

opcode	imm.	stack before	stack after	mv	⊥	comment
dup	u64:n k	$a_1..a_n$	$a_1..a_n a_1..a_k$			duplicate values on the stack
pop	u64:n	$a_1..a_n$				pop $n$ entries off the stack
rot	u64:n k	$a_1..a_n$	$a_{k+1}..a_n a_1..a_k$			rotate $n$ entries on stack left by $k < n$
apush	adr:f		$f$			push immediate address to stack
dcall		adr:f $a_1..a_n$	$b_1..b_m$			call dynamic $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$ , $n, m$ unspec.
scall	adr:f	$a_1..a_n$	$b_1..b_m$			call immediate $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$ , $n, m$ unspec.
ret						return from function call or exit
jmp	adr:l					unconditional jump to $l$
jnz	adr:l	i64:v				conditional jump to $l$ if $v \neq 0$
ipush	i64:v		i64:v			push imm. 64-bit two's complement $v$ to stack
ineg		i64:v	i64:( $-v$ )			64-bit two's complement negation
iadd		i64:v i64:w	i64:( $v + w$ )			64-bit binary addition with overflow
imul		i64:v i64:w	i64:( $v \cdot w$ )			64-bit two's complement multiplication with overflow
idiv		i64:v i64:w	i64:( $v/w$ )	y		64-bit two's complement division with truncation
isgn		i64:v	i64:(sgn $v$ )			sign of 64-bit two's complement int
zconv		i64:v	Z:v			convert 64-bit two's complement int to integer
zneg		Z:v	Z:( $-v$ )			integer negation
zadd		Z:v Z:w	Z:( $v + w$ )			integer addition
zmul		Z:v Z:w	Z:( $v \cdot w$ )			integer multiplication
zdiv		Z:v Z:w	Z:( $v/w$ )	y		integer division with truncation
zsgn		Z:v	i64:(sgn $v$ )			sign of integer
zsh		Z:v i64:n	Z:( $v \cdot 2^n$ )			integer multiplication by $2^n$ with truncation
or		i64:v i64:w	i64: $x \in \{0, 1\}$			boolean disjunction $x = 0 \iff v = 0 = w$
and		i64:v i64:w	i64: $x \in \{0, 1\}$			boolean conjunction $x = 1 \iff v \neq 0 \neq w$
not		i64:v	i64: $x \in \{0, 1\}$			boolean negation $x = 1 \iff v = 0$
arnew		i64:n	R*			create array of reals (init: 0) of length $n \geq 0$
arld		R* i64:k	R	y		load element $k$ of array of reals to stack
arst		R* i64:k R		y		store to element $k$ of array of reals
aznew		i64:n	Z*			create array of integers (init: 0) of length $n \geq 0$
azld		Z* i64:k	Z	y		load element $k$ of array of integers to stack
azst		Z* i64:k Z		y		store to element $k$ of array of integers
rconv		Z:v	R:v			convert an integer to real
rneq		R:v	R:( $-v$ )			real negation
radd		R:v R:w	R:( $v + w$ )			real addition
rinv		R:v	R:( $1/v$ )	y		real inversion
rmul		R:v R:w	R:( $v \cdot w$ )			real multiplication
rsh		R:v i64:w	R:( $v \cdot 2^w$ )			multiplication by $2^w$
rin			R* i64:n			get real input
rlim	adr:f	$a_1..a_n$	$b_0..b_m$	?	y	$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (f(-p, a_1, \dots, a_n))_p = (b_0, \dots, b_m)$ , $n, m$ unspec.
rch		R:r <sub>1</sub> ..r <sub>n</sub> i64:n	i64:k	y		mv-choice, {0} if all $< 0$ , $\{i : r_i > 0\}$ otherwise
rapx		R:x i64:p	Z:m i64:e	y		approx. to absolute prec.: $ x - m \cdot 2^{e - \lceil \log_2( m +1) \rceil}  < 2^p$
rilog		R:x i64:p	i64:k	y		approx. integer logarithm $\{k : 2^k \leq  x  + 2^p < 2^{k+2}\}$
entc			Z: $\tilde{p}$			enter continuous section with (volatile) prec. $\tilde{p}$
lvc	u64:n	Z: $\tilde{p}$ $a'_1..a'_n$	$a_1..a_n$	y		leave continuous section ( $\tau(a_i) \in \{R, K\}$ only if not last)

Figure 1: Instruction set of the low-level language.

Let  $\tau := \{\text{i64}, \text{adr}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{K}, \mathbb{R}\}$  and for  $t \in \tau$  let

$$\text{dom } t := \begin{cases} \{-2^{63}, \dots, 2^{63} - 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } t = \text{i64} \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2^{64}} & \text{if } t = \text{adr} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } t = \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{K} & \text{if } t = \mathbb{K} \\ \mathbb{R} & \text{if } t = \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

and let  $\text{top } t$  be the discrete topology on  $\text{dom } t$  for  $t \in \{\text{i64}, \text{adr}, \mathbb{Z}\}$ , the topology  $\{\emptyset, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}, \{0, 1, \perp\}\}$  of the lifted booleans  $\text{dom } t$  for  $t = \mathbb{K}$  and the standard topology on the real line  $\text{dom } t$  for  $t = \mathbb{R}$ . Note that for all  $t \in \tau$ ,  $(\text{dom } t, \text{top } t)$  are complete and for  $t \neq \mathbb{K}$  these are also metric spaces. In the following  $d : (\text{dom } t)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denotes the respective metric if it exists. For finite sequences  $s \in \tau^*$  let  $\text{dom } s := \times_{i=1}^{|s|} \text{dom } s_i$  be the product space of  $(\text{dom } s_i)_i$ ,  $\text{top } s$  be its product topology and if all  $\text{dom } s_i$  are metric spaces, let also  $d : (\text{dom } s)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denote the metric induced by  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  on  $\text{dom } s$ .

