

# Rough walks

Tal Orenshtain

University of Milano – Bicocca



C.I.M.E School “Statistical Mechanics and Stochastic PDEs”

Cetraro, September 11, 2023

# Plan

Rough paths and homogenization

Regenerative processes

Kipnis-Varadhan

Application: random conductance model

Quenched result

# Reminder: Rough paths, integrals, differential equations

Definition by Lyons '98: Let  $p \in (2, 3)$ . A  $p$ -variation **rough path** is a pair  $(Z, \mathbb{Z})$  defined by  $(Z_{s,t}, \mathbb{Z}_{s,t}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes d}$  for  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$  so that

- (i)  $\|Z\|_{p-\text{var}, [0, T]} + \|\mathbb{Z}\|_{p/2-\text{var}, [0, T]} < \infty$
- (ii) Algebraic relations  $0 \leq s < u < t \leq T$

1st level:  $Z_{s,t} = Z_{s,u} + Z_{u,t}$  increments of a path

$(Z_t := Z_{0,t} \text{ implies } Z_{s,t} = Z_t - Z_s)$

2st level:  $\mathbb{Z}_{s,t} - \mathbb{Z}_{s,u} - \mathbb{Z}_{u,t} = Z_{s,u} \otimes Z_{u,t}$  "Chen's relation".

(Example:  $\mathbb{Z}_{s,t} = \int_{(s,t]} \int_{(s,r_1]} dZ_{r_2} \otimes dZ_{r_1}$  for fixed notion of integration.)

Definition is more general, if  $p \geq 3$  the rough path has  $\lfloor p \rfloor$  levels.

## Reminder: Rough paths, integrals, differential equations

[Lyons '98, Gubinelli '04]:  $\mathcal{Z} := (Z, \mathbb{Z})$ . Construction of **rough integral** s.t.  
 $(Y, \mathcal{Z}) \mapsto \int_0^\cdot Y_s d\mathcal{Z}_s$  is continuous (if  $Y$  is “controlled by  $\mathcal{Z}$ ”);  
Existence of solutions of rough differential equations in space of paths  
“controlled by  $\mathcal{Z}$ ”;  
**Itô-Lyons map**  $\mathcal{Z} \mapsto Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t f(Y_s) d\mathcal{Z}_s$  is continuous.

In particular, if  $(Z^n, \mathbb{Z}^n) =: \mathcal{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  in rough path topology then (under conditions)

$$Y_t^n = Y_0^n + \int_0^t f(Y_s^n) d\mathcal{Z}_s^n \rightarrow Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t f(Y_s) d\mathcal{Z}_s.$$

# Random processes as rough paths

Cadlag/discrete-time process  $Z$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is fixed.

Interested to **lift**  $(Z, \mathbb{Z})$  to rough path space by setting

$$\mathbb{Z}_{s,t} := \int_{(s,t]} Z_{s,r} \otimes dZ_r,$$

where the notion of integration is fixed to be Stratonovich / Riemann–Stieltjes (interpolate linearly for discrete time).

**Example.** Stratonovich Brownian rough path  $(B, \mathbb{B})$  is the Stratonovich lift of Brownian motion, i.e.  $\mathbb{B}_{s,t} = \int_s^t \int_s^{r_1} dB_{r_2} \otimes \circ dB_{r_1}$ , the iterated Stratonovich integral of the Brownian motion  $B$  (with a certain covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ ).

## Effect of second level limit on SDE approximations

$(\Sigma, \Gamma)$  - Stratonovich Brownian rough path is a pair  $(B, \mathbb{B})$  so that  $B$  is a Brownian motion with covariance  $\Sigma$  and  $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{B}^{\text{Str}} + \Gamma \cdot$ , that is

$$\mathbb{B}_{s,t} = \int_s^t \int_s^{r_1} dB_{r_2} \otimes \circ dB_{r_1} + (t-s)\Gamma.$$

Kelly '16: Assume Stratonovich lift  $(Z^n, \mathbb{Z}^n)$  of semimartingales satisfies Functional CLT in the  $p$ -variation rough path topology, for some  $p > 2$ , where the limit  $(B, \mathbb{B})$  is a  $(\Sigma, \Gamma)$  - Stratonovich Brownian.

Fix  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Solutions to  $Y_t^n = Y_0^n + \int_0^t f(Y_s^n) \circ dZ_s^n$  converge weakly to the solution to

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t f(Y_s) \circ dB_s + \int_0^t \underbrace{\Gamma f(Y_s) \cdot f'(Y_s)}_{=\sum_{i,j=1}^d \Gamma^{i,j} f'_i(Y_s) f_j(Y_s)} ds.$$

Related: Chevyrev, Friz, Korepanov, Melbourne, Zhang, Hairer, Li,...  
Djurdjevac-Kremp-Perkowski, Kifer,...

