

# NORTHWEST EMC

**Horse Sense Shoes, LLC**

**E-Patch Endpoint**

**FCC 15.231:2015**

**Report # HORS0001**



NVLAP Lab Code: 200881-0

*This report must not be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any agency of the federal government of the United States of America. This Report may only be duplicated in its entirety*

# CERTIFICATE OF TEST

Last Date of Test: January 08, 2015  
Horse Sense Shoes, LLC  
Model: E-Patch Endpoint

## Radio Equipment Testing

### Standards

Specification	Method
FCC 15.231:2015	ANSI C63.10:2009

### Results

Method Clause	Test Description	Applied	Results	Comments
6.2	AC Powerline Conduced Emissions	No	N/A	Not required for battery powered device.
6.5, 6.6	Spurious Radiated Emissions	Yes	Pass	
6.5, 6.6	Field Strength of Fundamental	Yes	Pass	
6.9.1	Occupied Bandwidth	Yes	Pass	
7.5	Duty Cycle	Yes	Pass	

### Deviations From Test Standards

None

### Approved By:



Rod Munro, Operations Manager

*Product compliance is the responsibility of the client; therefore, the tests and equipment modes of operation represented in this report were agreed upon by the client, prior to testing. The results of this test pertain only to the sample(s) tested. The specific description is noted in each of the individual sections of the test report supporting this certificate of test.*

# REVISION HISTORY

Revision Number		Description	Date	Page Number
00		None		

# ACCREDITATIONS AND AUTHORIZATIONS

---

## United States

---

**FCC** - Designated by the FCC as a Telecommunications Certification Body (TCB). Certification chambers, Open Area Test Sites, and conducted measurement facilities are listed with the FCC.

**A2LA** - Accredited by A2LA to ISO / IEC Guide 65 as a product certifier. This allows Northwest EMC to certify transmitters to FCC and IC specifications.

**NVLAP** - Each laboratory is accredited by NVLAP to ISO 17025

---

## Canada

---

**IC** - Recognized by Industry Canada as a Certification Body (CB). Certification chambers and Open Area Test Sites are filed with IC.

---

## European Union

---

**European Commission** – Validated by the European Commission as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) under the EMC directive and as a Notified Body under the R&TTE Directive.

---

## Australia/New Zealand

---

**ACMA** - Recognized by ACMA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

---

## Korea

---

**MSIP / RRA** - Recognized by KCC's RRA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

---

## Japan

---

**VCCI** - Associate Member of the VCCI. Conducted and radiated measurement facilities are registered.

---

## Taiwan

---

**BSMI** – Recognized by BSMI as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

**NCC** - Recognized by NCC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

---

## Singapore

---

**IDA** – Recognized by IDA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

---

## Israel

---

**MOC** – Recognized by MOC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

---

## Hong Kong

---

**OFTA** – Recognized by OFTA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

---

## Vietnam

---

**MIC** – Recognized by MIC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

---

## SCOPE

For details on the Scopes of our Accreditations, please visit:

<http://www.nwemc.com/accreditations/>

# MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

## Measurement Uncertainty


When a measurement is made, the result will be different from the true or theoretically correct value. The difference is the result of tolerances in the measurement system that cannot be completely eliminated. To the extent that technology allows us, it has been our aim to minimize this error. Measurement uncertainty is a statistical expression of measurement error qualified by a probability distribution.

A measurement uncertainty estimation has been performed for each test per our internal quality document WP 342. The estimation is used to compare the measured result with its "true" or theoretically correct value. The expanded measurement uncertainty (K=2) for each test is on each data sheet. Our measurement data meets or exceeds the measurement uncertainty requirements of the applicable specification; therefore, the test data can be compared directly to the specification limit to determine compliance. The calculations for estimating measurement uncertainty are based upon ETSI TR 100 028 (or CISPR 16-4-2 as applicable), and are available upon request.


The following table represents the Measurement Uncertainty (MU) budgets for each of the tests that may be contained in this report.

