

# **FCC SAR TEST REPORT**

**APPLICANT** Jide Technology Co., Ltd.

PRODUCT NAME Android Hybrid Laptop

MODEL NAME Remix Pro

TRADE NAME Remix OS, Remix Pro, JIDE

**BRAND NAME** Remix OS, Remix Pro, JIDE

FCC ID 2AF86-RP1

47 CFR 2.1093 STANDARD(S) IEEE 1528-2013

**ISSUE DATE** 2017-01-09

SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS JECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.

NOTE: This document is issued by MORLAB, the test report shall not be reproduced except in full without prior written permission of the company. The test results apply only to the particular sample(s) tested and to the specific tests carried out which is available on request for validation and information confirmed at our website.

MORLAB GROUP

FL1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road, Block67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen , GuangDong Province, P. R. China Http://www.morlab.com

Tel: 86-755-36698555

Fax: 86-755-36698525 E-mail: service@morlab.cn



# **DIRECTORY**

TEST REPORT DECLA	ARATION						4
1.TECHNICAL INFOR	MATION		<u> </u>				5
1.1 IDENTIFICATION OF	APPLICANT ······						5
1.2 IDENTIFICATION OF	MANUFACTURER ·······						5
1.3 EQUIPMENT UNDER	R TEST (EUT) ······						5
1.3.1 PHOTOGRAPHS O	F THE EUT·····						5
1.3.2 IDENTIFICATION C	OF ALL USED EUT						6
	E DOCUMENTS						
1.5 DEVICE CATEGORY	AND SAR LIMITS						6
2. SPECIFIC ABSORP	TION RATE (SAR)						7
2.1 Introduction ····							7
2.2 SAR DEFINITION ··							7
3. SAR MEASUREME	NT SETUP·····			<u></u>		<u></u>	8
3.1 THE MEASUREMEN	IT SYSTEM ·····						8
	N PROCESS ······						
3.3.1 DOSIMETRIC ASSI	ESSMENT PROCEDURE ··			<u>,                                    </u>		0,,,	10
3.3.2 FREE SPACE ASSE							
3.3.3 TEMPERATURE AS	SSESSMENT PROCEDURE						10
3.4 PHANTOM							11
3.5 DEVICE HOLDER ···							11
4. TISSUE SIMULATII	NG LIQUIDS ······						12
5. UNCERTAINTY AS	SESSMENT ······						14
AB SELAI	MORE	AB .	RLA	MORE	We.	AB	اه
5.1 UNCERTAINTY EV	VALUATION FOR EUT	SAR TEST	10,			<u> </u>	14
5.2 UNCERTAINTY FO							15
40.							_



6. SAR MEASUREMENT EVALUATION·······17
6.1 System Setup
6.2 VALIDATION RESULTS 18
7. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST
7.1 BODY-WORN CONFIGURATIONS ············19 7.2 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE ·······19
7.2 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE ·······19
7.3 DESCRIPTION OF INTERPOLATION/EXTRAPOLATION SCHEME20
8. ANTENNA LOCATION AND TEST POSITION2
9. MEASUREMENT OF CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER23
10. TEST RESULTS LIST26
11. REPEATED SAR MEASUREMENT 29
12. BLUETOOTH EXCLUSIONS APPLIED
13 ANNEX A PLOTS OF SAR TEST RESULTS
14 ANNEX B GENERAL INFORMATION
15 ANNEX C SETUP PHOTOS
15 ANNEX C SYSTEM CHECK DATA
ANNEX A PLOTS OF SAR TEST RESULTS34
ANNEX B GENERAL INFORMATION32

Change History					
Issue	Issue Date Reason for change				
1.0 2017-01-09 First edition					



# **TEST REPORT DECLARATION**

Applicant	Jide Technology Co., Ltd.					
Applicant Address	1801, Building 3, Crystal City, Tiansha Taoyian Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen China					
Manufacturer	Jide Technology Co., Ltd.					
Manufacturer Address	1801, Building 3, Crystal City, Tiansha Taoyian Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen China					
Product Name	Android Hybrid Laptop					
Model Name	Remix Pro					
Brand Name	Remix OS, Remix Pro, JIDE					
HW Version	B1.1					
SW Version	Wanlong_B2					
Test Standards	47 CFR 2.1093; IEEE 1528-2013;					
Test Date	2016-08-25					
The Highest Reported 1g-SAR(W/kg)	Body 0.487W/kg Limit(W/kg): 1.6W/kg					

Tested by	Pery Fuvei	
	Peng Fuwei	
Reviewed by	Liu Jun	
attendar and	Liu Jun	SORT.

