

FCC SAR TEST REPORT

APPLICANT

Shanghai Mobvoi Information Technology

Company Limited

PRODUCT NAME

Smart Watch

MODEL NAME

WE12016

TRADE NAME

ticwatch

BRAND NAME

ticwatch

FCC ID

2AHEA-WE12016

STANDARD(S)

47CFR 2.1093 IEEE 1528-2013

ISSUE DATE

2016-08-05

Certification

SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.

NOTE: This document is issued by MORLAB, the test report shall not be reproduced except in full without prior written permission of the company. The test results apply only to the particular sample(s) tested and to the specific tests carried out which is available on request for validation and information confirmed at our website.

MORLAB GROUP

FL1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road, Block67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen , GuangDong Province, P. R. China Tel: 86-755-36698555

Fax: 86-755-36698525 Http://www.morlab.com E-mail: service@morlab.cn



DIRECTORY

| TEST REPORT DECLA | ARATION | | | | | | 4 |
|------------------------|---|----------|------|---------|-------|-------|-----|
| | | | | | | | |
| 1.TECHNICAL INFOR | MATION | | | | | | 5 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1.1 IDENTIFICATION OF | APPLICANT ······ | | | | | | 5 |
| 1.2 IDENTIFICATION OF | MANUFACTURER | | | | | | 5 |
| | R TEST (EUT) ······ | | | | | | |
| 1.3.1 PHOTOGRAPHS O | F THE EUT····· | | | <u></u> | | | 5 |
| 1.3.2 IDENTIFICATION C | | | | | | | |
| | E DOCUMENTS | | | | | | |
| 1.5 DEVICE CATEGORY | AND SAR LIMITS | | | | | | 7 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 2. SPECIFIC ABSORP | TION RATE (SAR)····· | | | | | | 8 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 2.1 Introduction ···· | | | | | | | 8 |
| 2.2 SAR DEFINITION ·· | | | | | | | 8 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 3. SAR MEASUREME | ENT SETUP | | | <u></u> | | | 9 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 3.1 THE MEASUREMEN | IT S YSTEM ······ | | | | | | 9 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | N PROCESS ······ | | | | | | |
| | ESSMENT PROCEDURE ··· | | | | | | |
| 3.3.2 FREE SPACE ASSE | | | | | | | |
| 3.3.3 TEMPERATURE AS | SSESSMENT PROCEDURE | | | | | | 11 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 3.5 DEVICE HOLDER ··· | | | | | | | 12 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 4. TISSUE SIMULATII | NG LIQUIDS ······ | | | | | | 13 |
| , ME | CELAR MORE | Me | A.B | RLAR | MORE | III.O | N.B |
| 5. UNCERTAINTY AS | SESSMENT | | | | | | 15 |
| AB -RLAR | MORE W | AB B | RLAR | MORIL | IIIO. | a.B | الم |
| 5.1 UNCERTAINTY FV | VALUATION FOR EUT | SAR TEST | | | | | 15 |
| | OR SYSTEM PERFORM | | | | | | 16 |
| | 5.1.5.5.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1 | | | | | | -0 |



| | AP OR | | | | 410. |
|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------|------------|
| 6. SAR MEASUREN | IENT EVALUATION | , | | | ·····1 |
| | | | | | |
| 6.1 SYSTEM SETUP ···· | JLTS····· | | | | 1 |
| 6.2 VALIDATION RESU | ILTS ····· | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| 7. OPERATIONAL C | ONDITIONS DURING 1 | ΓΕST | | | 2 |
| WOLES WE | AB SEL | MORL | MIC | AB RLAN | MORL |
| 7.1 BODY-WORN CON | NFIGURATIONS ····· | | | | 2 |
| 7.2 MEASUREMENT P | ROCEDURE ······ | | | | 2 |
| 7.3 DESCRIPTION OF I | NTERPOLATION/EXTRAPO | LATION SCHEME | | , O | 2 |
| , | QLAP 10RL | MO . | | | MON. TE W. |
| Q MEASIDEMENT | OF CONDUCTED OUT | DUT DOWED | 40,0 | 6 W | |
| O. IVILASUNLIVILIVI | OF CONDUCTED OUT | FOIFOWER | olar or | ALCON . | S JAP |
| 0. TEST DESILITS III | ST | | | | ALA MORE |
| 9. TEST RESULTS LI | 51 | | | | <u>2</u> |
| | | | | | |
| 10. REPEATED SAR | MEASUREMENT | | | | 2 |
| | | | | | |
| 11. MULTIPLE TRAI | NSMITTERS EVALUATION | ON | | | 2 |
| | | | | | |
| ANNEX A GRAPH T | EST RESULTS | | | | 2 |
| | | | | | |
| ANNEX B GENERAL | L INFORMATION | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | 4 |
| BULAN | WOLF WE | AB . | elar Mori | Me | AB CLAR |
| ANNEX C SYSTEM I | PERFORMANCE CHECK | ζ DΔΤΔ | | | Δ |
| ANTICE C STSTEINT | LIII OMINANCE CHECK | | JORL | NG. VB | OLAS 40F |
| ANNEY D DUGTOS | RAPS OF THE EUT ······ | | | | MOLE WE |
| ANNEX D PHOTOG | KAPS OF THE EUT | | | | 4 |

| | | Change History | |
|-------|------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Issue | Date | Reason for change | |
| 1.0 | 2016-08-05 | First edition | |
| MOL | - 5 M | 3 OFFICE MORE S IN THE OFFICE MORE | |



