ML MP#3

February 22, 2023

[]: import pandas as pd

```
import numpy as np
     import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
     from scipy import stats
     %matplotlib inline
     import seaborn as sns
     sns.set()
     sns.set style("darkgrid")
     import warnings
     warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")
     import math
     from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
     from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score, GridSearchCV, KFold
     import os
     from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
     import statsmodels.formula.api as smf
     import statsmodels.api as sm
     from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
     from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
     from sklearn import preprocessing
     import numpy as np
     import pandas as pd
     import statsmodels.api as sm
     from sklearn import preprocessing
     from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
     from sklearn.linear_model import Lasso, Ridge
     from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score, GridSearchCV, KFold
     import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
     from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
[]: base_path = r'C:\Users\frank\OneDrive\Desktop\Machine Learning\MP#3'
     path = os.path.join(base path, 'Data-Covid002.csv')
     covid_data = pd.read_csv(path, encoding='ISO-8859-1')
     path2 = os.path.join(base_path,'PPHA_30545_MP03-Variable_Description.xlsx')
     data_dict = pd.read_excel(path2)
```

1 Question 1

```
[]: opp_insights_variables = data_dict.loc[data_dict['Source'] == 'Opportunity_

→Insights', 'Variable']
     pm_covid_variables = data_dict.loc[data_dict['Source'] == 'PM_COVID',__
      other_variables = ['county', 'state', 'deathspc']
[]: opp insights df = covid data[covid data.columns.
      →intersection(opp_insights_variables)]
     pm_covid df = covid_data[covid_data.columns.intersection(pm_covid_variables)]
     other_variables_df = covid_data[covid_data.columns.
      →intersection(other_variables)]
[]: concat list = [other_variables_df, opp_insights_df, pm_covid_df]
     filtered_data = pd.concat(concat_list, axis='columns')
     filtered data.head(10)
    Links used:
    https://stackoverflow.com/questions/40636514/selecting-columns-by-list-and-columns-are-subset-
    of-list
    https://www.statology.org/summary-statistics-pandas/
    https://sparkbyexamples.com/pandas/pandas-extract-column-value-based-on-another-column/
    Other methods:
    https://www.statology.org/pandas-extract-column-value-based-on-another-column/
    https://stackoverflow.com/questions/57695964/using-lists-in-a-pandas-query
        Question 2
    \mathbf{2}
[]: pd.set option('display.max rows', 500)
     pd.set_option('display.max_columns', 500)
     pd.set option('display.width', 1000)
[]: filtered_data.describe()
        Question 3
[]: filtered_data.info()
[]:
     filtered_data.dropna(inplace=True)
[]: filtered data.info()
```

4 Question 4

```
[]: filtered_data = pd.get_dummies(filtered_data, columns=['state'])
[]: filtered_data.head()
```

5 Question 5

```
[]: X = filtered_data.drop(['deathspc','county'], axis=1)
y = filtered_data['deathspc']

[]: X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y,test_size=0.2,
random_state=10)
```

6 Question 6(a)

```
[]: scaler = StandardScaler()
    scaler.fit(X_train)

[]: X_norm = scaler.transform(X_train)

[]: reg = LinearRegression().fit(X_norm, y_train)

[]: print(reg.score(X_norm, y_train))
    print(reg.score(X_test, y_test))

[]: y_pred = reg.predict(X_test)
    mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred)
```

7 Question 6(b)

Yes there is concern for overfitting. This is due to how low the MSE is for the training set (0.43199780957930267) but it instantly becomes extremely high for the test set (1.7131906369459238e+26). While we do expect the MSE to be higher for the test set than the training set, in this situation, the training MSE is a fraction while the test MSE is over septillion. This huge increase is suspicious and points to possible overfitting.

Links used:

https://stackoverflow.com/questions/58740329/patsyerror-number-of-rows-mismatch-between-data-argument-and-column-statsmodel

https://stackoverflow.com/questions/29586323/how-to-retain-column-headers-of-data-frame-after-pre-processing-in-scikit-learn

8 Question 7(a)(b)(c)

```
[ ]: # Lasso
     kf = KFold(n_splits=10, random_state = 25, shuffle=True)
     tkf = kf.split(X, y)
     lasso = Lasso()
     alphacl = (np.linspace(0, 1, 101))
     alpha_lgrid = [{'alpha': alphacl}]
     def vector_values(grid_search, trials):
         mean_vec = np.zeros(trials)
         std_vec = np.zeros(trials)
         i = 0
         final = grid_search.cv_results_
         for mean_score, std_score in zip(final["mean_test_score"],
     final["std_test_score"]):
             mean_vec[i] = -mean_score
             std_vec[i] = std_score
             i = i+1
         return mean_vec, std_vec
     grid search lasso = GridSearchCV(lasso, alpha lgrid, cv = tkf, scoring =
     'neg_mean_squared_error')
     grid_search_lasso.fit(X, y)
     mean_vec, std_vec = vector_values(grid_search_lasso, 101)
     results_cv_lasso = pd.DataFrame(
         {
             "alphas": alphacl,
             "MSE": mean_vec,
         }
     min_mse = min(results_cv['MSE'])
     results_cv_lasso.loc[results_cv['MSE']==min_mse]
     plt.plot(
         alphacl,
         mean_vec,
         linewidth=2,
     )
     # Ridae
     kf = KFold(n_splits=10, random_state = 25, shuffle=True)
     tkf = kf.split(X, y)
     ridge = Ridge()
     alphacr = (np.linspace(0, 25, 251))
     alpha_rgrid = [{'alpha': alphacr}]
     grid_search_ridge = GridSearchCV(ridge, alpha_rgrid, cv = tkf, scoring =
     'neg_mean_squared_error')
```

9 Question 7(d)

```
[ ]: results_cv_lasso.loc[results_cv['MSE']==min_mse]
[ ]: results_cv_ridge.loc[results_cv['MSE']==min_mse]
```

10 Question 7(e)

```
[]: scaler = StandardScaler()
    scaler.fit(X_train)
    X_norm = scaler.transform(X_train)
```

```
[]: ols_lasso = Lasso(alpha=0.23)
  ols_lasso.fit(X_norm, y_train)
  ols_ridge = Ridge(alpha=2.3)
  ols_ridge.fit(X_norm, y_train)
```

11 Question 8

```
[]: y_pred_lasso = ols_lasso.predict(X_test)
mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred_lasso)
```

```
[]: y_pred_ridge = ols_ridge.predict(X_test)
mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred_ridge)
```

Both lasso and ridge lowered the MSE by over half of what it was with OLS with lasso performing the best.

To be perfectly honest, none of these models are performing well. This could be because of the random state we are using although it is unclear without redoing all of this analysis with multiple

different random states. But if I was forced to choose, I would choose lasso. First off, since OLS estimates have such a high variance in this situation, ridge and lasso would be better off. While ridge tends to perform better when the outcome is a function of relatively many predictors and lasso tends is better when the outcome is a function of relatively few predictors, that is a general statement. We will always need to test this to determine which approach works better and when we did lasso performs significantly better.

[]:	
[]:	
[]:	
[]:	
[]:	