Page 1 of 41

## FCC SAR TEST REPORT

For

**Product Name: Yi Lite Action Camera** 

**Brand Name: YI** 

Model No.: YAS.1117

Series Model: N/A

FCC ID: 2AFIB-YAS1117 Test Report Number: C170510R02-SF

Issued for

Shanghai Xiaoyi Technology Co., Ltd. 6F,Building E,No.2889,Jinke Road,Shanghai,China

Issued by

**Compliance Certification Services Inc.** 

**Kun shan Laboratory** 

No.10 Weiye Rd., Innovation park, Eco&Tec, Development Zone, Kunshan City, Jiangsu, China

TEL: 86-512-57355888

FAX: 86-512-57370818





Note: This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Compliance Certification Services Inc. This document may be altered or revised by Compliance Certification Services Inc. personnel only, and shall be noted in the revision section of the document. The client should not use it to claim product endorsement by A2LA or any government agencies. The test results in the report only apply to the tested sample.





Report No.:C170510R02-SF Page 2 of 41

## **Revision History**

Revision	REPORT NO.	Date	Page Revise	
Original	C170510R02-SF	June 29, 2017	N/A	N/A





#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)	4
2.	EUT DESCRIPTION	5
	2.1 MAXIMUM RF OUTPUT POWER WITH TEST CHANNEL	6
	2.2 STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE	7
3.	REQUIREMENTS FOR COMPLIANCE TESTING DEFINED BY THE FCC	8
4.	TEST METHODOLOGY	8
5.	TEST CONFIGURATION	8
6.	DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT SETUP	9
	6.1 MEASUREMENT SYSTEM DIAGRAM	10
	6.2 SYSTEM COMPONENTS	11
7.	EVALUATION PROCEDURES	15
8.	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	19
9.	EXPOSURE LIMIT	20
10.	MEASUREMENT RESULTS	21
	10.1 TEST LIQUIDS CONFIRMATION	21
	10.2 LIQUID MEASUREMENT RESULTS	22
	10.3 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK	23
	10.4 EUT TUNE-UP PROCEDURES AND TEST MODE	25
	10.5 STANDALONE SAR TEST EXCLUSION	27
	10.6 SAR TEST CONFIGURATIONS	28
	10.7 ANTENNA LOCATION	
	10.8 BODY TEST EXCLUSION THRESHOLDS	31
	10.9 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	
	10.10 REPEATED SAR MEASUREMENT	
EQ	UIPMENT LIST & CALIBRATION STATUS	35
11.	FACILITIES	36
12.	REFERENCES	36
App	pendix A: DUT and SAR Test setup	37
Арр	pendix B: Plots of Performance Check	37
Арр	pendix C: DASY Calibration Certificate	41
Δni	nendix D: Plots of SAR Test Result	41



Page 4 of 41

## 1. CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)

Product Name:	Yi Lite Action Camera				
Brand Name:	YI				
Model Name.:	YAS.1117				
Series Model:	N/A				
Device Category:	PORTABLE DEVICES				
Exposure Category:	GENERAL POPULATION/U	JNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE			
Date of Test:	June 25, 2017 & June 26, 2017				
Applicant:	Shanghai Xiaoyi Technology Co., Ltd. 6F,Building E,No.2889,Jinke Road,Shanghai,China				
Manufacturer:	Shanghai Xiaoyi Technology Co., Ltd. 6F,Building E,No.2889,Jinke Road,Shanghai,China				
Application Type:	Certification	-			
AP	PLICABLE STANDARDS A	ND TEST PROCEDURES			
STANDARDS AND TEST PROCEDURES TEST RESULT					
KDB 865664 D01		No non-compliance noted			
	Deviation from Applicable Standard				
None					

The device was tested by Compliance Certification Services Inc. in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in KDB 865664 The test results in this report apply only to the tested sample of the stated device/equipment. Other similar device/equipment will not necessarily produce the same results due to production tolerance and measurement uncertainties.

Approved by:

Jeff fang

Jeff.fang RF Manager

Compliance Certification Services Inc.

Tested by:

Sam.ye Test Engineer

Compliance Certification Services Inc.

Sam. ye.





## 2. EUT DESCRIPTION

Product Name:	Yi Lite Action Camera	
Brand Name:	YI	
Model Name.:	YAS.1117	
Series Model:	N/A	
FCC ID:	2AFIB-YAS1117	
Software version	1.5.4	
Hardware version	v2.1	
Device Category:	Production unit	
WLAN 2.4GHz Band: 2412 MHz ~ 2462 MHz Bluetooth: 2402 MHz ~ 2480 MHz WLAN 5.2GHz Band: 5180 MHz ~ 5240 MHz WLAN 5.8GHz Band: 5745 MHz ~ 5825 MHz		
Modulation Technique: 802.11a/b/g/n HT20 Bluetooth:4.2		
Antenna Specification: WIFI&Bluetooth: FPC Antenna		
Accessories: Battery (rating): Capacitance: 1400mAh,4.4V		
Operating Mode:	Maximum continuous output	





## 2.1 MAXIMUM RF OUTPUT POWER WITH TEST CHANNEL

Band / Mode	Average Power(dBm)
Bariu / Widue	BLE4.0, GFSK
Bluetooth	5

Band / Mode	Channel	SISO Average Power (dBm)
	1	15
802.11b	6	14
	11	14
	1	14
802.11g	6	14
	11	14
	1	14
802.11n 20MHz	6	14
	11	14
802.11 a U-NII-1	36-48	10
802.11 a U-NII-3	149-165	8.5
802.11 n20 U-NII-1	36-48	10
802.11 n20 U-NII-3	149-165	8





Page 7 of 41

## 2.2 STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

The maximum results of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) found during testing for **Shanghai Xiaoyi Technology Co., Ltd., YAS.1117,** are as follows.

	recimiency or and recipient and an amount						
		Frequency	Highest SAR Summary				
	Equipment Class	Frequency Band	Body				
		Band	1g SAR (W/kg)				
DTS 2.4GHz WLAN		2.4GHz WLAN	1.234				
	NIII	5.2GHz WLAN	1.188				
	NII	5.8GHz WLAN	1.191				

This device is in compliance with Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for general population/uncontrolled exposure limits (1.6 W/kg) specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, and had been tested in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013.



