

Regular coupling of probability measures on spaces of distributions;

FRANCESCO DE VECCHI

Università degli Studi di Pavia

based on a joint work with Massimiliano Gubinelli and Mattia Turra

The Mathematics of Subjective Probability,
September 10th 2025, Milano

Introduction

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Thanks to **Osterwalder-Schrader** formulation of (constructive) quantum field theory, in order to build a (bosonic) quantum field we need to define a **Gibbs measure** on the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ heuristically given by the expression

$$\mu(d\varphi) = \frac{"e^{-S(\varphi)}}{Z} \mathcal{D}\varphi$$

In the case of bosonic scalar particle

$$\begin{aligned} S(\varphi) &= S_{\text{free}}(\varphi) + S_{\text{inf}}(\varphi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) (-\Delta + m^2) \varphi(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(\varphi(x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

When $V = 0$, the measure

$$\mu_{\text{free}}(d\varphi) = \frac{"e^{-S_{\text{free}}(\varphi)}"}{Z} \mathcal{D}\varphi$$

is the Gaussian measure with covariance $(-\Delta + m^2)^{-1}$.

Interacting case: The measure becomes

$$\mu_{\text{int}}(d\varphi) = "\exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(\varphi(x))dx\right)" \mu_{\text{free}}(d\varphi),$$

Two difficulties:

- for $d \geq 1$ the support of μ_{free} is not contained in a space of functions (generally $\text{supp}(\mu_{\text{free}}) \subset H_{\ell}^{\frac{-d+1}{2}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^2)$) (*continuum limit*),
- for $d \geq 0$ the support of μ is not contained in a space of “bounded at infinity” objects and so the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(\varphi(x))dx$ is infinite (*infinite volume limit*).

We try to define μ_{int} as a (weak) limit of a sequence of approximate measures, e.g.

$$\mu_{\text{int}} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_{\text{int}}^\varepsilon := \exp \left(- \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\varepsilon(x) V_\varepsilon(g_\varepsilon * (\varphi)(x)) \, dx \right) \mu_{\text{free}}(d\varphi)$$

where g_ε is a smooth mollifier, $f_\varepsilon \rightarrow 1$ is a (positive) regular function with compact support, and $V_\varepsilon: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a regular function which is a modification of the potential V depending on ε .

The previous definition depends, in principle, on the regularization f_ε , g_ε and V_ε used to define the regularized measure $\mu_{\text{int}}^\varepsilon$. This dependence is particularly important in the case of infinite volume limit. We want to study a definition of the measure μ_{int} not (directly) depending on a specific approximations $\mu_{\text{int}}^\varepsilon$ but only on the action S (or some renormalized version of S).

Integration by parts formula

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

There is an other possible characterization of the measure $\mu = e^{-S(\varphi)} \mathcal{D}\varphi$. Indeed, exploiting the alleged translation invariance of $\mathcal{D}\varphi$, we get the equality

$$0 = \int \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi} (e^{-S(\varphi)} F(\varphi)) \mathcal{D}\varphi$$

which is equivalent to the **integration by parts formula**

$$\int \frac{\delta S(\varphi)}{\delta\varphi} F(\varphi) \mu(d\varphi) = \int \frac{\delta F(\varphi)}{\delta\varphi} \mu(d\varphi).$$

This equation implies a infinite set of conditions which Schwinger functions S_N must satisfy: they are usually called **Dyson-Schwinger equations**. In probabilistic terms Dyson-Schwinger equations are a form of integration by parts formula.

The naif form of Dyson-Schwinger equations is often not well defined, since it is necessary to incorporate the renormalization procedure. For this reason we introduce the renormalized action

$$S_\varepsilon(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2}(|\nabla_x \varphi|^2 + m^2|\varphi|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\varepsilon(x) V_\varepsilon((g_\varepsilon * \varphi)(x)) dx.$$

In the interacting case the integration by parts formula becomes a condition satisfied in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, namely we say that the measure μ

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{\delta S_\varepsilon(\varphi)}{\delta \varphi} F(\varphi) \mu(d\varphi) = \int \frac{\delta F(\varphi)}{\delta \varphi} \mu(d\varphi).$$

More formally, if E is a Banach space where $\frac{\delta S_\varepsilon}{\delta \varphi}$ is well defined and \mathcal{M} is a family of probability measure on E we define:

Definition (D-Gubinelli-Turra, 2022) We say that a measure $\nu \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies the **integration by parts formula with respect to $\left(\frac{\delta S_\varepsilon}{\delta \varphi}\right)_{\varepsilon > 0}$** and \mathcal{M} (**IBPF**) if, for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$\int_E \left\langle \frac{\delta F(\varphi)}{\delta \varphi}, f \right\rangle \nu(d\varphi) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_E F(\varphi) \left\langle \frac{\delta S_\varepsilon}{\delta \varphi}, f \right\rangle \nu(d\varphi), \quad \text{for any } F \in \text{Cyl}_E^b.$$

With the previous definition we can ask the following questions:

1. Does the IBPF equation have solutions?
2. Are the solutions to equation IBPF unique?
3. If $\mu_{\text{int}} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_{\text{int}}^\varepsilon$ exists, is it a solution to IBPF?

Related problems: FPK equation

8/28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

If we consider the second order operator given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon(F)(\varphi) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{L^2} \left(\frac{\delta^2 F}{\delta \varphi^2}(\varphi) \right) - \left\langle \frac{\delta S_\varepsilon}{\delta \varphi}, \frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi} \right\rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{L^2} \left(\frac{\delta^2 F}{\delta \varphi^2}(\varphi) \right) \\ &\quad - \int \left(-\Delta \varphi + m^2 \varphi + g_\varepsilon * (f_\varepsilon V'_\varepsilon(g_\varepsilon * \varphi)) \right)(x) \frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi}(x) \, dx,\end{aligned}$$

we can reformulate the integration by parts problem through a second order (symmetric) equation $\mathcal{L}^{\varepsilon,*}(\nu) = 0$. Indeed we have that

$$\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon(\varphi(x)F) - \varphi(x) \mathcal{L}^\varepsilon(F) = -\frac{\delta S_\varepsilon(\varphi)}{\delta \varphi}(x) F(\varphi) + \frac{\delta F(\varphi)}{\delta \varphi}(x).$$

Definition The probability measure $\nu \in \mathcal{M}$ (\mathcal{M} is a subset of Borel measures on E) is a **symmetric solution to the Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation (FPK equation)** associated with the stochastic quantization operator $\{\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ if for any $F, G \in \text{Cyl}_E^b$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_E \mathcal{L}^\varepsilon(F)(\varphi) \nu(d\varphi) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_E G(\varphi) \mathcal{L}^\varepsilon(F)(\varphi) \nu(d\varphi) - \int_E \mathcal{L}^\varepsilon(G)(\varphi) F(\varphi) \nu(d\varphi) \right) = 0.$$

Taking very mild assumptions on the space E and on the set of measures \mathcal{M} (in particular requiring that $\|\varphi\|_E \in L^p(\nu)$ for some $p \geq 1$ big enough and for any $\nu \in \mathcal{M}$), it is possible to prove that ν is a symmetric solution to FPK equation if and only if ν is a solution to the IBPF.

The study of solutions to (stationary) FPK equation is a classical topic in stochastic analysis.

When E is finite dimensional the research is very well developed, and existence and uniqueness for symmetric (and nonsymmetric) solutions has been proved with very weak assumptions on the drift $\frac{\delta S_\varepsilon(\varphi)}{\delta \varphi}$ (see, e.g., the book [Bogachev-Krylov-Röckner-Shaposhnikov, 2015/2022] and references within). In the infinite dimensional setting there are fewer results, mainly for the non-renormalized case $\frac{\delta S_\varepsilon}{\delta \varphi} = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi}$ (incomplete list):

- The existence for infinite dimensional FPK equation (even if non-singular) using Lyapunov functions by [Kirillov, 1994] (on non-renormalized equation with $V(x) = \cos(\beta x)$) and by [Bogachev-Röckner, 2001];
- The problem of uniqueness of equation with (singular in another sense) dissipative drift was considered by [Bogachev-DaPrato-Röckner, 2009] (see also [Röckner-Zhu-Zhu, 2014]).

A case study: the exponential interaction

11/28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

We focus on a case where it is (partially) possible to answer to the previous questions. Namely, we consider the case where $d=2$ and

$$V(z) = \exp(\beta z), \quad V_\varepsilon(z) = \exp\left(\beta z - \frac{\beta^2}{2} C_\varepsilon\right),$$

where $C_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\hat{g}_\varepsilon(k)|^2}{|k|^2 + m^2} dk \sim \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$, $\beta > 0$, and $d=1$ (namely $\mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^2$).

This model, studied firstly by [Høegh-Krohn, 1971] and [Albeverio-Høegh-Krohn, 1973], has important applications to Liouville quantum gravity.

