

# **FCC SAR TEST REPORT**

APPLICANT Hangzhou Miniwing Technology Co., Ltd.

**Smart Cycling Camera** PRODUCT NAME

MODEL NAME R100

TRADE NAME Camile

Camile **BRAND NAME** 

FCC ID 2AHGHR100

47CFR 2.1093 STANDARD(S) IEEE 1528-2013

**ISSUE DATE** 2016-03-15

SHENZHEN MORLAS COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.

NOTE: This document is issued by MORLAB, the test report shall not be reproduced except in full without prior written permission of the company. The test results apply only to the particular sample(s) tested and to the specific tests carried out which is available on request for validation and information confirmed at our website.





# **DIRECTORY**

TEST REPORT DI	ECLARATION	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	••••••	4
1.TECHNICAL IN	FORMATION	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	5
1.1 IDENTIFICATION	N OF APPLICANT ······		•••••	•••••	•••••		5
1.2 IDENTIFICATION	N OF MANUFACTURER ···		•••••		•••••		5
1.3 EQUIPMENT UN	DER TEST (EUT) ······	•••••	•••••	•••••		•••••	5
1.3.1 PHOTOGRAPHS	OF THE EUT						5
	N OF ALL USED EUT						
1.4 APPLIED REFER	RENCE DOCUMENTS					••••••	6
	ORY AND SAR LIMITS						
2. SPECIFIC ABSO	ORPTION RATE (SAR)	)	•••••			••••••	7
2.1 Introduction		•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	7
	N						
3 SAR MEASURE	EMENT SETUP					<u> </u>	8
O. BITT WELLS CITE	AVIET (T BETCT	ORLA	MOS	0	AB	ORLAN	
3 1 THE MEASURE	MENT SYSTEM·····						Q
	MENT STSTEM						
	ATION PROCESS						
	ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE						
	SSESSMENT PROCEDURE ·						
	ASSESSMENT PROCEDUR						
	R						
3.3 DE VICE HOLDE.	AE III. GLAE						Mo
4 TICCHE CIMIN	ATING LIQUIDS	N.	3	110			12
4. HSSUE SIMUL	ATING LIQUIDS	llo.	×30		40/3	HO	12
5 LINICEDTAINTS	ACCECCMENT	AB	JRLA.	VOL.	W.	.6	14
5. UNCERTAINTY	ASSESSMENT		•••••	••••••	••••••		14
ELA MORY	AB	RIA . =					MOR
	Y EVALUATION FOR						14
5.2 UNCERTAINT	Y FOR SYSTEM PERF	ORMAN(	E CHECK.	•••••	••••••	••••••	15



6. SAR MEASUREMENT EVALUATION	17
6.1 SYSTEM SETUP	17
6.2 VALIDATION RESULTS	18
7. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST	19
7.1 BODY-WORN CONFIGURATIONS	19
7.2 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE ·····	19
7.3 DESCRIPTION OF INTERPOLATION/EXTRAPOLATION SO	CHEME20
8. ANTENNA LOCATION AND TEST POSITION	······21
9. MEASUREMENT OF CONDUCTED OUTPUT POW	VER22
10. TEST RESULTS LIST	23
11. REPEATED SAR MEASUREMENT	25
12. BLUETOOTH EXCLUSIONS APPLIED	<u>26</u>
ANNEX A PHOTOGRAPS OF THE EUT	······27
ANNEX B GRAPH TEST RESULTS	31
HOPE IN LAS OFLAR	HORE THE LAB SELAR MOR
ANNEX C GENERAL INFORMATION	46

	Change History					
Issue	Date	Reason for change				
1.0	2016-03-15	First edition				



# **TEST REPORT DECLARATION**

Applicant	Hangzh	Hangzhou Miniwing Technology Co.,Ltd.					
Applicant Address		Technology Hangzhou.C		No.368	Jinpeng	Street,Xihu	
Manufacturer	Hangzh	ou Miniwing	Technol	ogy Co.,L	.td.		
Manufacturer Address		TopSo Technology Park, No.368 Jinpeng Street,Xihu District,Hangzhou.China					
Product Name	Smart Cycling Camera						
Model Name	R100						
Brand Name	Camile						
HW Version	V005					-	
SW Version	V0.9.3						
Test Standards	47CFR 2.1093; IEEE 1528-2013						
Test Date	2016-03-13						
The Highest Reported 1g-SAR(W/kg)	В	ody	0.189V	V/kg	Limit(W/kg	g): 1.6W/kg	

Tested by	:	Liu Jun	
1		Liu Jun	
Reviewed by	4	Zhu Zhom	
		Zhu Zhan	
Approved by	:	Zeng Dexin Zeng Dexin	
		Zeng Dexin	



# 1.TECHNICAL INFORMATION

Note: the Following data is based on the information by the applicant.

