

# CHAPTER 2

## DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS: THE YOUNG CASE

Fix a time horizon  $T > 0$  and two dimensions  $k, d \in \mathbb{N}$ . We study the following *controlled difference equation* for an unknown path  $Z: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ :

$$Z_t - Z_s = \sigma(Z_s)(X_t - X_s) + o(t - s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \quad (2.1)$$

where the “driving path”  $X: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  and the function  $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \otimes (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$  are given, and  $o(t - s)$  is *uniform* for  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$  (see Remark 1.1).

The difference equation (2.1) is a natural generalized formulation of the *controlled differential equation*

$$\dot{Z}_t = \sigma(Z_t) \dot{X}_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (2.2)$$

Indeed, as we showed in Chapter 1 (see Section 1.2), equations (2.1) and (2.2) are *equivalent* when  $X$  is continuously differentiable and  $\sigma$  is continuous, but (2.1) is meaningful also when  $X$  is non differentiable.

In this chapter we prove *well-posedness for the difference equation* (2.1) when the driving path  $X \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$  is Hölder continuous in the regime  $\alpha \in ]\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , called the *Young case*. The more challenging regime  $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , called the *rough case*, is the object of the next Chapter 3, where new ideas will be introduced.

### 2.1. SUMMARY

Using the increment notation  $\delta f_{st} := f_t - f_s$  from (1.11), we rewrite (2.1) as

$$\delta Z_{st} = \sigma(Z_s) \delta X_{st} + o(t - s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \quad (2.3)$$

so that a solution of (2.3) is any path  $Z: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  such that the “remainder”

$$Z_{st}^{[2]} := \delta Z_{st} - \sigma(Z_s) \delta X_{st} \quad \text{satisfies} \quad Z_{st}^{[2]} = o(t - s). \quad (2.4)$$

We summarize the main results of this chapter stating *local and global existence, uniqueness of solutions and continuity of the solution map* for the difference equation (2.3) under natural assumptions on  $\sigma$ . We will actually prove more precise results, which yield quantitative estimates.

**THEOREM 2.1. (WELL-POSEDNESS)** *Let  $X: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be of class  $\mathcal{C}^\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in ]\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  and let  $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \otimes (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$ . Then we have:*

- **local existence:** if  $\sigma$  is locally  $\gamma$ -Hölder with  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1, 1]$  (e.g. of class  $C^1$ ), then for every  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$  there is a possibly shorter time horizon  $T' = T'_{\alpha, X, \sigma}(z_0) \in ]0, T]$  and a path  $Z: [0, T'] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  starting from  $Z_0 = z_0$  which solves (2.3) for  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T'$ ;

- **global existence:** if  $\sigma$  is globally  $\gamma$ -Hölder with  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1, 1]$  (e.g. of class  $C^1$  with  $\|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty < \infty$ ), then we can take  $T'_{\alpha, X, \sigma}(z_0) = T$  for any  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ;
- **uniqueness:** if  $\sigma$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^\gamma$  with  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{\alpha}, 2]$  (e.g. if  $\sigma$  is of class  $C^2$ ), then there is exactly one solution  $Z$  of (2.3) with  $Z_0 = z_0$ ;
- **continuity of the solution map:** if  $\sigma$  is differentiable with bounded and globally  $(\gamma - 1)$ -Hölder gradient with  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{\alpha}, 2]$  (i.e.  $\|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty < \infty$ ,  $[\nabla \sigma]_{\mathcal{C}^{\gamma-1}} < \infty$ ), then the solution  $Z$  of (2.3) is a continuous function of the starting point  $z_0$  and driving path  $X$ : the map  $(z_0, X) \mapsto Z$  is continuous from  $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathcal{C}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\alpha$ .

In the first part of this chapter, we give for granted the existence of solutions and we focus on their properties: we prove *a priori estimates* in Section 2.3, *uniqueness of solutions* in Section 2.4 and *continuity of the solution map* in Section 2.5. A key role is played by the Sewing Bound from Chapter 1, see Theorems 1.9 and 1.17, and its discrete version, see Theorem 1.18.

The proof of local and global *existence of solutions* of (2.3) is given at the end of this chapter, see Section 2.6, exploiting a suitable Euler scheme.

## 2.2. SET-UP

We collect here some notions and tools that will be used extensively.

We recall that  $C_1$  denotes the space of continuous functions  $f: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ . Similarly,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  are the spaces of continuous functions of two and three ordered variables, i.e. defined on  $[0, T]_<^2$  and  $[0, T]_<^3$ , see (1.7)-(1.8).

We are going to exploit the *weighted semi-norms*  $\|\cdot\|_{\eta, \tau}$ , see (1.33)-(1.34) (see also (1.9) for the original norm  $\|\cdot\|_\eta$ ). These are useful to bound the *weighted supremum norm*  $\|f\|_{\infty, \tau}$  of a function  $f \in C_1$ , see (1.37) and (1.40):

$$\|f\|_{\infty, \tau} \leq |f_0| + 3(\tau \wedge T)^\eta \|\delta f\|_{\eta, \tau}, \quad \forall \eta, \tau > 0. \quad (2.5)$$

It follows directly from the definitions (1.33)-(1.34) that

$$\|\cdot\|_{\eta, \tau} \leq (\tau \wedge T)^{\eta'} \|\cdot\|_{\eta+\eta', \tau}, \quad \forall \eta, \eta' > 0, \quad (2.6)$$

because  $(t-s)^\eta \geq (t-s)^{\eta+\eta'} (\tau \wedge T)^{-\eta'}$  for  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$  with  $t-s \leq \tau$ .

**Remark 2.2.** The factor  $(\tau \wedge T)^{\eta'}$  in the RHS of (2.6) can be made small by choosing  $\tau$  small while keeping  $T$  fixed. This is why we included the indicator function  $\mathbb{1}_{\{0 < t-s \leq \tau\}}$  in the definition (1.33)-(1.34) of the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\eta, \tau}$ : without this indicator function, instead of  $(\tau \wedge T)^{\eta'}$  we would have  $T^{\eta'}$ , which is small only when  $T$  is small.

We will often work with functions  $F \in C_2$  or  $F \in C_3$  that are *product of two factors*, like  $F_{st} = g_s H_{st}$  or  $F_{sut} = G_{su} H_{ut}$ . We show in the next result that the semi-norm  $\|F\|_{\eta, \tau}$  can be controlled by a product of suitable norms for each factor.

LEMMA 2.3. (WEIGHTED BOUNDS) *For any  $\eta, \eta' \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\tau > 0$ , we have*

$$\text{if } F_{st} = g_s H_{st} \quad \text{or} \quad F_{st} = g_t H_{st} \quad \text{then} \quad \|F\|_{\eta, \tau} \leq \|g\|_{\infty, \tau} \|H\|_{\eta}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\text{if } F_{sut} = G_{su} H_{ut} \quad \text{then} \quad \|F\|_{\eta + \eta', \tau} \leq \|G\|_{\eta, \tau} \|H\|_{\eta'}. \quad (2.8)$$

**Proof.** If  $F_{st} = g_t H_{st}$ , by (1.37) we can estimate  $e^{-t/\tau} |g_t| \leq \|g\|_{\infty, \tau}$  to get (2.7). If  $F_{st} = g_s H_{st}$ , for  $s \leq t$  we can bound  $e^{-t/\tau} \leq e^{-s/\tau}$  in the definition (1.33)-(1.34) of  $\|\cdot\|_{\eta, \tau}$ , hence again by (1.37) we can estimate  $e^{-s/\tau} |g_s| \leq \|g\|_{\infty, \tau}$  to get (2.7).

If  $F_{sut} = G_{su} H_{ut}$ , we can further bound  $(t-s)^{\eta+\eta'} \geq (t-u)^\eta (u-s)^{\eta'}$  in (1.34) and then estimate  $e^{-s/\tau} G_{su} / (u-s)^\eta \leq \|G\|_{\eta, \tau}$ , which yields (2.8).  $\square$

We stress that in the RHS of (2.7) and (2.8) *only one factor gets the weighted norm or semi-norm*, while the other factor gets the non-weighted norm  $\|\cdot\|_\eta$ . We will sometimes need an extra weight, which can be introduced as follows.