Approved by : Peng Huarui



# 1.TECHNICAL INFORMATION

Note: the Following data is based on the information by the applicant

# 1.1 Identification of Applicant

Company Name:	Jide Te	chnology Co.,	Ltd.	ORLA	MOL	. 6	LAB
Address:	1801,	Building 3	, Crystal	City,	Tiansha	Taoyian	Road,
B ORLAN MORE	Nanshan District, Shenzhen China						

#### 1.2 Identification of Manufacturer

Company Name:	Jide Te	chnology C	o., L	td.	al.AB	ORLA	Mor	VB W.
Address:	1801,	Building	3,	Crystal	City,	Tiansha	Taoyian	Road,
E N. SLAE JORLAN	Nansha	an District,	Shen	zhen Chin	na 🧬			

# 1.3 Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Model Name:	Remix Pro			
Trade Name:	Remix OS, Remix Pro, JIDE			
Brand Name:	Remix OS, Remix Pro, JIDE			
Hardware Version:	B1.1 HAR HORE HIS AR CLAR HORE			
Software Version:	Wanlong_B2			
Tx Frequency Bands:	802.11 b/g/n: 2412-2462 MHz;			
	802.1 a/ac/n: 5180-5825MHz			
MO. AB . CL.	Bluetooth; Bluetooth4.1; 2402-2480 MHz;			
Uplink Modulations:	WIFI 802.11b: DSSS; WIFI 802.11g: OFDM;			
	WIFI 802.11a/ac/n:OFDM;			
ORLE MORE NE	Bluetooth: GFSK/π/4-DQPSK/8-DPSK; Bluetooth4.0: GFSK			
Antenna type:	Fixed Internal Antenna			
Development Stage:	Identical prototype			

# 1.3.1 Photographs of the EUT

Please refer to the External Photos for the Photos of the EUT





#### 1.3.2 Identification of all used EUT

The EUT identity consists of numerical and letter characters, the letter character indicates the test sample, and the Following two numerical characters indicate the software version of the test sample.

EUT Identity	Hardware Version	Software Version
1#	B1.1	Wanlong_B2

### 1.4 Applied Reference Documents

Leading reference documents for testing:

No.	Identity	Document Title				
1 IEEE 1528-2013		IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Pea Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices Measurement Techniques				
2	KDB 447498 D01v06	General RF Exposure Guidance				
3	KDB 616217 D04v01r02	SAR for laptop and Tablets				
4	KDB 248227 D01v02r02	SAR Measurement Guidance for IEEE 802.11 Transmitters				
5	KDB 865664 D01v01r04	SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz				
6	KDB 865664 D02v01r02	SAR Reporting				

### 1.5 Device Category and SAR Limits

This device belongs to portable device category because its radiating structure is allowed to be used within 20 centimeters of the body of the user. Limit for General Population/Uncontrolled exposure should be applied for this device, it is 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue.



# 2. SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR)

#### 2.1 Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are Middle than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

#### 2.2 SAR Definition

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density. ( $\rho$ ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \Big( \frac{dW}{dm} \Big) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big( \frac{dW}{\rho dv} \Big)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be either related to the temperature elevation in tissue by,

$$SAR = C\left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t}\right)$$

Where C is the specific head capacity,  $\delta T$  is the temperature rise and  $\delta t$  the exposure duration, or related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

Where  $\sigma$  is the conductivity of the tissue,  $\rho$  is the mass density of the tissue and |E| is the rms electrical field strength.

However for evaluating SAR of low power transmitter, electrical field measurement is typically applied.



# 3. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

### 3.1 The Measurement System

Comosar is a system that is able to determine the SAR distribution inside a phantom of human being according to different standards. The Comosar system consists of the Following items:

- Main computer to control all the system
- 6 axis robot
- Data acquisition system
- Miniature E-field probe
- Phone holder
- Head simulating tissue

The Following figure shows the system.



The EUT under test operating at the maximum power level is placed in the phone holder, under the phantom, which is filled with head simulating liquid. The E-Field probe measures the electric field inside the phantom. The OpenSAR software computes the results to give a SAR value in a 1g or 10g mass.