The stochastic quantization of this model has been studied by [Garban, 2020], [Hoshino-Kawabi-Kusuoka, 2021 and 2020], [Oh-Robert-Wang, 2021], [Oh-Robert-Tzvetkov-Wang, 2020] (on compact manifolds), and by [Albeverio-D-Gubinelli, 2021] and [Barashkov-D, 2025] on \mathbb{R}^2 .

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

The first problem in solving the previous equation is that the limit

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta S_\varepsilon}{\delta \varphi}(\varphi)$$

does not exist with respect to a generic measure $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{Radon}}(E)$.

A simple class of measure for which the limit is defined is **the set of absolutely continuous measure w.r.t. μ_{free}** . Due to the ergodicity of μ_{int} with respect to space/time translations it cannot be absolutely continuous w.r.t. μ_{free} .

The idea is to extend the Da Prato-Debussche trick from the singular SPDEs to the study of measures on infinite dimensional spaces.

Regular coupling of probability measures

13/28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Idea: Space of measures close to the GFF but not necessarily absolutely continuous to it. Let A be a convex cone in E with stronger norm than \underline{E} and consider

$$d_A^p(\nu_1, \nu_2) = \inf_{\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu_1, \nu_2)} \int \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_A^p \sigma(d\varphi_1, d\varphi_2)$$

for some $p > 0$. Then we define the set of possible solution $\mathcal{M}_A := \{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{Randon}}(E) : d_A^p(\nu, \mu_{\text{free}}) < +\infty\}$.

If $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_A$ it means that there is a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and two random variables $X : \Omega \rightarrow E$ and $Y : \Omega \rightarrow A \subset E$ such that

$$\mu_{\text{free}} = \text{Law}_{\mathbb{P}}(X), \quad \nu = \text{Law}_{\mathbb{P}}(X + Y).$$

Coupling and absolutely continuity

14/28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Let $E = \mathcal{C}_\ell^{-\delta}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and consider

$A = H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with its natural norm.

In this case \mathcal{M}_A^p is closely related to the set of absolutely continuous measures w.r.t. μ_{free} .

- [Üstunel-Zakai,2000] proved that if ν is equivalent to μ_{free} there exists a coupling in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$;
- [Feyel-Üstunel,2003], [Fang-Shao-Sturm,2010], [Bogachev-Kolesnikov,2013] proved and used that $\{\mathcal{H}(\nu, \mu_{\text{free}})\} \subset \mathcal{M}_A^2$;
- In [Cavalletti,2012] the space $\mathcal{M}_A^1 \subset \{\nu \text{a. c. w.r.t } \mu_{\text{free}}\}$ is studied proving using optimal transport.

Regular couplings and QFT

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

- In [Barashkov-Gubinelli,2021] φ_2^4 , $A = H_\ell^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\exp(\beta\varphi)_2$, $\beta^2 < 8\pi$, $A = H_\ell^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$
- [Bauerschmidt-Hofstetter,2022] $\cos(\beta\varphi)_2$, $\beta^2 < 6\pi$, $A = \mathcal{C}^{2-\frac{\beta^2}{4\pi}}(\mathbb{T}^2)$, [Barashkov-Gunaratnam-Hofstetter,2023] $P(\varphi)_2$, and $A = H^{2-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T}^2)$, [Hofstetter-Zeitouni,2025] $\exp(\beta\varphi)_2$, $\beta^2 < 8\pi$, $A = \mathcal{C}^{2-\eta(\beta)-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ where $\eta(\beta) = \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{\beta^2}{4\pi}$;
- [Barashkov,2022] $\cos(\beta\varphi)_2$, $\beta^2 < 4\pi$, $A = L_\ell^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, [Gubinelli-Meyer,2024] $\cos(\beta\varphi)_2$, $\beta^2 < 6\pi$, $A = B_{p,p,\ell}^{2-\frac{\beta^2}{4\pi}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^2)$;
- [Barashkov-D,2025] coupling for $\cosh(\beta\varphi)_2$ for $\beta^2 < 4\pi$ and $A = H_\ell^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$.
- [Gubinelli-Hofmanova,2021] coupling for φ_3^4 measure in $A = \mathcal{C}_\ell^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^3)$;
- In [Barashkov-D-Zachhuber,2023] Gaussian Gibbs measure for Anderson Hamiltonian $H^{\text{And}} = -\Delta + \xi - \infty$, $A = H^{1-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T}^2)$;

Application to integration by parts

16/28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

In the specific case of exponential models we consider

$$E = B_X + B_Y$$

where $B_X = \mathcal{C}_\ell^{-\delta}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and

$$B_Y = B_{p(\beta), p(\beta), \ell}^{s(\beta)}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \{\text{negative functions}\}$$

where $0 < s(\beta) < 1$ and $p(\beta) \in (1, +\infty)$ are suitable numbers (depending on β).

