n_1,\ldots,n_r)\langle a_{11}n_1 + \cdots + a_{1r}n_r + c_1\rangle\cdots\langle a_{r1}n_1 + \cdots + a_{rr}n_r + c_r\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\det(A)|}f(n_1^*,\ldots,n_r^*)\Gamma(-n_1^*)\cdots\Gamma(-n_r^*),$$

where $\{n_i^*\}$ is the (unique) solution of the linear system obtained from the vanishing of the brackets. There is no assignment if A is singular.

Rule E_3. Each representation of an integral by a bracket series has associated an *index of the representation* via

$$\text{index} = \text{number of sums} - \text{number of brackets}.$$

It is important to observe that the index is attached to a specific representation of the integral and not just to integral itself. The experience obtained by the authors using this method suggests that, among all representations of an integral as a bracket series, the one with *minimal index* should be chosen.

The value of a multi-dimensional bracket series of positive index is obtained by computing all the contributions of maximal rank by Rule E_2. These contributions to the integral appear as series in the free parameters. Series converging in a common region are added and divergent series are discarded. Any series producing a non-real contribution is also discarded. There is no assignment to a bracket series of negative index.

4　　The evaluation of the expectations. A first bracket calculation

This section describes the evaluation of the integral

$$I_{n,\ell,k}(\mu) := \int_0^\infty r^{2+2\ell+k}e^{-2\mu r}\left[L_{n-\ell-1}^{2\ell+1}(2\mu r)\right]^2 dr, \tag{4.1}$$

that appeared in (1.4) by the method of brackets. The expectation value of a power of the radial coordinate is then given by

$$\langle r^k\rangle_{n\ell} = (2\mu)^{2\ell}A_{n\ell}^2 I_{n,\ell,k}(\mu).$$

This integral can be scaled to

$$I_{n,\ell,k}(\mu) = \frac{1}{(2\mu)^{3+2\ell+k}}\int_0^\infty t^{2+2\ell+k}e^{-t}\left[L_{n-\ell-1}^{2\ell+1}(t)\right]^2 dt. \tag{4.2}$$

This does not appear in the table [14]. The closest entry is 7.414.10:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-bx}x^{2a}\left[L_n^a(x)\right]^2 dx = \frac{2^{2a}\Gamma\left(a+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\pi(n!)^2 b^{2a+1}}\Gamma(a+n+1)\,_2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c}-n,\,a+\frac{1}{2}\\\frac{1}{2}-n\end{array}\middle|\left(1-\frac{2}{b}\right)^2\right).$$

Note 4.1. In the evaluation of (4.1), it is convenient to write it as

$$I_{n,\ell,k:A,B,C}(\mu) := \int_0^\infty r^{2+2\ell+k} e^{-Ar} L_{n-\ell-1}^{2\ell+1}(Br) L_{n-\ell-1}^{2\ell+1}(Cr) dr$$

and then consider the limiting value as A, B, C tend to 2μ.

The computation of (4.2) described in this section is obtained without any further identities for the Laguerre function. Next section describes the computation of the function $G_{\ell,k,s}(\mu)$, defined in (2.4).

The first step is to compute a series representation for the factors in the integrand.

Lemma 4.2. *The functions in the integrand of* (4.1) *have series given by*

$$e^{-ax} = \sum_{n_1} \phi_{n_1} a^{n_1} x^{n_1}$$

and

$$L_m^\alpha(x) = \Gamma(\alpha+1+m) \sum_{n_2} \phi_{n_2} \frac{x^{n_2}}{\Gamma(1+m-n_2)\Gamma(1+\alpha+n_2)}.$$

Proof. The series of the exponential function is elementary. Indeed,

$$e^{-ax} = \sum_{n_1 \geq 0} \frac{(-a)^{n_1}}{n_1!} x^{n_1} = \sum_{n_1 \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n_1}}{n_1!} (ax)^{n_1} = \sum_{n_1} \phi_{n_1} (ax)^{n_1}.$$

