

SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

IVS, Inc. (AngelTrax Video Surveillance)

9540 US Highway 84 West, Newton, AL36352, USA

FCC ID: 2ACSGPTX1000

Report Type: **Product Type:** Original Report Wireless Microphone (Transmitter) Wilson then **Test Engineer:** Wilson Chen **Report Number:** RSZ140702003-20 **Report Date:** 2014-08-12 BellHu Bell Hu **Reviewed By:** SAR Engineer Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, ShiHua Road, FuTian Free Trade Zone Prepared By: Shenzhen, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-755-33320018

Note: This test report is prepared for the customer shown above and for the device described herein. It may not be duplicated or used in part without prior written consent from Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp.

Fax: +86-755-33320008 www.baclcorp.com.cn

Attestation of Test Results					
	Comp	any Name	IVS, Inc. (AngelTrax Video Surveillance)		
	EUT Description Wireless Microphone		Wireless Microphone		
EUT Information		FCC ID	2ACSGPTX1000		
22202 2240202	Mode	el Number	PWM1000		
		Test Date	2014-08-01		
Modulation		Max. S	SAR Level(s) Report	Limit (W/Kg)	
Analog	12.5kHz	Face up: 0.053 W/kg (corrected by Multiplying 50%.) Body Back: 0.079 W/kg (corrected by Multiplying 50%.)			
Applicable Standards	ANSI / IEEE C95.1: 2005 IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fileds,3 kHz to 300 GHz. ANSI / IEEE C95.3: 2002 IEEE Recommended Practice for Measurements and Computations of Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields With Respect to Human Exposure to SuchFields,100 kHz—300 GHz. IEEE1528:2013 IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques KDB procedures KDB 447498 D01 Mobile and Portable Devices RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies KDB 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01.				

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Note: This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for Occupational/Controlled Exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Standards and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013 and RF exposure KDB procedures.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

SAR Evaluation Report 2 of 58

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	4
EUT DESCRIPTION	5
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION	5
REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES	6
SAR LIMITS	7
FACILITIES	8
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM	9
EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION	16
EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION	
SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION	17
Liquid Verification System Accuracy Verification SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA	19
EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY	24
TEST POSITIONS FOR DEVICE OPERATING NEXT TO A PERSON'S EAR	
TEST POSITIONS FOR BODY-WORN AND OTHER CONFIGURATIONS SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURE TEST METHODOLOGY	27 27
CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT	
PROVISION APPLICABLE	
MAXIMUM OUTPUT POWER AMONG PRODUCTION UNITS	28
TEST RESULTS:	28
SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	
SAR TEST DATA	
TEST RESULT: SAR PLOTS (SUMMARY OF THE HIGHEST SAR VALUES)	
APPENDIX A – MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	
APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	
APPENDIX C – DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	43
APPENDIX D – EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS	53
LIQUID DEPTH 15CM	53
FACE-UP 2.5 CM SEPARATION TO FLAT PHANTOM BODY-BACK SEPARATION TO FLAT PHANTOM (0.0MM)	54
APPENDIX E – EUT PHOTOS	
EUT – FRONT VIEW EUT – BACK VIEW	
EUT – BACK VIEW	
EUT-Side View 2	56
EUT–Uncover View	
APPENDIX F - INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

Revision Number	Report Number	Description of Revision	Date of Revision
0	RSZ140702003-20	Original Report	2014-08-12

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

SAR Evaluation Report 4 of 58

EUT DESCRIPTION

This report has been prepared on behalf of IVS, Inc. (AngelTrax Video Surveillance) and their product and their product, FCC ID: 2ACSGPTX1000, Model: PWM1000 or the EUT (Equipment Under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report.

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Technical Specification

Product Type	Portable
Exposure Category:	Occupational/Controlled Exposure
Antenna Type(s):	Internal Antenna
Body-Worn Accessories:	None
Face-Head Accessories:	None
Modulation Type:	FM
Frequency Band:	TX: 440-470MHz; RX: 136-174MHz
Conducted RF Power:	25.63 dBm
EUT Dimensions (L*W*H):	91.3 mm (L)×53.2mm (W)×37.5mm (H)
Power Source:	5.0V dc power
Normal Operation:	Face Up and Body Back

SAR Evaluation Report 5 of 58

REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES

FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

SAR Evaluation Report 6 of 58

SAR Limits

FCC Limit (1g Tissue)

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

	SAR (W/kg)			
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60	8.0		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

CE Limit (10g Tissue)

	SAR (W/kg)			
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue)	2.0	10		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

Occupational/Controlled environments Spatial Peak limit 8.0W/kg (FCC/IC) & 10 W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

SAR Evaluation Report 7 of 58

FACILITIES

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect data is located at 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, Shi Hua Road, Fu Tian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P.R. of China

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

SAR Evaluation Report 8 of 58

DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM

These measurements were performed with ALSAS 10 Universal Integrated SAR Measurement system from APREL Laboratories.

ALSAS-10U System Description

ALSAS-10-U is fully compliant with the technical and scientific requirements of IEEE 1528, IEC 62209, CENELEC, ARIB, ACA, and the Federal Communications Commission. The system comprises of a six axes articulated robot which utilizes a dedicated controller. ALSAS-10U uses the latest methodologies. And FDTD modeling to provide a platform which is repeatable with minimum uncertainty.