LEMMA 2.4. (EXTRA WEIGHT) *For any  $\eta, \bar{\tau} \in (0, \infty)$  and  $0 < \tau \leq \bar{\tau}$ , we have*

$$\text{if } F_{st} = g_s H_{st} \quad \text{or} \quad F_{st} = g_t H_{st} \quad \text{then} \quad \|F\|_{\eta, \tau} \leq \|g\|_{\infty, \tau} e^{\frac{T}{\bar{\tau}}} \|H\|_{\eta, \bar{\tau}}. \quad (2.9)$$

**Proof.** Recall the definition (1.33)-(1.34) of  $\|\cdot\|_{\eta, \tau}$  and note that for  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$  we have  $e^{-t/\tau} |g_t| \leq \|g\|_{\infty, \tau}$  and  $e^{-s/\tau} |g_s| \leq \|g\|_{\infty, \tau}$  (see the proof of Lemma 2.3). Finally, for  $t-s \leq \tau \leq \bar{\tau}$  we can estimate  $|H_{st}| \leq e^{T/\bar{\tau}} e^{-t/\bar{\tau}} |H_{st}| \leq e^{T/\bar{\tau}} \|H\|_{\eta, \bar{\tau}} (t-s)^\eta$ .  $\square$

We recall that  $\mathbb{R}^k \otimes (\mathbb{R}^d)^* \simeq \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$  is the space of linear applications from  $\mathbb{R}^d$  to  $\mathbb{R}^k$  equipped with the Hilbert-Schmidt (Euclidean) norm  $|\cdot|$ . We say that a function is of class  $C^m$  if it is continuously differentiable  $m$  times. Given  $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \otimes (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$  of class  $C^2$ , that we represent by  $\sigma_j^i(z)$  with  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , we denote by  $\nabla \sigma: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \otimes (\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes (\mathbb{R}^k)^*$  its gradient and by  $\nabla^2 \sigma: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \otimes (\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes (\mathbb{R}^k)^* \otimes (\mathbb{R}^k)^*$  its Hessian, represented for  $i, a, b \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  by

$$(\nabla \sigma(z))_{ja}^i = \frac{\partial \sigma_j^i}{\partial z_a}(z), \quad (\nabla^2 \sigma(z))_{jab}^i = \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_j^i}{\partial z_a \partial z_b}(z).$$

**Remark 2.5.** (NORM OF THE GRADIENT OF LIPSCHITZ FUNCTIONS) For a *locally Lipschitz function*  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  we can define the “norm of the gradient” at any point (even where  $\psi$  may not be differentiable):

$$|\nabla \psi(z)| := \limsup_{y \rightarrow z} \frac{|\psi(y) - \psi(z)|}{|y - z|} \in [0, \infty].$$

Similarly,  $|\nabla^2 \psi(z)|$  is well defined as soon as  $\psi$  is *differentiable with locally Lipschitz gradient*  $\nabla \psi$  (which is slightly less than requiring  $\psi \in C^2$ ).

### 2.3. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

In this section we prove *a priori estimates* for solutions of (2.3) assuming that  $\sigma$  is *globally Lipschitz*, that is  $\|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty < \infty$  (recall Remark 2.5).

We first observe that if the driving path  $X$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^\alpha$ , then any solution  $Z$  of (2.3) is also of class  $\mathcal{C}^\alpha$ , as soon as  $\sigma$  is continuous.

LEMMA 2.6. (HÖLDER REGULARITY) *Let  $X$  be of class  $\mathcal{C}^\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in ]0, 1]$  and let  $\sigma$  be continuous. Then any solution  $Z$  of (2.3) is of class  $\mathcal{C}^\alpha$ .*

**Proof.** We know by Lemma 1.2 that  $Z$  is continuous, more precisely by (1.6) we have  $|\delta Z_{st}| \leq C |\delta X_{st}| + o(t-s)$  with  $C < \infty$ . Since  $|\delta X_{st}| \leq \|\delta X\|_\alpha (t-s)^\alpha$  and  $o(t-s) = o((t-s)^\alpha)$  for any  $\alpha \leq 1$ , it follows that  $Z \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$ .  $\square$

We next formulate the announced a priori estimates. It is convenient to use the weighted semi-norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\eta, \tau}$  in (1.33)-(1.34) (note that the usual norms  $\|\cdot\|_\eta$  in (1.9) can be recovered by letting  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ ).

THEOREM 2.7. (A PRIORI ESTIMATES) *Let  $X$  be of class  $\mathcal{C}^\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  and let  $\sigma$  be globally  $\gamma$ -Hölder with  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1, 1]$ . Then, for any solution  $Z: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  of (2.3), the remainder  $Z_{st}^{[2]} := \delta Z_{st} - \sigma(Z_s) \delta X_{st}$  satisfies  $Z^{[2]} \in C_2^{(\gamma+1)\alpha}$ , more precisely for any  $\tau > 0$*

$$\|Z^{[2]}\|_{(\gamma+1)\alpha, \tau} \leq C_{\alpha, \gamma, X, \sigma} \|\delta Z\|_{\alpha, \tau}^\gamma \quad \text{with } C_{\alpha, \gamma, X, \sigma} := K_{(\gamma+1)\alpha} \|\delta X\|_\alpha [\sigma]_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma}, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $K_\eta = (1 - 2^{1-\eta})^{-1}$ . Moreover, if either  $T$  or  $\tau$  is small enough, we have

$$\|\delta Z\|_{\alpha, \tau} \leq 1 \vee (2 \|\delta X\|_\alpha |\sigma(Z_0)|) \quad \text{for } (\tau \wedge T)^{\alpha\gamma} \leq \varepsilon_{\alpha, \gamma, X, \sigma}, \quad (2.11)$$

where we define

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha, \gamma, X, \sigma} := \frac{1}{2(K_{(\gamma+1)\alpha} + 3) \|\delta X\|_\alpha [\sigma]_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma}}. \quad (2.12)$$

If  $\sigma$  is globally Lipschitz, namely if we can take  $\gamma = 1$ , we can improve (2.11) to

$$\|\delta Z\|_{\alpha, \tau} \leq 2 \|\delta X\|_\alpha |\sigma(Z_0)| \quad \text{for } (\tau \wedge T)^\alpha \leq \varepsilon_{\alpha, 1, X, \sigma}. \quad (2.13)$$

**Proof.** We first prove (2.10). Since  $Z_{st}^{[2]} = o(t-s)$  by definition of solution, see (2.4), we can estimate  $Z^{[2]}$  in terms of  $\delta Z^{[2]}$ , by the weighted Sewing Bound (1.41). Let us compute  $\delta Z_{sut}^{[2]} = Z_{st}^{[2]} - Z_{su}^{[2]} - Z_{ut}^{[2]}$ : recalling (2.4) and (1.32), since  $\delta \circ \delta = 0$ , we have

$$\delta Z_{sut}^{[2]} = \delta \sigma(Z)_{su} \delta X_{ut} = (\sigma(Z_u) - \sigma(Z_s)) (X_t - X_u). \quad (2.14)$$

Since  $|\sigma(z) - \sigma(\bar{z})| \leq [\sigma]_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma} |z - \bar{z}|^\gamma$  for all  $z, \bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we can bound

$$\|\delta \sigma(Z)\|_{\gamma\alpha, \tau} \leq [\sigma]_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma} \|\delta Z\|_{\alpha, \tau}^\gamma, \quad (2.15)$$

hence by (2.8) we obtain

$$\|\delta Z^{[2]}\|_{(\gamma+1)\alpha, \tau} \leq \|\delta X\|_\alpha [\sigma]_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma} \|\delta Z\|_{\alpha, \tau}^\gamma.$$

Applying the weighted Sewing Bound (1.41), for  $(\gamma+1)\alpha > 1$  we then obtain

$$\|Z^{[2]}\|_{(\gamma+1)\alpha, \tau} \leq K_{(\gamma+1)\alpha} \|\delta X\|_\alpha [\sigma]_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma} \|\delta Z\|_{\alpha, \tau}^\gamma, \quad (2.16)$$

which proves (2.10).