Page 8 of 41

## 3. REQUIREMENTS FOR COMPLIANCE TESTING DEFINED BY THE FCC

The US Federal Communications Commission has released the report and order "Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of RF Radiation", ET Docket No. 93-62 in August 1996. The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 W/Kg for an uncontrolled environment and 8.0 W/Kg for an occupational/controlled environment as recommended by the FCC 47 CFR Part 2 ( 2.1093).

## 4. TEST METHODOLOGY

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) testing specification, method and procedure for this device is in accordance with the following standards:

- ☐ IEEE 1528-2013
- KDB 865664 D01v01r04 Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz

## 5. TEST CONFIGURATION

During WLAN SAR testing EUT is configured with the WLAN continuous TX tool, and the transmission duty factor was monitored on the spectrum analyzer with zero-span setting For WLAN SAR testing, WLAN engineering test software installed on the EUT can provide continuous transmitting RF signal.

Duty cycle Form

Band	Mode	Duty cycle(100%)
	Bluetooth	67
2.4GHz	802.11b	100
2.4602	802.11g	98
	802.11n 20MHz	98
5GHz	802.11a	98
SGHZ	802.11 20MHz	98

Page 9 of 41

## 6. DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT SETUP

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY 5 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The system is based on a high precision robot (working range greater than 0.9 m), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than ± 0.02 mm. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit. The SAR measurements were conducted with the E-field PROBE EX3DV4 (manufactured by SPEAG), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure described in [7] with accuracy of better than ±10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in [8] and found to be better than ±0.25 dB. IEEE1528 and CENELEC EN 62209.

#### The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquids.

Ingredients	Frequency (MHz)									
(% by weight)	450		835		915		1900		2450	
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2
Salt (NaCl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triton X-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5
Conductivity (S/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78


#### Simulating Liquids for 5 GHz, Manufactured by SPEAG

Ingredients	(% by weight)
Water	78
Mineral oil	11
Emulsifiers	9
Additives and Salt	2





#### 6.1 MEASUREMENT SYSTEM DIAGRAM



#### The DASY5 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (St"aubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal
  multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision
  detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The
  signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion between optical and electrical
  of the signals for the digital communication to the DAE and for the analog signal from the
  optical surface detection. The EOC is connected to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 7.
- DASY5 software.
- Remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- Validation dipole kits allowing validating the proper functioning of the system.



Report No.:C170510R02-SF Page 11 of 41

#### **6.2 SYSTEM COMPONENTS**



The DASY5 measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with a 400MHz intel ULV celeron, 128MB chip-disk and 128 MB RAM. The necessary circuits for communication with either the DAE4(or DAE3) electronic box as well as the 16-bit AD-converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY5 I/O-board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.

The measurement server performs all real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operation.



The PC-operating system cannot interfere with these time critical processes. All connections are supervised by a watchdog, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program-controlled robot movements. Furthermore, the measurement server is equipped with two expansion slots which are reserved for future applications. Please note that the expansion slots do not have a standardized pinout and therefore only the expansion cards provided by SPEAG can be inserted. Expansion cards from any other supplier could seriously damage the measurement server. Calibration: No calibration required.

#### Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)



The data acquisition electronics (DAE4) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gainswitching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The input impedance of the DAE4 box is 200MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.

EX3DV4 Isotropic E-Field Probe for Dosimetric Measurements



Report No.:C170510R02-SF Page 12 of 41

Construction: Symmetrical design with triangular core

Built-in shielding against static charges

PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents,

e.g., DGBE)

Calibration: Basic Broad Band Calibration in air: 10-3000 MHz.

Conversion Factors (CF) for HSL 900 and HSL 1800 CF-Calibration for other liquids and frequencies upon

request.

Frequency: 10 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3

GHz)

**Directivity:** ± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis)

± 0.5 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)

**Dynamic Range:** 10 μW/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB

(noise: typically  $< 1 \mu W/g$ )

**Dimensions:** Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 9 mm)

Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 10 mm)
Distance from probe tip to dipole centers:

1 mm

**Application:** High precision dosimetric measurements

in any exposure scenario (e.g., very strong gradient fields). Only probe which enables compliance testing for frequencies up to 6

GHz with precision of better 30%.



Interior of probe

#### SAM Twin Phantom

#### Construction:

The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528-200X, CENELEC 50360 and IEC 62209. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points with the robot.