Applications

Predefined measurement procedures compliant with the guidelines of CENELEC, IEEE, IEC, FCC, etc are utilized during the assessment for the device. Automatic detection for all SAR maxima are embedded within the core architecture for the system, ensuring that peak locations used for centering the zoom scan are within a 1mm resolution and a 0.05mm repeatable position. System operation range currently available up-to 6 GHz in simulated tissue.

Area Scans

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 10mm2 step integral, with 1mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.



Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Where the system identifies multiple SAR peaks (which are within 25% of peak value) the system will provide the user with the option of assessing each peak location individually for zoom scan averaging.

Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)

The averaging zoom scan volume utilized in the ALSAS-10U software is in the shape of a cube and the side dimension of a 1 g or 10 g mass is dependent on the density of the liquid representing the simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m3 is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1 g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10 g cube 21,5mm.

When the cube intersects with the surface of the phantom, it is oriented so that 3 vertices touch the surface of the shell or the center of a face is tangent to the surface. The face of the cube closest to the surface is modified in order to conform to the tangent surface.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications (including FCC) utilize a physical step of 5x5x8 (8mmx8mmx5mm) providing a volume of 32mm in the X & Y axis, and 35mm in the Z axis.

SAR Evaluation Report 9 of 58

ALSAS-10U Interpolation and Extrapolation Uncertainty

The overall uncertainty for the methodology and algorithms the used during the SAR calculation was evaluated using the data from IEEE 1528 based on the example f3 algorithm:


Report No: RSZ140702003-20

$$f_3(x, y, z) = A \frac{a^2}{\frac{a^2}{4} + x'^2 + y'^2} \cdot \left(e^{-\frac{2z}{a}} + \frac{a^2}{2(a+2z)^2} \right)$$

Isotropic E-Field Probe

The isotropic E-Field probe has been fully calibrated and assessed for isotropicity, and boundary effect within a controlled environment. Depending on the frequency for which the probe is calibrated the method utilized for calibration will change.

The E-Field probe utilizes a triangular sensor arrangement as detailed in the diagram below:



SAR is assessed with a calibrated probe which moves at a default height of 5mm from the center of the diode, which is mounted to the sensor, to the phantom surface (in the Z Axis). The 5mm offset height has been selected so as to minimize any resultant boundary effect due to the probe being in close proximity to the phantom surface.

The following algorithm is an example of the function used by the system for linearization of the output from the probe when measuring complex modulation schemes.

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^{\ 2} \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

SAR Evaluation Report 10 of 58

Isotropic E-Field Probe Specification

Calibration Method	Frequency Dependent Below 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in a TEM Cell Above 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in waveguide		
Sensitivity	$0.70 \mu\text{V/(V/m)}^2$ to $0.85 \mu\text{V/(V/m)}^2$		
Dynamic Range	0.0005 W/kg to 100 W/kg		
Isotropic Response	Better than 0.1 dB		
Diode Compression Point (DCP)	Calibration for Specific Frequency		
Probe Tip Diameter	< 2.9 mm		
Sensor Offset	1.56 (+/- 0.02 mm)		
Probe Length	289 mm		
Video Bandwidth @ 500 Hz: 1 dB @ 1.02 kHz: 3 dB			
Boundary Effect	Less than 2.1% for distance greater than 0.58 mm		
Spatial Resolution	The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe. The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe		

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Boundary Detection Unit and Probe Mounting Device

ALSAS-10U incorporates a boundary detection unit with a sensitivity of 0.05mm for detecting all types of surfaces. The robust design allows for detection during probe tilt (probe normalize) exercises, and utilizes a second stage emergency stop. The signal electronics are fed directly into the robot controller for high accuracy surface detection in lateral and axial detection modes (X, Y, & Z).

The probe is mounted directly onto the Boundary Detection unit for accurate tooling and displacement calculations controlled by the robot kinematics. The probe is connect to an isolated probe interconnect where the output stage of the probe is fed directly into the amplifier stage of the Daq-Paq.

Daq-Paq (Analog to Digital Electronics)

ALSAS-10U incorporates a fully calibrated Daq-Paq (analog to digital conversion system) which has a 4 channel input stage, sent via a 2 stage auto-set amplifier module. The input signal is amplified accordingly so as to offer a dynamic range from $5\mu V$ to 800mV. Integration of the fields measured is carried out at board level utilizing a Co-Processor which then sends the measured fields down into the main computational module in digitized form via an RS232 communications port. Probe linearity and duty cycle compensation is carried out within the main Daq-Paq module.

ADC	12 Bit
Amplifier Range	20 mV to 200 mV and 150 mV to 800 mV
Field Integration	Local Co-Processor utilizing proprietary integration algorithms
Number of Input Channels	4 in total 3 dedicated and 1 spare
Communication	Packet data via RS232

SAR Evaluation Report 11 of 58

Axis Articulated Robot

ALSAS-10U utilizes a six axis articulated robot, which is controlled using a Pentium based real-time movement controller. The movement kinematics engine utilizes proprietary (Thermo CRS) interpolation and extrapolation algorithms, which allow full freedom of movement for each of the six joints within the working envelope. Utilization of joint 6 allows for full probe rotation with a tolerance better than 0.05mm around the central axis.