To evaluate the series of the Laguerre function, treat m as a real non-integer parameter, and observe that

$$L_m^\alpha(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1+m)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(m+1)} \sum_{n_2=0}^\infty \frac{(-m)_{n_2}}{(\alpha+1)_{n_2}} \frac{x^{n_2}}{n_2!}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1+m)}{\Gamma(m+1)} \sum_{n_2=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(n_2-m)}{\Gamma(-m)\Gamma(\alpha+1+n_2)} \frac{x^{n_2}}{n_2!}.$$

The series for the Laguerre function now follows from the identity

$$\frac{\Gamma(n_2-m)}{\Gamma(-m)} = (-1)^{n_2} \frac{\Gamma(1+m)}{\Gamma(1+m-n_2)}$$

valid for $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \notin \mathbb{N}$. ∎

The series given in Lemma 4.2 are now used directly to evaluate the integral (4.1). This gives

$$I_{n,\ell,k;A,B,C}(\mu) = \int_0^\infty r^{2+2\ell+k} \left[\sum_{n_1} A^{n_1} \phi_{n_1} r^{n_1} \right]$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{n_2} \frac{\Gamma(\ell+n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\ell-n_2)\Gamma(2\ell+2+n_2)} \phi_{n_2} B^{n_2} r^{n_2} \right]$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{n_3} \frac{\Gamma(\ell+n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\ell-n_3)\Gamma(2\ell+2+n_3)} \phi_{n_3} C^{n_3} r^{n_3} \right] dr$$

$$= \sum_{n_1,n_2,n_3} \int_0^\infty r^{2+2\ell+k+n_1+n_2+n_3} dr\, A^{n_1} B^{n_2} C^{n_3} \phi_{n_1,n_2,n_3}$$

$$\times \frac{\Gamma^2(\ell+n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\ell-n_2)\Gamma(2\ell+2+n_2)\Gamma(n-\ell-n_3)\Gamma(2\ell+2+n_3)}$$

$$= \sum_{n_1,n_2,n_3} \langle n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + 3 + 2\ell + k \rangle A^{n_1} B^{n_2} C^{n_3} \phi_{n_1,n_2,n_3}$$

$$\times \frac{\Gamma^2(\ell+n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\ell-n_2)\Gamma(2\ell+2+n_2)\Gamma(n-\ell-n_3)\Gamma(2\ell+2+n_3)}.$$

This intermediate result is stated next.

Proposition 4.3. *A bracket series for the integral* $I_{n,\ell,k;A,B,C}(\mu)$ *is given by*

$$I_{n,\ell,k;A,B,C}(\mu) = \sum_{n_1,n_2,n_3} \langle n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + 3 + 2\ell + k \rangle A^{n_1} B^{n_2} C^{n_3} \phi_{n_1,n_2,n_3}$$

$$\times \frac{\Gamma^2(\ell+n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\ell-n_2)\Gamma(2\ell+2+n_2)\Gamma(n-\ell-n_3)\Gamma(2\ell+2+n_3)}.$$

The bracket series above contains one bracket and three indices, thus it is expected that the method will produce a double series as an expression for $I_{n,\ell,k;A,B,C}(\mu)$. The vanishing of the bracket gives

$$n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = -3 - 2\ell - k, \tag{4.3}$$

showing the two free indices.

Solving for n_3. Replacing $n_3 = -n_1 - n_2 - t$, with $t = 2\ell + k + 3$, in the bracket series yields the expression

$$I_{n,\ell,k;A,B,C}(\mu) = \frac{\Gamma^2(n+\ell+1)}{C^t}$$

$$\times \sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n_1+n_2+t)\left(-\frac{A}{C}\right)^{n_1}\left(-\frac{B}{C}\right)^{n_2}}{\Gamma(n-\ell-n_2)\Gamma(2\ell+2+n_2)\Gamma(n_1+n_2+s)\Gamma(-1-k-n_1-n_2)n_1!n_2!}$$

with $s = n + \ell + 3 + k$. Using (1.5) yields

$$I_{n,\ell,k;A,B,C}(\mu) = \frac{\Gamma^2(n+\ell+1)\Gamma(t)}{C^t\Gamma(n-\ell)\Gamma(2\ell+2)\Gamma(s)\Gamma(-1-k)}$$

$$\times \sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t)_{n_1+n_2}(1-n+\ell)_{n_2}(k+2)_{n_1+n_2}}{(2\ell+2)_{n_2}(s)_{n_1+n_2}n_1!n_2!}(-1)^{n_2}\left(\frac{A}{C}\right)^{n_1}\left(\frac{B}{C}\right)^{n_2}.$$