# Functional CLT in rough path topology

Some possible questions and challenges:

- Stronger convergence; may require stronger tools.
- Interesting examples for nontrivial  $(\Sigma, \Gamma)$  - FCLT.
- Interpretation of  $\Gamma$ .

Natural candidates: various RWRE which satisfy classical FCLT.

# Regenerative processes

## Definition

A process  $X = (X_k)_{k \geq 0}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is called *regenerative* if its increments form a delayed renewal process: there are almost surely (random) times  $0 =: \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \dots < \infty$  so that

$$\left( \{X_{\tau_k, \tau_k+m}\}_{0 \leq m \leq \tau_{k+1}-\tau_k}, \tau_{k+1} - \tau_k \right)_{k \geq 1} \text{ are i.i.d}$$

and are independent of  $(\{X_m\}_{0 \leq m \leq \tau_1}, \tau_1)$ .

## Simple examples

- Random walk  $X_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k$ , by taking  $\tau_k = k$ .
- Additive functionals  $X_n = \sum_{k=1}^n f(Y_k)$ ,  $Y$  recurrent irreducible Markov;  $\tau_k$  is  $k$ -th hitting time.

# Functional CLT in the rough path topology

- $X$  - regenerative,  $\mathbb{E}[X_{\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}}] = 0$  (centered).
- Diffusive rescaling + **Stratonovich lift**  $(X_{s,t}^n, \mathbb{X}_{s,t}^n)_{0 \leq s < t \leq T}$ .  
$$(x_t^n := \frac{x_{\lfloor n^2 t \rfloor}}{n} + \frac{n^2 t - \lfloor n^2 t \rfloor}{n} X_{\lfloor n^2 t \rfloor, \lfloor n^2 t \rfloor + 1})$$

Theorem (Lopusanschi - O '21, O '21)

Assume  $\mathbb{E}\left[(\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k) \sup_{\tau_k < m \leq \tau_{k+1}} \|X_{\tau_k, m}\|^2\right] < \infty$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .

Then,  $(X^n, \mathbb{X}^n) \Rightarrow (B, \mathbb{B}^{Str} + \Gamma \cdot)$ , a Stratonovich rough Brownian, in the  $p$ -variation rough path topology,  $p \in (2, 3)$ . Moreover

$$\Gamma = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\text{Antisym}(\mathbb{X}_{\tau_1, \tau_2}^1)]}{\mathbb{E}[\tau_2 - \tau_1]}, \text{ the area anomaly.}$$

## Remarks

- Optimal moment condition (without delay).

Counter-example: second moment condition for jumps of random walks:

$$(\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k) \sup_{\tau_k < m \leq \tau_{k+1}} \|X_{\tau_k, m}\|^2 = 1 \cdot \|\xi_{k+1}\|^2$$

for all  $k \geq 0$ .

- In fact, enough any moment for the delaying epoch ( $k = 0$ ).
- Stationary regenerative: Green-Kubo type formula (in writing with M. Engel and P. Friz). To be mentioned again later.
- Area anomaly:  $\Gamma$  is the expected signed stochastic area in a regeneration interval, normalized by its expected length.

**From the proof:** How to see the area correction?  $X_{\tau_k} = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} X_{\tau_{j-1}, \tau_j}$  is a centred random walk with second moments jumps. Also,

$$S_{0, \tau_k}(X_{\cdot}) = S_{0, k}(X_{\tau_{\cdot}}) + \sum_{i=1}^k A_{\tau_{i-1}, \tau_i}(X_{\cdot}),$$

where  $S$  is the **middle-point** iterated sum,  $Q$  the QV (sum of product of increments) and  $A$  is the antisymmetric part of  $S$ .

# Applications and a question

- RW in deterministic **box-periodic environment** (or on periodic graphs): straight-forward construction of Markovian examples with non-vanishing area anomaly  $\Gamma$ .
- **Ballistic random walks in random environments** (that is i.i.d, uniformly elliptic, Sznitman T'),  $d \geq 2$ , annealed.
  - Sznitman-Zerner '99: delayed regenerative.
  - Sznitman '00: all moments are finite. Showed LLN and classical CLT.
- RW in **Dirichlet environments**. Annealed,  $d \geq 2$ , trap parameter  $\kappa > 3 +$  extra condition.
  - Bouchet-Ramírez-Sabot '13 ensures  $\kappa - \epsilon$  moments for regenerations.