Report No: RSZ140702003-20



Robot/Controller Manufacturer	Thermo CRS	
Number of Axis	Six independently controlled axis	
Positioning Repeatability	0.05 mm	
Controller Type	Single phase Pentium based C500C	
Robot Reach	710 mm	
Communication	RS232 and LAN compatible	

ALSAS Universal Workstation

ALSAS Universal workstation allows for repeatability and fast adaptability. It allows users to do calibration, testing and measurements using different types of phantoms with one set up, which significantly speeds up the measurement process.

Universal Device Positioner

The universal device positioner allows complete freedom of movement of the EUT. Developed to hold a EUT in a free-space scenario any additional loading attributable to the material used in the construction of the positioner has been eliminated. Repeatability has been enhanced through the linear scales which form the design used to indicate positioning for any given test scenario in all major axes. A 15° tilt indicator is included for the of aid cheek to tilt movements for head SAR analysis. Overall uncertainty for measurements have been reduced due to the design of the Universal device positioner, which allows positioning of a device in as near to a free-space scenario as possible, and by providing the means for complete repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 12 of 58




Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Phantom Types

The ALSAS-10U allows the integration of multiple phantom types. SAM Phantoms fully compliant with IEEE 1528, Universal Phantom, and Universal Flat.

APREL SAM Phantoms

The SAM phantoms developed using the IEEE SAM CAD file. They are fully compliant with the requirements for both IEEE 1528 and FCC Supplement C. Both the left and right SAM phantoms are interchangeable, transparent and include the IEEE 1528 grid with visible NF and MB lines.



SAR Evaluation Report 13 of 58

APREL Laboratories Universal Phantom

The Universal Phantom is used on the ALSAS-10U as a system validation phantom. The Universal Phantom has been fully validated both experimentally from 30MHz to 6GHz and numerically using XFDTD numerical software.

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

The shell thickness is 2mm overall, with a 4mm spacer located at the NF/MB intersection providing an overall thickness of 6mm in line with the requirements of IEEE-1528.

The design allows for fast and accurate measurements, of handsets, by allowing the conservative SAR to be evaluated at on frequency for both left and right head experiments in one measurement.



SAR Evaluation Report 14 of 58

Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Ingredients	Frequency (MHz)									
(% by weight)	45	0	83	35	91	15	19	00	24	50
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2
Salt (Nacl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triton x-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5
Conductivity (s/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78

Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body

Frequency	Head T	Γissue	Body Tissue		
(MHz)	£r	O (S/m)	Er	O (S/m)	
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80	
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92	
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94	
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97	
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05	
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06	
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30	
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40	
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52	
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95	
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73	
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00	

SAR Evaluation Report 15 of 58

EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION

Equipments List & Calibration Information


Equipment	Model	Calibration Date	S/N
CRS F3 robot	ALS-F3	N/A	RAF0805352
CRS F3 Software	ALS-F3-SW	N/A	N/A
CRS C500C controller	ALS-C500	N/A	RCF0805379
Probe mounting device & Boundary Detection Sensor System	ALS-PMDPS-3	N/A	120-00270
Universal Work Station	ALS-UWS	N/A	100-00157
Data Acquisition Package	ALS-DAQ-PAQ-3	2013-10-08	110-00212
Miniature E-Field Probe	E-020	2013-10-08	500-00283
Dipole, 450 MHz	ALS-D-450-S-2	2012-07-31	175-00503
Dipole Spacer	ALS-DS-U	N/A	250-00907
Device holder/Positioner	ALS-H-E-SET-2	N/A	170-00510
Left ear SAM phantom	ALS-P-SAM-L	N/A	130-00311
Right ear SAM phantom	ALS-P-SAM-R	N/A	140-00359
UniPhantom	ALS-UM-FLAT	N/A	153-00104
Simulated Tissue 450 MHz Head	ALS-TS-450-H	Each Time	260-01106
Simulated Tissue 450 MHz Body	ALS-TS-450-B	Each Time	260-02108
Power Amplifier	5S1G4	N/A	71377
Directional couple	DC6180A	2013-11-12	0325849
Attenuator	3dB	2014-05-08	5402
Network analyzer	8752C	2014-06-13	3410A02356
Dielectric probe kit	HP85070B	2014-06-13	N/A
Synthesized Sweeper	HP 8341B	2013-05-09	2624A00116
EMI Test Receiver	ESCI	2013-11-12	101120

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

SAR Evaluation Report 16 of 58

SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

Liquid Verification



Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

Liquid Verification Results (1)

Frequency	Liquid	Liquid Parameter		Target Value		Delta (%)		Tolerance
(MHz)	Type	ε _r	O'(S/m)	$\epsilon_{\rm r}$	O (S/m)	$\epsilon_{ m r}$	O'(S/m)	(%)
440.0125	Head	43.39	0.87	43.50	0.87	-0.253	0.000	±5
	Body	55.80	0.91	56.70	0.94	-1.587	-3.191	±5
455.0125	Head	43.44	0.86	43.50	0.87	-0.138	-1.149	±5
	Body	55.80	0.91	56.70	0.94	-1.587	-3.191	±5
460,0075	Head	43.45	0.88	43.50	0.87	-0.115	1.149	±5
469.9875	Body	55.86	0.91	56.70	0.94	-1.481	-3.191	±5

^{*}Liquid Verification was performed on 2014-08-01

SAR Evaluation Report 17 of 58

Please refer to the following tables.