We next prove (2.11). To simplify notation, let us set  $\varepsilon := (\tau \wedge T)^\alpha$ . Recalling (2.7) and (2.6), we obtain by (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta Z\|_{\alpha,\tau} &\leq \|\sigma(Z)\delta X\|_{\alpha,\tau} + \|Z^{[2]}\|_{\alpha,\tau} \\ &\leq \|\sigma(Z)\|_{\infty,\tau} \|\delta X\|_\alpha + \varepsilon^\gamma \|Z^{[2]}\|_{(\gamma+1)\alpha,\tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

We can estimate  $\|\sigma(Z)\|_{\infty,\tau}$  by (2.5) and (2.15):

$$\|\sigma(Z)\|_{\infty,\tau} \leq |\sigma(Z_0)| + 3\varepsilon^\gamma [\sigma]_{C^\gamma} \|\delta Z\|_{\alpha,\tau}^\gamma.$$

Plugging this and (2.16) into (2.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta Z\|_{\alpha,\tau} &\leq (|\sigma(Z_0)| + 3\varepsilon^\gamma [\sigma]_{C^\gamma} \|\delta Z\|_{\alpha,\tau}^\gamma) \|\delta X\|_\alpha + \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^\gamma K_{(\gamma+1)\alpha} \|\delta X\|_\alpha [\sigma]_{C^\gamma} \|\delta Z\|_{\alpha,\tau}^\gamma \\ &= \|\delta X\|_\alpha |\sigma(Z_0)| + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varepsilon^\gamma}{\varepsilon_{\alpha,\gamma,X,\sigma}} \|\delta Z\|_{\alpha,\tau}^\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon_{\alpha,\gamma,X,\sigma}$  is defined in (2.12). For  $\varepsilon^\gamma \leq \varepsilon_{\alpha,\gamma,X,\sigma}$  the last term is bounded by  $\frac{1}{2}\|\delta Z\|_{\alpha,\tau}^\gamma$  which is finite by Lemma 2.6. If  $\|\delta Z\|_{\alpha,\tau} \leq 1$  then (2.11) holds trivially; if not,  $\frac{1}{2}\|\delta Z\|_{\alpha,\tau}^\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\delta Z\|_{\alpha,\tau}$ . Bringing this term in the LHS we obtain (2.11).

To prove (2.13), we argue as for (2.11) and since  $\gamma = 1$  we obtain

$$\|\delta Z\|_{\alpha,\tau} \leq \|\delta X\|_\alpha |\sigma(Z_0)| + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_{\alpha,1,X,\sigma}} \|\delta Z\|_{\alpha,\tau}.$$

For  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{\alpha,1,X,\sigma}$  the last term is bounded by  $\frac{1}{2}\|\delta Z\|_{\alpha,\tau}$  which is finite by Lemma 2.6. Bringing this term in the LHS we obtain (2.13), and this completes the proof.  $\square$

## 2.4. UNIQUENESS

In this section we prove uniqueness of solutions to (2.3) assuming that  $\sigma$  is of class  $C^1$  with locally Hölder gradient (we stress that we make no boundedness assumption on  $\sigma$ ). This improves on Theorem 1.7, both because we allow for non-linear  $\sigma$  and because we do not require that the time horizon  $T > 0$  is small.

We first need an elementary but fundamental estimate on the difference of increments of a function. Given  $\Psi: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ , we use the notation

$$C_{\Psi,R} := \sup \{ |\Psi(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^k, |x| \leq R \}. \quad (2.18)$$

**LEMMA 2.8. (DIFFERENCE OF INCREMENTS)** *Let  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  be of class  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}^{1+\rho}$  for some  $0 < \rho \leq 1$  (i.e.  $\psi$  is differentiable with  $\nabla \psi$  of class  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}^\rho$ ). Then for any  $R > 0$  and for all  $x, \bar{x}, y, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k$  with  $\max \{|x|, |y|, |\bar{x}|, |\bar{y}|\} \leq R$  we can estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} &|[\psi(x) - \psi(y)] - [\psi(\bar{x}) - \psi(\bar{y})]| \\ &\leq C'_R |(x-y) - (\bar{x}-\bar{y})| + C''_R \{ |x-y|^\rho + |\bar{x}-\bar{y}|^\rho \} |y-\bar{y}|, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

where  $C'_R := \sup \{ |\nabla \psi(x)| : |x| \leq R \}$  and  $C''_R := \sup \left\{ \frac{|\nabla \psi(x) - \nabla \psi(y)|}{|x-y|^\rho} : |x|, |y| \leq R \right\}$ .

**Proof.** For  $z, w \in \mathbb{R}^k$  we can write

$$\psi(z) - \psi(w) = \hat{\psi}(z, w)(z - w),$$

where  $\hat{\psi}(z, w) := \int_0^1 \nabla \psi(u z + (1-u) w) du \in \mathbb{R}^\ell \otimes (\mathbb{R}^k)^*$ , therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi(x) - \psi(y)] - [\psi(\bar{x}) - \psi(\bar{y})] &= [\psi(x) - \psi(\bar{x})] - [\psi(y) - \psi(\bar{y})] \\ &= \hat{\psi}(x, \bar{x})(x - \bar{x}) - \hat{\psi}(y, \bar{y})(y - \bar{y}) \\ &= \hat{\psi}(x, \bar{x})[(x - \bar{x}) - (y - \bar{y})] \\ &\quad + [\hat{\psi}(x, \bar{x}) - \hat{\psi}(y, \bar{y})](y - \bar{y}). \end{aligned}$$

By definition of  $C'_R$  and  $C''_R$  we have  $|\hat{\psi}(x, \bar{x})| \leq C'_R$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\psi}(x, \bar{x}) - \hat{\psi}(y, \bar{y})| &\leq |\hat{\psi}(x, \bar{x}) - \hat{\psi}(y, \bar{x})| + |\hat{\psi}(y, \bar{x}) - \hat{\psi}(y, \bar{y})| \\ &\leq C''_R \{|x - y|^\rho + |\bar{x} - \bar{y}|^\rho\}, \end{aligned}$$

hence (2.19) follows.  $\square$

We are now ready to state and prove the announced uniqueness result.

**THEOREM 2.9. (UNIQUENESS)** *Let  $X$  be of class  $\mathcal{C}^\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in ]\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  and let  $\sigma$  be of class  $\mathcal{C}^\gamma$  for some  $\gamma > \frac{1}{\alpha}$  (for instance, we can take  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^2$ ). Then for every  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$  there exists at most one solution  $Z$  to (2.3) with  $Z_0 = z_0$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $Z$  and  $\bar{Z}$  be two solutions of (2.3), i.e. they satisfy (2.4), and set

$$Y := Z - \bar{Z}.$$

We want to show that, for  $\tau > 0$  small enough, we have

$$\|Y\|_{\infty, \tau} \leq 2|Y_0|,$$

where the weighted norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty, \tau}$  was defined in (1.37). In particular, if we assume that  $Z_0 = \bar{Z}_0$ , we obtain  $\|Y\|_{\infty, \tau} = 0$  and hence  $Z = \bar{Z}$ .

We know by (2.5) that for any  $\tau > 0$

$$\|Y\|_{\infty, \tau} \leq |Y_0| + 3\tau^\alpha \|\delta Y\|_{\alpha, \tau}, \quad (2.20)$$

where we recall that the weighted semi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, \tau}$  was defined in (1.33). We now define  $Y^{[2]}$  as the difference between the remainders  $Z^{[2]}$  and  $\bar{Z}^{[2]}$  of the solutions  $Z$  and  $\bar{Z}$  as defined in (2.4), that is

$$Y_{st}^{[2]} := Z_{st}^{[2]} - \bar{Z}_{st}^{[2]} = \delta Y_{st} - (\sigma(Z_s) - \sigma(\bar{Z}_s)) \delta X_{st}. \quad (2.21)$$

(We are slightly abusing notation, since  $Y^{[2]}$  is not the remainder of  $Y$  when  $\sigma$  is not linear.) By assumption  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma$  for some  $\gamma > \frac{1}{\alpha}$ : renaming  $\gamma$  as  $\gamma \wedge 2$ , we may assume that  $\gamma \in ]\frac{1}{\alpha}, 2]$ . We are going to prove the following inequalities: for any  $\tau > 0$

$$\|\delta Y\|_{\alpha, \tau} \leq c_1 \|Y\|_{\infty, \tau} + \tau^{(\gamma-1)\alpha} \|Y^{[2]}\|_{\gamma\alpha, \tau}, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\|Y^{[2]}\|_{\gamma\alpha, \tau} \leq c_2 \|Y\|_{\infty, \tau} + c'_2 \tau^{(\gamma-1)\alpha} \|Y^{[2]}\|_{\gamma\alpha, \tau}, \quad (2.23)$$

for finite constants  $c_i, c'_i$  that may depend on  $X, \sigma, Z, \bar{Z}$  but not on  $\tau$ .