TEST REPORT DECLARATION

| Applicant | Shanghai Mobvoi Information Technology Company Limited | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| Applicant Address | Building 2-106, 1690 Cailun Road, China (Shanghai) free trade area, China | | | |
| Manufacturer | Shanghai Mobvoi I | Information Techn | ology Company Limited | |
| Manufacturer Address | | Building 2-106, 1690 Cailun Road, China (Shanghai) free trade area, China | | |
| Product Name | Smart Watch | | | |
| Model Name | WE12016 | | | |
| Brand Name | ticwatch | | | |
| HW Version | 2.0 | | | |
| SW Version | 5.1 | | | |
| Test Standards | 47CFR 2.1093; IEEE 1528-2013 | | | |
| Test Date | 2016-07-24 | | | |
| The Highest Reported 10g-SAR(W/kg) | Body | 0.034W/kg | Limit(W/kg): 4.0W/kg | |

| Tested by | : <u>*</u> | Chen Sheng Kui | |
|-------------|------------|--------------------------|--|
| WORLD IN | | Chen Shengkui | |
| Reviewed by | | Liu Jun | |
| | | Liu Jun | |
| Approved by | | Zeng Derin Zeng Dexin | |
| | | Zena Dexin | |



1.TECHNICAL INFORMATION

Note: the Following data is based on the information by the applicant.

1.1 Identification of Applicant

| Company Name: | Shanghai Mobvoi Information Technology Company Limited | |
|---------------|---|--|
| Address: | Building 2-106, 1690 Cailun Road, China (Shanghai) free trade area, | |
| B ORLAN MORE | China | |

1.2 Identification of Manufacturer

| Company Name: | Shanghai Mobvoi Information Technology Company Limited |
|---------------|---|
| Address: | Building 2-106, 1690 Cailun Road, China (Shanghai) free trade area, |
| B THE JURE | China |

1.3 Equipment Under Test (EUT)

| Model Name: | WE12016 |
|---------------------|--|
| Trade Name: | ticwatch |
| Brand Name: | ticwatch |
| Hardware Version: | 2.0 |
| Software Version: | 5.1 We give the second |
| Tx Frequency Bands: | WIFI 802.11 b/g/n20/n40 (2.4GHz); Bluetooth2.1+EDR; Bluetooth4.1 |
| Uplink Modulations: | WIFI802.11b: DSSS (2.4GHz);WIFI802.11g: OFDM(2.4GHz); WIFI802.11n20: OFDM(2.4GHz); WIFI802.11n40: OFDM(2.4GHz); Bluetooth2.1+EDR: GFSK/π/4-DQPSK/8-DPSK; Bluetooth4.1:GFSK; |
| Antenna type: | Fixed Internal Antenna |
| Development Stage: | Identical prototype |

1.3.1 Photographs of the EUT

Please refer to the External Photos for the Photos of the EUT





1.3.2 Identification of all used EUT

The EUT identity consists of numerical and letter characters, the letter character indicates the test sample, and the Following two numerical characters indicate the software version of the test sample.

| EUT Identity | Hardware Version | Software Version |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1# | 2.0 | 5.1 |

1.4 Applied Reference Documents

Leading reference documents for testing:

| No. | Identity | Document Title | | |
|----------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | 47 CFR§2.1093 | Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable Devices | | |
| Spatia Huma | | EE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak patial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the ruman Head from Wireless Communications Devices: easurement Techniques | | |
| 3 | KDB 447498 D01v06 | General RF Exposure Guidance | | |
| 4 | KDB 248227 D01v02r02 | SAR Measurement Guidance for IEEE 802.11 Transmitters | | |
| 5 | KDB 865664 D01v01r04 | SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz | | |
| 6 | KDB 865664 D02v01r02 | SAR Reporting | | |



1.5 Device Category and SAR Limits

Uncontrolled Environment

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

Controlled Environment

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. The exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

| Whole-Body | Partial-Body | Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles |
|------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| 0.4 | 8.0 | 20.0 |

Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

| Whole-Body | Partial-Body | Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles |
|------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| 0.08 | 1.6 | 4.0 |

Note: This device belongs to portable device category because its radiating structure is allowed to be used within 20 centimeters of the body of the user. Limit for General Population/Uncontrolled exposure should be applied for this device, it is 4.0 W/kg as averaged over any 10 gram of tissue.



2. SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR)

2.1 Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are Middle than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

2.2 SAR Definition

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density. (ρ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \Big(\frac{dW}{dm} \Big) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big(\frac{dW}{\rho dv} \Big)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be either related to the temperature elevation in tissue by

$$SAR = C\left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t}\right)$$

Where C is the specific head capacity, δT is the temperature rise and δt the exposure duration, or related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

Where σ is the conductivity of the tissue, ρ is the mass density of the tissue and |E| is the rms electrical field strength.

However for evaluating SAR of low power transmitter, electrical field measurement is typically applied.



3. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

3.1 The Measurement System

Comosar is a system that is able to determine the SAR distribution inside a phantom of human being according to different standards. The Comosar system consists of the Following items:

- Main computer to control all the system
- 6 axis robot
- Data acquisition system
- Miniature E-field probe
- Phone holder
- Head simulating tissue

The Following figure shows the system.