	450 Head			450 Body		
Frequency (MHz)	e'	e''	Frequency (MHz)	e'	e''	
400.0	43.4413	38.7572	400.0	55.6869	41.4019	
401.5	43.4478	38.4876	401.5	55.6844	41.1151	
403.0	43.4788	38.7224	403.0	55.6881	40.9171	
404.5	43.4125	38.5813	404.5	55.7189	40.7062	
406.0	43.4430	38.3763	406.0	55.7358	40.5413	
407.5	43.5004	38.1918	407.5	55.7354	40.3242	
409.0	43.4287	38.4651	409.0	55.7124	40.2575	
410.5	43.4076	38.2881	410.5	55.7467	40.0250	
412.0	43.4712	38.0402	412.0	55.7114	39.9673	
413.5	43.4728	37.9734	413.5	55.7077	39.7102	
415.0	43.3777	38.0433	415.0	55.7397	39.5156	
416.5	43.5416	37.8385	416.5	55.7398	39.3518	
418.0	43.4834	37.9509	418.0	55.7170	39.2373	
419.5	43.4505	36.6973	419.5	55.7228	39.1092	
421.0	43.4496	36.5264	421.0	55.7543	38.9569	
422.5	43.4235	36.5243	422.5	55.7704	38.7930	
424.0	43.5006	36.5374	424.0	55.7421	38.7625	
425.5	43.4093	36.4003	425.5	55.7771	38.6585	
427.0	43.4092	36.4327	427.0	55.7692	38.9530	
428.5	43.4044	36.2842	428.5	55.7736	38.2701	
430.0	43.4775	36.4806	430.0	55.7508	38.1375	
431.5	43.4034	36.2416	431.5	55.7538	37.9323	
433.0	43.4635	35.9727	433.0	55.7896	37.7961	
434.5	43.4189	35.1777	434.5	55.7848	37.5006	
436.0	43.4805	35.5227	436.0	55.7641	37.3278	
437.5	43.4332	35.5831	437.5	55.7690	37.1644	
439.0	43.5308	35.6850	439.0	55.7627	37.0962	
440.5	43.3905	35.7374	440.5	55.8040	37.0672	
442.0	43.4905	35.6305	442.0	55.8095	36.9315	
443.5	43.4664	35.3132	443.5	55.7815	36.8674	
445.0	43.4189	35.3403	445.0	55.7799	36.6634	
446.5	43.4026	35.2898	446.5	55.7984	36.5070	
448.0	43.4245	35.1493	448.0	55.8095	36.4944	
449.5	43.4712	34.1542	449.5	55.7826	36.3171	
451.0	43.4847	33.7749	451.0	55.8290	36.2526	
452.5	43.4750	33.8927	452.5	55.8301	36.0951	
454.0	43.4112	33.8828	454.0	55.8042	35.9880	
455.5	43.4414	33.8482	455.5	55.8161	35.9517	
457.0	43.4814	33.5849	457.0	55.8096	35.8066	
458.5	43.4173	33.5693	458.5	55.8345	35.7510	
460.0	43.4413	33.3957	460.0	55.8157	35.5890	
461.5	43.4206	33.3242	461.5	55.8464	35.5239	
463.0	43.4178	33.4603	463.0	55.8228	35.4401	
464.5	43.4543	33.1807	464.5	55.8613	35.3743	
466.0	43.4210	33.2564	466.0	55.8652	35.2362	
467.5	43.4269	33.1106	467.5	55.8665	35.0902	
469.0	43.4535	33.2397	469.0	55.8702	35.0407	
470.5	43.4543	33.7498	470.5	55.8557	34.9790	

Report No: RSZ140702003-20


SAR Evaluation Report 18 of 58

System Accuracy Verification

Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of $\pm 10\%$. The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

System Verification Setup Block Diagram



Probe and dipole antenna List and Detail

Manufa cturer	Description	Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date	
APREL	Probe	ALS-E-020	500-00283	2013-10-08	2014-10-07	
APREL	Dipole antenna(450MHz)	ALS-D-450-S-2	175-00503	2012-07-31	2015-07-30	

System Accuracy Check Results

Date	Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Measured SAR (W/Kg)		Target Value (W/Kg)	Delta (%)	Tolerance (%)
2014-08-01	450	Head	1g	4.432	4.572	-3.062	±10
	450	Body	1g	4.724	4.508	1.791	±10

^{*}All SAR values are normalized to 1 Watt forward power.

SAR Evaluation Report 19 of 58

SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

System Performance Check 450 MHz Head Liquid

Dipole 450 MHz; Type: ALS-D-450-S-2; S/N: 175-00503

Product Data

Device Name : Dipole 450 MHz Serial No. : 175-00503 Type : Dipole

Model : ALS-D-450-S-2

Frequency Band : 450 Max. Transmit Pwr : 1 W Drift Time : 3 min(s) Power Drift-Start : 3.023 W/kg : 3.015 W/kg Power Drift-Finish Power Drift (%) : -0.285

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Phantom Data

Tissue Data

: Head Type Serial No. : 260-01106 Frequency : 450.00MHz Last Calib. Date : 01-Aug-2014 : 20.00 °C Temperature Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C Humidity : 56.00 RH% : 43.47 F/m Epsilon Sigma : 0.86 S/m : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Density

Probe Data

: E-Field Name : E-020 Model

: E-Field Triangle Type Serial No. : 500-00283 : 08-Oct-2013 Last Calib. Date

Frequency Band : 450 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 5.7

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20 $\mu V/(V/m)2$

: 95.00 mV **Compression Point** : 1.56 mm Offset


Measurement Data

Crest Factor

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 21.00°C : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp.