### 3.2 Probe

For the measurements the Specific Dosimetric E-Field Probe SN 37/08 EP80 with Following specifications is used

- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg

- Tip Diameter: 6.5 mm





- Distance between probe tip and sensor center: 2.5mm


 Distance between sensor center and the inner phantom surface: 4 mm (repeatability better than +/- 1mm)

Probe linearity: <0.25 dB</li>
Axial Isotropy: <0.25 dB</li>
Spherical Isotropy: <0.25 dB</li>

- Calibration range: 835to 2500MHz for head & body simulating liquid.

Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 30°

Probe calibration is realized, in compliance with CENELEC EN 62209 and IEEE 1528 std, with CALISAR, Antennessa proprietary calibration system. The calibration is performed with the EN 622091 annex technique using reference guide at the five frequencies.



$$SAR = \frac{4\left(P_{fw} - P_{bw}\right)}{ab\delta}\cos^2\left(\pi \frac{y}{a}\right)e^{-(2z/\delta)}$$

Where:

Pfw = Forward Power Pbw = Backward Power

a and b = Waveguide dimensions

s = Skin depth



#### Keithley configuration:

Rate = Medium; Filter =ON; RDGS=10; FILTER TYPE =MOVING AVERAGE; RANGE AUTO After each calibration, a SAR measurement is performed on a validation dipole and compared with a NPL calibrated probe, to verify it.

The calibration factors, CF(N), for the 3 sensors corresponding to dipole 1, dipole 2 and dipole 3 are:

$$CF(N)=SAR(N)/VIin(N)$$

(N=1,2,3)

The linearised output voltage Vlin(N) is obtained from the displayed output voltage V(N) using

$$Vlin(N)=V(N)*(1+V(N)/DCP(N))$$

(N=1,2,3)

Where DCP is the diode compression point in mV.

#### 3.3 Probe Calibration Process

#### 3.3.1 Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each E-Probe/Probe Amplifier combination has unique calibration parameters. SATIMO Probe calibration procedure is conducted to determine the proper amplifier settings to enter in the probe parameters. The amplifier settings are determined for a given frequency by subjecting the probe to a known E-field density (1 mW/cm²) using an with CALISAR, Antenna proprietary calibration system.

#### 3.3.2 Free Space Assessment Procedure

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This calibration can be performed in a TEM cell if the frequency is below 1 GHz and in a waveguide or other methodologies above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is rotated 360 degrees until the three channels show the maximum reading. The power density readings equates to 1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### 3.3.3 Temperature Assessment Procedure

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulating head tissue. The E-field in the medium correlates with the temperature rise in the dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

Where:

 $\delta t = \text{exposure time (30 seconds)},$ 





$$SAR = C\left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t}\right)$$

C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

 $\delta T$  = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

SAR is proportional to  $\Delta T/\Delta t$ , the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. The electric field in the simulated tissue can be used to estimate SAR by equating the thermally derived SAR to that with the E- field component.

Where:

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

 $\sigma$  = simulated tissue conductivity,

 $\rho$  = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm<sup>3</sup> for brain tissue)

#### 3.4 Phantom

For the measurements the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) defined by the IEEE SCC-34/SC2 group is used. The phantom is a polyurethane shell integrated in a wooden table. The thickness of the phantom amounts to 2mm +/- 0.2mm. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right phone usage and includes an additional flat phantom part for the simplified performance check. The phantom set-up includes a cover, which prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

#### 3.5 Device Holder

The positioning system allows obtaining cheek and tilting position with a very good accuracy. In compliance with CENELEC, the tilt angle uncertainty is Middle than 1°.



Device holder

System Material	Permittivity	Loss Tangent		
Delrin	3.7	0.005		



### 4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS

For SAR measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom, the phantom must be filled with homogeneous tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. The nominal dielectric values of the tissue simulating liquids in the phantom and the tolerance of 5% are listed in below table.

The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquids

_ AV A	V AV AU	
Frequency Band (MHz)	2450	5200-5800
Tissue Type	Body	Body
Ingredients (% by weigh	nt)	AE MELAE
Deionised Water	73.20	78.60
Salt(NaCl)	0.10	0.00
Sugar	0.00	0.00
Tween 20	0.00	0.00
HEC	0.00	0.00
Bactericide	0.00	0.00
Triton X-100	0.00	10.70
DGBE	26.70	0.00
Diethylenglycol 0.00 monohexylether		10.70
Measured dielectric par	ameters	MORLAR NORL
Dielectric Constant 52.70		ORLAN MORE
Conductivity (S/m)	1.95	Note

Note: Please refer to the validation results for dielectric parameters of each frequency band.

The dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an Agilent 85033E Dielectric Probe Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.



**Table 1: Dielectric Performance of Tissue Simulating Liquid** 

Temperature	e: 22.0~23.8°C	, humidity: 54~60%.				
Date	Freq.(MHz)	Liquid Parameters	Meas.	Target	Delta(%)	Limit±(%)
2015/08/25 Body 2450	Relative Permittivity(cr):	52.48	52.70	-0.42	5	
	B00y 2450	Conductivity(σ):	1.96	1.95	0.51	5
E SELE	D = d + 5000	Relative Permittivity(cr):	48.29	48.5	-0.43	5
2015/08/25	Body 5200	Conductivity(σ):	5.74	5.77	-0.52	5
2015/08/25 Body	Dody 5000	Relative Permittivity(cr):	48.09	48.2	-0.23	5
	Body 5800	Conductivity(σ):	5.93	6.00	-1.17	5



# 5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT

The Following table includes the uncertainty table of the IEEE 1528. The values are determined by Antennessa.

# **5.1 UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR EUT SAR TEST**

	V.	. 30					O		
a nor more no more no	b	C	d	e= f(d,k)	f MORLAS	g	h= c*f/e	i= c*g/ e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+- %)	Prob Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-	Vi
Barration of Oracles	OR BU	QLAS.	- 11	Sel. M.	4101	VE III.	QLAB.	%)	ORLP.
Measurement System  Probe calibration	E.2.1	4.76	N	1.082.00	1 110	1	4.76	4.7	
JE. M. L.	E.2.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.01	1.0	 
Axial Isotropy		9	al.h	O`		100.	.0	الله	
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.62	1.6	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1,0	0.58	0.5	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1 110	1	2.89	2.8	∞
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1 ORL	0.58	0.5	8
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	N	1 1	1	1	0.02	0.0	8
Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	10100	1, "	1.73	1.7	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1	∞
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	10	1 ala	1.73	1.7	∞
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1 alas	1"	1.15	1.1 5	∞
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1 E	0.03	0.0	8
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	AB MORIAR	1 MORLAR	2.89	2.8 9	∞
Test sample Related	A	ORL	III.	AB.		RLAN	MORE	411	
Test sample positioning	E.4.2.	0.03	N	1 <sub>more</sub>	1 MC	1 NORLAE	0.03	0.0	N- 1
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1.	5.00	N	1 100	1 🚜	1	5.00	5.0	N-



2LAB CORL	1	TB In.	alp	40	A. B.	More	"B Mi	0	1
Output power Power drift -	6.6.2	4.04	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1 , 1	1	2.33	2.3	∞
SAR drift measurement	"B W	CLAB	) 	ORLA	Mole	Bhu	LAB	3	ORL
Phantom and Tissue Para	meters	More	9 11	LAB		RLA	Mole	0 1	77
Phantom Uncertainty	E.3.1	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1,	1	90.	0.0	8
(Shape and thickness	MOIL	AE M	ORLA	B	LA	Moles	0.03	3	8
tolerances)	F 0 0	4.57	R	<i>\sigma</i>	0.04	0.40	4.00	4.4	∞
Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	4.57	K	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.1	~
Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.00	N	1 <sub>m</sub> oR	0.64	0.43	3.20	2.1 5	M
Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	3.69	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.0 4	8
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10.0	N W	1 ORLAS	0.6	0.49	6.00	4.9	М
Combined Standard Uncertainty	10RL	AE MO	RSS	3 III MO	LAB	MORLIN	11.55	10. 67	8
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)	WE MO.	ORLAB	K=2	RLAE	MORLA	LAE MC	23.11	21. 33	ORL

#### 5.2 UNCERTAINTY FOR SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

a West Late	b work	С	d	e=	f	g	h=		k
	A.B	RLAL	212	f(d,k)	Mic	OB.	c*f/e	c*g/	ORL
AB ALAP OR	4	NO.	40	al Alb	٠.٥	2 les	Mo.	е	
<b>Uncertainty Component</b>	Sec.	Tol	Prob	Div.	Ci	Ci	1g Ui	10g	Vi
	More	(+-	· ALA	, o <sup>R</sup>	(1g)	(10g)	(+-%)	Ui	8
	ORI	%)	Dist.	B	LAP	.0	RLA	(+-	
3 ORLA MORE	BIN	LAB	.0	RLA	Moles	BIN	LAB	%)	RLA
Measurement System	Like	NOFE	B W	LAB	.0	RLA	MORE	2 1/1	
Probe calibration	E.2.1	4.76	N	1,101	1, 1	1 100	4.76	4.7	8
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.01	1.0	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.62	1.6	∞ .
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R 🐠	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.8	0.58	0.5	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1 110	1 💦	2.89	2.8	∞
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	108	0.58	0.5	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	N	1,5	1 1 1 1	1	0.02	0.0	∞