The EUT under test operating at the maximum power level is placed in the phone holder, under the phantom, which is filled with head simulating liquid. The E-Field probe measures the electric field inside the phantom. The OpenSAR software computes the results to give a SAR value in a 1g or 10g mass.

3.2 Probe

For the measurements the Specific Dosimetric E-Field Probe SN 37/08 EP80 with Following specifications is used

- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg

- Tip Diameter: 6.5 mm





- Distance between probe tip and sensor center: 2.5mm


 Distance between sensor center and the inner phantom surface: 4 mm (repeatability better than +/- 1mm)

Probe linearity: <0.25 dB
Axial Isotropy: <0.25 dB
Spherical Isotropy: <0.25 dB

- Calibration range: 835to 2500MHz for head & body simulating liquid.

Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 30°

Probe calibration is realized, in compliance with CENELEC EN 62209 and IEEE 1528 std, with CALISAR, Antennessa proprietary calibration system. The calibration is performed with the EN 622091 annex technique using reference guide at the five frequencies.



$$SAR = \frac{4\left(P_{fw} - P_{bw}\right)}{ab\delta} \cos^2\left(\pi \frac{y}{a}\right) e^{-(2z/\delta)}$$

Where:

Pfw = Forward Power Pbw = Backward Power

a and b = Waveguide dimensions

= Skin depth



Keithley configuration:

Rate = Medium; Filter =ON; RDGS=10; FILTER TYPE =MOVING AVERAGE; RANGE AUTO After each calibration, a SAR measurement is performed on a validation dipole and compared with a NPL calibrated probe, to verify it.

The calibration factors, CF(N), for the 3 sensors corresponding to dipole 1, dipole 2 and dipole 3 are:

$$CF(N)=SAR(N)/Vlin(N)$$

(N=1,2,3)

The linearised output voltage Vlin(N) is obtained from the displayed output voltage V(N) using

$$Vlin(N)=V(N)*(1+V(N)/DCP(N))$$

(N=1,2,3)

Where DCP is the diode compression point in mV.

3.3 Probe Calibration Process

3.3.1 Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each E-Probe/Probe Amplifier combination has unique calibration parameters. SATIMO Probe calibration procedure is conducted to determine the proper amplifier settings to enter in the probe parameters. The amplifier settings are determined for a given frequency by subjecting the probe to a known E-field density (1 mW/cm²) using an with CALISAR, Antenna proprietary calibration system.

3.3.2 Free Space Assessment Procedure

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This calibration can be performed in a TEM cell if the frequency is below 1 GHz and in a waveguide or other methodologies above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is rotated 360 degrees until the three channels show the maximum reading. The power density readings equates to 1 mW/cm².

3.3.3 Temperature Assessment Procedure

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulating head tissue. The E-field in the medium correlates with the temperature rise in the dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

Where:

 $\delta t = \text{exposure time (30 seconds)},$





$$SAR = C\left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t}\right)$$

C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

 δT = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

SAR is proportional to $\Delta T/\Delta t$, the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. The electric field in the simulated tissue can be used to estimate SAR by equating the thermally derived SAR to that with the E- field component.

Where

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

 σ = simulated tissue conductivity,

 ρ = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm³ for brain tissue)

3.4 Phantom

For the measurements the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) defined by the IEEE SCC-34/SC2 group is used. The phantom is a polyurethane shell integrated in a wooden table. The thickness of the phantom amounts to 2mm +/- 0.2mm. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right phone usage and includes an additional flat phantom part for the simplified performance check. The phantom set-up includes a cover, which prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

3.5 Device Holder

The positioning system allows obtaining cheek and tilting position with a very good accuracy. In compliance with CENELEC, the tilt angle uncertainty is Middle than 1°.



Device holder

| System Material | Permittivity | Loss Tangent |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Delrin | 3.7 | 0.005 |



4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS

For SAR measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom, the phantom must be filled with homogeneous tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. The nominal dielectric values of the tissue simulating liquids in the phantom and the tolerance of 5% are listed in below table.

The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquids

| Frequency Band (MHz) | 2450 | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Tissue Type | Body | | | | |
| Ingredients (% by weight |) 110 | | | | |
| Deionised Water | 73.20 | | | | |
| Salt(NaCl) | 0.10 | | | | |
| Sugar | 0.00 | | | | |
| Tween 20 | 0.00 | | | | |
| HEC | 0.00 | | | | |
| Bactericide | 0.00 | | | | |
| Triton X-100 | 0.00 | | | | |
| DGBE | 26.70 | | | | |
| Diethylenglycol monohexylether | 0.00 | | | | |
| Measured dielectric parar | meters | | | | |
| Dielectric Constant | 52.70 | | | | |
| Conductivity (S/m) | 1.95 | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Note: Please refer to the validation results for dielectric parameters of each frequency band.

The dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an Agilent 85033E Dielectric Probe Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.



Table 1: Dielectric Performance of Tissue Simulating Liquid

| Temperature: 22.0~23.8°C, humidity: 54~60%. | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|----------------------------|-------|--------|----------|-----------|--|--|
| Date | Freq.(MHz) | Liquid Parameters | Meas. | Target | Delta(%) | Limit±(%) | | |
| 2016/07/24 | Pody 2450 | Relative Permittivity(cr): | 52.26 | 52.70 | -0.83 | 5 | | |
| 2010/07/24 | Body 2450 | Conductivity(σ): | 1.93 | 1.95 | -1.03 | 5 | | |



5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT

The Following table includes the uncertainty table of the IEEE 1528. The values are determined by Antennessa.