Area Scan : 8x10x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 20 of 58 1 gram SAR value : 4.432 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 2.912 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 4.873 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 6.275 W/kg



450 MHz System Validation with Head Tissue

SAR Evaluation Report 21 of 58

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

System Performance Check 450 MHz Body Liquid

Dipole 450 MHz; Type: ALS-D-450-S-2; S/N: 175-00503

Product Data

Device Name : Dipole 450 MHz Serial No. : 175-00503 Type : Dipole

Model : ALS-D-450-S-2

Frequency Band : 450
Max. Transmit Pwr
Drift Time : 3 min(s)
Power Drift-Start : 4.536 W/kg
Power Drift-Finish
Power Drift (%) : -0.385

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Phantom Data

Tissue Data

Type : Body : 260-02108 Serial No. : 450.00MHz Frequency Last Calib. Date : 01-Aug-2014 : 20.00 °C Temperature : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 56.00 RH% Humidity : 55.80 F/m Epsilon Sigma : 0.91 S/m Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle Serial No. : 500-00283 Last Calib. Date : 08-Oct-2013

Frequency Band : 450 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 5.8

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20 $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm


Measurement Data

Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C

Area Scan : 8x10x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 22 of 58



Report No: RSZ140702003-20

450 MHz System Validation with Body Tissue


SAR Evaluation Report 23 of 58


EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY

Test Positions for Device Operating Next to a Person's Ear

This category includes most wireless handsets with fixed, retractable or internal antennas located toward the top half of the device, with or without a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover. The handset should have its earpiece located within the upper ¼ of the device, either along the centerline or off-centered, as perceived by its users. This type of handset should be positioned in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point". The "test device reference point" should be located at the same level as the center of the earpiece region. The "vertical centerline" should bisect the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges. A "ear reference point" is located on the outer surface of the head phantom on each ear spacer. It is located 1.5 cm above the center of the ear canal entrance in the "phantom reference plane" defined by the three lines joining the center of each "ear reference point" (left and right) and the tip of the mouth

A handset should be initially positioned with the earpiece region pressed against the ear spacer of a head phantom. For the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom, the device should be positioned parallel to the "N-F" line defined along the base of the ear spacer that contains the "ear reference point". For interim head phantoms, the device should be positioned parallel to the cheek for maximum RF energy coupling. The "test device reference point" is aligned to the "ear reference point" on the head phantom and the "vertical centerline" is aligned to the "phantom reference plane". This is called the "initial ear position". While maintaining these three alignments, the body of the handset is gradually adjusted to each of the following positions for evaluating SAR:





Report No: RSZ140702003-20

SAR Evaluation Report 24 of 58

Cheek/Touch Position

The device is brought toward the mouth of the head phantom by pivoting against the "ear reference point" or along the "N-F" line for the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom.

This test position is established:


• When any point on the display, keypad or mouthpiece portions of the handset is in contact with the phantom.

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

o (or) When any portion of a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover opened to its intended self-adjusting normal use position is in contact with the cheek or mouth of the phantom.

For existing head phantoms – when the handset loses contact with the phantom at the pivoting point, rotation should continue until the device touches the cheek of the phantom or breaks its last contact from the ear spacer.

Cheek / Touch Position



Ear/Tilt Position

With the handset aligned in the "Cheek/Touch Position":


- 1) If the earpiece of the handset is not in full contact with the phantom's ear spacer (in the "Cheek/Touch position") and the peak SAR location for the "Cheek/Touch" position is located at the ear spacer region or corresponds to the earpiece region of the handset, the device should be returned to the "initial ear position" by rotating it away from the mouth until the earpiece is in full contact with the ear spacer.
- 2) (otherwise) The handset should be moved (translated) away from the cheek perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" (note: one of these ear reference points may not physically exist on a split head model) for approximate 2-3 cm. While it is in this position, the device handset is tilted away from the mouth with respect to the "test device reference point" until the inside angle between the vertical centerline on the front surface of the phone and the horizontal line passing through the ear reference point isby 15 80°. After the tilt, it is then moved (translated) back toward the head perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" until the device touches the phantom or the ear spacer. If the antenna touches the head first, the positioning process should be repeated with a tilt angle less than 15° so that the device and its antenna would touch the phantom simultaneously. This test position may require a device holder or positioner to achieve the translation and tilting with acceptable positioning repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 25 of 58

If a device is also designed to transmit with its keypad cover closed for operating in the head position, such positions should also be considered in the SAR evaluation. The device should be tested on the left and right side of the head phantom in the "Cheek/Touch" and "Ear/Tilt" positions. When applicable, each configuration should be tested with the antenna in its fully extended and fully retracted positions. These test configurations should be tested at the high, middle and low frequency channels of each operating mode; for example, AMPS, CDMA, and TDMA. If the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, Cheek/Touch, Tile/Ear, extended and retracted) is at least 2.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s). If the transmission band of the test device is less than 10 MHz, testing at the high and low frequency channels is optional.