Let us complete the proof assuming (2.22) and (2.23). Note that  $(\gamma - 1)\alpha > 0$  by assumption. If we fix  $\tau > 0$  small, so that  $c'_2 \tau^{(\gamma-1)\alpha} < \frac{1}{2}$ , from (2.23) we get  $\|Y^{[2]}\|_{\gamma\alpha,\tau} \leq 2c_2 \|Y\|_{\infty,\tau}$  which plugged into (2.22) yields  $\|\delta Y\|_{\alpha,\tau} \leq 2c_1 \|Y\|_{\infty,\tau}$  for  $\tau > 0$  small (it suffices that  $2c_2 \tau^{(\gamma-1)\alpha} < c_1$ ). Finally, plugging this into (2.20) and possibly choosing  $\tau > 0$  even smaller, we obtain our goal  $\|Y\|_{\infty,\tau} \leq 2|Y_0|$  which completes the proof.

It remains to prove (2.22) and (2.23). Using the notation from Lemma 2.8 we set

$$\begin{aligned} C'_1 &:= \sup \{ |\nabla \sigma(x)| : |x| \leq \|Z\|_\infty \vee \|\bar{Z}\|_\infty \}, \\ C''_1 &:= \sup \left\{ \frac{|\nabla \sigma(x) - \nabla \sigma(y)|}{|x-y|^\rho} : |x|, |y| \leq \|Z\|_\infty \vee \|\bar{Z}\|_\infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

so that  $|\sigma(Z_t) - \sigma(\bar{Z}_t)| \leq C'_1 |Z_t - \bar{Z}_t|$  and, therefore,

$$\|\sigma(Z) - \sigma(\bar{Z})\|_{\infty,\tau} \leq C'_1 \|Y\|_{\infty,\tau}. \quad (2.24)$$

We now exploit (2.21) to estimate  $\|\delta Y\|_{\alpha,\tau}$ : applying (2.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta Y\|_{\alpha,\tau} &\leq \|\sigma(Z) - \sigma(\bar{Z})\|_{\infty,\tau} \|\delta X\|_\alpha + \|Y^{[2]}\|_{\alpha,\tau} \\ &\leq C'_1 \|Y\|_{\infty,\tau} \|\delta X\|_\alpha + \tau^{(\gamma-1)\alpha} \|Y^{[2]}\|_{\gamma\alpha,\tau}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

where we note that  $\|Y^{[2]}\|_{\alpha,\tau} \leq \tau^{(\gamma-1)\alpha} \|Y^{[2]}\|_{\gamma\alpha,\tau}$  by (2.6). We have shown that (2.22) holds with  $c_1 = C'_1 \|\delta X\|_\alpha$ .

We finally prove (2.23). Since  $Y_{st}^{[2]} = o(t-s)$ , see (2.21) and (2.4), we bound  $Z^{[2]}$  by its increment  $\delta Z^{[2]}$  through the weighted Sewing Bound (1.41):

$$\|Y^{[2]}\|_{\gamma\alpha,\tau} \leq K_{\gamma\alpha} \|\delta Y^{[2]}\|_{\gamma\alpha,\tau}, \quad (2.26)$$

hence we focus on  $\|\delta Y^{[2]}\|_{\gamma\alpha,\tau}$ . By (2.21) and (1.32), since  $\delta \circ \delta = 0$ , we have

$$\delta Y_{sut}^{[2]} = (\delta \sigma(Z)_{su} - \delta \sigma(\bar{Z})_{su}) \delta X_{ut}. \quad (2.27)$$

Applying the estimate (2.19) for  $x = Z_u, y = Z_s, \bar{x} = \bar{Z}_u, \bar{y} = \bar{Z}_s$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta \sigma(Z)_{su} - \delta \sigma(\bar{Z})_{su}| &\leq C'_1 |\delta Z_{su} - \delta \bar{Z}_{su}| + C''_1 \{ |\delta Z_{su}|^{\gamma-1} + |\delta \bar{Z}_{su}|^{\gamma-1} \} |Z_s - \bar{Z}_s| \\ &= C'_1 |\delta Y_{su}| + C''_1 \{ |\delta Z_{su}|^{\gamma-1} + |\delta \bar{Z}_{su}|^{\gamma-1} \} |Y_s|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

hence by (2.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta \sigma(Z) - \delta \sigma(\bar{Z})\|_{(\gamma-1)\alpha,\tau} &\leq C'_1 \|\delta Y\|_{(\gamma-1)\alpha,\tau} + \\ &\quad + C''_1 \{ \|\delta Z\|_\alpha^{\gamma-1} + \|\delta \bar{Z}\|_\alpha^{\gamma-1} \} \|Y\|_{\infty,\tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

If we take  $\tau \leq 1$  we can bound  $\|\delta Y\|_{(\gamma-1)\alpha,\tau} \leq \|\delta Y\|_{\alpha,\tau}$  by (2.6) (recall that we are assuming  $\gamma \leq 2$ ). Then by (2.27) we obtain, recalling (2.8),

$$\|\delta Y^{[2]}\|_{\gamma\alpha,\tau} \leq \|\delta X\|_\alpha \|\delta \sigma(Z) - \delta \sigma(\bar{Z})\|_{(\gamma-1)\alpha,\tau} \leq \tilde{c}_1 (\|\delta Y\|_{\alpha,\tau} + \|Y\|_{\infty,\tau}),$$

for a suitable (explicit) constant  $\tilde{c}_1 = \tilde{c}_1(\sigma, Z, \bar{Z}, X)$ . Applying (2.22), we obtain

$$\|\delta Y^{[2]}\|_{\gamma\alpha,\tau} \leq (c_1 + 1) \tilde{c}_1 \|Y\|_{\infty,\tau} + \tilde{c}_1 \tau^{(\gamma-1)\alpha} \|Y^{[2]}\|_{\gamma\alpha,\tau},$$

which plugged into (2.26) shows that (2.23) holds. The proof is complete.  $\square$

We conclude with an example of (2.19).

**Example 2.10.** If  $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\sigma(x) = x^2$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)) - (\sigma(\bar{x}) - \sigma(\bar{y})) \\ &= (x^2 - y^2) - (\bar{x}^2 - \bar{y}^2) = (x^2 - \bar{x}^2) - (y^2 - \bar{y}^2) \\ &= (x - \bar{x})(x + \bar{x}) - (y - \bar{y})(y + \bar{y}) \\ &= [(x - \bar{x}) - (y - \bar{y})](y + \bar{y}) + (x - \bar{x})[(x + \bar{x}) - (y + \bar{y})] \\ &= [(x - \bar{x}) - (y - \bar{y})](y + \bar{y}) + (x - \bar{x})[(x - y) + (\bar{x} - \bar{y})], \end{aligned}$$

where in the second last equality we have summed and subtracted  $(y - \bar{y})(x + \bar{x})$ . If we use this formula for  $x = Z_t$ ,  $y = Z_s$  and  $\bar{x} = \bar{Z}_t$ ,  $\bar{y} = \bar{Z}_s$ , then we obtain

$$\delta(Z^2 - \bar{Z}^2)_{st} = \delta(Z - \bar{Z})_{st}(Z_s + \bar{Z}_s) + (Z_t - \bar{Z}_t)[\delta Z_{st} + \delta \bar{Z}_{st}],$$

which is in the spirit of (2.19) with  $\rho = 1$ . It follows that

$$\|\delta(Z^2 - \bar{Z}^2)\|_\alpha \leq 2 \|\bar{Z}\|_\infty \|\delta(Z - \bar{Z})\|_\alpha + \|Z - \bar{Z}\|_\infty [\|\delta Z\|_\alpha + \|\delta \bar{Z}\|_\alpha],$$

which is the form that (2.29) takes in this particular case.