Then use

$$(b)_{n_1+n_2} = (b)_{n_2}(b+n_2)_{n_1}$$

to produce

$$I_{n,\ell,k;A,B,C}(\mu) = \frac{\Gamma^2(n+\ell+1)\Gamma(t)}{C^t\Gamma(n-\ell)\Gamma(2\ell+2)\Gamma(s)\Gamma(-1-k)}$$

$$\times \sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t)_{n_2}(t+n_2)_{n_1}(1-n+\ell)_{n_2}(k+2)_{n_2}(k+2+n_2)_{n_1}}{(2\ell+2)_{n_2}(s)_{n_2}(s+n_2)_{n_1}n_1!n_2!}(-1)^{n_2}\left(\frac{A}{C}\right)^{n_1}\left(\frac{B}{C}\right)^{n_2}.$$

The sum corresponding to the index n_1, which appears only in 3 places, is chosen as the internal sum. This yields

$$I_{n,\ell,k;A,B,C}(\mu) = \frac{\Gamma^2(n+\ell+1)\Gamma(t)}{C^t\Gamma(n-\ell)\Gamma(2\ell+2)\Gamma(s)\Gamma(-1-k)}$$

$$\times \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t)_{n_2}(k+2)_{n_2}(1-n+\ell)_{n_2}}{(2\ell+2)_{n_2}(s)_{n_2}n_2!} \left(-\frac{B}{C}\right)^{n_2} \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t+n_2)_{n_1}(k+2+n_2)_{n_1}}{(s+n_2)_{n_1}n_1!} \left(\frac{A}{C}\right)^{n_1}.$$

The inner sum is now identified as a hypergeometric function to produce

$$I_{n,\ell,k;A,B,C}(\mu) = \frac{\Gamma^2(n+\ell+1)\Gamma(t)}{C^t\Gamma(n-\ell)\Gamma(2\ell+2)\Gamma(s)\Gamma(-1-k)}$$
$$\times \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t)_{n_2},(k+2)_{n_2}(1-n+\ell)_{n_2}}{(2\ell+2)_{n_2}(s)_{n_2}n_2!} \left(-\frac{B}{C}\right)^{n_2} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} t+n_2, 2+k+n_2 \\ s+n_2 \end{matrix}\middle| \frac{A}{C}\right).$$

Note 4.4. The same procedure can be used to treat the cases obtained by solving for n_1 or n_2 in the equation (4.3). The corresponding integrals are

$$I_{n,\ell,k;A,B,C}^{(1)}(\mu) = \frac{\Gamma^2(n+\ell+1)\Gamma(t)}{A^t\Gamma^2(n-\ell)\Gamma^2(2\ell+2)}$$
$$\times \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t)_{n_2}(1-n+\ell)_{n_2}}{(2\ell+2)_{n_2}n_2!} \left(\frac{B}{A}\right)^{n_2} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} t+n_2, 1-n+\ell \\ 2\ell+2 \end{matrix}\middle| \frac{C}{A}\right)$$

and

$$I_{n,\ell,k;A,B,C}^{(2)}(\mu) = \frac{\Gamma^2(n+\ell+1)\Gamma(t)}{A^t\Gamma^2(n-\ell)\Gamma^2(2\ell+2)}$$
$$\times \sum_{n_3=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t)_{n_3},(1-n+\ell)_{n_3}}{(2\ell+2)_{n_3}n_3!} \left(\frac{C}{A}\right)^{n_3} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} t+n_3, 1-n+\ell \\ 2\ell+2 \end{matrix}\middle| \frac{B}{A}\right).$$