5.1 UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR EUT SAR TEST

| | V. | . 30 | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|---------|
| a nor more no more no | b | C | d | e= f(d,k) | f MORLAS | g | h= c*f/e | i= c*g/ e | k |
| Uncertainty Component | Sec. | Tol (+- %) | Prob Dist. | Div. | Ci (1g) | Ci (10g) | 1g Ui (+-%) | 10g Ui (+- | Vi |
| Barration of Original | OR BU | QLAS. | - 11 | Sel. M. | 4101 | VE III. | QLAB. | %) | ORLP. |
| Measurement System Probe calibration | E.2.1 | 4.76 | N | 1.082.00 | 1 110 | 1 | 4.76 | 4.7 | |
| JE. M. L. | E.2.2 | 2.5 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.01 | 1.0 | |
| Axial Isotropy | | 9 | al.h | O` | | 100. | .0 | الله | |
| Hemispherical Isotropy | E.2.2 | 4.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.62 | 1.6 | ∞ |
| Boundary effect | E.2.3 | 1.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1,0 | 0.58 | 0.5 | ∞ |
| Linearity | E.2.4 | 5.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 110 | 1 | 2.89 | 2.8 | ∞ |
| System detection limits | E.2.5 | 1.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 ORL | 0.58 | 0.5 | 8 |
| Readout Electronics | E.2.6 | 0.02 | N | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 8 |
| Reponse Time | E.2.7 | 3.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 10100 | 1, " | 1.73 | 1.7 | ∞ |
| Integration Time | E.2.8 | 2.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1.15 | 1.1 | ∞ |
| RF ambient Conditions | E.6.1 | 3.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 10 | 1 ala | 1.73 | 1.7 | ∞ |
| Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance | E.6.2 | 2.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 alas | 1" | 1.15 | 1.1 5 | ∞ |
| Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell | E.6.3 | 0.05 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 E | 0.03 | 0.0 | 8 |
| Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation | E.5.2 | 5.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 ME ME | 1 MORLAR | 2.89 | 2.8 9 | ∞ |
| Test sample Related | A | ORL | III. | AB. | | RLAN | MORE | 411 | |
| Test sample positioning | E.4.2. | 0.03 | N | 1 _{more} | 1 MC | 1 NORLAE | 0.03 | 0.0 | N- 1 |
| Device Holder Uncertainty | E.4.1. | 5.00 | N | 1 100 | 1 🚜 | 1 | 5.00 | 5.0 | N- |



| | agl. | 40 | | 10. | - 20 | - RL | -40° | 1 | |
|--|--------|-------|------|-------------------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|-----|
| alak aort | 1 | 20 | aLP. | 201 | | Mo. | _0 | 0 | 1 |
| Output power Power drift - | 6.6.2 | 4.04 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.33 | 2.3 | ∞ |
| SAR drift measurement | -B W | CLAB | ۵ (| RLA | Mole | B W | LAB | 3 | ORL |
| Phantom and Tissue Para | meters | HOL | . 6 | LAB | | RLA | MOL | . 6 | |
| Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances) | E.3.1 | 0.05 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | LAE M | 1 MORLAS | 0.03 | 0.0 | 8 |
| Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value | E.3.2 | 4.57 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.64 | 0.43 | 1.69 | 1.1 | 8 |
| Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty | E.3.3 | 5.00 | N | 1 _{more} | 0.64 | 0.43 | 3.20 | 2.1 5 | М |
| Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value | E.3.2 | 3.69 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.6 | 0.49 | 1.28 | 1.0 4 | 8 |
| Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty | E.3.3 | 10.0 | N W | 1 10RLAS | 0.6 | 0.49 | 6.00 | 4.9 0 | М |
| Combined Standard Uncertainty | NORL. | AE MO | RSS | HO | LAB | MORL | 11.55 | 10. 67 | 3 |
| Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval) | AE MO. | ORLAS | K=2 | RLAB | MORL | LAE MC | 23.11 | 21. 33 | ORL |

5.2 UNCERTAINTY FOR SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

| a | b Motes | С | d | 6= | f PLAF | 9 1110 | h= | i= | k |
|-------------------------|---------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|-----|
| | AE | MORLIN | VB 446 | f(d,k) | la. | RLAE | c*f/e | c*g/ e | 21 |
| Uncertainty Component | Sec. | Tol | Prob | Div. | Ci | Ci | 1g Ui | 10g | Vi |
| | More | (+- | - ALA | , OP | (1g) | (10g) | (+-%) | Ui | 8 |
| | ORI | %) | Dist. | B | LAP | .0 | RLA | (+- | |
| | BHILL | LAB | .0 | RLA | MORE | E MIC | AB | %) | PLA |
| Measurement System | Like | Mole | 9 111 | LAB | .0 | RLA | MORE | 2 1/1 | |
| Probe calibration | E.2.1 | 4.76 | N | 1,101 | 1, 1 | 1 10 | 4.76 | 4.7 | 8 |
| Axial Isotropy | E.2.2 | 2.5 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.01 | 1.0 | ∞ |
| Hemispherical Isotropy | E.2.2 | 4.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.62 | 1.6 | ∞ . |
| Boundary effect | E.2.3 | 1.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1.8 | 0.58 | 0.5 | ∞ |
| Linearity | E.2.4 | 5.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 110 | 1 💦 | 2.89 | 2.8 | ∞ |
| System detection limits | E.2.5 | 1.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 108 | 0.58 | 0.5 | ∞ |
| Readout Electronics | E.2.6 | 0.02 | N | 1 | 1 ALAP | 1 | 0.02 | 0.0 | ∞ |