G. Company									
Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1,10	1.73	1.7	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1 010	1	1.15	1.1	∞
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1,8	1.73	1.7	∞
Probe positioner  Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1 11	1	1.15	1.1 5	8
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1,1111	0.03	0.0	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	10.	1,011,11	2.89	2.8	8
Dipole	OR	Like	Mole	S M	, AS	3	RLA	Moke	
Dipole axis to liquid Distance	8,E.4. 2	1.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	,10h	1 M	0.58	0.5 8	∞
Input power and SAR drift measurement	8,6.6. 2	4.04	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1 M	1 NOPLAS	2.33	2.3	8
Phantom and Tissue Para	meters	Ale	MORE	Mo	0.5	3	QLAR	MORE	
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	NIORE	1 M	0.03	0.0	8
Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	4.57	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.1	8
Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.2 4	M
Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	3.69	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.0 4	8
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10.0 0	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	3.46	2.8	M
Combined Standard Uncertainty	A.B	AORLA	RSS	RLAB	III.	RLAB	8.83	8.3 7	OF
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)	ORLAN	AE MOT	K=2	Ma MOE	LAB	MORLA	17.66	16. 73	3 11



### 6. SAR MEASUREMENT EVALUATION

### 6.1 System Setup

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave which comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It is performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurements in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system verification setup is shown as below



The validation dipole is placed beneath the flat phantom with the specific spacer in place. The distance spacer is touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The power meter PM1 measures the forward power at the location of the system check dipole connector. The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power (250 mW is used for 700 MHz to 3 GHz,100 mW is used for 3.5 GHz to



6 GHz) at the dipole connector and the power meter PM2 is read at that level. After connecting the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter PM2.

#### 6.2 Validation Results

After system check testing, the SAR result will be normalized to 1W forward input power and compared with the reference SAR value derived from validation dipole certificate report. The deviation of system check should be within 10 %.

Frequency	2450MHz(B)	5200MHz(B)	5600MHz(B)	5800MHz
Target value 1W (1g)	56.13 W/Kg	169.14 W/Kg	189.29 W/Kg	201.62 W/Kg
Test value 1g (100 mW input power)	5.439 W/Kg	16.284 W/Kg	18.782 W/Kg	21.537 W/Kg
Normalized to 1W value(1g)	54.39 W/Kg	162.84 W/Kg	187.82 W/Kg	215.37 W/Kg

Note: System checks the specific test data please see 40~41.




### 7. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST

### 7.1 Body-worn Configurations

The body-worn configurations shall be tested with the supplied accessories (belt-clips, holsters, etc.) attached to the device in normal use configuration.

For body-worn and other configurations a flat phantom shall be used which is comprised of material with electrical properties similar to the corresponding tissues.



**Illustration for Body Worn Position** 

#### 7.2 Measurement procedure

The Following steps are used for each test position

- Establish a call with the maximum output power with a base station simulator. The connection between the mobile and the base station simulator is established via air interface.
- Measurement of the local E-field value at a fixed location. This value serves as a reference value for calculating a possible power drift.
- 3. Measurement of the SAR distribution with a grid of 8 to 16mm \* 8 to 16 mm and a constant distance to the inner surface of the phantom. Since the sensors cannot directly measure at the inner phantom surface, the values between the sensors and the inner phantom surface are extrapolated. With these values the area of the maximum SAR is calculated by an interpolation scheme.
- 4. Around this point, a cube of 30 \* 30 \* 30 mm or 32 \* 32 \* 32 mm is assessed by measuring 5 or 8 \* 5 or 8 \* 4 or 5 mm. With these data, the peak spatial-average SAR value can be calculated.



### 7.3 Description of interpolation/extrapolation scheme

The local SAR inside the phantom is measured using small dipole sensing elements inside a probe body. The probe tip must not be in contact with the phantom surface in order to minimize measurements errors, but the highest local SAR will occur at the surface of the phantom.

An extrapolation is using to determinate this highest local SAR values. The extrapolation is based on a fourth-order least-square polynomial fit of measured data. The local SAR value is then extrapolated from the liquid surface with a 1mm step.