<b>Test</b>	<b>+ MU</b>	<b>- MU</b>
Frequency Accuracy (Hz)	0.0007%	-0.0007%
Amplitude Accuracy (dB)	1.2 dB	-1.2 dB
Conducted Power (dB)	0.3 dB	-0.3 dB
Radiated Power via Substitution (dB)	0.7 dB	-0.7 dB
Temperature (degrees C)	0.7°C	-0.7°C
Humidity (% RH)	2.5% RH	-2.5% RH
Voltage (AC)	1.0%	-1.0%
Voltage (DC)	0.7%	-0.7%
Field Strength (dB)	4.7 dB	-4.7 dB
AC Powerline Conducted Emissions (dB)	2.9 dB	-2.9 dB

# FACILITIES



<b>California</b> Labs OC01-13 41 Tesla Irvine, CA 92618 (949) 861-8918	<b>Minnesota</b> Labs MN01-08, MN10 9349 W Broadway Ave. Brooklyn Park, MN 55445 (612)-638-5136	<b>New York</b> Labs NY01-04 4939 Jordan Rd. Elbridge, NY 13060 (315) 685-0796	<b>Oregon</b> Labs EV01-12 22975 NW Evergreen Pkwy Hillsboro, OR 97124 (503) 844-4066	<b>Texas</b> Labs TX01-09 3801 E Plano Pkwy Plano, TX 75074 (469) 304-5255	<b>Washington</b> Labs NC01-05 19201 120 <sup>th</sup> Ave NE Bothell, WA 9801 (425)984-6600
<b>NVLAP</b>					
NVLAP Lab Code: 200676-0	NVLAP Lab Code: 200881-0	NVLAP Lab Code: 200761-0	NVLAP Lab Code: 200630-0	NVLAP Lab Code:201049-0	NVLAP Lab Code: 200629-0
<b>Industry Canada</b>					
2834B-1, 2834B-3	2834E-1	N/A	2834D-1, 2834D-2	2834G-1	2834F-1
<b>BSMI</b>					
SL2-IN-E-1154R	SL2-IN-E-1152R	N/A	SL2-IN-E-1017	SL2-IN-E-1158R	SL2-IN-E-1153R
<b>VCCI</b>					
A-0029	A-0109	N/A	A-0108	A-0201	A-0110



# PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

## Client and Equipment Under Test (EUT) Information

<b>Company Name:</b>	Horse Sense Shoes, LLC
<b>Address:</b>	201 Lake Street E.
<b>City, State, Zip:</b>	Wayzata, MN 55391
<b>Test Requested By:</b>	Mike McHugh
<b>Model:</b>	E-Patch Endpoint
<b>First Date of Test:</b>	January 08, 2015
<b>Last Date of Test:</b>	January 08, 2015
<b>Receipt Date of Samples:</b>	January 08, 2015
<b>Equipment Design Stage:</b>	Production
<b>Equipment Condition:</b>	No Damage

## Information Provided by the Party Requesting the Test

<b>Functional Description of the EUT:</b>
Pressure Sensor Transmitter. Low Power transmitter operating at 433 MHz and modulation type OOK.
<b>Testing Objective:</b>
To demonstrate compliance to FCC 15.231 specifications.



# CONFIGURATIONS

## Configuration HORS0001- 2

EUT			
Description	Manufacturer	Model/Part Number	Serial Number
E-Patch Endpoint Transmitter Module	Horse Sense Shoes, LLC	None	None

Peripherals in test setup boundary			
Description	Manufacturer	Model/Part Number	Serial Number
E-Patch Base Station	Horse Sense Shoes, LLC	None	None
AC Adapter	Unknown	SFE-5V2AU	None

Cables					
Cable Type	Shield	Length (m)	Ferrite	Connection 1	Connection 2
USB Cable	Yes	1.0m	Yes	Transmitter Module	Base Station
DC Power Cable	No	1.5m	No	AC Adapter	Base Station