| Reponse Time | E.2.7 | 3.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1,10 | 1.73 | 1.7 | ∞ |
|--|-------------|---------|------|------------|---------|----------------|-------|-----------|-----|
| Integration Time | E.2.8 | 2.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 01.0 | 1 | 1.15 | 1.1 | 8 |
| RF ambient Conditions | E.6.1 | 3.0 | R 🕠 | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1,0 | 1.73 | 1.7 | ∞ |
| Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance | E.6.2 | 2.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 👊 | 1 | 1.15 | 1.1 | ∞ |
| Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell | E.6.3 | 0.05 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1.1112 | 0.03 | 0.0 | 8 |
| Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation | E.5.2 | 5.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | LAE IN | 1 and a second | 2.89 | 2.8 | 8 |
| Dipole | OR | Library | Mole | S III | | 3 | RLA | MOL | |
| Dipole axis to liquid Distance | 8,E.4. 2 | 1.00 | N | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 PLAE | 0.58 | 0.5 8 | ∞ |
| Input power and SAR drift measurement | 8,6.6. 2 | 4.04 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1, 11 | 1 NORLAY | 2.33 | 2.3 | 8 |
| Phantom and Tissue Para | meters | LAN | MORE | We | . 63 | 3 | RLAR | MORE | |
| Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances) | E.3.1 | 0.05 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | MORE ME | 1 III | 0.03 | 0.0 | 8 |
| Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value | E.3.2 | 4.57 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.64 | 0.43 | 1.69 | 1.1 | ∞ |
| Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty | E.3.3 | 5.00 | N | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.64 | 0.43 | 1.85 | 1.2 | М |
| Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value | E.3.2 | 3.69 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.6 | 0.49 | 1.28 | 1.0 | 8 |
| Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty | E.3.3 | 10.0 | N | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.6 | 0.49 | 3.46 | 2.8 | М |
| Combined Standard Uncertainty | AB . G | ORLA | RSS | ORLAB | in. | ALAE . | 8.83 | 8.3 | Ore |
| Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval) | OPLA | AE MO | K=2 | , m | LAB | MORLA | 17.66 | 16. 73 | 3 |



6. SAR MEASUREMENT EVALUATION

6.1 System Setup

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave which comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It is performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurements in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system verification setup is shown as below



The validation dipole is placed beneath the flat phantom with the specific spacer in place. The distance spacer is touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The power meter PM1 measures the forward power at the location of the system check dipole connector. The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power (250 mW is used for 700 MHz to 3 GHz,100 mW is used for 3.5 GHz to



6 GHz) at the dipole connector and the power meter PM2 is read at that level. After connecting the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter PM2.

6.2 Validation Results

After system check testing, the SAR result will be normalized to 1W forward input power and compared with the reference SAR value derived from validation dipole certificate report. The deviation of system check should be within 10 %.

| Frequency | 2450MHz(B) | | |
|--|------------|--|--|
| Target value 1W (1g) | 50.93 W/Kg | | |
| Test value 1g (100 mW input power) | 4.945 W/Kg | | |
| Normalized to 1W value(1g) | 49.45 W/Kg | | |

Note: System checks the specific test data please see 40~41.



7. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST

7.1 Body-worn Configurations

The body-worn configurations shall be tested with the supplied accessories (belt-clips, holsters, etc.) attached to the device in normal use configuration.

For body-worn and other configurations a flat phantom shall be used which is comprised of material with electrical properties similar to the corresponding tissues.




Illustration for Body Worn Position

7.2 Measurement procedure

The Following steps are used for each test position

- Establish a call with the maximum output power with a base station simulator. The connection between the mobile and the base station simulator is established via air interface.
- 2. Measurement of the local E-field value at a fixed location. This value serves as a reference value for calculating a possible power drift.
- 3. Measurement of the SAR distribution with a grid of 8 to 16mm * 8 to 16 mm and a constant distance to the inner surface of the phantom. Since the sensors cannot directly measure at the inner phantom surface, the values between the sensors and the inner phantom surface are extrapolated. With these values the area of the maximum SAR is calculated by an interpolation scheme.
- 4. Around this point, a cube of 30 * 30 * 30 mm or 32 * 32 * 32 mm is assessed by measuring 5 or 8 * 5 or 8 * 4 or 5 mm. With these data, the peak spatial-average SAR value can be calculated.



7.3 Description of interpolation/extrapolation scheme

The local SAR inside the phantom is measured using small dipole sensing elements inside a probe body. The probe tip must not be in contact with the phantom surface in order to minimize measurements errors, but the highest local SAR will occur at the surface of the phantom.

An extrapolation is using to determinate this highest local SAR values. The extrapolation is based on a fourth-order least-square polynomial fit of measured data. The local SAR value is then extrapolated from the liquid surface with a 1mm step.