The measurements have to be performed over a limited time (due to the duration of the battery) so the step of measurement is high. It could vary between 5 and 8 mm. To obtain an accurate assessment of the maximum SAR averaged over 10 grams and 1 gram requires a very fine resolution in the three dimensional scanned data array.



# 8. ANTENNA LOCATION AND TEST POSITION

For tablets with a display and overall diagonal dimension 45cm >20cm, the SAR procedure in KDB 616217 should be used.

Edge A



**Edge C** 



Assessment	LAB	SAR Tes	t Positon	LAB	ORLA	MOL
				MORE	Test distance	e: 0mm
Antennas	Back	Front	Edge A	Edge B	Edge C	Edge D
WLAN&BT	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No

Note:

#### SAR test exclusion table distance is ≤ 50mm

Per KDB 447498 D01v05, the 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at *test separation distances* ≤ 50 mm are determined by:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)]  $\cdot [\sqrt{f(GHz)}] \le 3.0$  for 1-g SAR and ≤ 7.5 for 10-g extremity SAR

f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz

Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation

_	Wireless Interface	WLAN	WLAN	WLAN	
Exposure Position		2.4GHz	5.2GHz	5.8GHz	
FUSITION	Calculated Frequency (MHz)	2480	5230	5755	
	Tune-up Maximum power (dBm)	12.5	13.5	13	
	Antenna to user (mm)	0			
Rear	SAR exclusion threshold	5.6	10.23	9.58	
	SAR testing required?	Yes	Yes	Yes	
	Antenna to user (mm)		0		
Edge A	SAR exclusion threshold	5.6	10.23	9.58	
	SAR testing required?	Yes	Yes	Yes	

### SAR test exclusion table distance is > 50mm

Per KDB 447498 D01v05, at 100 MHz to 6 GHz and for test separation distances > 50 mm, the SAR test exclusion threshold is determined according to the following
a) [Threshold at 50 mm in step 1) + (test separation distance - 50 mm)·(f(MHz)/150)] mW, at 100 MHz to 1500MHz

b) [Threshold at 50 mm in step 1) + (test separation distance - 50 mm)·10] mW at > 1500 MHz and ≤ 6 GHz

Exposure	Wireless Interface	WLAN	WLAN	WLAN	
Position		2.4GHz	5.2GHz	5.8GHz	
1 03111011	Calculated Frequency (MHz)	2480	5230	5755	
	Tune-up Maximum power (dBm)	12.5	13.5	13	
	Antenna to user (mm)	170			
Edge B	SAR exclusion threshold	309	309	309	
	SAR testing required?	No	No	No	
	Antenna to user (mm)	190			
Edge C	SAR exclusion threshold	309	309	309	
	SAR testing required?	No	No	No	
	Antenna to user (mm)		100		
Edge D	SAR exclusion threshold	309	309	309	
	SAR testing required?	No	No	No	



#### 9. MEASUREMENT OF CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER

# 1. WiFi Average output power

			Output Power(dBm)				
Band	Band Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11b	802.11g	802.11n20		
		(2)	(DSSS)	(OFDM)	(OFDM)		
MO.	1 alab	2412	11.29	10.25	10.32		
WiFi	6	2437	11.83	10.51	10.58		
VB M	A <sup>D</sup> 11	2462	12.07	10.72	10.74		

			Output
Band	Channal	Frequency	Power(dBm)
	Channel	(MHz)	802.11n40
			(OFDM)
ORLA. M	3	2422	12.14
Wifi	6	2437	12.54
MORL	9	2452	12.16

# 2. Wi-Fi 5GHz Average output power

Dond	Channal	Frequency	Output Power(dBm)					
Band	Band Channel	(MHz)	802.11a20	802.11n20	802.11ac20			
LAB JOP	36	5180	11.70	11.73	11.76			
Wi-Fi	40	5200	11.62	11.64	11.68			
5.2GHz	44	5220	11.61	11.66	11.63			
MELAB	48	5240	11.77	11.89	11.91			

Band	Channel	Frequency	Output Power(dBm)		
Danu	Charmer	(MHz)	802.11n40	802.11ac40	
Wi-Fi	38	5190	12.96	13.00	
5.2GHz	46	5230	13.02	12.99	



Band	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Output Power(dBm) 802.11ac80
Wi-Fi 5.2GHz	41	5210	11.95