Shell Thickness: 2 ±0.2 mm Filling Volume: Approx. 25 liters

**Dimensions:** Height: 850mm; Length: 1000mm; Width:

750mm

SAM Phantom (ELI4 v4.0)







Report No.:C170510R02-SF Page 13 of 41

#### Description Construction:

Phantom for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz. ELI4 is fully compatible with the latest draft of the standard IEC 62209 Part II and all known tissue simulating liquids. ELI4 has been optimized regarding its performance and can be integrated into our standard phantom tables. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow installation of the complete setup, including all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids, by teaching three points. The phantom is supported by software version DASY4/DASY5.5 and higher and is compatible with all SPEAG dosimetric probes and dipoles

Shell Thickness:  $2.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm (sagging: <1\%)}$ 

Filling Volume: Approx. 25 liters

Dimensions: Major ellipse axis: 600 mm

Minor axis: 400 mm 500mm



#### Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom

**Construction:** In combination with the Twin SAM Phantom, the Mounting Device (made from POM) enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates, whereby the rotation point is the ear opening. The devices can be easily and accurately positioned according to IEC, IEEE, CENELEC, FCC or other specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, and flat phantom).



#### System Validation Kits for SAM Twin Phantom

Construction: Symmetrical dipole with I/4 balun Enables

measurement of feedpoint impedance with NWA Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with brain simulating solutions Includes distance

holder and tripod adaptor.

Frequency: 900,1800,2450,5800 MHz

ReTune loss: > 20 dB at specified validation position **Power capability:** > 100 W (f < 1GHz); > 40 W (f > 1GHz)

D835V2: dipole length: 161 mm; overall height: 340 mm D1800V2: dipole length: 72.5 mm; overall height: 300 mm D1900V2: dipole length: 67.7 mm; overall height: 300 mm D2450V2: dipole length: 51.5 mm; overall height: 290 mm D5GHzV2: dipole length: 20.6 mm; overall height: 300mm

System Validation Kits for ELI4 phantom







Report No.:C170510R02-SF Page 14 of 41

Construction: Symmetrical dipole with I/4 balun Enables

measurement of feedpoint impedance with NWA Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with brain simulating solutions Includes distance

holder and tripod adaptor.

Frequency: 900, 1800, 2450, 5800 MHz

**ReTune loss:** > 20 dB at specified validation position **Power capability:** > 100 W (f < 1GHz); > 40 W (f > 1GHz)

Dimensions:

D835V2: dipole length: 161 mm; overall height: 340 mm D1800V2: dipole length: 72.5 mm; overall height: 300 mm D1900V2: dipole length: 67.7 mm; overall height: 300 mm D2450V2: dipole length: 51.5 mm; overall height: 290 mm D5GHzV2: dipole length: 20.6 mm; overall height: 300 mm



Report No.:C170510R02-SF Page 15 of 41

## raye 13

## 7. EVALUATION PROCEDURES

#### **DATA EVALUATION**

The DASY 5 post processing software automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters: - Sensitivity Norm<sub>i</sub>,  $a_{i0}$ ,  $a_{i1}$ ,  $a_{i2}$ 

Conversion factor ConvF<sub>i</sub>
 Diode compression point dcp<sub>i</sub>

Device parameters: - Frequency f

- Crest factor cf

Media parameters: - Conductivity  $\sigma$ 

- Density ho

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY 5 components. In the direct measuring mode of the multi-meter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$\mathbf{V}_{i} = \mathbf{U}_{i} + \mathbf{U}_{i}^{2} \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_{i}}$$

with  $V_i$  = Compensated signal of channel i(i = x, y, z)

 $U_i$  = Input signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

cf = Crest factor of exciting field
 dcp<sub>i</sub> = Diode compression point
 (DASY 5 parameter)
 (DASY 5 parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-field probes:  $E = V_i$ 

$$E_{i} = \sqrt{\frac{V_{i}}{Norm_{i} \cdot ConvF}}$$

H-field probes:  $H_i = \sqrt{Vi} \cdot \frac{a_{i10} + a_{i11}f + a_{i12}f^2}{f}$ 

with  $V_i$  = Compensated signal of channel i(i = x, y, z)

 $Norm_i$  = Sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x, y, z)

 $\mu V/(V/m)^2$  for E0field Probes

ConvF = Sensitivity enhancement in solution

aij = Sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

f = Carrier frequency (GHz)

*Ei* = Electric field strength of channel i in V/m

Hi = Magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):



Report No.:C170510R02-SF Page 16 of 41

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

 $E_{tot}$  = total field strength in V/m

 $\sigma$  = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

 $\rho$  = equivalent tissue density in g/cm<sup>3</sup>

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field as a free space field.

$$P_{pwe} = \frac{E_{tot}^2}{3770}$$
 or  $P_{pwe} = H_{tot}^2 \cdot 37.7$ 

with  $P_{pwe}$  = Equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm<sup>2</sup>

 $E_{tot}$  = total electric field strength in V/m

 $H_{tot}$  = total magnetic field strength in A/m



Page 17 of 41

#### **SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURES**

The procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

#### Power Reference Measurement

The reference and drift jobs are useful jobs for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. Both jobs measure the field at a specified reference position, at a selectable distance from the phantom surface. The reference position can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section. The reference job projects the selected point onto the phantom surface, orients the probe perpendicularly to the surface, and approaches the surface using the selected detection method.

#### Area Scan

The area scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in DASY 5 software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. The scan area is defined by an editable grid. This grid is anchored at the grid reference point of the selected section in the phantom. When the area scan's property sheet is brought-up, grid was at to 15 mm by 15 mm and can be edited by a user.

#### Zoom Scan

Zoom scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The default zoom scan measures 5 x 5 x 7 points within a cube whose base faces are centered around the maximum found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. If the preceding Area Scan job indicates more then one maximum, the number of Zoom Scans has to be enlarged accordingly (The default number inserted is 1).