At this point, the parameters A, B, C are replaced by the value 2μ, in order to continue the evaluation. This gives

$$I_{n,\ell,k}(\mu) = \frac{\Gamma^2(n+\ell+1)\Gamma(t)}{(2\mu)^t\Gamma(n-\ell)\Gamma(2\ell+2)\Gamma(s)\Gamma(-1-k)}$$
$$\times \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t)_{n_2}(k+2)_{n_2}(1-n+\ell)_{n_2}}{(2\ell+2)_{n_2}(s)_{n_2}n_2!}(-1)^{n_2} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} t+n_2, 2+k+n_2 \\ s+n_2 \end{matrix}\middle| 1\right).$$

Observe that $1-n+\ell$ is a negative integer, so this is actually a finite sum. Using Gauss' evaluation

$${}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} a,b \\ c \end{matrix}\middle| 1\right) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \qquad \text{for} \quad c-a-b > 0,$$

and expressing the resulting gamma factors in terms of Pochhammer symbols to obtain

$$I_{n,\ell,k}(\mu) = \frac{\Gamma(n+\ell+1)\Gamma(2\ell+k+3)\Gamma(n-\ell-k-2)}{(2\mu)^{2\ell+k+3}\Gamma^2(n-\ell)\Gamma(2\ell+2)\Gamma(-1-k)}$$
$$\times \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+2)_{n_2}(1-n+\ell)_{n_2}(2\ell+k+3)_{n_2}}{(2\ell+2)_{n_2}(\ell+k+3-n)_{n_2}n_2!}.$$

The final step identifies this series as a hypergeometric series to produce:

$$I_{n,\ell,k}(\mu) = \frac{\Gamma(n+\ell+1)\Gamma(2\ell+k+3)\Gamma(n-\ell-k-2)}{(2\mu)^{2\ell+k+3}\Gamma^2(n-\ell)\Gamma(2\ell+2)\Gamma(-1-k)}$$
$$\times {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} k+2, 1+\ell-n, 2\ell+k+3 \\ 2\ell+2, l+k+3-n \end{matrix}\middle| 1\right).$$

The results of this section are summarized in the next statement.

Theorem 4.5. *For n, ℓ, k as above,*

$$\left\langle r^k \right\rangle_{n\ell} = \frac{\Gamma(2\ell + k + 3)\Gamma(n - \ell - k - 2)}{2n(2\mu)^k \Gamma(n - \ell)\Gamma(2\ell + 2)\Gamma(-1 - k)} \, {}_3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c} k + 2, \, 1 + \ell - n, \, 2\ell + k + 3 \\ 2\ell + 2, \, l + k + 3 - n \end{array} \middle| 1 \right).$$

5   The evaluation of the expectations. A second approach

The moment $\langle r^k \rangle_{n\ell}$ has been expressed in (2.3) as a finite sum values of the integral

$$G_{\ell,k,s}(\mu) = \int_0^\infty r^{2+2\ell+k} e^{-2\mu r} L_{2s}^{2(2\ell+1)}(4\mu r)dr, \tag{5.1}$$

where the index s is an integer varying from 0 to $n - \ell - 1$. Corollary 2.3 provides an expression for $\langle r^k \rangle_{n\ell}$ as a finite sum of values of the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$ evaluated at the argument 2. The hypergeometric terms appearing in the mentioned representation are actually finite sums, so the convergence of the series is not an issue. An alternative form is derived in this section that extends the range of validity of $G_{\ell,k,s}(\mu)$ to a larger range for the parameter s.