# MODIFICATIONS

## Equipment Modifications

Item	Date	Test	Modification	Note	Disposition of EUT
1	1/8/2015	Duty Cycle	Tested as delivered to Test Station.	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	EUT remained at Northwest EMC following the test.
2	1/8/2015	Field Strength of Fundamental	Tested as delivered to Test Station.	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	EUT remained at Northwest EMC following the test.
3	1/8/2015	Occupied Bandwidth	Tested as delivered to Test Station.	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	EUT remained at Northwest EMC following the test.
4	1/8/2015	Spurious Radiated Emissions	Tested as delivered to Test Station.	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	Scheduled testing was completed.

Testing was performed using the mode(s) of operation and configuration(s) noted within the report. The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings used to complete the evaluation. The actual test parameters are specified in the test data, this includes items such as investigated frequency range (scanned) and test levels. The testing methods and performance specifications, as well as the test site used for the evaluation are indicated in the test data. The test data represents the configuration / operating mode/ model that produced the highest emission levels as compared to the specification limit.

## MODES OF OPERATION

Transmitting 433 MHz modulated

## POWER SETTINGS INVESTIGATED

3.0VDC

## CONFIGURATIONS INVESTIGATED

HORS0001 - 2

## FREQUENCY RANGE INVESTIGATED

Start Frequency	30 MHz	Stop Frequency	5000 MHz
-----------------	--------	----------------	----------

## SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

Radiated Emissions: Field Strength = Measured Level + Antenna Factor + Cable Factor - Amplifier Gain + Distance Adjustment Factor + External Attenuation

## TEST EQUIPMENT

Description	Manufacturer	Model	ID	Last Cal.	Interval
Pre-Amplifier	Miteq	AMF-3D-00100800-32-13P	AVX	3/14/2014	12 mo
MN05 Cables	ESM Cable Corp.	uble Ridge Guide Horn Cab	MNI	3/14/2014	12 mo
Antenna, Horn	ETS	3115	AJA	6/3/2014	24 mo
Pre-Amplifier	Miteq	AM-1616-1000	PAD	3/14/2014	12 mo
MN05 Cables	ESM Cable Corp.	Bilog Cables	MNH	3/14/2014	12 mo
Antenna, Biconilog	Teseq	CBL 6141B	AYD	12/17/2013	24 mo
Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	N9010A	AFI	1/27/2013	24 mo
Attenuator, 10db, 'SMA'	S.M. Electronics	SA18H-10	REN	5/5/2014	12 mo

## MEASUREMENT BANDWIDTHS

Frequency Range (MHz)	Peak Data (kHz)	Quasi-Peak Data (kHz)	Average Data (kHz)
0.01 - 0.15	1.0	0.2	0.2
0.15 - 30.0	10.0	9.0	9.0
30.0 - 1000	100.0	120.0	120.0
Above 1000	1000.0	N/A	1000.0

## TEST DESCRIPTION

The single, integral antenna to be used with the EUT was tested. The EUT was configured for un-modulated, CW operation at its single transmit frequency. The field strength of the transmit frequency was maximized by rotating the EUT, adjusting the measurement antenna height and polarization, and manipulating the EUT in 3 orthogonal planes (per ANSI C63.10:2009).

A preamp and high pass filter were used for this test in order to provide sufficient measurement sensitivity.

To derive average emission measurements, a duty cycle correction factor per 15.35(c) was utilized:

Duty Cycle = On time/100 milliseconds (or the period, whichever is less)

Where "On time" = N1L1 + N2L2 + ....

Where N1 is the number of type 1 pulses, L1 is length of type 1 pulses, N2 is the number of type 2 pulses, L2 is the length of type 2 pulses, etc.

Therefore, Duty Cycle = (N1L1 + N2L2 + ...)/100mS or T, whichever is less. Where T is the period of the pulse train.