The measurements have to be performed over a limited time (due to the duration of the battery) so the step of measurement is high. It could vary between 5 and 8 mm. To obtain an accurate assessment of the maximum SAR averaged over 10 grams and 1 gram requires a very fine resolution in the three dimensional scanned data array.



8. MEASUREMENT OF CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER

1. WiFi Average output power

| | | Frequency | (| Output Power(dE | 3m) |
|------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------------|-----------|
| Band | Channel | (MHz) | 802.11b | 802.11g | 802.11n20 |
| | | (2) | (DSSS) | (OFDM) | (OFDM) |
| MO. | 1 21 10 | 2412 | 11.63 | 9.64 | 9.46 |
| WiFi | 6 | 2437 | 11.48 | 9.35 | 9.25 |
| | 11 .6 | 2462 | 11.40 | 9.28 | 9.10 |

| | | | Output | |
|---------|----------|-----------|------------|--|
| David | Okasasal | Frequency | Power(dBm) | |
| Band | Channel | (MHz) | 802.11n40 | |
| | | | (OFDM) | |
| DRIA NI | 3 | 2422 | 11.91 | |
| Wifi | 6 | 2437 | 11.73 | |
| MOKE | 9 | 2452 | 11.72 | |

2. BT+EDR 2.1 peak output power

| Dond | Channal | Frequency | | Output Power(dl | Bm) |
|-------|---------|-----------|-------|-----------------|--------|
| Band | Channel | (MHz) | GFSK | π/4-DQPSK | 8-DPSK |
| LAB | 0 40 | 2402 | 10.04 | 9.11 | 9.19 |
| ВТ | 39 | 2441 | 10.21 | 9.15 | 9.25 |
| ORLA. | 78 | 2480 | 9.65 | 8.47 | 8.55 |

| Band | Channel | Frequency | Output Power(dBm) |
|------|---------|-----------|----------------------|
| | | (MHz) | GFSK |
| MORL | 0 | 2402 | 3.01 |
| BT | 19 | 2441 | 2.36 |
| Wo. | 39 | 2480 | 1.26 |



9. TEST RESULTS LIST

Summary of Measurement Results (WLAN 802.11b Band)

| MORE | A.B | Temperatu | re: 21.0~23.8° | C, humidity: | 54~60%. | MORI | MO |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Phantom Configurations | Device Test Positions | Device Test channel | SAR(W/Kg) , 10g Peak | Duty Cycle | Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle) | Scaling Factor (Power) | Scaled SAR (W/Kg), 10g |
| Body | Dool | 1AB | 0.031 | Mo. | NE TA | 1.089 | 0.034 |
| (0mm | Back upward | 6 | 0.019 | 99.2% | 1.008 | 1.127 | 0.022 |
| Separation) | 802.11b | 11 of 1 | 0.011 | ~B (III) | CLAB | 1.148 | 0.123 |

Summary of Measurement Results (Bluetooth Band)

| AE ORLA | Temperatu | re: 21.0~23. | 8°C, humidity: 54 | ~60%. | S W |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Phantom Configurations | Device Test Positions | Device Test channel | SAR(W/Kg), 10g Peak | Scaling Factor | Scaled SAR (W/Kg), 10g |
| Body | Back upward GFSK | 0 | 0.012 | 1.112 | 0.013 |
| (0mm | | 39 | 0.014 | 1.069 | 0.150 |
| Separation) | | 78 | 0.010 | 1.216 | 0.012 |

Notes:

- Adjust SAR for OFDM is 0.053*11.91/11.63=0.054W/Kg<1.2, so SAR is not required for OFDM modes.
- 2. SAR is measured for 2.4 GHz 802.11b DSSS using either the fixed test position or, when applicable, the initial test position procedure. SAR test reduction is determined according to the following:
 - 1) When the reported SAR of the highest measured maximum output power channel for the exposure configuration is \leq 0.8 W/kg, no further SAR testing is required for 802.11b DSSS in that exposure configuration.
 - 2) When the reported SAR is > 0.8 W/kg, SAR is required for that position using the next highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is > 1.2 W/kg, SAR is required for the third channel; i.e., all channels require testing.



- 2.4 GHz 802.11 g/n OFDM are additionally evaluated for SAR if the highest reported SAR for 802.11b, adjusted by the ratio of the OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power, is > 1.2 W/kg. When SAR is required for OFDM modes in 2.4 GHz band, the Initial Test Configuration Procedures should be followed.
- 4. For held-to-ear and hotspot operations, the initial test position procedures were applied. The test position with the highest extrapolated peak SAR will be used as the initial test position. When reported SAR for the initial test position is ≤ 0.4 W/kg, no additional testing for the remaining test positions was required. Otherwise, SAR is evaluated at the subsequent highest peak SAR positions until the reported SAR result is ≤ 0.8 W/kg or all test positions are measured.
- 5. Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01DR02-41929 for 2.4 GHz WIFI single transmission chain operations, the highest measured maximum output power channel for DSSS was selected for SAR measurement. SAR for OFDM modes (2.4 GHz 802.11g/n) was not required due to the maximum allowed powers and the highest reported DSSS SAR.