## 2.5. CONTINUITY OF THE SOLUTION MAP

In this section we assume that  $\sigma$  is *globally Lipschitz* and of class  $C^1$  with a *globally  $\gamma$ -Hölder gradient*, i.e.  $\|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty < \infty$  and  $[\nabla \sigma]_{C^\gamma} < \infty$ , with  $\gamma > \frac{1}{\alpha}$ . Under these assumptions, we have *global existence and uniqueness* of solutions  $Z: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  to (2.3) for any time horizon  $T > 0$ , for any starting point  $Z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and for any driving path  $X$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^\alpha$  with  $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq 1$  (as we will prove in Section 2.6).

We can thus consider the *solution map*:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathcal{C}^\alpha &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\alpha \\ (Z_0, X) &\longmapsto Z := \begin{cases} \text{unique solution of (2.3) for } t \in [0, T] \\ \text{starting from } Z_0 \end{cases}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

We prove in this section that this map is *continuous*, in fact *locally Lipschitz*.

**Remark 2.11.** The continuity of the solution map is a highly non-trivial property. Indeed, when  $X$  is of class  $C^1$ , note that  $Z$  solves the equation

$$Z_t = Z_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(Z_s) \dot{X}_s ds, \quad (2.31)$$

which is based on the derivative  $\dot{X}$  of  $X$ . We instead consider driving paths  $X \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in ]\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  which are continuous but may be non-differentiable.

We shall see in the next chapters that the continuity of the solution map holds also in more complex situations such as  $X \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$  with  $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , which cover the case when  $X$  is a Brownian motion and  $Z$  is the solution to a SDE.

Before stating the continuity of the solution map, we recall that the space  $\mathcal{C}^\alpha$  is equipped with the norm  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha} := \|f\|_\infty + \|\delta f\|_\alpha$ , see Remark 1.4, but *an equivalent norm is  $\|f\|_{\infty,\tau} + \|\delta f\|_{\alpha,\tau}$  for any choice of the weight  $\tau > 0$* , see Remark 1.15.

**THEOREM 2.12. (CONTINUITY OF THE SOLUTION MAP)** *Let  $\sigma$  be globally Lipschitz with a globally  $(\gamma - 1)$ -Hölder gradient:  $\|\nabla\sigma\|_\infty < \infty$  and  $[\nabla\sigma]_{\mathcal{C}^{\gamma-1}} < \infty$ , with  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{\alpha}, 2]$ . Then, for any  $T > 0$  and  $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , the solution map  $(Z_0, X) \mapsto Z$  in (2.30) is locally Lipschitz.*

*More explicitly, given  $M_0, M, D < \infty$ , if we assume that*

$$\max \{ \|\nabla\sigma\|_\infty, [\nabla\sigma]_{\mathcal{C}^{\gamma-1}} \} \leq D,$$

*and we consider starting points  $Z_0, \bar{Z}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and driving paths  $X, \bar{X} \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$  with*

$$\max \{ |\sigma(Z_0)|, |\sigma(\bar{Z}_0)| \} \leq M_0, \quad \max \{ \|\delta X\|_\alpha, \|\delta \bar{X}\|_\alpha \} \leq M, \quad (2.32)$$

*then the corresponding solutions  $Z = (Z_s)_{s \in [0, T]}$ ,  $\bar{Z} = (\bar{Z}_s)_{s \in [0, T]}$  of (2.3) satisfy*

$$\|Z - \bar{Z}\|_{\infty,\tau} + \|\delta Z - \delta \bar{Z}\|_{\alpha,\tau} \leq \mathfrak{C}_M |Z_0 - \bar{Z}_0| + 6 M_0 \|\delta X - \delta \bar{X}\|_\alpha, \quad (2.33)$$

*provided  $0 < \tau \wedge T \leq \hat{\tau}$  for a suitable  $\hat{\tau} = \hat{\tau}_{\alpha, \gamma, T, D, M_0, M} > 0$ , where we set*

$$\mathfrak{C}_M := 2 (\|\nabla\sigma\|_\infty M + 1) \leq 2 (D M + 1).$$

**Proof.** Let us define the constant

$$\mathfrak{c}_M := \|\nabla\sigma\|_\infty M \leq D M. \quad (2.34)$$

We fix two solutions  $Z$  and  $\bar{Z}$  of (2.3) with respective driving paths  $X$  and  $\bar{X}$ . If we define  $Y := Z - \bar{Z}$ , we can rewrite our goal (2.33) as

$$\|Y\|_{\infty,\tau} + \|\delta Y\|_{\alpha,\tau} \leq 6 M_0 \|\delta X - \delta \bar{X}\|_\alpha + 2 (\mathfrak{c}_M + 1) |Y_0|. \quad (2.35)$$

Let us introduce the shorthand

$$\varepsilon := (\tau \wedge T)^\alpha$$

and let us agree that, whenever we write *for  $\varepsilon$  small enough* we mean *for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$  for a suitable  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  which depends on  $\alpha, T, M_0, M, D$ .* By (2.5), *for  $\varepsilon$  small enough*,

$$\|Y\|_{\infty,\tau} \leq |Y_0| + \varepsilon \|\delta Y\|_{\alpha,\tau} \leq |Y_0| + \frac{1}{5} \|\delta Y\|_{\alpha,\tau}, \quad (2.36)$$

hence to prove (2.35) we can focus on  $\|\delta Y\|_{\alpha,\tau}$ .

Recalling (2.4), let us define  $Y^{[2]} := Z^{[2]} - \bar{Z}^{[2]}$ . We are going to establish the following two relations, *for  $\varepsilon$  small enough*:

$$\frac{4}{5} \|\delta Y\|_{\alpha,\tau} \leq 2 M_0 \|\delta X - \delta \bar{X}\|_\alpha + \mathfrak{c}_M |Y_0| + \|Y^{[2]}\|_{\alpha,\tau}, \quad (2.37)$$

$$\|Y^{[2]}\|_{\alpha,\tau} \leq M_0 \|\delta X - \delta \bar{X}\|_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} |Y_0| + \frac{1}{5} \|\delta Y\|_{\alpha,\tau}. \quad (2.38)$$

Plugging (2.38) into (2.37) and applying (2.36), we obtain (2.35).

It remains to prove (2.37) and (2.38). We record some useful bounds. Let us set

$$\bar{\varepsilon} = \bar{\varepsilon}_{\alpha, D, M} := \frac{1}{2(K_{2\alpha} + 3)DM}. \quad (2.39)$$

We exploit the a priori estimate (2.13) from Theorem 2.7: by (2.32), we have

$$\text{for } \varepsilon = (\tau \wedge T)^\alpha \leq \bar{\varepsilon}: \quad \max\{\|\delta Z\|_{\alpha, \tau}, \|\delta \bar{Z}\|_{\alpha, \tau}\} \leq 2M_0 M, \quad (2.40)$$

therefore

$$\|\delta \sigma(Z)\|_{\alpha, \tau} \leq \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty \|\delta Z\|_{\alpha, \tau} \leq 2\|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty M_0 M = 2M_0 \mathfrak{c}_M, \quad (2.41)$$

and applying (2.5) and (2.32) we get, *for  $\varepsilon$  small enough*,

$$\|\sigma(Z)\|_{\infty, \tau} \leq |\sigma(Z_0)| + 3\varepsilon \|\delta \sigma(Z)\|_{\alpha, \tau} \leq M_0(1 + 6\mathfrak{c}_M \varepsilon) \leq 2M_0. \quad (2.42)$$