#### • Power Drift measurement

The drift job measures the field at the same location as the most recent reference job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last reference measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for one reference measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. In the properties of the Drift job, the user can specify a limit for the drift and have DASY 5 software stop the measurements if this limit is exceeded.

#### Z-Scan

The Z Scan job measures points along a vertical straight line. The line runs along the Z-axis of a one-dimensional grid. A user can anchor the grid to the current probe location. As with any other grids, the local Z-axis of the anchor location establishes the Z-axis of the grid.

Report No.:C170510R02-SF Page 18 of 41

#### **SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION**

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the IEEE1529 standard. It can be conducted for 1 g and 10 g.

The DASY 5 system allows evaluations that combine measured data and robot positions, such as:

- · maximum search
- extrapolation
- boundary correction
- · peak search for averaged SAR

During a maximum search, global and local maximum searches are automatically performed in 2-D after each Area Scan measurement with at least 6 measurement points. It is based on the evaluation of the local SAR gradient calculated by the Quadratic Shepard's method. The algorithm will find the global maximum and all local maxima within -2 dB of the global maxima for all SAR distributions.

#### **Extrapolation**

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. Several measurements at different distances are necessary for the extrapolation.

Extrapolation routines require at least 10 measurement points in 3-D space. They are used in the Cube Scan to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The routine uses the modified Quadratic Shepard's method for extrapolation. For a grid using 5x5x7 measurement points with 5mm resolution amounting to 343 measurement points, the uncertainty of the extrapolation routines is less than 1% for 1 g and 10 g cubes.

#### **Boundary effect**

For measurements in the immediate vicinity of a phantom surface, the field coupling effects between the probe and the boundary influence the probe characteristics. Boundary effect errors of different dosimetric probe types have been analyzed by measurements and using a numerical probe model. As expected, both methods showed an enhanced sensitivity in the immediate vicinity of the boundary. The effect strongly depends on the probe dimensions and disappears with increasing distance from the boundary. The sensitivity can be approximately given as:

$$S \approx S_o + S_b exp(-\frac{z}{a})cos(\pi \frac{z}{\lambda})$$

Since the decay of the boundary effect dominates for small probes (a<< $\lambda$ ), the cos-term can be omitted. Factors Sb (parameter Alpha in the DASY 5 software) and a (parameter Delta in the DASY 5 software) are assessed during probe calibration and used for numerical compensation of the boundary effect. Several simulations and measurements have confirmed that the compensation is valid for different field and boundary configurations.

This simple compensation procedure can largely reduce the probe uncertainty near boundaries. It works well as long as:

- the boundary curvature is small
- the probe axis is angled less than 30 to the boundary normal
- the distance between probe and boundary is larger than 25% of the probe diameter
- the probe is symmetric (all sensors have the same offset from the probe tip)

Since all of these requirements are fulfilled in a DASY 5 system, the correction of the probe boundary effect in the vicinity of the phantom surface is performed in a fully automated manner via the measurement data extraction during post processing.



Page 19 of 41

## 8. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Per KDB865664 D01 SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04, when the highest measured 1-g SAR within a frequency band is < 1.5 W/kg, the extensive SAR measurement uncertainty analysis described in IEEE Std 1528-2013 is not required in SAR reports submitted for equipment approval. The equivalent ratio (1.5/1.6) is applied to extremity and occupational exposure conditions.



Page 20 of 41

## 9. EXPOSURE LIMIT

(A). Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body	Partial-Body	Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles
0.4	8.0	20.0

(B). Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body	Partial-Body	Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles
0.08	1.6	4.0

**Note:** Whole-Body SAR is averaged over the entire body, partial-body SAR is averaged over any 1 gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube. SAR for hands, wrists, feet and ankles is averaged over any 10 grams of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube.

<u>Population/Uncontrolled Environments</u> are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

<u>Occupational/Controlled Environments</u> are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

# NOTE GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE PARTIAL BODY LIMIT 1.6 W/kg

Page 21 of 41

## 10. MEASUREMENT RESULTS

#### 10.1 TEST LIQUIDS CONFIRMATION

#### SIMULATED TISSUE LIQUID PARAMETER CONFIRMATION

The dielectric parameters were checked prior to assessment using the SPEAG DAK3.5 dielectric probe kit. The dielectric parameters measured are reported in each correspondent section.

#### IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 P1528 RECOMMENDED TISSUE DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528

Target Frequency	Н	ead	Во	ody
(MHz)	ε <sub>r</sub>	σ (S/m)	ε <sub>r</sub>	σ (S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

 $(\varepsilon_r = \text{relative permittivity}, \sigma = \text{conductivity and } \rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3)$ 



Page 22 of 41

## 10.2 LIQUID MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The following table show the measuring results for simulating liquid:

Liquid Type	Liquid Temp. (°C)	Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation (%)	Limited (%)	Measured Date	
Body2450	21.5	Permitivity(ε)	52.70	51.71	-1.88	± 5	2017-6-25	
Бойу2450	21.5	Conductivity( $\sigma$ )	1.95	1.98	1.49	± 5	2017-0-25	
Pody5200	21.5	Permitivity(ε)	49.03	50.40	2.79	± 5	2017-6-26	
Body5200	21.5	Conductivity( $\sigma$ )	5.35	5.15	-3.91	± 5	2017-6-26	
Body5800	21.5	Permitivity(ε)	48.20	49.70	3.11	± 5	2017 6 26	
	21.5	Conductivity(σ)	6.00	6.04	0.62	± 5	2017-6-26	






#### 10.3 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

The system performance check is performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications of  $\pm 10\%$ . The system performance check results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

#### SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK MEASUREMENT CONDITIONS

- The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with head and body simulating liquid of the following parameters.
- The DASY5 system withan E-fileld probe EX3DV4 SN: 3798 was used for the measurements.
- The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feed point was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was
   15 mm (below 1 GHz) and 10 mm (above 1 GHz) from dipole center to the simulating liquid surface.
- The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 10mm was aligned with the dipole.
- Special 7x7x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration (dx= 5 mm, dy= 5 mm, dz= 5 mm).
- Distance between probe sensors and phantom surface was set to 2 mm.
- The dipole less than 3G input power was 250mW±3%.
- The dipole above than 3G input power was 100mW±3%.
- The results are normalized to 1 W input power.