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Ear /Tilt 15° Position



Test positions for body-worn and other configurations

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.




Figure 5 - Test positions for body-worn devices

SAR Evaluation Report 26 of 58

SAR Evaluation Procedure

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 35 mm x 35 mm x 35 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
 - 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

Test methodology

IEEE1528:2013 KDB 447498 D01 KDB 865664 D01 KDB 643646

SAR Evaluation Report 27 of 58


CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT

Provision Applicable

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

Test Procedure

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the Signal Analyzer through sufficient attenuation.



Report No: RSZ140702003-20

PTT

Maximum Output Power among production units

Max Target Power for Production Unit (dBm)						
PTT/Mode		Frequency				
	440.0125	455.0125	469.9875			
Analog-12.5K	25.60	25.60	25.60			

Test Results:

Mode	Frequency Spacing (kHz)	Frequency (MHz)	Output(dBm)	Output Power(W)	Power level
Analog		440.0125	25.15	0.327	High
	12.5	455.0125 25.3	25.30	0.339	High
		469.9875	25.53	0.357	High

SAR Evaluation Report 28 of 58

SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

SAR Test Data

Environmental Conditions

Temperature:	21
Relative Humidity:	50%
ATM Pressure:	1002 mbar

^{*} Testing was performed by Wilson Chen on 2014-08-01

Test Result:

Analog (Modulation FM; Channel Spacing 12.5 kHz):

Fraguanay	Dower Drift	Max. Meas.	Max. Rated	1 g SAR Value (W/Kg)				
(MHz)		Power (dBm) (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	50%	Plot	
Face up (2.5cm)								
469.9875	1.997	25.53	25.60	1.016	0.103	0.105	0.053	1#
469.9875	1.895	25.53	25.60	1.016	0.155	0.157	0.079	2#

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Note:

- 1. When the 1-g SAR tested using the default battery and default accessories is $\leq 3.5W/Kg$ (corrected by Multiplying 50% for FM mode), testing for other channels are optional.
- 2. For a analog PTT, only simplex communication technology was supported, so the SAR value need to be corrected by Multiplying 50%.
- 3. The frequency points result in highest SAR value were selected to test.
- 4. The whole antenna and radiating structures that may contribute to the measured SAR or influence the SAR distribution has been included in the area scan.

SAR Evaluation Report 29 of 58

SAR Plots (Summary of the Highest SAR Values)

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

Standard Capacity Battery: Face-Up 2.5cm (Analog 12.5k-469.9875 MHz);

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : FM Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 16x8x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.050 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.051 W/kg Power Drift (%) : 1.997

Tissue Data

Type : Head

Frequency : 469.9875 MHz
Epsilon : 43.45 F/m
Sigma : 0.88 S/m
Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data


Serial No. : 500-00283
Frequency Band : 450
Duty Cycle Factor : 1
Conversion Factor : 5.7

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20 $\mu V/(V/m)^2$

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.103 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.049 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.108 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.165 W/kg

Plot 1#



SAR Evaluation Report 30 of 58

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

Body-Back 0.0cm (Analog 12.5k-469.9875 MHz);

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : FM Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 12x8x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.152 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.155 W/kg Power Drift (%) : 1.895

Tissue Data

Type : Body

Frequency : 498.9875 MHz
Epsilon : 55.86 F/m
Sigma : 0.91 S/m
Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Serial No. : 500-00283 Frequency Band : 450 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 5.8


Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20 $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.155 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.087 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.142 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.237 W/kg

Plot 2#

Report No: RSZ140702003-20



SAR Evaluation Report 31 of 58

APPENDIX A – MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Measurement Uncertainty for 300MHz to 3GHz

Source of Uncertainty	Tolerance Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c _i ¹ (1-g)	c _i ¹ (10-g)	Standard Uncertainty (1-g) %	Standard Uncertainty (10-g) %
		Measure	ment Syst	em			
Probe Calibration	3.5	normal	1	1	1	3.5	3.5
Axial Isotropy	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	$(1-cp)^1$	1.5	1.5
Hemispherical Isotropy	10.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	√ср	√ср	4.4	4.4
Boundary Effect	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Linearity	4.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7
Detection Limit	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Readout Electronics	1.0	normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0
Response Time	0.8	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5
Integration Time	1.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.0	1.0
RF Ambient Condition -Noise	0.6	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.3	0.3
RF Ambient Condition - Reflections	3.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Probe Positioner Mech. Restrictions	0.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2
		Res	triction				
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	2.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Extrapolation and Integration	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.1	2.1
Test Sample Positioning	2.3	normal	1	1	1	2.3	2.3
Device Holder Uncertainty	6.215	normal	1	1	1	6.215	6.215
Drift of Output Power	4.627	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.67	2.67
		Phantor	n and Setu	ıp			
Phantom Uncertainty(shape & thickness tolerance)	3.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.0	2.0
Liquid Conductivity(target)	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.5	2.0	1.4
Liquid Conductivity(meas.)	1.938	normal	1	0.7	0.5	1.36	0.97
Liquid Permittivity(target)	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.5	1.7	1.4
Liquid Permittivity(meas.)	3.093	normal	1	0.6	0.5	1.86	1.55
Combined Uncertainty		RSS				10.78	10.55
Expanded uncertainty (coverage factor=2)		Normal(k=2)				21.56	21.10

SAR Evaluation Report 32 of 58

APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Calibration File No.: PC-1537

Task No: BACL-5745

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

> Equipment: Miniature Isotropic RF Probe Record of Calibration Head and Body Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories Model No.: E-020

Serial No.: 500-00283

Calibration Procedure: D01-032-E020-V2, D22-012-Tissue, D28-002-Dipole

Project No: BACL-5745

Calibrated: 8th October 2013 Released on: 8th October 2013

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox D OTTAWA, ONTARIO Division of APREL Lab TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613) 435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 33 of 58

NCL Calibration Laboratories

Division of APREL Inc.