We recall that the operator \mathcal{L}^ε reads as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon(F)(\varphi) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{L^2} \left(\frac{\delta^2 F}{\delta \varphi^2}(\varphi) \right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (-\Delta \varphi + m^2 \varphi) \frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi}(x) \, dx \\ &\quad - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_\varepsilon * \left(f_\varepsilon \exp \left(\beta g_\varepsilon * \varphi - \frac{\beta^2}{2} C_\varepsilon \right) \right)(x) \frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi}(x) \, dx.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma For any $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{B_Y}$ there exists a Borel probability measure σ on $B_X \times B_Y$ for which

$$P_{X,*}(\sigma) = \mu_{\text{free}}, \quad P_{X+Y,*}(\mu) = \nu$$

with $P_X, P_{X+Y}: B_X \times B_Y \rightarrow E$ s.t. $P_X(X, Y) = X$, $P_{X+Y}(X, Y) = X + Y$.

We call the space of measures with the previous properties $\mathcal{M}_{B_X \times B_Y}$.

The previous lemma permit to lift the FPK equation from the space E to the space $B_X \times B_Y$, indeed defining

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{X,Y}^\varepsilon(G)(X,Y) &= \text{Tr}_{L^2}\left(\frac{\delta^2 G}{\delta X^2}(X,Y)\right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (-\Delta + m^2)X(x)\frac{\delta G}{\delta X}(x) \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (-\Delta + m^2)Y(x)\frac{\delta G}{\delta Y}(x) \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \beta g_\varepsilon * \left(f_\varepsilon \exp\left(\beta g_\varepsilon * (X+Y) - \frac{\beta^2}{2} C_\varepsilon\right) \right) \frac{\delta G}{\delta Y}(x) \, dx.\end{aligned}$$

If $F: \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is regular enough, taking $\varphi = X + Y$, then

$$\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon(F)(X+Y) = \mathcal{L}_{X,Y}^\varepsilon(F(X+Y)).$$

The introduction of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{X,Y}^\varepsilon$ is based on the Da Prato-Debussche trick for studying singular SPDEs.

The main advantage of considering the operator $\mathcal{L}_{X,Y}^\varepsilon$ is the following.

Proposition Suppose $\beta^2 < 8\pi$, then there are $p > 1$, $s, \ell, \ell', \delta > 0$ such that, taking

$$B_X = C_{\ell'}^{-\delta}(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad B_Y = B_{p,p,\ell}^{s-\delta}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \{\text{negative functions}\},$$

we have a set $\tilde{\Omega}_X \subset B_X$, with $\mu_{\text{free}}(\tilde{\Omega}_X) = 1$, for which there exists an operator $\mathcal{L}_{X,Y}$ such that, for every smooth, bounded cylinder function $G: B_X \times B_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}_{X,Y}^\varepsilon(G)(X, Y) = \mathcal{L}_{X,Y}(G)(X, Y)$$

for every $(X, Y) \in P_X^{-1}(\tilde{\Omega}_X)$.

The proof is based on the fact that

$$\exp\left(\beta g_\varepsilon * X - \frac{\beta^2}{2} C_\varepsilon\right) \rightarrow : \exp(\beta X) :$$

the Wick exponential of the Gaussian field X , and the convergence is ν_{free} -a.e.
 The convergence is in distributions (as positive measure) and in the Besov space

$$B_{r,r,\ell''}^{-\frac{\beta^2}{4\pi}(r-1)-\delta}(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad \beta^2 r < 8\pi, r \geq 1.$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{X,Y}(G)(X, Y) &= \text{Tr}_{L^2}\left(\frac{\delta^2 G}{\delta X^2}(X, Y)\right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (-\Delta + m^2)X(x) \frac{\delta G}{\delta X}(x) \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left((-\Delta + m^2)Y + \beta : \exp(\beta X) : e^{\beta Y} \right) \frac{\delta G}{\delta Y}(x) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Main results: Existence of solutions

21/28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Theorem (D-Gubinelli-Turra, 2022) For any $\beta^2 < 8\pi$, there is a measure $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{B_Y}$ which is solution to the IBPF associated with $\frac{\delta S_\varepsilon}{\delta \varphi}$.