The measured values for the EUT's pulse train are as follows:


Period = 100 mSec

Pulsewidth of Type 1 Pulse = 9.500 mSec

Number of Type 1 Pulses = 1


Duty Cycle = 20 log [(9.500)(1)/100] = -20.45 dB

The duty cycle correction factor of -20.45 dB was added to the peak readings to mathematically derive the average levels. Peak measurements were made with a resolution bandwidth of 100kHz and a video bandwidth of 300kHz for measurements at or below 1GHz. Above 1GHz, a resolution bandwidth of 1MHz and a video bandwidth of 3MHz was used.

Work Order:	HORS0001	Date:	01/08/15	
Project:	None	Temperature:	22.5 °C	
Job Site:	MN05	Humidity:	14% RH	
Serial Number:	None	Barometric Pres.:	1006.7 mbar	
EUT:	E-Patch Endpoint			
Configuration:	2			
Customer:	Horse Sense Shoes, LLC			
Attendees:	Shane McCarron, Mike McHugh, Craig Wilson, Rog Roisen			
EUT Power:	3.0VDC			
Operating Mode:	Transmitting 433 MHz modulated			
Deviations:	None			
Comments:	Lower power module			

Test Specifications	Test Method
FCC 15.231:2015	ANSI C63.10: 2009

Run #	9	Test Distance (m)	3	Antenna Height(s)	1 to 4(m)	Results	Pass
-------	---	-------------------	---	-------------------	-----------	---------	------



Freq (MHz)	Amplitude (dBuV)	Factor (dB)	Antenna Height (meters)	Azimuth (degrees)	Duty Cycle Correction Factor (dB)	External Attenuation (dB)	Polarity/ Transducer Type	Detector	Distance Adjustment (dB)	Adjusted (dBuV/m)	Spec. Limit (dBuV/m)	Compared to Spec. (dB)	Comments
1732.070	60.4	-5.2	1.0	149.1		0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	55.2	80.8	-25.6	EUT horz
1732.070	60.4	-5.2	1.0	149.1	-20.5	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	34.7	60.8	-26.1	EUT horz
1732.075	53.3	-5.2	2.5	34.1		0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	48.1	80.8	-32.7	EUT horz
1732.075	53.3	-5.2	2.5	34.1	-20.5	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	27.6	60.8	-33.2	EUT horz
1298.910	41.2	-5.7	1.0	40.1		0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	35.5	80.8	-45.3	EUT horz
1298.910	41.2	-5.7	1.0	40.1	-20.5	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	15.1	60.8	-45.7	EUT horz
866.025	25.3	9.5	1.0	46.0		0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	34.8	80.8	-46.0	EUT horz
866.025	25.3	9.5	1.0	46.0	-20.5	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	14.3	60.8	-46.5	EUT horz
865.955	24.0	9.5	1.0	169.0		0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	33.5	80.8	-47.3	EUT horz
865.710	23.9	9.5	1.0	343.9		0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	33.4	80.8	-47.4	EUT vert
865.935	23.6	9.5	1.0	171.0		0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	33.1	80.8	-47.7	EUT on side
865.955	24.0	9.5	1.0	169.0	-20.5	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	13.0	60.8	-47.8	EUT horz
865.710	23.9	9.5	1.0	343.9	-20.5	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	12.9	60.8	-47.9	EUT vert
867.375	23.3	9.5	1.0	163.1		0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	32.8	80.8	-48.0	EUT on side
864.960	23.2	9.5	1.0	187.0		0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	32.7	80.8	-48.1	EUT vert
865.935	23.6	9.5	1.0	171.0	-20.5	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	12.6	60.8	-48.2	EUT on side
867.375	23.3	9.5	1.0	163.1	-20.5	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	12.4	60.8	-48.4	EUT on side
864.960	23.2	9.5	1.0	187.0	-20.5	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	12.2	60.8	-48.6	EUT vert
1299.040	37.5	-5.7	1.0	158.0		0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	31.8	80.8	-49.0	EUT horz
1299.040	37.5	-5.7	1.0	158.0	-20.5	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	11.4	60.8	-49.4	EUT horz

Testing was performed using the mode(s) of operation and configuration(s) noted within the report. The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings used to complete the evaluation. The actual test parameters are specified in the test data, this includes items such as investigated frequency range (scanned) and test levels. The testing methods and performance specifications, as well as the test site used for the evaluation are indicated in the test data. The test data represents the configuration / operating mode/ model that produced the highest emission levels as compared to the specification limit.