The goal is to produce a representation of the series for the Laguerre polynomials, given initially by

$$L_n^\alpha(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \, {}_1F_1\left(\begin{array}{c} -n \\ \alpha + 1 \end{array} \middle| x \right).$$

This series is now written in a form suitable for the application of the method of brackets:

$$L_n^\alpha(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \sum_{k_1=0}^\infty \frac{(-n)_{k_1}}{(\alpha + 1)_{k_1}} \frac{x^{k_1}}{k_1!}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \sum_{k_1=0}^\infty (-1)^{k_1} (-n)_{k_1} (-\alpha)_{-k_1} \frac{x^{k_1}}{k_1!}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \sum_{k_1} \phi_1 (-n)_{k_1} (-\alpha)_{k_1} x^{k_1}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(-n)\Gamma(-\alpha)} \sum_{k_1} \phi_1 \Gamma(-n + k_1)\Gamma(-\alpha - k_1) x^{k_1}.$$

To produce a bracket series representation of the last expression, observe that

$$\Gamma(\beta) = \sum_\ell \phi_\ell \langle \beta + \ell \rangle$$

and this leads to

$$L_n^\alpha(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(-n)\Gamma(-\alpha)} \sum_{k_1, k_2 k_3} \phi_{123} \langle -n + k_1 + k_2 \rangle \langle -\alpha - k_1 + k_3 \rangle x^{k_1}.$$

The vanishing of the brackets provides two representations for the Laguerre function, denoted by T_j.

Case 1. Take k_1 as a free index. Then $k_2^* = n - k_1$ and $k_3^* = k_1 + \alpha$ yields the expression

$$T_1 = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \sum_{k_1=0}^\infty \frac{(-n)_{k_1}}{(\alpha + 1)_{k_1}} \frac{x^{k_1}}{k_1!}.$$

This is the original series for $L_n^\alpha(x)$.

Case 2. Take k_2 as a free index. Then $k_1^* = n - k_2$ and $k_3^* = \alpha + n - k_2$ yields

$$T_2 = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)x^n}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(-n)\Gamma(-\alpha)} \sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(-n + k_2)\Gamma(-\alpha - n + k_2)\frac{(-x)^{-k_2}}{k_2!}. \tag{5.2}$$

Case 3. Taking k_3 as a free index does not produce a representation for $L_n^{\alpha}(x)$.

The next step is to use the T_2 representation to evaluate the integral $G_{\ell,k,s}(\mu)$. By equation (5.2), the expression for $L_n^{\alpha}(x)$ is now written as

$$L_n^{\alpha}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)x^n}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(-n)\Gamma(-\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi_j \Gamma(-n + j)\Gamma(-\alpha - n + j)x^{-j}.$$

Using this representation in (5.1) produces

$$G_{\ell,k,s}(\mu) = \frac{\Gamma(4\ell + 3 + 2s)(4\mu)^{2s}}{\Gamma(2s+1)\Gamma(4\ell+3)\Gamma(-2s)\Gamma(-4\ell-2)}$$

$$\times \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi_j \Gamma(-2s + j)\Gamma(-4\ell - 2 - 2s + j)(4\mu)^{-j} \int_0^{\infty} r^{2+2\ell+k+2s-j}e^{-2\mu r}dr.$$

Evaluating the last integral in terms of the gamma function and simplifying produces a proof of the next result.

Theorem 5.1. *The integral*

$$G_{\ell,k,s}(\mu) = \int_0^{\infty} r^{2+2\ell+k}e^{-2\mu r}L_{2s}^{2(2\ell+1)}(4\mu r)dr$$

is given by

$$G_{\ell,k,s}(\mu) = \frac{4^s}{(2\mu)^{3+2\ell+k}} \frac{\Gamma(3 + 2\ell + k + 2s)}{\Gamma(2s+1)} \, _2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -2s, \, -2s - 4\ell - 2 \\ -2 - 2\ell - k - 2s \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