Remark: In the paper we prove a stronger result: there is a probability measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{Radon}}(B_X \times B_Y)$ such that $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{B_X \times B_Y}$, i.e.

$$P_{X,*}(\mu) = \nu_{\text{free}}, \quad P_{X+Y,*}(\mu) = \nu \in \mathcal{M}_{B_Y}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\int_{B_X \times B_Y} \mathcal{L}_{X,Y}(G)(X, Y) \mu(dX, dY) = 0, \quad G \in \text{Cyl}_{B_X \times B_Y}^b$$

$$\int_{B_X \times B_Y} (\mathcal{L}_{X,Y}(F)H - \mathcal{L}_{X,Y}(H)F)(X + Y) \mu(dX, dY) = 0, \quad F, H \in \text{Cyl}_E^b$$

The existence is based on the presence of the Lyapunov functions

$$\mathcal{L}_{X,Y}^\varepsilon(V_1(X,Y)) \leq -V_2(X,Y) + V_3(X),$$

where $V_1, V_2: B_Y \times B_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, V_2 has compact sublevels, and $V_3: B_X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\int_{B_X} V_3(X) \nu_{\text{free}}(dX) < +\infty$$

In particular,

$$V_1(X, Y) = \|X\|_{B_{p,p,\ell}^{-s'}}^p + \|Y\|_{B_{p,p,\ell}^s}^p,$$

$$V_2(X, Y) = (1 - \sigma) \|X\|_{B_{p,p,\ell}^{-s'+2/p}}^p + C_\sigma \|Y\|_{B_{p,p,\ell}^{s+2/p}}^p,$$

$$V_3(X) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(C + \|:\exp(\beta X):\|_{B_{\exp}^{r,\ell}}^{(pr-r+1)/(pr^2)} \right).$$

Main results: Uniqueness of solutions

23/28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Consider $\tilde{\gamma} := 3 - 2\sqrt{2} \approx 0.172$.

Theorem (D-Gubinelli-Turra, 2022) Suppose that $\beta^2 < 4\pi\tilde{\gamma}$.

Then, the solution to the IBPF problem w.r.t. $\left(\frac{\delta S_\varepsilon}{\delta \varphi}\right)_\varepsilon$ and \mathcal{M}_{BY} is unique.

Remark: We also get a uniqueness result for a slightly more restrictive formulation (namely the FPK equation associated with the operator $\mathcal{L}_{X,Y}$) of the IBPF problem in the regime $\alpha^2 < 4\pi\gamma$, where $\gamma \approx 0.55$.

The proof is essentially based on the study of the (classical) solutions to the resolvent equation

$$(\mathcal{L}_{X,Y}^\varepsilon(G_\varepsilon^\lambda) - \lambda G_\varepsilon^\lambda)(X, Y) = F(X, Y).$$

Proposition Let $F \in \text{Cyl}_{B_X \times B_Y}$ be a function with compact support in Fourier variables, then there exists a classical solution $G_\varepsilon^\lambda \in C^2(B_X \times B_Y)$ to the resolvent equation. This solution has the following properties:

- i. There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for every $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{B_X \times B_Y}$ and for every $\varsigma \in (0, 1)$, there are two constants $C_{\mu_1, \mu_2, \varsigma} > 0$ and $K > 0$ such that

$$\lambda \left| \int G_\varepsilon^\lambda (\mathrm{d}\mu_1 - \mathrm{d}\mu_2) \right| \lesssim \varsigma + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + K} C_{\mu_1, \mu_2, \varsigma},$$

where the constant included in the symbol \lesssim does not depend on $\lambda, \mu_1, \mu_2, \varepsilon$ or ς .

- ii. If $\beta^2 < 4\pi\tilde{\gamma}$, then there exists $q > 1$ such that, for every measurable $\mathcal{K}: B_X \times B_Y \rightarrow B_{q, q, \ell/2}^{s(\beta)}$ and every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{B_X \times B_Y}$, we have

$$\int |\langle \nabla_Y G_\varepsilon^\lambda, \mathcal{K} \rangle| \mathrm{d}\mu \lesssim_\lambda \left(\int \|\mathcal{K}\|_{B_{q, q, \ell/2}^{s(\beta)}}^q \mathrm{d}\mu \right)^{1/q},$$

uniformly in $\varepsilon > 0$.