## MODES OF OPERATION

Transmitting 433 MHz modulated

## POWER SETTINGS INVESTIGATED

3.0VDC

## CONFIGURATIONS INVESTIGATED

HORS0001 - 2

## FREQUENCY RANGE INVESTIGATED

Start Frequency	430 MHz	Stop Frequency	435 MHz
-----------------	---------	----------------	---------

## SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

Radiated Emissions: Field Strength = Measured Level + Antenna Factor + Cable Factor - Amplifier Gain + Distance Adjustment Factor + External Attenuation

## TEST EQUIPMENT

Description	Manufacturer	Model	ID	Last Cal.	Interval
MN05 Cables	ESM Cable Corp.	Bilog Cables	MNH	3/14/2014	12 mo
Antenna, Biconilog	Teseq	CBL 6141B	AYD	12/17/2013	24 mo
Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	N9010A	AFI	1/27/2013	24 mo

## MEASUREMENT BANDWIDTHS

Frequency Range (MHz)	Peak Data (kHz)	Quasi-Peak Data (kHz)	Average Data (kHz)
0.01 - 0.15	1.0	0.2	0.2
0.15 - 30.0	10.0	9.0	9.0
30.0 - 1000	100.0	120.0	120.0
Above 1000	1000.0	N/A	1000.0

## TEST DESCRIPTION

The antennas to be used with the EUT were tested. The EUT was configured for continuous modulated operation at its single transmit frequency. The field strength of the transmit frequency was maximized by rotating the EUT, adjusting the measurement antenna height and polarization, and manipulating the EUT in 3 orthogonal planes (per ANSI C63.10:2009).

To derive average emission measurements, a duty cycle correction factor per 15.35(c) was utilized:

Duty Cycle = On time/100 milliseconds (or the period, whichever is less)

Where "On time" =  $N1L1 + N2L2 + \dots$

Where N1 is the number of type 1 pulses, L1 is length of type 1 pulses, N2 is the number of type 2 pulses, L2 is the length of type 2 pulses, etc.

Therefore, Duty Cycle =  $(N1L1 + N2L2 + \dots)/100\text{ms}$  or T, whichever is less. Where T is the period of the pulse train.

The measured values for the EUT's pulse train are as follows:

Period = 100 mSec


Pulsewidth of Type 1 Pulse = 9.500 mSec

Number of Type 1 Pulses = 1

Duty Cycle =  $20 \log [(9.500)(1)/100] = -20.45 \text{ dB}$


The duty cycle correction factor of -20.45 dB was added to the peak readings to mathematically derive the average levels. Peak measurements were made with a resolution bandwidth of 100kHz and a video bandwidth of 300kHz.

The field strength of the fundamental (transmit) frequency meets the limits as defined in 47 CFR 15.231(b). It also meets the provisions in 15.35 for averaging pulsed emissions and for limiting peak emissions.

<b>Work Order:</b>	HORS0001	<b>Date:</b>	01/08/15	
<b>Project:</b>	None	<b>Temperature:</b>	22.5 °C	
<b>Job Site:</b>	MN05	<b>Humidity:</b>	12.9% RH	
<b>Serial Number:</b>	None	<b>Barometric Pres.:</b>	1007.4 mbar	
<b>EUT:</b>	E-Patch Endpoint			
<b>Configuration:</b>	2			
<b>Customer:</b>	Horse Sense Shoes, LLC			
<b>Attendees:</b>	Shane McCarron, Mike McHugh, Craig Wilson, Rog Roisen			
<b>EUT Power:</b>	3.0VDC			
<b>Operating Mode:</b>	Transmitting 433 MHz modulated			
<b>Deviations:</b>	None			
<b>Comments:</b>	Lower power module			