6   A couple of examples

The method of brackets has been used here to produce analytic expressions for the mean radius

$$\langle r^k \rangle_{n\ell} = (2\mu)^{2\ell} A_{n\ell}^2 \int_0^{\infty} r^{2+2\ell+k}e^{-2\mu r}\left[L_{n-\ell-1}^{2\ell+1}(2\mu r)\right]^2 dr,$$

stated first in (1.4). The physically relevant parameters are

$$n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \qquad 0 \leq \ell \leq n - 1, \qquad k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The expressions include

$$\langle r^k \rangle_{n\ell} = \frac{\Gamma(2\ell + k + 3)(2n + 2\ell)!}{n2^{2n-2\ell-1}(4\ell+2)!(2\mu)^k(n+\ell)!(n-\ell-1)!}$$

$$\times \sum_{s=0}^{n-\ell-1} \frac{\binom{n+\ell}{s}\binom{n-\ell-1}{s}}{\binom{2n+2\ell}{2s}} \, _2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -2(n - \ell - 1 - s), \, 2\ell + k + 3 \\ 4\ell + 3 \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, 2\right), \tag{6.1}$$

where $\langle r^k \rangle_{n\ell}$ is given as a finite sum of hypergeometric terms and

$$\langle r^k \rangle_{n\ell} = \frac{\Gamma(2\ell + k + 3)\Gamma(n - \ell - k - 2)}{2n(2\mu)^k\Gamma(n-\ell)\Gamma(2\ell+2)\Gamma(-1-k)} \, _3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} k + 2, \, 1 + \ell - n, \, 2\ell + k + 3 \\ 2\ell + 2, \, l + k + 3 - n \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, 1\right)$$

given in Theorem 4.5. This section compares these expressions with the results found in the literature.

Example 6.1. Take $\ell = n - 1$. Then the sum (6.1) reduces to 1 since the index s must vanish. Then

$$\left\langle r^k \right\rangle_{n,n-1} = \frac{\Gamma(k + 2n + 1)}{(2\mu)^k (2n)!}.$$

In particular, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, this becomes

$$\left\langle r^k \right\rangle_{n,n-1} = \frac{(2n + k)!}{(2\mu)^k (2n)!}.$$

Example 6.2. The case $\ell = n - 2$ reduces the sum (6.1) to two terms. The result is

$$\langle r^k \rangle_{n,n-2} = \frac{(k^2 + 3k + 2n)\Gamma(k + 2n - 1)}{2(2\mu)^k (2n - 2)!}.$$

Acknowledgments

References

[1] Allendes P., Guerrero N., Kondrashuk I., Notte-Cuello E.A., New four-dimensional integrals by Mellin–Barnes transform, *J. Math. Phys.* **51** (2010), 052304, 18 pages, arXiv:0910.4805.

[2] Allendes P., Kniehl B.A., Kondrashuk I., Notte-Cuello E.A., Rojas-Medar M., Solution to Bethe–Salpeter equation via Mellin–Barnes transform, *Nuclear Phys. B* **870** (2013), 243–277, arXiv:1205.6257.

[3] Amdeberhan T., Espinosa O., Gonzalez I., Harrison M., Moll V.H., Straub A., Ramanujan's master theorem, *Ramanujan J.* **29** (2012), 103–120.

[4] Andrews G.E., Askey R., Roy R., Special functions, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Vol. 71, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[5] Dehesa J.S., López-Rosa S., Martínez-Finkelshtein A., Yáñez R.J., Information theory of D-dimensional hydrogenic systems: application to circular and Rydberg states, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **110** (2010), 1529–1548.

[6] Gonzalez I., Kohl K.T., Moll V.H., Evaluation of entries in Gradshteyn and Ryzhik employing the method of brackets, *Sci. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **25** (2014), 65–84.

[7] Gonzalez I., Kondrashuk I., Belokurov–Usyukina loop reduction in non-integer dimension, *Phys. Part. Nuclei* **44** (2013), 268–271, arXiv:1206.4763.

[8] Gonzalez I., Kondrashuk I., Box ladders in a noninteger dimension, *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **177** (2013), 1515–1539, arXiv:1210.2243.

[9] Gonzalez I., Moll V.H., Definite integrals by the method of brackets. I, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **45** (2010), 50–73, arXiv:0812.3356.

[10] Gonzalez I., Moll V.H., Straub A., The method of brackets. Part 2: examples and applications, in Gems in Experimental Mathematics, *Contemp. Math.*, Vol. 517, Editors T. Amdeberhan, L. Medina, V.H. Moll, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, 157–171, arXiv:1004.2062.