We can now prove (2.37). Defining  $Y^{[2]} := Z^{[2]} - \bar{Z}^{[2]}$ , we obtain from (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Y_{st} &= \delta Z_{st} - \delta \bar{Z}_{st} = \sigma(Z_s) \delta X_{st} - \sigma(\bar{Z}_s) \delta \bar{X}_{st} + Y_{st}^{[2]} \\ &= \sigma(Z_s) (\delta X - \delta \bar{X})_{st} + (\sigma(Z_s) - \sigma(\bar{Z}_s)) \delta \bar{X}_{st} + Y_{st}^{[2]}, \end{aligned}$$

hence by (2.7) we can bound

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta Y\|_{\alpha, \tau} &\leq \|\sigma(Z)\|_{\infty, \tau} \|\delta X - \delta \bar{X}\|_\alpha \\ &\quad + \|\delta \bar{X}\|_\alpha \|\sigma(Z) - \sigma(\bar{Z})\|_{\infty, \tau} + \|Y^{[2]}\|_{\alpha, \tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

Let us look at the second term in the RHS of (2.43): by (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma(Z) - \sigma(\bar{Z})\|_{\infty, \tau} &\leq \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty \|Z - \bar{Z}\|_{\infty, \tau} \\ &\leq \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty (|Y_0| + 3\varepsilon \|\delta Y\|_{\alpha, \tau}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

Hence by (2.32) and (2.34) we get, *for  $\varepsilon$  small enough*,

$$\|\delta \bar{X}\|_\alpha \|\sigma(Z) - \sigma(\bar{Z})\|_{\infty, \tau} \leq \mathfrak{c}_M |Y_0| + \frac{1}{5} \|\delta Y\|_{\alpha, \tau}. \quad (2.45)$$

Plugging this into (2.43) we then obtain, by (2.42),

$$\frac{4}{5} \|\delta Y\|_{\alpha, \tau} \leq 2M_0 \|\delta X - \delta \bar{X}\|_\alpha + \mathfrak{c}_M |Y_0| + \|Y^{[2]}\|_{\alpha, \tau}, \quad (2.46)$$

which proves (2.37).

We finally prove (2.38). Since  $Y_{st}^{[2]} = Z_{st}^{[2]} - \bar{Z}_{st}^{[2]} = o(t-s)$ , see (2.4), the weighted Sewing Bound (1.41) and (2.6) give

$$\|Y^{[2]}\|_{\alpha, \tau} \leq \varepsilon^{\gamma-1} \|Y^{[2]}\|_{\gamma\alpha, \tau} \leq K_{\gamma\alpha} \varepsilon^{\gamma-1} \|\delta Y^{[2]}\|_{\gamma\alpha, \tau}. \quad (2.47)$$

To estimate  $\delta Y^{[2]} = \delta Z^{[2]} - \delta \bar{Z}^{[2]}$ , note that by (2.4) and (1.32) we can write

$$\delta Y_{sut}^{[2]} = \delta \sigma(Z)_{su} (\delta X - \delta \bar{X})_{ut} + (\delta \sigma(Z) - \delta \sigma(\bar{Z}))_{su} \delta \bar{X}_{ut}, \quad (2.48)$$

hence by (2.8)

$$\|\delta Y^{[2]}\|_{\gamma\alpha, \tau} \leq \|\delta \sigma(Z)\|_{(\gamma-1)\alpha, \tau} \|\delta X - \delta \bar{X}\|_\alpha + \|\delta \bar{X}\|_\alpha \|\delta \sigma(Z) - \delta \sigma(\bar{Z})\|_{(\gamma-1)\alpha, \tau}. \quad (2.49)$$

The first term is easy to control: by (2.41), *for  $\varepsilon$  small enough*,

$$K_{\gamma\alpha} \varepsilon^{\gamma-1} \|\delta\sigma(Z)\|_{(\gamma-1)\alpha,\tau} \|\delta X - \delta\bar{X}\|_\alpha \leq M_0 \|\delta X - \delta\bar{X}\|_\alpha. \quad (2.50)$$

Let us now focus on the second term. By (2.19) we have, see also (2.28),

$$|\delta\sigma(Z)_{su} - \delta\sigma(\bar{Z})_{su}| \leq \|\nabla\sigma\|_\infty |\delta Y_{su}| + [\nabla\sigma]_{C^{\gamma-1}} \{|\delta Z_{su}|^{\gamma-1} + |\delta\bar{Z}_{su}|^{\gamma-1}\} |Y_s|.$$

We apply (2.9) for  $H = \delta Z$ ,  $g = Y$  and  $\bar{\tau} = (\bar{\varepsilon})^{1/\alpha}$  from (2.39):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta\sigma(Z) - \delta\sigma(\bar{Z})\|_{(\gamma-1)\alpha,\tau} &\leq \|\nabla\sigma\|_\infty \|\delta Y\|_{(\gamma-1)\alpha,\tau} + \\ &\quad + [\nabla\sigma]_{C^{\gamma-1}} e^{\frac{T}{\bar{\tau}}} (\|\delta Z\|_{\alpha,\bar{\tau}}^{\gamma-1} + \|\delta\bar{Z}\|_{\alpha,\bar{\tau}}^{\gamma-1}) \|Y\|_{\infty,\tau} \\ &\leq D \|\delta Y\|_{\alpha,\tau} + 2(2M_0 M)^{\gamma-1} e^{\frac{T}{\bar{\tau}}} D \|Y\|_{\infty,\tau}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.51)$$

where we applied (2.40). Hence by (2.51), recalling (2.32), *for  $\varepsilon$  small enough* we obtain

$$K_{\gamma\alpha} \varepsilon^{\gamma-1} \|\delta\bar{X}\|_\alpha \|\delta\sigma(Z) - \delta\sigma(\bar{Z})\|_{(\gamma-1)\alpha,\tau} \leq \frac{1}{10} \|\delta Y\|_{\alpha,\tau} + \frac{1}{2} \|Y\|_{\infty,\tau}, \quad (2.52)$$

and since  $\|Y\|_{\infty,\tau} \leq |Y_0| + \frac{1}{5} \|\delta Y\|_{\alpha,\tau}$ , see (2.36), we obtain

$$K_{\gamma\alpha} \varepsilon^{\gamma-1} \|\delta\bar{X}\|_\alpha \|\delta\sigma(Z) - \delta\sigma(\bar{Z})\|_{(\gamma-1)\alpha,\tau} \leq \frac{1}{2} |Y_0| + \frac{1}{5} \|\delta Y\|_{\alpha,\tau}.$$

Finally, plugging this bound and (2.50) into (2.49) and (2.47), we obtain

$$\|Y^{[2]}\|_{\alpha,\tau} \leq M_0 \|\delta X - \delta\bar{X}\|_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} |Y_0| + \frac{1}{5} \|\delta Y\|_{\alpha,\tau},$$

which proves (2.38) and completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.13.** An explicit choice for  $\hat{\tau}$  in Theorem 2.12 is

$$\hat{\tau}^\alpha := \frac{e^{-\frac{T}{\bar{\tau}}}}{10(K_{2\alpha} + 3)(1 + M_0)(1 + D(M + M^2))}, \quad (2.53)$$

with  $\bar{\tau} = \bar{\tau}_{\alpha,D,M}$  defined in (2.39). This is obtained by tracking all the points in the proof of Theorem 2.12 where  $\varepsilon = (\tau \wedge T)^\alpha$  was assumed to be *small enough*: see Section 2.8 for the details.

## 2.6. EULER SCHEME AND LOCAL/GLOBAL EXISTENCE

In this section we discuss *global existence of solutions*, under the assumption that  $\sigma$  is globally  $\gamma$ -Hölder with  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1, 1]$ , i.e.  $[\sigma]_{C^\gamma} < \infty$  (again with no boundedness assumption on  $\sigma$ ). We also state a result of *local existence of solutions* for equation (2.3), where we only assume that  $\sigma$  is *locally*  $\gamma$ -Hölder with  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1, 1]$  (with no boundedness assumption on  $\sigma$ ).

We fix  $X: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  of class  $C^\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  and a starting point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . We split the proof in two parts: we first assume that  $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \otimes (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$  is globally  $\gamma$ -Hölder, then we consider the case when  $\sigma$  is locally  $\gamma$ -Hölder.