Introduction

This Calibration Report reproduces the results of the calibration performed in line with the references listed below. Calibration is performed using accepted methodologies as per the references listed below. Probes are calibrated for air, and tissue and the values reported are the results from the physical quantification of the probe through meteorgical practices.

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Calibration Method

Probes are calibrated using the following methods.

<1000MHz

TEM Cell for sensitivity in air

Standard phantom using temperature transfer method for sensitivity in tissue

>1000MHz

Waveguide* method to determine sensitivity in air and tissue

"Waveguide is numerically (simulation) assessed to determine the field distribution and power

The boundary effect for the probe is assessed using a standard flat phantom where the probe output is compared against a numerically simulated series of data points

References

- IEEE Standard 1528
 - IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
- EN 62209-1
 - Human Exposure to RF Fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices Human models, instrumentation, and procedures-Part 1; Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held mobile wireless devices
- IEC 62209-2
 - Human exposure to RF fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless devices Human models, instrumentation, and procedures Part 2: specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices (30 MHz 6 GHz)
- TP-D01-032-E020-V2 E-Field probe calibration procedure
- D22-012-Tissue dielectric tissue calibration procedure
- D28-002-Dipole procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole
- IEEE 1309 Standard for Calibration of Electromagnetic Field Sensors and Probes, Excluding Antennas, from 9kHz to 40GHz

Page 2 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 34 of 58

Division of APREL Inc.

Conditions

Probe 500-00283 was a recalibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: $22 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ +/- $1.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ Temperature of the Tissue: $21 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ +/- $1.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ Relative Humidity: $< 60 \,^{\circ}$

Primary Measurement Standards

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Tektronix USB Power Meter
 11C940
 May 14, 2015

 Signal Generator HP 83640B
 3844A00689
 Feb 12, 2015

Secondary Measurement Standards

Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C 002106 Feb. 20, 2015

Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Dan Brooks, Test Engineer

Page 3 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 35 of 58

NCL Calibration Laboratories

Division of APREL Inc.

Probe Summary

Probe Type: E-Field Probe E020

Serial Number: 500-00283

Frequency: As presented on page 5

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

 Sensor Offset:
 1.56

 Sensor Length:
 2.5

Tip Enclosure: Composite*

Tip Diameter: < 2.9 mm

Tip Length: 55 mm

Total Length: 289 mm

*Resistive to recommended tissue recipes per IEEE-1528

Sensitivity in Air

Diode Compression Point: 95 mV

Page 4 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 36 of 58

Calibration for Tissue (Head H. Body B)

Frequency	Tissue Type	Measured Epsilon	Measured Sigma	Standard Uncertainty (%)	Calibration Frequency Range (MHz)	Conversion Factor
450 H	Head	44.29	0.86	3.5	±50	5.7
450 B	Body	56.6	0.94	3.5	±50	5.8
750 H	Head	42.7	0.85	3.5	±50	5.6
750 B	Body	56.6	0.94	3.5	±50	5.5
835 H	Head	42.35	0.938	3.5	±50	5.9
835 B	Body	56.65	1.018	3.5	±50	5.9
900 H	Head	x	х	X	X	x
900 B	Body	x	х	X	X	x
1450 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
1450 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
1500 H	Head	X	X	X	Х	X
1500 B	Body	X	X	X	Х	X
1640 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
1640 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
1750 H	Head	38.51	1.36	3.5	±75	5.4
1750 B	Body	51.79	1.53	3.5	±75	5.3
1800 H	Head	38.26	1.41	3.5	±75	5.0
1800 B	Body	51.61	1.58	3.5	±75	5.0
1900 H	Head	38.03	1.36	3.5	±75	4.8
1900 B	Body	53.13	1.58	3.5	±75	4.5
2000 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	X
2000 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	х
2100 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	X
2100 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	X
2300 H	Head	Х	Х	X	X	X
2300 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
2450 H	Head	37.64	1.88	3.5	±75	4.9
2450B	Body	50.7	2.03	3.5	±75	4.3
2600 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
2600 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
3000 H	Head	×	X	X	X	×
3000 B	Body	×	X	X	X	X
3600 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
3600 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
5250 H	Head	34.65	4.8	3.5	±100	2.7
5250 B	Body	47.6	5.3	3.5	±100	2.6
5600 H	Head	33.2	5.15	3.5	±100	2.5
5600 B	Body	45.21	5.57	3.5	±100	2.2
5800 H	Head	32.72	5.38	3.5	±100	3.2
5800 B	Body	44.28	6.04	3.5	±100	2.5

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Page 5 of 10This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 37 of 58

Division of APREL Inc.