[11] Gonzalez I., Schmidt I., Optimized negative dimensional integration method (NDIM) and multiloop Feynman diagram calculation, *Nuclear Phys. B* **769** (2007), 124–173, hep-th/0702218.

[12] Gonzalez I., Schmidt I., Modular application of an integration by fractional expansion method to multiloop Feynman diagrams, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008), 086003, 27 pages, arXiv:0812.3625.

[13] Gonzalez I., Schmidt I., Modular application of an integration by fractional expansion method to multiloop Feynman diagrams. II, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009), 126014, 13 pages, arXiv:0812.3595.

[14] Gradshteyn I.S., Ryzhik I.M., Table of integrals, series, and products, 8th ed., Editors D. Zwillinger, V. Moll, Elsevier/Academic Press, New York, 2014.

[15] Kniehl B.A., Kondrashuk I., Notte-Cuello E.A., Parra-Ferrada I., Rojas-Medar M., Two-fold Mellin–Barnes transforms of Usyukina–Davydychev functions, *Nuclear Phys. B* **876** (2013), 322–333, arXiv:1304.3004.

[16] Kohl K.T., Algorithmic methods for definite integration, Ph.D. Thesis, Tulane University, 2011.

[17] Koutschan C., Paule P., Suslov S.K., Relativistic Coulomb integrals and Zeilberger's holonomic systems approach. II, in Algebraic and Algorithmic Aspects of Differential and Integral Operators, *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, Vol. 8372, Editors M. Barkatou, Th. Cluzeau, G. Regensburger, M. Rosenkranz, Springer, Heidelberg, 2014, 135–145, arXiv:1306.1362.

[18] Nikiforov A.F., Suslov S.K., Uvarov V.B., Classical orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable, *Springer Series in Computational Physics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.

[19] Pasternack S., On the mean value of r^s for Keplerian systems, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **23** (1937), 91–94.

[20] Paule P., Suslov S.K., Relativistic Coulomb integrals and Zeilberger's holonomic systems approach. I, in Computer Algebra in Quantum Field Theory: Integration, Summation and Special Functionss, Editors C. Schneider, J. Blümlein, *Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation*, Springer-Verlag, Wien, 2013, 225–241, arXiv:1206.2071.

[21] Sánchez-Ruiz J., Dehesa J.S., Expansions in series of orthogonal hypergeometric polynomials, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **89** (1998), 155–170.

[22] Suslov S.K., Expectation values in relativistic Coulomb problems, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **42** (2009), 185003, 8 pages, arXiv:0906.3338.

[23] Suslov S.K., Mathematical structure of relativistic Coulomb integrals, *Phys. Rev. A* **81** (2010), 032110, 8 pages, arXiv:0911.0111.

[24] Suslov S.K., Relativistic Kramers–Pasternack recurrence relations, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **43** (2010), 074006, 7 pages, arXiv:0908.3021.

[25] Suslov S.K., Trey B., The Hahn polynomials in the nonrelativistic and relativistic Coulomb problems, *J. Math. Phys.* **49** (2008), 012104, 51 pages, arXiv:0707.1887.

[26] Toranzo I.V., Dehesa J.S., Rényi, Shannon and Tsallis entropies of Rydberg hydrogenic systems, *Europhys. Lett.* **113** (2016), 48003, 6 pages, arXiv:1603.09494.

[27] Toranzo I.V., Martínez-Finkelshtein A., Dehesa J.S., Heisenberg-like uncertainty measures for D-dimensional hydrogenic systems at large D, *J. Math. Phys.* **57** (2016), 082109, 21, arXiv:1609.01113.

[28] Van Assche W., Yáñez R.J., González-Férez R., Dehesa J.S., Functionals of Gegenbauer polynomials and D-dimensional hydrogenic momentum expectation values, *J. Math. Phys.* **41** (2000), 6600–6613.

[29] van Vleck J.H., A new method of calculating the mean value of $1/r^s$ for Keplerian systems in quantum mechanics, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London. Ser. A* **143** (1934), 679–681.