- Note: For SAR testing, less than 3G the liquid depth is 15cm shown above
- Note: For SAR testing, above than 3G the liquid depth is 10cm shown above



Page 24 of 41

## SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK RESULTS

Liquid Type	Ambient Temp. (° C)	Liquid Temp. (°C)	Input Power (W)	Measured SAR1g (W/Kg)	1W Target SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/Kg)	1W Normalized SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/Kg)	Deviatio n (%)	Limited (%)	Date
Body2450	22	21.5	0.25	12.70	51.50	50.80	-1.36	± 10	2017-6-25
Body5200	22	21.5	0.1	7.49	74.50	74.9	0.54	± 10	2017-6-26
Body5800	22	21.5	0.1	7.67	77.20	76.7	-0.65	± 10	2017-6-26

Page 25 of 41

#### 10.4 EUT TUNE-UP PROCEDURES AND TEST MODE

## Conducted output power(dBm):

#### **General Note:**

- 1 Power must be measured at each transmit antenna port according to the DSSS and OFDM transmission configurations in each standalone and aggregated frequency band.
- 2 Power measurement is required for the transmission mode configuration with the highest maximum output power specified for production units.
  - 1) When the same highest maximum output power specification applies to multiple transmission modes, the largest channel bandwidth configuration with the lowest order modulation and lowest data rate is measured.
  - 2) When the same highest maximum output power is specified for multiple largest channel bandwidth configurations with the same lowest order modulation or lowest order modulation and lowest data rate, power measurement is required for all equivalent 802.11 configurations with the same maximum output power.
- 3 For each transmission mode configuration, power must be measured for the highest and lowest channels; and at the mid-band channel(s) when there are at least 3 channels. For configurations with multiple mid-band channels, due to an even number of channels, both channels should be measured

#### **WLAN 2.4G**

Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHZ)	Chain0 Target power(dBm)	Tune up tolerance (dBm)	Maximum Tune up power (dBm)	Average power (dBm)
	1	2412	14	±1	15	14.38
802.11 b	6	2437	13	±1	14	13.49
	11	2462	13	±1	14	13.61
	1	2412	13	±1	14	13.11
802.11 g	6	2437	13	±1	14	13.20
	11	2462	13	±1	14	13.28
000.44	1	2412	13	±1	14	13.19
802.11 n 20MHz	6	2437	13	±1	14	13.22
	11	2462	13	±1	14	13.40

## 5GHz

U-NII-1

Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHZ)	Target power(dBm)	Tune up tolerance (dBm)	Maximum Tune up power (dBm)	Average Power (dBm)
	36	5180	9	±1	10	9.38
802.11 a	40	5200	9	±1	10	9.51
	48	5240	9	±1	10	9.70
	36	5180	9	±1	10	9.38
802.11 n 20MHz	40	5200	9	±1	10	9.36
	48	5240	9	±1	10	9.63



Report No.:C170510R02-SF Page 26 of 41

#### U-NII-3

Mode	Channel	Frequency	Target power(dBm)	Tune up tolerance (dBm)	Maximum Tune up power (dBm)	Average power (dBm)
	149	5745	7.5	±1	8.5	8.32
802.11 a	157	5785	7.5	±1	8.5	7.81
	165	5825	7.5	±1	8.5	7.90
000.44	149	5745	7	±1	8	7.98
802.11 n 20MHz	157	5785	7	±1	8	7.54
	165	5825	7	±1	8	7.67

## **Bluetooth**

Band	Mode	ode Channel		Frequency Averaged Power (dBm)	
2.4GHz	Bluetooth LE	0	2402	3.37	5
		19	2440	4.26	5
		39	2480	4.23	5

Page 27 of 41

#### 10.5 STANDALONE SAR TEST EXCLUSION

According to KDB447498 D01:The 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at *test separation distances* ≤ 50 mm are determined by:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance,

 $[Mm] \cdot [\sqrt{f_{(GHz)}}] \le 3.0$  for 1-g SAR and  $\le 7.5$  for 10-g extremity SAR, where

- f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
- Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation25
- The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison
- 3.0 and 7.5 are referred to as the numeric thresholds in the step 2 below
- If the test separation distance (antenna-user) is < 5mm, 5mm is used for excluded SAR calculation</li>

	Wireless Interface	Bluetooth
Tı	une-up Maximum power (dBm)	5
Tun	e-up Maximum rated power (mW)	3.162
	Antenna to user (mm)	5
Body	Frequency(GHz)	2.440
	SAR exclusion threshold	0.988

Per KDB 447498 D01 exclusion thresholds is 0.988 < 3, Bluetooth RF exposure evaluation is not required.