# 1.1 Identification of Applicant

Company Name:	Hangzhou Miniwing Technology Co.,Ltd.				
Address:	TopSo Technology Park, No.368 Jinpeng Street,Xihu				
B ORLAN MORN	District, Hangzhou. China				

#### 1.2 Identification of Manufacturer

Company Name:	Hangzhou Miniwing Technology Co.,Ltd.					MOT VE IN
Address:	TopSo Technology Park, No.368 Jinpeng Street,Xihu				Street,Xihu	
E N. SLAE JORLA	District, Hangzhou. China					

# 1.3 Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Model Name:	R100				
Trade Name:	Camile				
Brand Name:	Camile				
Hardware Version:	V005				
Software Version:	V0.9.3				
Tx Frequency Bands:	WiFi 802.11b/g/n20:2412-2462MHz; WiFi 802.11n40:2422-2452MHz; Bluetooth 4.0:2402-2480MHz;				
Uplink Modulations:	WIFI 802.11b: DSSS; WIFI 802.11g: OFDM; WIFI 802.11n20/n40:OFDM; Bluetooth: GFSK/π/4-DQPSK/8-DPSK; Bluetooth4.0: GFSK				
Antenna type:	Monopole Antenna				
Development Stage:	Identical prototype				

# 1.3.1 Photographs of the EUT

Please refer to the External Photos for the Photos of the EUT





#### 1.3.2 Identification of all used EUT

The EUT identity consists of numerical and letter characters, the letter character indicates the test sample, and the Following two numerical characters indicate the software version of the test sample.

EUT Identity	Hardware Version	Software Version
1# 100	V005	V0.9.3

# 1.4 Applied Reference Documents

Leading reference documents for testing:

No.	Identity	Document Title
1	47 CFR§2.1093	Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable Devices
2	IEEE 1528-2013	IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
3	KDB 447498 D01v06	General RF Exposure Guidance
4	KDB 248227 D01v02r02	SAR Measurement Guidance for IEEE 802.11 Transmitters
5	KDB 865664 D01v01r04	SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz
6	KDB 865664 D02v01r02	SAR Reporting
7	KDB 941225 D06v02r01	Hotspot Mode

### 1.5 Device Category and SAR Limits

This device belongs to portable device category because its radiating structure is allowed to be used within 20 centimeters of the body of the user. Limit for General Population/Uncontrolled exposure should be applied for this device, it is 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue.



# 2. SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR)

#### 2.1 Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are Middle than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

#### 2.2 SAR Definition

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density. ( $\rho$ ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \Big( \frac{dW}{dm} \Big) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big( \frac{dW}{\rho dv} \Big)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be either related to the temperature elevation in tissue by

$$SAR = C\left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t}\right)$$

Where C is the specific head capacity,  $\delta T$  is the temperature rise and  $\delta t$  the exposure duration, or related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

Where  $\sigma$  is the conductivity of the tissue,  $\rho$  is the mass density of the tissue and |E| is the rms electrical field strength.

However for evaluating SAR of low power transmitter, electrical field measurement is typically applied.



# 3. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

### 3.1 The Measurement System

Comosar is a system that is able to determine the SAR distribution inside a phantom of human being according to different standards. The Comosar system consists of the Following items:

- Main computer to control all the system
- 6 axis robot
- Data acquisition system
- Miniature E-field probe
- Phone holder
- Head simulating tissue

The Following figure shows the system.



The EUT under test operating at the maximum power level is placed in the phone holder, under the phantom, which is filled with head simulating liquid. The E-Field probe measures the electric field inside the phantom. The OpenSAR software computes the results to give a SAR value in a 1g or 10g mass.