Let  $s \in (\tau \setminus \mathbb{K})^*$  and  $\tilde{p} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x, x' \in \text{dom } s$ . Then  $x'$  is a  $\tilde{p}$ -approximation of  $x$  if  $d(x, x') \leq 2^{\tilde{p}}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{t \in \tau} \text{dom } t$  and let  $p$  be a *program*, that is, a finite word over the set of instructions from fig. 1 of length  $n \leq 2^{64}$ . We call  $(c, v, s, r)$  a *configuration of  $p$*  where  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{64}}$  with  $c < n$  is the *program counter*,  $v \in \mathcal{T}^*$  is the *value stack*,  $s \in (\mathbb{Z}_{2^{64}})^*$  is the *continuous section stack* and  $r \in (\mathbb{Z}_{2^{64}})^*$  is the *return stack*. For any *program*  $p$  (that is, ) of length  $n$  and any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $(i, \epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)$  is an *initial configuration of  $p$* , where  $\epsilon$  denotes the empty word.

We will now give the context in which fig. 1 defines the transition relation  $\vdash$  on configurations of  $p$  for a program  $p$ . Let  $(c, v, s, r)$  be a configuration of  $p$  and let  $I = p_c$ . Then  $(c, v, s, r) \vdash (c', v', s', r')$  iff

•

If code inside a continuous section enclosed by the pair of instructions **(entc, lvc  $n$ )** computes a  $\tilde{p}$ -approximation  $(a'_1, \dots, a'_n)$  of  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , then the continuous section computes  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .

How to implement `main()` depends on what we want to express by our program. Should it compute  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  or  $h : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ ?

<pre>#include &lt;iRRAM/lib.h&gt; /* define input() and  * output() via kirk */  using namespace iRRAM; int main() {     iRRAM_init();     exec([]{          REAL x = input();         REAL y = sqrt(x);         output(y);      }); }</pre>	<pre>#include &lt;iRRAM/lib.h&gt; /* define input()  * using kirk */  using namespace iRRAM; int main() {     iRRAM_init();     exec([]{          int n; cin &gt;&gt; n;         REAL x = input();         REAL y = sqrt(x);         cout &lt;&lt; setw(n)               &lt;&lt; y;      }); }</pre>	<pre>#include &lt;iRRAM/lib.h&gt;  using namespace iRRAM; int main() {     iRRAM_init();     exec([]{          int n; cin &gt;&gt; n;         REAL x; cin &gt;&gt; x;         REAL y = sqrt(x);         cout &lt;&lt; setw(n)               &lt;&lt; y;      }); }</pre>
(a) $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$	(b) $g : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$	(c) $h : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$

Figure 2: Implementations of square root with different composeability.

The only line common to the continuous parts of the algorithms is `REAL y = sqrt(x);`, which is exactly the composeable part of these algorithms.

Actually, the kirk-versions are cheated, it might look like fig. 3.

```
#include <kirk/kirk-irram.hh>

extern "C" void sqrt(kirk_real_t **in, int n_in, kirk_real_t **out) {
    iRRAM_init();
    using namespace iRRAM;
    assert(n_in == 1);
    auto machine = kirk::irram::eval(in, n_in, out, 1,
    [] (const REAL *in, REAL *out){
        const REAL &x = in[0];
        REAL &y = out[0];
        y = iRRAM::sqrt(x);
    });
    /* can't make use of the machine, yet, forget it */
}

/* what should main() do? */
```

Figure 3: Library-like implementation of  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

What should `main()` do? The point being, in the discrete setting of `main()`, “executing” a function on continuous data does not make sense using a model like oracle machines. Only as a transformation of a stream of approximations. Therefore, there are two options.

1. Implement algorithms on continuous data not in terms of `main()` but as library functions that operate on (e.g. kirk-provided) function pointers as in fig. 3.
2. Transform a stream of approximations from `stdin` to `stdout`, an example is provided in fig. 4.

```
#include <kirk/kirk-c-types.h>
int main() {
    kirk_real_t *x[] = { kirk_real_from_file(stdin) };
    kirk_real_t *y[1];
    sqrt(x, 1, y);
    kirk_real_to_file(y[0], stdout); /* returns only when stream errors */
}
```

Figure 4: Stream-like implementation of  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

It does not seem as if a program like fig. 4 in general would be of much use.

With respect to composeability, it is my impression that a design like  $g : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  or  $h : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is not the right choice for the language. Therefore, programs in this language are meant to be library-like, i.e. for the stack-based variant of the low-level language this would mean an initial configuration where there already are real numbers (type `R`) on the stack and when the program returns, it leaves zero or more objects of type `R` on the stack.

Programs that expect this kind of input/output have to be executed in a continuous section or a limit respectively and they are library-like functions, that is, not `main()`.