

MDE\_TELTO\_FCC\_MPEa FCC ID 2AET4-RUT950 IC ID: 23005-RUT950

## **Maximum Permissible Exposure**

as specified in Table 1B of 47 CFR 1.1310 – Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE), Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure

| Frequency range (MHz) | Power density (mW/cm²) |  |
|-----------------------|------------------------|--|
| 300 – 1,500           | f/1500                 |  |
| 1,500 - 100,000       | 1.0                    |  |

Limits specified per RSS-102, Issue 5.

| Frequency range (MHz) | Power density (W/m²) | Power density (mW/cm²)  |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 300 – 6000            | $0.02619 f^{0.6834}$ | $mW/cm^2 = W/m^2 * 0.1$ |

## Calculations

The output power at antenna input terminal:

Prediction frequency 1(PF 1) ( $\mathbf{P}$ ): 20MHz BW - 2412 MHz @ 15.8 dBm Prediction frequency 2(PF 2) ( $\mathbf{P}$ ): 40MHz BW - 2422 MHz @ 15.6 dBm

Antenna gain(**G**) @ 2.4GHz: 5.0dBi Prediction distance **R**: 20 cm MPE limit **S**: 1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>

Equation OET bulletin 65, page 18, edition 97-01:  $S = \frac{PG}{4\pi R^2} = \frac{EIRP}{4\pi R^2}$ 

P = power input to the antenna

G = power gain of the antenna in the direction of interest relative to an isotropic radiator

R = distance to the centre of radiation of the antenna (20cm)

| Maximum Power density           | Limit FCC              | Limit IC | Verdict |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------|---------|
| PF 1: 0.0239 mW/cm <sup>2</sup> | 1.0 mW/cm <sup>2</sup> | 0.5366   | Pass    |
| PF 2: 0.0228 mW/cm <sup>2</sup> | 1.0 mW/cm <sup>2</sup> | 0.5381   | Pass    |

| Margin to FCC Limit (mW/cm²) | Margin to IC Limit (mW/cm²) | Minimum Distance to be ensured cm (FCC) | Minimum Distance to be ensured cm (IC) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| 0.9761                       | 0.5127                      | 3.0931                                  | 4.2225                                 |
| 0.9772                       | 0.5153                      | 3.0227                                  | 4.1206                                 |

Note. The calculation was made under the consideration of the duty cycle effect.

Yours sincerely

Patrick Lomax, Project Manager 07.08.2017 Ratingen, Germany