#### 3.2 Probe

For the measurements the Specific Dosimetric E-Field Probe SN 37/08 EP80 with Following specifications is used

- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg

- Tip Diameter: 6.5 mm






- Distance between probe tip and sensor center: 2.5mm
- Distance between sensor center and the inner phantom surface: 4 mm (repeatability better than +/- 1mm)
- Probe linearity: <0.25 dB</li>
  Axial Isotropy: <0.25 dB</li>
  Spherical Isotropy: <0.25 dB</li>
- Calibration range: 835to 2500MHz for head & body simulating liquid.

Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 30°

Probe calibration is realized, in compliance with CENELEC EN 62209 and IEEE 1528 std, with CALISAR, Antennessa proprietary calibration system. The calibration is performed with the EN 622091 annex technique using reference guide at the five frequencies.



$$SAR = \frac{4\left(P_{fw} - P_{bw}\right)}{ab\delta} \cos^{2}\left(\pi \frac{y}{a}\right) e^{-(2z/\delta)}$$

Where:

Pfw = Forward Power Pbw = Backward Power

a and b = Waveguide dimensions

= Skin depth



#### Keithley configuration:

Rate = Medium; Filter =ON; RDGS=10; FILTER TYPE =MOVING AVERAGE; RANGE AUTO After each calibration, a SAR measurement is performed on a validation dipole and compared with a NPL calibrated probe, to verify it.

The calibration factors, CF(N), for the 3 sensors corresponding to dipole 1, dipole 2 and dipole 3 are:

$$CF(N)=SAR(N)/VIin(N)$$

(N=1,2,3)

The linearised output voltage Vlin(N) is obtained from the displayed output voltage V(N) using

$$Vlin(N)=V(N)*(1+V(N)/DCP(N))$$

(N=1,2,3)

Where DCP is the diode compression point in mV.

#### 3.3 Probe Calibration Process

#### 3.3.1 Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each E-Probe/Probe Amplifier combination has unique calibration parameters. SATIMO Probe calibration procedure is conducted to determine the proper amplifier settings to enter in the probe parameters. The amplifier settings are determined for a given frequency by subjecting the probe to a known E-field density (1 mW/cm²) using an with CALISAR, Antenna proprietary calibration system.

#### 3.3.2 Free Space Assessment Procedure

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This calibration can be performed in a TEM cell if the frequency is below 1 GHz and in a waveguide or other methodologies above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is rotated 360 degrees until the three channels show the maximum reading. The power density readings equates to 1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### 3.3.3 Temperature Assessment Procedure

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulating head tissue. The E-field in the medium correlates with the temperature rise in the dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

Where:

 $\delta t = \text{exposure time (30 seconds)},$ 





$$SAR = C\left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t}\right)$$

C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

 $\delta T$  = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

SAR is proportional to  $\Delta T/\Delta t$ , the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. The electric field in the simulated tissue can be used to estimate SAR by equating the thermally derived SAR to that with the E- field component.

Where:

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

 $\sigma$  = simulated tissue conductivity,

 $\rho$  = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm<sup>3</sup> for brain tissue)

#### 3.4 Phantom

For the measurements the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) defined by the IEEE SCC-34/SC2 group is used. The phantom is a polyurethane shell integrated in a wooden table. The thickness of the phantom amounts to 2mm +/- 0.2mm. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right phone usage and includes an additional flat phantom part for the simplified performance check. The phantom set-up includes a cover, which prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

#### 3.5 Device Holder

The positioning system allows obtaining cheek and tilting position with a very good accuracy. In compliance with CENELEC, the tilt angle uncertainty is Middle than 1°.



Device holder

System Material	Permittivity	Loss Tangent	
Delrin	3.7	0.005	



# 4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS

For SAR measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom, the phantom must be filled with homogeneous tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. The nominal dielectric values of the tissue simulating liquids in the phantom and the tolerance of 5% are listed in below table.

The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquids

Frequency Band (MHz)	2450		
Tissue Type	Body		
Ingredients (% by weight	) 110		
Deionised Water	73.20		
Salt(NaCl)	0.10		
Sugar	0.00		
Tween 20	0.00		
HEC	0.00		
Bactericide	0.00		
Triton X-100	0.00		
DGBE	26.70		
Diethylenglycol monohexylether	0.00		
Measured dielectric parar	meters		
Dielectric Constant	52.70		
Conductivity (S/m)	1.95		

Note: Please refer to the validation results for dielectric parameters of each frequency band.

The dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an Agilent 85033E Dielectric Probe Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.