Scaling Factor calculation

| Band | Tune-up power tolerance(dBm) | SAR test channel Power (dBm) | Scaling Factor |
|-----------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| MC. OE | ALAE MORE MO NE | 11.63 | 1.089 |
| 802.11b | Max output power =11.5+-0.5 | 11.48 | 1.127 |
| | | 11.40 | 1.148 |
| Br. Mo. | NE TLAN TORLY HO | 10.04 | 1.112 |
| Bluetooth | Max output power =10+-0.5 | 10.21 | 1.069 |
| | W SLAE TORLY MORE IS | 9.65 | 1.216 |



10. REPEATED SAR MEASUREMENT

In accordance with published RF Exposure KDB procedure 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

- 1) Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
- 2) When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/kg (~ 10% from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20.



11. MULTIPLE TRANSMITTERS EVALUATION

Stand-alone SAR

| Band | Highest power(mW) per tune up | 1-g SAR test threshold | Test required? |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|
| WiFi | 15.85 | [(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)] • [√f(GHz)] ≤ 3.0 for 1-g SAR | Yes |
| BT2.1+EDR | 11.22 | [(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)] • [√f(GHz)] ≤ 3.0 for 1-g SAR | Yes |

Note:

- 1. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, when the minimum test separation distance is <5mm, a distance of 5mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.
- 2. Simultaneous Transmission SAR evaluation is not required for BT and WiFi, because the software mechanism have been incorporated to guarantee that the WLAN and Bluetooth transmitters would not simultaneously operate.



ANNEX A GRAPH TEST RESULTS

| BAND | <u>PARAMETERS</u> | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|
| ORLAN | Measurement 1: Flat Plane with Body device position on Low | | |
| | Channel in DSSS mode | | |
| 902 44h | Measurement 2: Flat Plane with Body device position on Middle | | |
| <u>802.11b</u> | Channel in DSSS mode | | |
| | Measurement 3: Flat Plane with Body device position on High | | |
| | Channel in DSSS mode | | |
| Mo. | Measurement 4: Flat Plane with Body device position on High | | |
| Bluetooth | Channel in GFSK mode. | | |
| | Measurement 5: Flat Plane with Body device position on High | | |
| | Channel in GFSK mode. | | |
| | Measurement 6: Flat Plane with Body device position on High | | |
| MORL | Channel in GFSK mode. | | |



MEASUREMENT 1

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016.07.24

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 1 second


A. Experimental conditions.


| Politicinal Collaboration . | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Phantom File | surf_sam_plan.txt | | |
| Phantom | Flat | | |
| Device Position | Body | | |
| Band | 802.11b | | |
| Channels | Low | | |
| Signal | DSSS | | |

B. SAR Measurement Results

Low Band SAR (Channel 1):

| Frequency (MHz) | 2412.000000 | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Relative permittivity (real part) | 52.263547 | |
| Conductivity (S/m) | 1.934780 | |
| Power drift (%) | 1.270000 | |
| Ambient Temperature: | 22.9°C | |
| Liquid Temperature: | 22.1°C | |
| ConvF: | 4.96 | |
| Crest factor: | 0RL 111 8 W 180 | |









Maximum location: X=-15.00, Y=-3.00 SAR Peak: 0.15 W/kg

| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 0.031453 |
|----------------|----------|
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 0.068317 |









MEASUREMENT 2

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016.07.24

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 24 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.


| Phantom File | surf_sam_plan.txt | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Phantom | Flat | | |
| Device Position | Body | | |
| Band | 802.11b | | |
| Channels | Middle | | |
| Signal | DSSS | | |

B. SAR Measurement Results

Middle Band SAR (Channel 6):

| Frequency (MHz) | 2437.000000 | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Relative permittivity (real part) | 52.263547 | | |
| Conductivity (S/m) | 1.934780 | | |
| Power drift(%) | 2.070000 | | |
| Ambient Temperature: | 22.9°C | | |
| Liquid Temperature: | 22.1°C | | |
| ConvF: | 4.96 | | |
| Crest factor: | ORL MO 1:1 | | |









Maximum location: X=-7.00, Y=-8.00 SAR Peak: 0.08 W/kg

| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 0.019328 | |
|----------------|----------|--|
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 0.061819 | |







MEASUREMENT 3

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016.07.24

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 56 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.


| Phantom File | surf_sam_plan.txt | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Phantom | Flat 6 | | |
| Device Position | Body | | |
| Band | 802.11b | | |
| Channels | High | | |
| Signal | DSSS | | |

B. SAR Measurement Results

High Band SAR (Channel 11):

| Frequency (MHz) | 2462.000000 | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Relative permittivity (real part) | 52.263547 | |
| Conductivity (S/m) | 1.934780 | |
| Power drift (%) | 2.550000 | |
| Ambient Temperature: | 22.9°C | |
| Liquid Temperature: | 22.1°C | |
| ConvF: | 4.96 | |
| Crest factor: | 0RL 110 1:1 | |









Maximum location: X=-1.00, Y=7.00 SAR Peak: 0.06 W/kg

| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 0.010864 |
|----------------|----------|
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 0.055328 |







MEASUREMENT 4

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016.07.24

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 18 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.


| Aperimental conditions. | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Phantom File | surf_sam_plan.txt |
| Phantom | Flat |
| Device Position | Body |
| Band | Bluetooth |
| Channels | Low |
| Signal | Bluetooth |