Page 28 of 41

#### 10.6 SAR TEST CONFIGURATIONS


#### **Body Exposure Conditions**

Body-worn accessory exposure is typically related to voice mode operations when handsets are carried in body-worn accessories. The body-worn accessory procedures in KDB 447498 are used to test for body-worn accessory SAR compliance, without a headset connected to it. This enables the test results for such configuration to be compatible with that required for hotspot mode when the body-worn accessory test separation distance is greater than or equal to that required for hotspot mode. When the reported SAR for a body-worn accessory, measured without a headset connected to the handset, is > 1.2 W/kg, the highest reported SAR configuration for that wireless mode and frequency band should be repeated for that body-worn accessory with a headset attached to the handset.

Body-worn accessories that do not contain metallic or conductive components may be tested according to worst-case exposure configurations, typically according to the smallest test separation distance required for the group of body-worn accessories with similar operating and exposure characteristics. All body-worn accessories containing metallic components are tested in conjunction with the host device.

Body-worn accessory SAR compliance is based on a single minimum test separation distance for all wireless and operating modes applicable to each body-worn accessory used by the host, and according to the relevant voice and/or data mode transmissions and operations. If a body-worn accessory supports voice only operations in its normal and expected use conditions, testing of data mode for body-worn compliance is not required.

A conservative minimum test separation distance for supporting off-the-shelf body-worn accessories that may be acquired by users of consumer handsets is used to test for body-worn accessory SAR compliance. This distance is determined by the handset manufacturer, according to the requirements of Supplement C 01-01. Devices that are designed to operate on the body of users using lanyards and straps, or without requiring additional body-worn accessories, will be tested using a conservative minimum test separation distance <= 5 mm to support compliance.




**Illustration for Body Worn Position** 








## **10.7 ANTENNA LOCATION**



Page 30 of 41



Device dimensions for Tablet mode (H x W): 65x 42 mm

Antennas	Wireless Interface
Bluetooth &WLAN Antenna	WLAN 2.4GHz WLAN 5.2GHz WLAN 5.8GHz Bluetooth
Main Antenna	WLAN+ Bluetooth

## **Test Mode**

IEEE 802.11	Data transmission mode(802.11a/b)



Page 31 of 41

#### 10.8 BODY TEST EXCLUSION THRESHOLDS

The following SAR test exclusion Thresholds based on KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06 4.3.1

	Wireless Interface	WLAN	WLAN
Exposure	VVII GIGGO II ILGI I GG	802.11 b	802.11 a
Position	Maximum power	15	10
	Maximum rated power(mW)	31.62	10
	Antenna to user (mm)	20	20
Front	SAR exclusion threshold	38.33	24.91
	SAR testing required?	No	No
	Antenna to user (mm)	1	1
Rear	SAR exclusion threshold	1.92	1.25
	SAR testing required?	Yes	Yes
	Antenna to user (mm)	1	1
Right	SAR exclusion threshold	1.92	1.25
	SAR testing required?	Yes	Yes
	Antenna to user (mm)	63	63
Left	SAR exclusion threshold	226	192.28
	SAR testing required?	No	No
	Antenna to user (mm)	17	17
Тор	SAR exclusion threshold	32.58	21.18
	SAR testing required?	No	No
	Antenna to user (mm)	1	1
Bottom	SAR exclusion threshold	1.92	1.25
	SAR testing required?	Yes	Yes

#### Note:

- 1. Maximum power is the source-based time-average power and represents the maximum RF output power among production units
- 2. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, for larger devices, the test separation distance of adjacent edge configuration is determined by the closest separation between the antenna and the user.
- 3. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, standalone SAR test exclusion threshold is applied; If the distance of the antenna to the user is < 5mm, 5mm is used to determine SAR exclusion threshold
- 4. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, the 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distances ≤ 50 mm are determined by:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)] [√f(GHz)] ≤ 3.0 for 1-g SAR and ≤ 7.5 for 10-g extremity SAR

f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz

Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation

The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

For < 50 mm distance, we just calculate mW of the exclusion threshold value (3.0) to do compare.

This formula is [3.0] /  $[\sqrt{f(GHz)}] \cdot [(min. test separation distance, mm)] = exclusion threshold of mW.$ 

- 5. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, at 100 MHz to 6 GHz and for test separation distances > 50 mm, the SAR test exclusion threshold is determined according to the following
  - a) [Threshold at 50 mm in step 1) + (test separation distance 50 mm)·( f(MHz)/150)] mW, at 100 MHz to 1500 MHz
  - b) [Threshold at 50 mm in step 1) + (test separation distance 50 mm) 10] mW at > 1500 MHz and ≤ 6 GHz
- 6. When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm according to 5) in section 4.1 is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.



Page 32 of 41

#### 10.9 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

#### Note:

- 1. Per KDB 447498 D01, the reported SAR is the measured SAR value adjusted for maximum tune-up tolerance.
  - a. Tune-up scaling Factor = tune-up limit power (mW) / EUT RF power (mW), where tune-up limit is the maximum rated power among all production units.
  - b. For WLAN: Reported SAR(W/kg)= Measured SAR(W/kg)\* Duty Cycle scaling factor \* Tune-up scaling factor
- 2. Per KDB 447498 D01, for each exposure position, if the highest output channel reported SAR ≤0.8W/kg, other channels SAR testing is not necessary.
- 3. Per KDB 447498 D01, for each exposure position, testing of other required channels within the operating mode of a frequency band is not required when the *reported* 1-g or 10-g SAR for the mid-band or highest output power channel is:
  - · ≤ 0.8 W/kg or 2.0 W/kg, for 1-g or 10-g respectively, when the transmission band is ≤ 100 MHz
  - · ≤ 0.6 W/kg or 1.5 W/kg, for 1-g or 10-g respectively, when the transmission band is between 100 MHz and 200 MHz
  - · ≤ 0.4 W/kg or 1.0 W/kg, for 1-g or 10-g respectively, when the transmission band is ≥ 200 MHz