# Applications and a question

- RW in deterministic **box-periodic environment** (or on periodic graphs): straight-forward construction of Markovian examples with non-vanishing area anomaly  $\Gamma$ .
- **Ballistic random walks in random environments** (that is i.i.d, uniformly elliptic, Sznitman T'),  $d \geq 2$ , annealed.
  - Sznitman-Zerner '99: delayed regenerative.
  - Sznitman '00: all moments are finite. Showed LLN and classical CLT.
- RW in **Dirichlet environments**. Annealed,  $d \geq 2$ , trap parameter  $\kappa > 3 +$  extra condition.
  - Bouchet-Ramírez-Sabot '13 ensures  $\kappa - \epsilon$  moments for regenerations.

Q. Is  $\Gamma \neq 0$  for (non-degenerate) ballistic RWRE?

# Kipnis-Varadhan in rough path topology

Theorem (Deuschel - O - Perkowski '21)

$X$  Markov,  $X_0 \sim \mu$  stationary and ergodic for  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^*$ .

$L^2(\mu) \ni F : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  s.t.  $\int F d\mu = 0$  and assume  $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$  condition.

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \int |\Phi_\lambda|^2 d\mu \rightarrow 0 \\ \int (\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_{\lambda'}) \otimes (-\mathcal{L})(\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_{\lambda'}) d\mu \rightarrow 0 \end{cases} \text{ if } (\lambda - \mathcal{L})\Phi_\lambda = F. \text{ Set}$$

$Z_t^n = n^{-1} \int_0^{n^2 t} F(X_s) ds$ . Then for the Stratonovich lift

$$(Z^n, \mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow (B, \mathbb{B}^{Str} + \cdot \Gamma)$$

in  $p$ -variation rough path topology,  $p \in (2, 3)$ , where  $B$  is a Brownian motion with covariance

$$\Sigma = 2 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[\Phi_\lambda \otimes (-\mathcal{L}_S)\Phi_\lambda]$$

# Kipnis-Varadhan in rough path topology

Theorem (Deuschel - O - Perkowski '21)

$X$  Markov,  $X_0 \sim \mu$  stationary and ergodic for  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^*$ .

$L^2(\mu) \ni F : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  s.t.  $\int F d\mu = 0$  and assume  $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$  condition.

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \int |\Phi_\lambda|^2 d\mu \rightarrow 0 \\ \int (\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_{\lambda'}) \otimes (-\mathcal{L})(\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_{\lambda'}) d\mu \rightarrow 0 \end{cases} \text{ if } (\lambda - \mathcal{L})\Phi_\lambda = F. \text{ Set}$$

$Z_t^n = n^{-1} \int_0^{n^2 t} F(X_s) ds$ . Then for the Stratonovich lift

$$(Z^n, \mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow (B, \mathbb{B}^{Str} + \cdot \Gamma)$$

in  $p$ -variation rough path topology,  $p \in (2, 3)$ , where  $B$  is a Brownian motion with covariance

$$\Sigma = 2 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[\Phi_\lambda \otimes (-\mathcal{L}_S)\Phi_\lambda] \text{ and}$$

# Kipnis-Varadhan in rough path topology

Theorem (Deuschel - O - Perkowski '21)

$X$  Markov,  $X_0 \sim \mu$  stationary and ergodic for  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^*$ .

$L^2(\mu) \ni F : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  s.t.  $\int F d\mu = 0$  and assume  $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$  condition.

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \int |\Phi_\lambda|^2 d\mu \rightarrow 0 \\ \int (\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_{\lambda'}) \otimes (-\mathcal{L})(\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_{\lambda'}) d\mu \rightarrow 0 \end{cases} \text{ if } (\lambda - \mathcal{L})\Phi_\lambda = F. \text{ Set}$$

$Z_t^n = n^{-1} \int_0^{n^2 t} F(X_s) ds$ . Then for the Stratonovich lift

$$(Z^n, \mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow (B, \mathbb{B}^{Str} + \cdot \Gamma)$$

in  $p$ -variation rough path topology,  $p \in (2, 3)$ , where  $B$  is a Brownian motion with covariance

$$\Sigma = 2 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[\Phi_\lambda \otimes (-\mathcal{L}_S)\Phi_\lambda] \text{ and } \Gamma = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[\Phi_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{L}_A \Phi_\lambda].$$

# Kipnis-Varadhan in rough path topology

Theorem (Deuschel - O - Perkowski '21)

$X$  Markov,  $X_0 \sim \mu$  stationary and ergodic for  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^*$ .