Idea of proof of the Theorem: Suppose that μ_1 and μ_2 are two solutions then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int F(d\mu_1 - d\mu_2) = \\
 &= - \int (\lambda G_\varepsilon^\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{X,Y}^\varepsilon(G_\varepsilon^\lambda) + \mathcal{L}_{X,Y}(G_\varepsilon^\lambda))(d\mu_1 - d\mu_2) \\
 &= \lambda \int G_\varepsilon^\lambda (d\mu_2 - d\mu_1) + \int \langle \beta (:e^{\beta X}: e^{\beta Y} - f_\varepsilon :e^{\beta g_\varepsilon * X}: e^{\beta g_\varepsilon * Y}), \nabla_Y G_\varepsilon^\lambda \rangle (d\mu_2 - d\mu_1) \\
 &\lesssim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varsigma + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + K} C_{\mu_1, \mu_2, \varsigma} \rightarrow \varsigma \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $F = \lambda G_\varepsilon^\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{X,Y}^\varepsilon(G_\varepsilon^\lambda)$ and that (since G_ε^λ is regular enough)

$$\int \mathcal{L}_{X,Y}(G_\varepsilon^\lambda) d\mu_1 = \int \mathcal{L}_{X,Y}(G_\varepsilon^\lambda) d\mu_2 = 0$$

being μ_1 and μ_2 solution to the FPK equation associated with $\mathcal{L}_{X,Y}$.

Idea of proof of the Proposition (resolvent equation): Consider the system

$$\partial_t X_t^\varepsilon = -(-\Delta + m^2)X_t^\varepsilon + \xi_t, \quad (2)$$

$$\partial_t Y_t^\varepsilon = -(-\Delta + m^2)Y_t^\varepsilon - f_\varepsilon : e^{\beta g_\varepsilon * X_t^\varepsilon} : e^{\beta g_\varepsilon * Y_t^\varepsilon}, \quad (3)$$

then

$$G_\varepsilon^\lambda(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}_{X_0^\varepsilon = X, Y_0^\varepsilon = Y} \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} F(X_t^\varepsilon, Y_t^\varepsilon) dt \right].$$

From which, at least formally, we get

$$\nabla_Y G_\varepsilon^\lambda(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}_{X_0^\varepsilon = X, Y_0^\varepsilon = Y} \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} dF(X_t^\varepsilon, Y_t^\varepsilon) [\nabla_{Y_0} X_t^\varepsilon, \nabla_{Y_0} Y_t^\varepsilon] dt \right]$$

etc.

It is essential to study the derivatives of the flow with respect to the initial conditions. For example $\nabla_{Y_0} Y_t^\varepsilon[h]$ which solves the following SPDE

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + m^2 + \beta^2 f_\varepsilon : e^{\beta g_\varepsilon * X} : e^{\beta g_\varepsilon * Y} g_\varepsilon *) \nabla_{Y_0} Y_t^\varepsilon[h] = 0, \quad \nabla_{Y_0} Y_0^\varepsilon[h] = h.$$

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + m^2 + V_\varepsilon''(\varphi)) \nabla_{Y_0} Y_t^\varepsilon[h] = 0$$

From the previous equation we are able to get some apriori estimates

$$\| \tilde{g}_\varepsilon * \nabla_{Y_0} Y_t^\varepsilon[h] \|_{L_\ell^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim e^{-kt} \| h \|_{L_\ell^2(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \| \tilde{g}_\varepsilon * (\nabla_{Y_0} Y_t^\varepsilon[h] - e^{(-\Delta+m^2)t} h) \|_{L_\ell^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\lesssim \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^\sigma \| f_\varepsilon : e^{\alpha(g_\varepsilon * X_s)} : \|_{L_{\ell_1}^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}_+, B_{r_1, r_1, \ell_1}^{s_1})} \| h \|_{B_{r_2, r_2, \ell_2}^{s_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Open problems

28/28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

- Study the Wesserstein space \mathcal{M}_A (for different A), its relation with absolutely continuous measures and its optimal transport properties;
- Generalize the various analytical construction of operators theory (like resolvent, core etc.) for operators defined on the “dual spaces” of \mathcal{M}_A (this problem is related with relation between invariance and infinitesimal invariance for SPDEs);
- Measures with additional structure (i.e. $\varphi_{4-\varepsilon}^4$ measures);
- Use the coupling for studying properties of the measures (like Markovianity (see [Barashkov-Gunaratnam,2025]), or more generally definition of Gibbs measures (on the continuum) in Dobrushin-Lanford-Ruelle approach).

Thank you for the attention!