Boundary Effect:

Uncertainty resulting from the boundary effect is less than 2.1% for the distance between the tip of the probe and the tissue boundary, when less than 0.58mm.

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Spatial Resolution:

The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe. The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe.

DAQ-PAQ Contribution

To minimize the uncertainty calculation all tissue sensitivity values were calculated using a load impedance of $5\,\mathrm{M}\Omega$.


Page 6 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 38 of 58

Division of APREL Inc.

Receiving Pattern Air




Page 7 of 10


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 39 of 58

Division of APREL Inc.

Isotropy Error Air





Isotropicity Tissue:

0.10 dB


Page 8 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 40 of 58

Division of APREL Inc.

Dynamic Range



Page 9 of 10


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 41 of 58 Division of APREL Inc.

Video Bandwidth

Probe Frequency Characteristics

Report No: RSZ140702003-20



Video Bandwidth at 500 Hz 1 dB Video Bandwidth at 1.02 KHz: 3 dB

Test Equipment

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2013.

Page 10 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 42 of 58

APPENDIX C – DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Calibration File No: DC-1426 Project Number: BACL-5672

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories Part number: ALS-D-450-S-2 Frequency: 450 MHz Serial No: 175-00503

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Head and Body Calibration

Calibrated: 31st July 2012 Released on: 2nd August 2012

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

303 Terry Fox Drive, Suite 102 Division of APREL Kanata, Ontario TEL (613) 435-8300 CANADA K2K 3J1 FAX: (613) 435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 43 of 58

NCL Calibration Laboratories Division of APREL Laboratories.

Conditions

Dipole 175-00503 was taken from stock for an original calibration...

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 21 °C +/- 0.5°C

> We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Dan Brooks, Test Engineer

Calibration Results Summary

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

Mechanical Dimensions

Length: 270.0 mm Height: 166.7 mm

Electrical Specification

	Head	Body
Return Loss	-30.726 dB	-33.258 dB
SWR	1.061 U	1.049 U
Impedance	50.600 Ω	48.155 Ω


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 44 of 58

NCL Calibration Laboratories Division of APREL Laboratories.


System Validation Results Head

Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
450 MHz	4.572	2.952	6.746



System Validation Results Body

Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
450 MHz	4.508	2.959	6.656



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 45 of 58

3

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole RFE-362. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 130 MHz to 26 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 212.

References

SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure
SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure
IEEE 1528 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average
Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless
Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques"

Conditions

Original calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5°C

4

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 46 of 58

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Dipole Calibration Results

Mechanical Verification

	APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
	Length	Height	Length	Height
Ī	280.0 mm	166.7 mm	280.0 mm	166.0 mm

Tissue Validation

Body Tissue 450MHz	Measured Head	Measured Body
Dielectric constant, ε _r	43.98	57.07
Conductivity, σ [S/m]	0.9	0.92

Dipole Calibration uncertainty

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

5

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 47 of 58


Division of APREL Laboratories.

Electrical Calibration

Test	Result Head	Result Body
S11 R/L	-30.726 dB	-33.258 dB
SWR	1.061 U	1.049 U
Impedance	50.600 Ω	48.155 Ω

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

S11 Parameter Return Loss



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 48 of 58

6

NCL Calibration Laboratories Division of APREL Laboratories.

SWR

4.120102



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

\$.799189


HARKER READOUT FUNCTIONS


7

49 of 58 **SAR** Evaluation Report

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Smith Chart Dipole Impedance





This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

8

SAR Evaluation Report 50 of 58

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Test Equipment

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2012.

9

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 51 of 58

450MHz Dipole Calibration By BACL at 2013-12-20

Mechanical Verification

APREL Length	APREL Height	Measured Length	Measured Height
280.0 mm	166.7 mm	280.0 mm	166.6 mm


Tissue Type	Measured Return Loss	Measured Impedance
Head	-29.792 dB	$50.896~\Omega$
Body	-33.773 dB	47.662 Ω

Test Graphs:

Head Tissue

Return Loss:

Impedance:






Report No: RSZ140702003-20

Body Tissue

Return Loss:



Impedance:



SAR Evaluation Report 52 of 58


APPENDIX D – EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS



Report No: RSZ140702003-20




Face-Up 2.5 cm Separation to Flat Phantom



SAR Evaluation Report 53 of 58

Body-Back Separation to Flat Phantom (0.0mm)

Report No: RSZ140702003-20



SAR Evaluation Report 54 of 58

APPENDIX E – EUT PHOTOS

EUT - Front View

Report No: RSZ140702003-20



EUT - Back View



SAR Evaluation Report 55 of 58

Report No: RSZ140702003-20



EUT-Side View 2



SAR Evaluation Report 56 of 58

EUT-Uncover View

Report No: RSZ140702003-20



EUT-Antenna View



SAR Evaluation Report 57 of 58

APPENDIX F – INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.

Report No: RSZ140702003-20

- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-_eld scanning system for dosimetricPage 58 of 58 assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645 (652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM _ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15 {17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23 {25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The depen-dence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainity in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.
- [15] FCC OET KDB643646 SAR Test Reduction Considerations for Occupational PTT Radios.

***** END OF REPORT *****

SAR Evaluation Report 58 of 58