### First part: globally Hölder case.

We consider a finite set  $\mathbb{T} = \{0 = t_1 < \dots < t_{\#\mathbb{T}}\} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  and we define an approximate solution  $Z = Z^{\mathbb{T}} = (Z_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  through the *Euler scheme*

$$Z_0 := z_0, \quad Z_{t_{i+1}} := Z_{t_i} + \sigma(Z_{t_i}) \delta X_{t_i, t_{i+1}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \#\mathbb{T} - 1. \quad (2.54)$$

Let us define the “remainder”

$$R_{st} := \delta Z_{st} - \sigma(Z_s) \delta X_{st} \quad \text{for } s < t \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (2.55)$$

We assume that  $\sigma$  is *globally  $\gamma$ -Hölder*, namely  $[\sigma]_{C^\gamma} < \infty$ , with  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1, 1]$ . We set

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{\alpha, \gamma, X, \sigma} := \frac{1}{2(C_{(\gamma+1)\alpha} + 5) \|\delta X\|_\alpha [\sigma]_{C^\gamma}}, \quad (2.56)$$

where the constant  $C_\eta$  is defined in (1.45). We prove the following *a priori estimates* on the Euler scheme (2.54), which are analogous to those in Theorem 2.7.

**LEMMA 2.14.** *If  $\sigma$  is globally  $\gamma$ -Hölder, namely  $[\sigma]_{C^\gamma} < \infty$ , with  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1, 1]$ , then*

$$\|R\|_{(\gamma+1)\alpha}^{\mathbb{T}} \leq C_{(\gamma+1)\alpha} [\sigma]_{C^\gamma} (\|\delta Z\|_\alpha^{\mathbb{T}})^\gamma \|\delta X\|_\alpha, \quad (2.57)$$

$$\text{and for } \tau^{\gamma\alpha} \leq \hat{\varepsilon}_{\alpha, \gamma, X, \sigma}: \quad \|\delta Z\|_\alpha^{\mathbb{T}} \leq 1 \vee (2|\sigma(z_0)| \|\delta X\|_\alpha). \quad (2.58)$$

**Proof.** Since  $\delta R_{sut} = (\sigma(Z_s) - \sigma(Z_u)) \delta X_{ut}$ , recall (1.32), and since  $R_{t_i t_{i+1}} = 0$  by (2.54), we can apply the discrete Sewing Bound (1.45) with  $\eta = (\gamma+1)\alpha > 1$  to get

$$\|R\|_{(\gamma+1)\alpha, \tau}^{\mathbb{T}} \leq C_{(\gamma+1)\alpha} \|\delta R\|_{(\gamma+1)\alpha, \tau}^{\mathbb{T}} \leq C_{(\gamma+1)\alpha} [\sigma]_{C^\gamma} (\|\delta Z\|_{\alpha, \tau}^{\mathbb{T}})^\gamma \|\delta X\|_\alpha. \quad (2.59)$$

We have proved (2.57).

We next prove (2.58). Recalling (2.55) we can bound, by (2.6) for  $\|\cdot\|_{\gamma\alpha, \mathbb{T}_n}$ ,

$$\|\delta Z\|_{\alpha, \tau}^{\mathbb{T}} \leq \|\sigma(Z)\|_{\infty, \tau}^{\mathbb{T}} \|\delta X\|_\alpha + \tau^{\gamma\alpha} \|R\|_{(\gamma+1)\alpha, \tau}^{\mathbb{T}}.$$

By (1.47)

$$\|\sigma(Z)\|_{\infty, \tau}^{\mathbb{T}} \leq |\sigma(z_0)| + 5\tau^{\gamma\alpha} \|\delta \sigma(Z)\|_{\gamma\alpha, \tau}^{\mathbb{T}} \leq |\sigma(z_0)| + 5\tau^{\gamma\alpha} [\sigma]_{C^\gamma} (\|\delta Z\|_{\alpha, \tau}^{\mathbb{T}})^\gamma.$$

We thus obtain, combining the previous bounds,

$$\|\delta Z\|_{\alpha, \tau}^{\mathbb{T}} \leq |\sigma(z_0)| \|\delta X\|_\alpha + \{\tau^{\gamma\alpha} (C_{\gamma\alpha} + 5) [\sigma]_{C^\gamma} \|\delta X\|_\alpha\} (\|\delta Z\|_{\alpha, \tau}^{\mathbb{T}})^\gamma.$$

Now if  $\|\delta Z\|_{\alpha, \tau}^{\mathbb{T}} \leq 1$  then (2.58) is proved, otherwise  $(\|\delta Z\|_{\alpha, \tau}^{\mathbb{T}})^\gamma \leq \|\delta Z\|_{\alpha, \tau}^{\mathbb{T}}$  and then for  $\tau$  as in (2.56) the term in brackets is less than  $\frac{1}{2}$  and we obtain (2.58).  $\square$

We can now prove the following

**THEOREM 2.15. (GLOBAL EXISTENCE)** *Let  $X$  be of class  $\mathcal{C}^\alpha$ , with  $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , and let  $\sigma$  be globally  $\gamma$ -Hölder with  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1, 1]$ , i.e.  $[\sigma]_{C^\gamma} < \infty$ . For every  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ , with no restriction on  $T > 0$ , there exists a solution  $(Z_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  of (2.3) with  $Z_0 = z_0$ .*

**Proof.** Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we construct an approximate solution  $Z^n = (Z_t^n)_{t \in \mathbb{T}_n}$  of (2.3) defined in the discrete set of times  $\mathbb{T}_n := (\{i2^{-n}: i=0, 1, \dots\} \cap [0, T]) \cup \{T\}$  through the *Euler scheme* (2.54).

$$Z_0^n := z_0, \quad Z_{t_{i+1}}^n := Z_{t_i}^n + \sigma(Z_{t_i}^n) \delta X_{t_i, t_{i+1}} \quad \text{for } t_i, t_{i+1} \in \mathbb{T}_n. \quad (2.60)$$

Let us define the “remainder”

$$R_{st}^n := \delta Z_{st}^n - \sigma(Z_s^n) \delta X_{st} \quad \text{for } s < t \in \mathbb{T}_n. \quad (2.61)$$

We fix  $T > 0$  such that

We extend  $Z^n$  by linear interpolation to a continuous function defined on  $[0, T]$ , still denoted by  $Z^n$ . Given two points  $t_i \leq s < t \leq t_{i+1}$  inside the same interval  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$  of the partition  $\mathbb{T}_n$ , since  $\delta Z_{st}^n = \frac{t-s}{t_{i+1}-t_i} \delta Z_{t_i t_{i+1}}^n$ , we can bound for  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$

$$\frac{|\delta Z_{st}^n|}{(t-s)^\alpha} = \left( \frac{t-s}{t_{i+1}-t_i} \right)^{1-\alpha} \frac{|\delta Z_{t_i t_{i+1}}^n|}{(t_{i+1}-t_i)^\alpha} \leq \frac{|\delta Z_{t_i t_{i+1}}^n|}{(t_{i+1}-t_i)^\alpha}.$$

Given two points  $s < t$  in different intervals, say  $t_i \leq s \leq t_{i+1} \leq t_j \leq t \leq t_{j+1}$  for some  $i < j$ , by the triangle inequality we can bound  $|\delta Z_{st}^n| \leq |\delta Z_{st_{i+1}}^n| + |\delta Z_{t_{i+1} t_j}^n| + |\delta Z_{t_j t}^n|$ . Recalling (1.9) and (1.43), we then obtain  $\|\cdot\|_\alpha \leq 3 \|\cdot\|_{\alpha, \mathbb{T}_n}$ , hence by (2.58) we get

$$\|\delta Z^n\|_{\alpha, \tau} \leq 3 \vee (6 |\sigma(z_0)| \|\delta X\|_\alpha). \quad (2.62)$$