B. SAR Measurement Results

Low Band SAR (Channel 0):

| Frequency (MHz) | 2402.000000 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Relative permittivity (real part) | 52.263547 |
| Conductivity (S/m) | 1.934780 |
| Power drift(%) | 0.850000 |
| Ambient Temperature: | 22.9°C |
| Liquid Temperature: | 22.1°C |
| ConvF: | 4.96 |
| Crest factor: | 1:1 |









Maximum location: X=40.00, Y=7.00 SAR Peak: 0.05 W/kg

| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 0.011588 |
|----------------|----------|
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 0.042078 |







MEASUREMENT 5

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016.07.24

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 37 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.


| Phantom File | surf_sam_plan.txt |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| Phantom | Flat |
| Device Position | Body |
| Band | Bluetooth |
| Channels | Middle |
| Signal | Bluetooth |

B. SAR Measurement Results

Middle Band SAR (Channel 39):

| Frequency (MHz) 2441.000000 | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Relative permittivity (real part) | 52.263547 |
| Conductivity (S/m) | 1.934780 |
| Power drift (%) | 2.210000 |
| Ambient Temperature: | 22.9°C |
| Liquid Temperature: | 22.1°C |
| ConvF: | 4.96 |
| Crest factor: | 0RL 110 1:1 |









Maximum location: X=40.00, Y=-25.00 SAR Peak: 0.08 W/kg

| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 0.014240 |
|----------------|----------|
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 0.052928 |









MEASUREMENT 6

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016.07.24

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 37 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.


| Phantom File | surf_sam_plan.txt |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| Phantom | Flat |
| Device Position | Body |
| Band | Bluetooth |
| Channels | High |
| Signal | Bluetooth |

B. SAR Measurement Results

High Band SAR (Channel 78):

| Frequency (MHz) 2480.000000 | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Relative permittivity (real part) | 52.263547 | |
| Conductivity (S/m) | 1.934780 | |
| Power drift (%) | -0.210000 | |
| Ambient Temperature: | 22.9°C | |
| Liquid Temperature: 22.1°C | | |
| ConvF: 4.96 | | |
| Crest factor: | ORL 110 1:1 | |









Maximum location: X=23.00, Y=-9.00 SAR Peak: 0.04 W/kg

| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 0.010492 |
|----------------|----------|
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 0.033293 |







ANNEX B GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Identification of the Responsible Testing Laboratory

| Company Name: | Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd. |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Department: | Morlab Laboratory |
| Address: | FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, GuangDong Province, P. R. China |
| Responsible Test Lab Manager: | Mr. Su Feng |
| Telephone: | +86 755 36698555 |
| Facsimile: | +86 755 36698525 |

2. Identification of the Responsible Testing Location

| Name: | Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd. Morlab Laboratory | |
|----------|--|--|
| Address: | FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang | |
| | Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, GuangDong | |
| | Province, P. R. China | |



3. List of Test Equipments

| No. | Instrument | Туре | Cal. Date | Cal. Due |
|-----|----------------------|---|-----------|-------------|
| 1 | PC | Dell (Pentium IV 2.4GHz, SN:X10-23533) | (n.a) | (n.a) |
| 2 | Network Emulator | Aglient (8960, SN:10752) | 2016-6-7 | 1year |
| 3 | Network Analyzer | Agilent(E5071B ,SN:MY42404762) | 2016-6-7 | 1year |
| 4 | Voltmeter | Keithley (2000, SN:1000572) | 2015-9-20 | 1year |
| 5 | Signal Generator | Rohde&Schwarz (SMP_02) | 2015-9-20 | 1year |
| 6 | Power Amplifier | PRANA (Ap32 SV125AZ) | 2015-9-20 | 1year |
| 7 | Power Meter | Agilent (E4416A, SN:MY45102093) | 2015-9-20 | 1year |
| 8 | Power Sensor | Agilent (N8482A, SN:MY41091706) | 2015-9-20 | 1year |
| 9 | Directional coupler | Giga-tronics(SN:1829112) | 2016-7-20 | 1year |
| 10 | Probe | Satimo (SN:SN 37/08 EP80) | 2016-7-5 | 1year |
| 11 | Dielectric Probe Kit | Agilent (85033E) | 2016-7-5 | 1year |
| 12 | Phantom | Satimo (SN:SN_36_08_SAM62) | N/A | N/A |
| 13 | Liquid | Satimo(Last Calibration: 2016-07-24) | N/A | N/A |
| 14 | Dipole 2450MHz | Satimo (SN 30/13 DIP2G450-263) | 2016-7-5 | 1year |



ANNEX C SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK DATA

System Performance Check Data(Body)

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016.07.24

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 27 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.


| surf_sam_plan.txt | |
|---------------------|--|
| Validation plane | |
| ELAE MORE MO. DE TO | |
| 2450MHz | |
| TORE THE STAR | |
| CW | |
| | |

B. SAR Measurement Results

Band SAR

| Frequency (MHz) | 2450.000000 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Relative permittivity (real part) | 52.263547 |
| Conductivity (S/m) | 1.934780 |
| Power Drift (%) | 1.080000 |
| Ambient Temperature: | 22.9°C |
| Liquid Temperature: | 22.1°C |
| ConvF: | 4.81 |
| Crest factor: | 1:1 110 |







Maximum location: X=7.00, Y=6.00

| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 2.653212 |
|----------------|----------|
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 4.944886 |

Z Axis Scan