$L^2(\mu) \ni F : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  s.t.  $\int F d\mu = 0$  and assume  $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$  condition.

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \int |\Phi_\lambda|^2 d\mu \rightarrow 0 \\ \int (\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_{\lambda'}) \otimes (-\mathcal{L})(\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_{\lambda'}) d\mu \rightarrow 0 \end{cases} \text{ if } (\lambda - \mathcal{L})\Phi_\lambda = F. \text{ Set}$$

$Z_t^n = n^{-1} \int_0^{n^2 t} F(X_s) ds$ . Then for the Stratonovich lift

$$(Z^n, \mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow (B, \mathbb{B}^{Str} + \cdot \Gamma)$$

in  $p$ -variation rough path topology,  $p \in (2, 3)$ , where  $B$  is a Brownian motion with covariance

$$\Sigma = 2 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[\Phi_\lambda \otimes (-\mathcal{L}_S)\Phi_\lambda] \text{ and } \Gamma = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[\Phi_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{L}_A \Phi_\lambda].$$

Remarks. (i) In particular, correction vanishes if  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^*$ .

(ii) Can be applied to regenerative in stationary Markov setting.

(iii) [Engel-Friz-O '23+]: Beyond Markov (stationary processes): the formulae expressed in terms of time correlations (Green-Kubo type).

# Random conductances and additive functionals

- Environments  $\{\omega(x, y) = \omega(y, x) : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d, x \sim y\}$ .
- For fixed  $\omega$  consider  $P_o^\omega$  the law of continuous time random walk  $X^\omega$  with jumps rates  $\omega(x, y)$  from  $x$  to  $y$  starting at  $o$ .
- Idea: the environment seen from the walker  $(\omega_t := \tau_{X_t} \omega)_{t \geq 0}$  is Markov.
- $\mathbb{P}$  initial law  $\{\omega(x, y)\}_{x \sim y}$  shift-invariant ergodic implies the process  $(\omega_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is ergodic reversible (e.g. [Kozlov '85]).
- Can decompose

$$X_t = X_t^\omega = M_t + Z_t, \quad M \text{ martingale}$$

and  $Z_t = \int_0^t F(\omega_s) ds$  additive functional with

$$F(\omega) = \sum_{e \sim 0} e \omega(0, e) \text{ the empirical drift.}$$

# Application to RW in random conductances

Assume conductances on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  independent but stationary (e.g., i.i.d) and uniformly elliptic (bdd away from 0 and  $\infty$ , uniformly) under  $P$ .  
Let  $\mathbb{P}_o$  be the annealed law (averaging  $P_o^\omega$  with respect to  $P$ )

**Theorem (Deuschel - O - Perkowski '21)**

*For the Stratonovich lift  $(X^n, \mathbb{X}^n)$  under the annealed law  $\mathbb{P}_o$*

$$(X^n, \mathbb{X}^n) \rightarrow (B, \mathbb{B}^{Str})$$

*weakly in  $p$ -variation rough path topology,  $p \in (2, 3)$ ,*

Note convergence to Stratonovich (without anomaly!).

# Quenched FCLT in rough path topology

With [Johannes Bäumler](#), [Noam Berger](#) and [Martin Slowik](#).

**Settings.**  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $d \geq 3$ , i.i.d nearest neighbor conductances in  $\{0\} \cup [a, b]$ .

The assertion remains as in the annealed case except that the weak convergence takes place with respect to  $\mathbb{P}_0^\omega$  for  $P$ -a.e.- $\omega$ .

# On the proof

In the proof we use two crucial pieces of information:

- Gloria-Neukamm-Otto '14, Dario '18 moments of the corrector  
 $\mathbb{E}|\chi(\omega, x)|^p < C_p$  for all  $p > 0$ .
- Quenched Heat kernel bounds: Mathieu-Remy 04, Barlow 04.

**Key Lemma.** Corrector  $\chi$  not only approximated by gradients, but is a gradient:  $\chi(\omega, x) = D\varphi(\omega, x) = \varphi(\tau_x \omega) - \varphi(\omega)$  for some  $\varphi$ .

Moreover,

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} E_0^\omega [\|\varphi(\tau_{X_t} \omega)\|^q] < c_q(\omega) \text{ a.s., for all } q > 0.$$

- Tightness. Corrector: “uniform” ellipticity guarantees jumps proportional to time, then deducing by heat kernel. Martingale: bounded jumps enables transferring estimates to Martingale.
- Identification of limit: Kurtz-Protter '91 classical result on convergence of stochastic integrals + ergodic theorem for deterministic limit terms (plus Slutsky's theorem).

Thank you for your attention!