#### 2.4GHz Standalone SAR Results for Test Records

Band	Mode	Test Position	Dist. (mm)	Freq. (MHZ)	max Power (dBm)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Scaling Factor	Power Drift (dB)	Duty Cycle Factor	SAR1g (mW/g)	Scaled SAR1g (mW/g)
WLAN 2.4Ghz	802.11b	Rear	0	2412	14.38	15	1.153	0.04	1	0.785	0.905
WLAN 2.4Ghz	802.11b	Right	0	2412	14.38	15	1.153	0.04	1	1.07	1.234
WLAN 2.4Ghz	802.11b	Right	0	2437	13.49	14	1.125	0.02	1	1.02	1.147
WLAN 2.4Ghz	802.11b	Right	0	2462	13.61	14	1.094	0.08	1	1.09	1.192
WLAN 2.4Ghz	802.11b	Bottom	0	2412	14.38	15	1.153	0.02	1	0.272	0.314

Remark: SAR is not required for the following 2.4 GHz OFDM conditions.

- 1) When KDB Publication 447498 SAR test exclusion applies to the OFDM configuration.
- 2) When the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg.

The highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg. So 2.4 GHz OFDM mode is not require.

#### Repeated SAR Test Records for 2.4GHz

Band	Mode	Test Position	Dist. (mm)	Freq. (MHZ)	max Power (dBm)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Scaling Factor	Power Drift (dB)	Duty Cycle Factor	SAR1g (mW/g)	Scaled SAR1g (mW/g)
WLAN 2.4Ghz	802.11b	Right	0	2462	13.61	14	1.094	0.05	1	1.06	1.160





Report No.:C170510R02-SF Page 33 of 41

#### **5GHz Standalone SAR Results for Test Records**

Band	Mode	Test Position	Dist. (mm)	Freq. (MHZ)	max Power (dBm)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Scaling Factor	Power Drift (dB)	Duty Cycle Factor	SAR1g (mW/g)	Scaled SAR1g (mW/g)
WLAN 5Ghz	802.11a	Rear	0	5240	9.70	10	1.072	0.02	1.02	0.508	0.555
WLAN 5Ghz	802.11a	Rear	0	5745	8.32	8.5	1.042	0.09	1.02	0.279	0.297
WLAN 5Ghz	802.11a	Right	0	5200	9.51	10	1.119	0.14	1.02	1.04	1.188
WLAN 5Ghz	802.11a	Right	0	5240	9.70	10	1.072	0.18	1.02	1.02	1.115
WLAN 5Ghz	802.11a	Right	0	5745	8.32	8.5	1.042	-0.14	1.02	1.12	1.191
WLAN 5Ghz	802.11a	Right	0	5825	7.90	8.5	1.148	0.08	1.02	1.01	1.183
WLAN 5Ghz	802.11a	Bottom	0	5240	9.70	10	1.072	0.15	1.02	0.610	0.667
WLAN 5Ghz	802.11a	Bottom	0	5745	8.32	8.5	1.042	0.04	1.02	0.553	0.588

## Repeated SAR Test Records for 5GHz

Band	Mode	Test Position	Dist. (mm)	Freq. (MHZ)	max Power (dBm)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Scaling Factor	Power Drift (dB)	Duty Cycle Factor	SAR1g (mW/g)	Scaled SAR1g (mW/g)
WLAN 5Ghz	802.11a	Right	0	5200	9.51	10	1.119	-0.10	1.02	1.03	1.177
WLAN 5Ghz	802.11a	Right	0	5745	8.32	8.5	1.042	-0.11	1.02	1.12	1.191

Page 34 of 41

#### 10.10 REPEATED SAR MEASUREMENT

#### Note:

- 1. Per KDB 865664 D01v01,for each frequency band, repeated SAR measurement is required only when the measured SAR is  $\geq$  0.8W/Kg
- 2. Per KDB 865664 D01v01,if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurement is ≤1.2 and the measured SAR <1.45W/Kg,only one repeated measurement is required.
- 3. The ratio is the difference in percentage between original and repeated measured SAR.

Band	Mode	Test Position	Freq (MHZ)	Original Measured SAR1g (mW/g)	1st Repeated SAR1g (mW/g)	Ratio	Original Measured SAR1g (mW/g)	2nd Repeated SAR1g (mW/g)	Ratio
WLAN 2.4GHz	802.11b	Right	2462	1.09	1.06	1.028			
WLAN 5GHz	802.11a	Right	5200	1.04	1.03	1.010			
WLAN 5GHz	802.11a	Right	5745	1.12	1.12	1.000			