The family  $(Z^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is *equi-continuous* by (2.62) and *equi-bounded*, since  $Z_0^n = z_0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , hence by the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem it is *compact* in the space  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^k)$ . Let us denote by  $Z: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  any limit point. Plugging (2.58) into (2.57), by (2.61) we can write

$$\text{if } T^\alpha \leq \hat{\varepsilon}_{\alpha, X, \sigma}: \quad |\delta Z_{st}^n - \sigma(Z_s^n) \delta X_{st}| \leq c(z_0) (t-s)^{2\alpha} \quad \forall s < t \in \mathbb{T}_n, \quad (2.63)$$

where  $c(z_0) := C_{(\gamma+1)\alpha} [\sigma]_{C^\gamma} (3 \vee (6 |\sigma(z_0)| \|\delta X\|_\alpha))^\gamma \|\delta X\|_\alpha$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and observing that  $\mathbb{T}_n \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{n+1}$ , we see that (2.63) still holds with  $Z^n$  replaced by  $Z$  and  $\mathbb{T}_n$  replaced by the set  $\mathbb{T} := \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_{2^\ell} = \left( \left\{ \frac{i}{2^n}: i, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cap [0, T] \right) \cup \{T\}$  of dyadic rationals:

$$\text{if } T^\alpha \leq \hat{\varepsilon}_{\alpha, X, \sigma}: \quad |\delta Z_{st} - \sigma(Z_s) \delta X_{st}| \leq c(z_0) (t-s)^{2\alpha} \quad \forall s < t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Since  $\mathbb{T}$  is dense in  $[0, T]$  and  $Z$  is continuous, this bound extends to all  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ , which shows that  $Z$  is a solution of (2.3). This completes the proof.  $\square$

### Second part: locally Lipschitz case.

We now assume that  $\sigma$  is *locally  $\gamma$ -Hölder* and we fix  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . We also fix  $T > 0$  such that  $T \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha, X, \sigma}(z_0)$ , see (2.64), and we prove that there exists a solution  $Z: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  of (2.3) with  $Z_0 = z_0$ .

**THEOREM 2.16. (LOCAL EXISTENCE)** *Let  $X$  be of class  $C^\alpha$ , with  $\alpha \in ]\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , and let  $\sigma$  be locally Lipschitz (e.g. of class  $C^1$ ). For any  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and for  $T > 0$  small enough, i.e.*

$$T^\alpha \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha, X, \sigma}(z_0) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(C_{2\alpha} + 3) \|\delta X\|_\alpha \{1 + \sup_{|z-z_0| \leq |\sigma(z_0)|} |\nabla \sigma(z)|\}}, \quad (2.64)$$

there exists a solution  $(Z_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  of (2.3) with  $Z_0 = z_0$ .

Let  $\tilde{\sigma}$  be a globally  $\gamma$ -Hölder function (depending on  $z_0$ ) such that

$$\tilde{\sigma}(z) = \sigma(z) \quad \forall |z - z_0| \leq \sigma(z_0) \quad \text{and} \quad [\tilde{\sigma}]_{C^\gamma} = \sup_{|z - z_0| \leq \sigma(z_0)} |\nabla \sigma(z)|. \quad (2.65)$$

Since  $T \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha, X, \sigma}(z_0) \leq \hat{\varepsilon}_{\alpha, X, \sigma}$ , see (2.64) and (2.56), by the first part of the proof there exists a solution  $Z$  of (2.3) with  $\tilde{\sigma}$  in place of  $\sigma$  and  $Z_0 = z_0$ . We will prove that

$$|Z_t - z_0| \leq \sigma(z_0) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad (2.66)$$

therefore  $\tilde{\sigma}(Z_t) = \sigma(Z_t)$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , see (2.65). This means that  $Z$  is a solution of the original (2.3) with  $\sigma$ , which completes the proof of Theorem 2.16.

To prove (2.66), we apply the a priori estimate (2.13) with  $\tau = \infty$ : we note that  $T \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha, X, \sigma}(z_0) \leq \varepsilon_{\alpha, X, \sigma}$  (see (2.64) and (2.12)), and note that  $C_{2\alpha} \geq K_{2\alpha}$ , therefore

$$\|\delta Z\|_\alpha \leq 2 \|\delta X\|_\alpha |\sigma(z_0)|,$$

because  $\tilde{\sigma}(z_0) = \sigma(z_0)$ . Then for every  $t \in [0, T]$  we can bound

$$|Z_t - z_0| \leq T^\alpha \|\delta Z\|_\alpha \leq 2 T^\alpha \|\delta X\|_\alpha |\sigma(z_0)| \leq |\sigma(z_0)|,$$

where the last inequality holds because  $T^\alpha \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha, X, \sigma}(z_0) \leq (2 \|\delta X\|_\alpha)^{-1}$ , see (2.64). This completes the proof of (2.66).

## 2.7. ERROR ESTIMATE IN THE EULER SCHEME

We suppose in this section that  $\sigma$  is of class  $C^2$  with  $\|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty + \|\nabla^2 \sigma\|_\infty < +\infty$ .

**THEOREM 2.17.** *The Euler scheme converges at speed  $n^{2\alpha-1}$ .*

**Proof.** Let us set  $z_i := \partial y_i / \partial y_0$ , where  $(y_i)_{i \geq 0}$  is defined by (2.60). Then

$$z_{i+1} = z_i + \nabla \sigma(y_i) z_i \delta X_{t_i t_{i+1}}, \quad i \geq 0.$$

This shows that the pair  $(y_i, z_i)_{i \geq 0}$  satisfies a recurrence which is similar to (2.60) with a map  $\Sigma$  of class  $C^1$  and therefore we can apply the above results to obtain that  $|z_i| \leq \text{const}$ . In particular the map  $y_0 \rightarrow y_k$  is Lipschitz-continuous, uniformly over  $k \geq 0$ .

Let us call, for  $k \geq 0$ ,  $(z_\ell^{(k)})_{\ell \geq k}$  as the sequence which satisfies (2.60) but has initial value  $z_k^{(k)} = y(t_k)$ . Since  $(y(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a solution to (2.4), we have

$$|z_{k+1}^{(k)} - y(t_{k+1})| \lesssim n^{-2\alpha}.$$

Since the map  $y_0 \rightarrow y_k$  is Lipschitz-continuous uniformly over  $k \geq 0$ , we have

$$|z_\ell^{(k)} - z_\ell^{(k+1)}| \lesssim |z_{k+1}^{(k)} - y(t_{k+1})| \lesssim n^{-2\alpha}, \quad \ell \geq k+1.$$

Therefore

$$|y_\ell - y(t_\ell)| = |z_\ell^{(0)} - z_\ell^{(\ell)}| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} |z_\ell^{(k)} - z_\ell^{(k+1)}| \lesssim \frac{\ell}{n^{2\alpha}} = \frac{t_\ell}{n^{2\alpha-1}} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $t_\ell$  is bounded and  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

## 2.8. EXTRA: A VALUE FOR $\hat{\tau}$

We can give an explicit expression for  $\hat{\tau} = \hat{\tau}_{M_0, M, T}$  in Theorem 2.12, by tracking all the points in the proof where  $\tau$  is small enough, namely:

- for (2.36) we need  $\tau^\alpha \leq \frac{1}{15}$ ;
- for (2.40) we need  $\tau^\alpha \leq (\hat{\rho}_M)^\alpha := (2(K_{2\alpha} + 3)\mathfrak{c}_M)^{-1}$ ;
- for (2.42) we need  $\tau^\alpha \leq (6\mathfrak{c}_M)^{-1}$ , for (2.45) we need  $\tau^\alpha \leq (15\mathfrak{c}_M)^{-1}$ ;
- for (2.50) we need  $\tau^{(\gamma-1)\alpha} \leq (2K_{\gamma\alpha}\mathfrak{c}_M)^{-1}$ ;
- for (2.52) we need  $\tau^{(\gamma-1)\alpha} \leq (10K_{\gamma\alpha}\mathfrak{c}_M)^{-1}$  (first term in the RHS) and also  $\tau^{(\gamma-1)\alpha} \leq \left(K_{\gamma\alpha} e^{\frac{T}{\hat{\rho}_M}} M_0 M^2 \|\nabla^2 \sigma\|_\infty\right)^{-1}$  (second term in the RHS).

Since  $\mathfrak{c}_M = M \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty$ , see (2.34), it is easy to check that all these constraints are satisfied for  $0 < \tau \leq \hat{\tau}$  given by formula (2.53) in Remark 2.13.