Test Specifications	Test Method
FCC 15.231:2015	ANSI C63.10:2009

Run #	8	Test Distance (m)	3	Antenna Height(s)	1 to 4(m)	Results	Pass
-------	---	-------------------	---	-------------------	-----------	---------	------



Freq (MHz)	Amplitude (dBuV)	Factor (dB)	Antenna Height (meters)	Azimuth (degrees)	Duty Cycle Correction Factor (dB)	External Attenuation (dB)	Polarity/ Transducer Type	Detector	Distance Adjustment (dB)	Adjusted (dBuV/m)	Spec. Limit (dBuV/m)	Compared to Spec. (dB)	Comments
433.020	77.6	22.8	1.1	85.0		0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	100.4	100.8	-0.4	EUT horz
433.005	77.6	22.8	1.1	84.1		0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	100.4	100.8	-0.4	EUT on side
433.020	77.6	22.8	1.1	85.0	-20.5	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	79.9	80.8	-0.9	EUT horz
433.005	77.6	22.8	1.1	84.1	-20.5	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	79.9	80.8	-0.9	EUT on side
433.020	76.6	22.8	1.2	82.0		0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	99.4	100.8	-1.4	EUT vert
433.020	76.6	22.8	1.2	82.0	-20.5	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	78.9	80.8	-1.9	EUT vert
432.980	75.1	22.8	1.4	271.0		0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	97.9	100.8	-2.9	EUT on side
432.980	75.1	22.8	1.4	271.0	-20.5	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	77.4	80.8	-3.4	EUT on side
432.980	73.6	22.8	1.4	264.9		0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	96.4	100.8	-4.4	EUT vert
432.980	73.6	22.8	1.4	264.9	-20.5	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	75.9	80.8	-4.9	EUT vert
433.015	71.0	22.8	1.0	54.0		0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	93.8	100.8	-7.0	EUT horz
433.015	71.0	22.8	1.0	54.0	-20.5	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	73.3	80.8	-7.5	EUT horz

## OCCUPIED BANDWIDTH

Testing was performed using the mode(s) of operation and configuration(s) noted within the report. The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings used to complete the evaluation. The actual test parameters are specified in the test data, this includes items such as investigated frequency range (scanned) and test levels. The testing methods and performance specifications, as well as the test site used for the evaluation are indicated in the test data.

### TEST EQUIPMENT

Description	Manufacturer	Model	ID	Last Cal.	Interval (mo)
MN05 Cables	ESM Cable Corp.	Bilog Cables	MNH	3/14/2014	12
Antenna, Biconilog	Teseq	CBL 6141B	AYD	12/17/2013	24
Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	N9010A	AFI	1/27/2013	24

### TEST DESCRIPTION

The occupied bandwidth was measured with the EUT configured for continuous modulated operation at its single transmit frequency. The spectrum analyzer's resolution bandwidth was  $\geq 1\%$  of the 20dB bandwidth and the video bandwidth was greater than or equal to the resolution bandwidth.

The 20 dB bandwidth of the transmit frequency is less than 0.25% of the center frequency.




# OCCUPIED BANDWIDTH


XMIT 2014.02.07

EUT: E-Patch Endpoint		Work Order: HORS0001	
Serial Number: None		Date: 01/08/15	
Customer: Horse Sense Shoes, LLC		Temperature: 22.5°C	
Attendees: Shane McCarron, Mike McHugh, Craig Wilson, Rog Roisen		Humidity: 13%	
Project: None		Barometric Pres.: 1007.4	
Tested by: Trevor Buls		Power: 3.0VDC	
		Job Site: MN05	
TEST SPECIFICATIONS		Test Method	
FCC 15.231:2015		ANSI C63.10:2009	
COMMENTS			
None			
DEVIATIONS FROM TEST STANDARD			
None			
Configuration #	2	Signature <i>Trevor Buls</i>	
		Value (kHz)	Limit (kHz)
433 MHz		44.58	1082.5
			Result
			Pass



433 MHz				Value	Limit	Result
				(kHz)	(kHz)	
				44.58	1082.5	Pass





Testing was performed using the mode(s) of operation and configuration(s) noted within the report. The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings used to complete the evaluation. The actual test parameters are specified in the test data, this includes items such as investigated frequency range (scanned) and test levels. The testing methods and performance specifications, as well as the test site used for the evaluation are indicated in the test data.