Page 35 of 41

## **EQUIPMENT LIST & CALIBRATION STATUS**

Name of Equipment	Manufacturer	Type/Model	Serial Number	Last Calibration	Calibration Due
PC	HP	Core(rm)3.16G	CZCO48171H	N/A	N/A
Signal Generator	Agilent	E8257C	US37101915	11/20/2015	11/19/2016
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	E5071B	MY42301382	02/28/2017	02/27/2018
Power Meter	Anritsu	ML2495A	1445010	02/28/2017	02/27/2018
Peak & Average sensor	Anritsu	MA2411B	1339220	02/28/2017	02/27/2018
E-field PROBE	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3798	07/27/2016	07/26/2017
DAE	SPEAG	DEA4	1245	07/26/2016	07/25/2017
DIPOLE 2450MHZ ANTENNA	SPEAG	D2450V2	817	05/31/2016	05/28/2019
LIQUID CALIBRATION KIT	ANTENNESSA	41/05 OCP9	00425167	11/01/2016	10/31/2017
DUMMY PROBE	SPEAG	DP_2	SPDP2001AA	N/A	N/A
SAM PHANTOM (ELI4 v4.0)	SPEAG	QDOVA001BB	1102	N/A	N/A
Twin SAM Phantom	SPEAG	QD000P40CD	1609	N/A	N/A
ROBOT	SPEAG	TX60	F10/5E6AA1/A101	N/A	N/A
ROBOT KRC	SPEAG	CS8C	F10/5E6AA1/C101	N/A	N/A



Report No.:C170510R02-SF Page 36 of 41

## 11. FACILITIES

All measurement facilities used to collect the measurement data are located at

No.10, Weiye Rd., Innovation Park, Eco & Tec. Development Part, Kunshan City, Jiangsu Province. China.

#### 12. REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environ-mental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.
- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-\_eld scanning system for dosimetric assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645{652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1999: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-\_eld probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM \_ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15{17, 1997, pp. 120{124.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-\_eld probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23{25 June, 1996, pp. 172{175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865{1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10



Page 37 of 41

**APPENDIX A: DUT AND SAR TEST SETUP** 

**APPENDIX B: PLOTS OF PERFORMANCE CHECK** 

The plots are showing as followings.





Report No.:C170510R02-SF Page 38 of 41

Test Laboratory: Compliance Certification Services Inc. Date: 6/25/2017

SystemPerformanceCheck-Body D2450

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz D2450V2; Type: D24500V2; Serial: 817

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Communication System Band: D2450 (2450.0 MHz); Frequency:

2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.979 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.707$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Room Ambient Temperature: 22°C; Liquid Temperature: 21.5°C

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

**DASY Configuration:** 

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3798; ConvF(7.07, 7.07, 7.07); Calibrated: 7/27/2016;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1245; Calibrated: 7/26/2016
- Phantom: ELI v4.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: TP:xxxx
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222);
- SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

System Performance Check at Frequencies above 1 GHz/Pin=250 mW, dist=10mm (EX-Probe)/Area Scan (9x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=12mm, dy=12mm


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.1 W/kg

System Performance Check at Frequencies above 1 GHz/Pin=250 mW, dist=10mm (EX-Probe)/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 98.29 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.2 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 12.7 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.84 W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.5 W/kg



0 dB = 19.5 W/kg = 12.90 dBW/kg





Report No.:C170510R02-SF Page 39 of 41

Test Laboratory: Compliance Certification Services Inc. Date: 6/26/2017

SystemPerformanceCheck-Body D5200

DUT: Dipole D5GHzV2; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1095

Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Communication System Band: D5GHz (5000.0 - 6000.0 MHz);

Frequency: 5200 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 5200 MHz;  $\sigma$  = 5.145 S/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 50.401;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Room Ambient Temperature: 22°C; Liquid Temperature: 21.5°C

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

**DASY Configuration:** 

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3798; ConvF(4.77, 4.77, 4.77); Calibrated: 7/27/2016;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1245; Calibrated: 7/26/2016
- Phantom: ELI v4.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: TP:xxxx
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222);
- SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

System Performance Check with D5GHzV2 Dipole (graded grid)/d=10mm, Pin=100mW, f=5200 MHz 20/Area Scan (10x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 13.2 W/kg

System Performance Check with D5GHzV2 Dipole (graded grid)/d=10mm, Pin=100mW, f=5200 MHz 20/Zoom Scan (4x4x1.4mm, graded), dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dv=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 64.70 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 29.1 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 7.49 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.34 W/kg** Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.0 W/kg



0 dB = 17.0 W/kg = 12.30 dBW/kg





Report No.:C170510R02-SF Page 40 of 41

Test Laboratory: Compliance Certification Services Inc. Date: 6/26/2017

SystemPerformanceCheck-Body D5800

DUT: Dipole D5GHzV2; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1095

Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Communication System Band: D5GHz (5000.0 - 6000.0 MHz);

Frequency: 5800 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 5800 MHz;  $\sigma$  = 6.037 S/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 49.697;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Room Ambient Temperature: 22°C; Liquid Temperature: 21.5°C

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

**DASY Configuration:** 

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3798; ConvF(4.34, 4.34, 4.34); Calibrated: 7/27/2016;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1245; Calibrated: 7/26/2016
- Phantom: ELI v4.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: TP:xxxx
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222);
- SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

System Performance Check with D5GHzV2 Dipole (graded grid)/d=10mm, Pin=100mW, f=5800 MHz/Area Scan (9x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.1 W/kg

System Performance Check with D5GHzV2 Dipole (graded grid)/d=10mm, Pin=100mW, f=5800 MHz/Zoom Scan (4x4x1.4mm, graded), dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dv=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 62.80 V/m; Power Drift = 0.04 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 36.0 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 7.67 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.36 W/kg** Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.0 W/kg



0 dB = 19.0 W/kg = 12.79 dBW/kg



Page 41 of 41

## **APPENDIX C: DASY CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

The DASY Calibration Certificates are showing in the file named Appendix C DASY Calibration Certificate.

## APPENDIX D: PLOTS OF SAR TEST RESULT

The plots are showing in the file named Appendix D: Plots of SAR Test Result.

#### **END REPORT**