Donal	Chanal	Charrel Frequency		Output Power(dBm)			
Band	Band Channel		802.11a20	802.11n20	802.11ac20		
.6	149	5745	11.83	11.80	11.73		
Wi-Fi	153	5765	11.56	11.61	11.55		
5.8GHz	157	5785	11.49	11.48	11.53		
(UNII)	161	5805	11.31	11.28	11.48		
LAB	165	5825	11.28	11.34	11.35		

		677	A	Pre-		
	Band	Channel Frequency		Output Power(dBm)		
0	Danu	Charmer	(MHz)	802.11n40	802.11ac40	
	Wi-Fi	151	5755	12.98	12.95	
(62)	5.8GHz (UNII)	159	5795	12.85	12.85	

0			O <sub>1</sub> X Y
	Frequency		Output
Band	Channel	-	Power(dBm)
		(MHz)	802.11ac80
Wi-Fi	Wo.	E GLAD	NORL.
5.8GHz	155	5775	11.78
(UNII)	*B /m.	TLAE TO	WOL.



# 2. BT peak output power

Band	Channal	Frequency	(	Output Power(dl	Bm)
	Channel	(MHz)	GFSK	π/4-DQPSK	8-DPSK
III.	0	2402	8.54	8.07	8.22
BT	39	2441	8.99	8.54	8.69
MO. VE	78	2480	8.98	8.52	8.69

Band	Channel	Frequency	Output Power(dBm)
Bana		(MHz)	GFSK
e m	0	2402	-0.66
BT4.0	19	2441	-0.35
LAB	39	2480	-0.40



# **10. TEST RESULTS LIST**

Summary of Measurement Results (WLAN 2.4GHz 802.11b Band)

MORT. ME	AB B	Temperature	e: 21.0~23.8°C	, humidity:	54~60%.	LLAE MOP	No
Phantom Configurations	Device Test Positions	Device Test channel	SAR(W/Kg) , 1g Peak	Scaling Factor (Power)	Duty cycle	Scaling Factor (Duty cycle)	Scaled SAR (W/Kg), 1g
Body	Edge A	RLAB	0.421	MOKE	E	LAB	0.476
(0mm Separation)	Back upward	MON 11	0.212	1.104	97.69%	1.024	0.240

Summary of Measurement Results (WLAN 5.2GHz 802.11n Band)

MO. OE	QLAB.	Temperature	e: 21.0~23.8°C	, humidity:	54~60%.	MO.	7B
Phantom Configurations	Device Test Positions	Device Test channel	SAR(W/Kg) , 1g Peak	Scaling Factor (Power)	Duty cycle	Scaling Factor (Duty cycle)	Scaled SAR (W/Kg), 1g
Body	Edge A	OPLAN	0.378	Wo.	AE -040	LAE	0.487
(0mm Separation)	Back upward	46	0.291	1.117	86.7%	1.153	0.375

Summary of Measurement Results (WLAN 5.8GHz 802.11n Band)

n. SLAB	ORLA	Temperature	e: 21.0~23.8°C	, humidity:	54~60%.	· B	LAE
Phantom Configurations	Device Test Positions	Device Test channel	SAR(W/Kg) , 1g Peak	Scaling Factor (Power)	Duty cycle	Scaling Factor (Duty cycle)	Scaled SAR (W/Kg), 1g
Body	Edge A	MORL.	0.342	" oo Pi	ALP A SHOP	1.145	0.394
(0mm Separation)	Back upward	151	0.285	1.005	87.37%		0.328



#### Notes:

- 1. Adjust SAR for OFDM (HT40MHz) is 0.476\*12.54/12.07=0.494W/Kg<1.2, so SAR is not required for OFDM(HT40MHz) modes.
- 2. SAR is measured for 2.4 GHz 802.11b DSSS using either the fixed test position or, when applicable, the initial test position procedure. SAR test reduction is determined according to the following:
  - 1) When the reported SAR of the highest measured maximum output power channel for the 0.8 W/kg, no further SAR testing is required for 802.11b DSSS in exposure configuration is that exposure configuration.
  - 2) When the reported SAR is > 0.8 W/kg, SAR is required for that position using the next highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is > 1.2 W/kg, SAR is required for the third channel; i.e., all channels require testing.
- 2.4 GHz 802.11 g/n OFDM are additionally evaluated for SAR if the highest reported SAR for 802.11b, adjusted by the ratio of the OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power, is > 1.2 W/kg. When SAR is required for OFDM modes in 2.4 GHz band, the Initial Test Configuration Procedures should be followed.
- For held-to-ear and hotspot operations, the initial test position procedures were applied. The test position with the highest extrapolated peak SAR will be used as the initial test position. When reported SAR for the initial test position is 0.4 W/kg, no additional testing for the remaining test positions was required. Otherwise, SAR is evaluated at the subsequent highest peak SAR positions until the reported SAR result is 0.8 W/kg or all test positions are measured.
- Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01DR02-41929 for 2.4 GHz WIFI single transmission chain operations, the highest measured maximum output power channel for DSSS was selected for SAR measurement. SAR for OFDM modes (2.4 GHz 802.11g/n) was not required due to the maximum allowed powers and the highest reported DSSS SAR.