#### TEST EQUIPMENT

Description	Manufacturer	Model	ID	Last Cal.	Interval (mo)
MN05 Cables	ESM Cable Corp.	Bilog Cables	MNH	3/14/2014	12
Antenna, Biconilog	Teseq	CBL 6141B	AYD	12/17/2013	24
Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	N9010A	AFI	1/27/2013	24

#### TEST DESCRIPTION

For software controlled or pre-programmed devices, the manufacturer shall declare the duty cycle class or classes for the equipment under test. For manually operated or event dependant devices, with or without software controlled functions, the manufacturer shall declare whether the device once triggered, follows a pre-programmed cycle, or whether the transmission is constant until the trigger is released or manually reset. The manufacturer shall also give a description of the application for the device and include a typical usage pattern. The typical usage pattern as declared by the manufacturer shall be used to determine the duty cycle and hence the duty class.

Where an acknowledgement is required, the additional transmitter on-time shall be included and declared by the manufacturer.

To derive average emission measurements, a duty cycle correction factor per 15.35(c) was utilized:

Duty Cycle = On time/100 milliseconds (or the period, whichever is less)

Where "On time" =  $N1L1 + N2L2 + \dots$

Where N1 is the number of type 1 pulses, L1 is length of type 1 pulses, N2 is the number of type 2 pulses, L2 is the length of type 2 pulses, etc.

Therefore, Duty Cycle =  $(N1L1 + N2L2 + \dots)/100\text{ms}$  or T, whichever is less. Where T is the period of the pulse train.

The measured values for the EUT's pulse train are as follows:

Period = 100 mSec

Pulsewidth of Type 1 Pulse = 9.500 mSec

Number of Type 1 Pulses = 1

Duty Cycle =  $20 \log [(9.500)(1)/100] = -20.45 \text{ dB}$

The duty cycle correction factor of -20.45 dB was added to the peak readings to mathematically derive the average levels. Peak measurements were made with a resolution bandwidth of 100kHz and a video bandwidth of 300kHz.

The field strength of the fundamental (transmit) frequency meets the limits as defined in 47 CFR 15.231(b). It also meets the provisions in 15.35 for averaging pulsed emissions and for limiting peak emissions.




# DUTY CYCLE


XMIT 2014.02.07

EUT: E-Patch Endpoint		Work Order: HORS0001	
Serial Number: None		Date: 01/08/15	
Customer: Horse Sense Shoes, LLC		Temperature: 22.5°C	
Attendees: Shane McCarron, Mike McHugh, Craig Wilson, Rog Roisen		Humidity: 13%	
Project: None		Barometric Pres.: 1007.4	
Tested by: Trevor Buls/Dustin Sparks		Power: 3.0VDC	
		Job Site: MN05	
TEST SPECIFICATIONS		Test Method	
FCC 15.231:2015		ANSI C63.10:2009	
COMMENTS			
Low power module. Period in normal operation longer than provided test mode.			
DEVIATIONS FROM TEST STANDARD			
None			
Configuration #	2	Signature <i>Trevor Buls</i>	
		Value	Limit
10 Second Interval		N/A	N/A
1 Second Interval		N/A	N/A
100 ms Interval		9.5 ms	N/A
		Result	N/A

10 Second Interval						
				Value	Limit	Result
				N/A	N/A	N/A



1 Second Interval						
				Value	Limit	Result
				N/A	N/A	N/A



100 ms Interval						
				Value	Limit	Result
				9.5 ms	N/A	N/A