### 6. Scaling Factor calculation

Band	Tune-up power tolerance(dBm)	SAR test channel Power (dBm)	Scaling Factor
WiFi 2.4GHz	Max output power =12+-0.5	12.07	1.104
WiFi 5.2GHz	Max output power =13+-0.5	13.02	1.117
WiFi 5.8GHz	Max output power =12.5+-0.5	12.98	1.005



### 11. REPEATED SAR MEASUREMENT

In accordance with published RF Exposure KDB procedure 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

- 1) Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
- 2) When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/kg (~ 10% from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20.



### 12. BLUETOOTH EXCLUSIONS APPLIED

#### Stand-alone SAR

Test distanc	e: 0mm	TRIAL MORE MIC AE RIAL MO	Rr. Mc
Band	Highest power(mW) per tune up	1-g SAR test threshold	Test required?
BT2.1	7.94	[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)] • [√f(GHz)] ≤	No
BT4.0	0.93	3.0 for 1-g SAR	No

The SAR test for BT is not required.

The BT stand-alone SAR is not required, the standalone SAR must be estimated according to following to determine simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion:

(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)]·[ $\sqrt{f(GHz)/x}$ ] W/kg for test separation distances  $\leq$  50 mm;

where x = 7.5 for 1-g SAR, and x = 18.75 for 10-g SAR.

(Max power=7.94 mW; min. test separation distance= 5mm for Body; f=2.4GHz)

BT estimated Body SAR =0.328W/Kg (1g)



### 13 ANNEX A GENERAL INFORMATION

14 ANNEX B PLOTS OF SAR TEST RESULTS

15 ANNEX C SYSTEM CHECK DATA

**15 ANNEX D SETUP PHOTOS** 



# **ANNEX A GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### 1. Identification of the Responsible Testing Laboratory

Company Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd.		
Department:	Morlab Laboratory		
Address:	FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, GuangDong Province, P. R. China		
Responsible Test Lab Manager:	Mr. Su Feng		
Telephone:	+86 755 36698555		
Facsimile:	+86 755 36698525		

# 2. Identification of the Responsible Testing Location

Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd Morlab Laboratory	
Address:	FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang	
	Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, GuangDong	
	Province, P. R. China	



### 3. List of Test Equipments

No.	Instrument	Туре	Cal. Date	Cal. Due
A9	PC	Dell (Pentium IV 2.4GHz, SN:X10-23533)	(n.a)	(n.a)
2	Network Emulator	Aglient (8960, SN:10752)	2016-6-7	1year
3	Network Analyzer	Agilent(E5071B ,SN:MY42404762 )	2016-7-8	1year
4	Voltmeter	Keithley (2000, SN:1000572)	2016-7-8	1year
5	Signal Generator	Rohde&Schwarz (SMP_02)	2016-7-8	1year
6	Power Amplifier	PRANA (Ap32 SV125AZ)	2016-7-8	1year
7.0	Power Meter	Agilent (E4416A, SN:MY45102093)	2016-7-8	1year
8	Power Sensor	Agilent (N8482A, SN:MY41091706)	2016-7-8	1year
9	Directional coupler	Giga-tronics(SN:1829112)	2016-7-24	1year
10	Probe	Satimo (SN:SN 37/08 EP80)	2016-7-5	1year
11	Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent (85033E)	2016-7-5	1year
12	Phantom	Satimo (SN:SN_36_08_SAM62)	N/A	N/A
13	Liquid	Satimo(Last Calibration: 2015-08-25)	N/A	N/A
14	Dipole 2450MHz	Satimo (SN 30/13 DIP2G450-263)	2016-7-5	1year
15	Waveguide 5-6GHz	Satimo (SN 41/12 WGA21)	2016-7-5	1year
16	Temperature Meter	G20141114-01	2016-11-6	1year