#### **Table 1: Dielectric Performance of Tissue Simulating Liquid**

Temperature: 22.0~23.8°C, humidity: 54~60%.								
Date	Date Freq.(MHz) Liquid Paramete		Meas.	Target	Delta(%)	Limit±(%)		
0046/00/40	Pody 2450	Relative Permittivity(cr):	52.48	52.70	-0.42	5		
2016/03/13	Body 2450	Conductivity(σ):	1.96	1.95	0.51	5		



# 5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT

The Following table includes the uncertainty table of the IEEE 1528. The values are determined by Antennessa.

# **5.1 UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR EUT SAR TEST**

							3/3		
a not morting in the morting	b	C	d	e= f(d,k)	MORLAR	g	h= c*f/e	i= c*g/ e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+- %)	Prob Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+- %)	Vi
Measurement System	AR	ORLAN	1110	. 6	4110	LAB	ORLAN	707	Oak
Probe calibration	E.2.1	4.76	N	1.0RL	1 1	1	4.76	4.7	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.01	1.0	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.62	1.6	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.0	0.58	0.5	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1 🐠	1	2.89	2.8	∞
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.00	0.58	0.5	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	N	1 110	1 🚜	1	0.02	0.0	∞
Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.7	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1	∞
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	10	1 ala	1.73	1.7	∞
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1"	1.15	1.1 5	∞
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	<b>11</b> 0	1 <sub>RLAB</sub>	0.03	0.0	8
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	AB W	1 HORLAE	2.89	2.8	8
Test sample Related	AL	MORE	41/6	, AB		RLAL .	MORIL	NI NI	9
Test sample positioning	E.4.2.	0.03	N	1 <sub>north</sub>	1 ME	1 NORLAR	0.03	0.0	N- 1
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1.	5.00	N	1 110	1 💸	1	5.00	5.0	N-



		. 40		100	~~		70,		
2LAB CORL	1	VB In.	al.P	300	Line	More	" B W.	0	1
Output power Power drift -	6.6.2	4.04	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1 , 1	1	2.33	2.3	∞
SAR drift measurement	"B W	CLAP		RLA	Mole	B W	LAB	3	ORL
Phantom and Tissue Para	meters	MOL	.0	LAB	.(	RLA	MOL	0 1	
Phantom Uncertainty	E.3.1	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1,	1 1 N	OF	0.0	∞
(Shape and thickness tolerances)	NOTE OF	AB III	MORLA	s mor	L.R. A	Morr	0.03	3	0
Liquid conductivity -	E.3.2	4.57	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.1	∞
deviation from target value	AL	MORIE	2 11	AB	,	QLAP.	MORL	3	
Liquid conductivity -	E.3.3	5.00	N	1,108	0.64	0.43	3.20	2.1	М
measurement uncertainty	MORT	Mo	. 0	3	LAR	MORL	MO.	5	8
Liquid permittivity -	E.3.2	3.69	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.0	8
deviation from target value	Mo	A.B		QLAB	MORL	Mc	O.B	4	الله
Liquid permittivity -	E.3.3	10.0	N 🐠	1 6	0.6	0.49	6.00	4.9	М
measurement uncertainty	o.B	0	LAB	MORL	4110			0	- 0
Combined Standard	ORL	Mo	RSS	9	LAB	JORL	11.55	10.	3
Uncertainty		AB	NORLE	MO	~	9	aLAE	67	
Expanded Uncertainty	Mo.	.0	K=2	alaB	*OBI	Mc	23.11	21.	210
(95% Confidence interval)	AB	ORLA	17/	000	Di.	LAB	ORLA	33 🕔	Ole

#### 5.2 UNCERTAINTY FOR SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

a Moral All All All All All All All All All A	b of	С	d	e=	fala	g	h=	4j=	k
	OB III	RLAB	(	f(d,k)	Wo.	OB III	c*f/e	c*g/	ORL
S SLAP OF		Vo.	20	OLAB	~0	Rick	Mo.	е	
<b>Uncertainty Component</b>	Sec.	Tol	Prob	Div.	Ci	Ci	1g Ui	10g	Vi
	Nor	(+-	·	, o <sup>e</sup>	(1g)	(10g)	(+-%)	Ui	3
	ORI	%)	Dist.	-B W	- LAF	.0	2LA	(+-	
ORLA" MOR	S W	LAB	.6	RLA	Moles	. a M	LAB	%)	RLA
Measurement System	Like	NOKE	G III.	LAB	.0	RLA	MORE	S 11	
Probe calibration	E.2.1	4.76	N	1,101	1, 1	1 10	4.76	4.7	8
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.01	1.0	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.62	1.6	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.8	0.58	0.5	8
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1 1100	1	2.89	2.8	∞
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	10RL	0.58	0.5	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	N	1	1 1 1	1	0.02	0.0	8




Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1,10	1.73	1.7	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1 21.0	1	1.15	1.1	8
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	100	1.73	1.7	∞
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1 11	1	1.15	1.1 5	8
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1,110	0.03	0.0	8
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	10"	ALAE M	2.89	2.8	8
Dipole	111	LAS	OPLA	100		3	ALAS	1084	
Dipole axis to liquid Distance	8,E.4. 2	1.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	101111	1 1 11	0.58	0.5	∞
Input power and SAR drift measurement	8,6.6. 2	4.04	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1, 111	1 NORLAS	2.33	2.3	∞
Phantom and Tissue Para	meters	LAN	MORE	We.	, al	3	RLAR	MORE	
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	0.05	R III	$\sqrt{3}$	110 P. H.C	1 ME	0.03	0.0	8
Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	4.57	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.1	∞
Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.2 4	М
Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	3.69	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.0 4	8
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10.0	Nath	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	3.46	2.8	М
Combined Standard Uncertainty	, D	MORLAN	RSS	RLAB	en.	PLAE S	8.83	8.3 7	Ok
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)	OPLA	E MO	K=2	, Mar	LAB	MORLA	17.66	16. 73	3 47



### 6. SAR MEASUREMENT EVALUATION

### 6.1 System Setup

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave which comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It is performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurements in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system verification setup is shown as below



The validation dipole is placed beneath the flat phantom with the specific spacer in place. The distance spacer is touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The power meter PM1 measures the forward power at the location of the system check dipole connector. The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power (250 mW is used for 700 MHz to 3 GHz,100 mW is used for 3.5 GHz to



6 GHz) at the dipole connector and the power meter PM2 is read at that level. After connecting the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter PM2.

### 6.2 Validation Results

After system check testing, the SAR result will be normalized to 1W forward input power and compared with the reference SAR value derived from validation dipole certificate report. The deviation of system check should be within 10 %.

Frequency	2450MHz(B)
Target value 1W (1g)	56.13 W/Kg
Test value 1g (100mW input power)	5.238 W/Kg (03.13)
Normalized to 1W value(1g)	52.38 W/Kg

**Note**: System checks the specific test data please see 44~45.




### 7. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST

### 7.1 Body-worn Configurations

The body-worn configurations shall be tested with the supplied accessories (belt-clips, holsters, etc.) attached to the device in normal use configuration.

For body-worn and other configurations a flat phantom shall be used which is comprised of material with electrical properties similar to the corresponding tissues.



**Illustration for Body Worn Position** 

#### 7.2 Measurement procedure

The Following steps are used for each test position

- Establish a call with the maximum output power with a base station simulator. The connection between the mobile and the base station simulator is established via air interface.
- 2. Measurement of the local E-field value at a fixed location. This value serves as a reference value for calculating a possible power drift.
- 3. Measurement of the SAR distribution with a grid of 8 to 16mm \* 8 to 16 mm and a constant distance to the inner surface of the phantom. Since the sensors cannot directly measure at the inner phantom surface, the values between the sensors and the inner phantom surface are extrapolated. With these values the area of the maximum SAR is calculated by an interpolation scheme.
- 4. Around this point, a cube of 30 \* 30 \* 30 mm or 32 \* 32 \* 32 mm is assessed by measuring 5 or 8 \* 5 or 8 \* 4 or 5 mm. With these data, the peak spatial-average SAR value can be calculated.



#### 7.3 Description of interpolation/extrapolation scheme

The local SAR inside the phantom is measured using small dipole sensing elements inside a probe body. The probe tip must not be in contact with the phantom surface in order to minimize measurements errors, but the highest local SAR will occur at the surface of the phantom.

An extrapolation is using to determinate this highest local SAR values. The extrapolation is based on a fourth-order least-square polynomial fit of measured data. The local SAR value is then extrapolated from the liquid surface with a 1mm step.

The measurements have to be performed over a limited time (due to the duration of the battery) so the step of measurement is high. It could vary between 5 and 8 mm. To obtain an accurate assessment of the maximum SAR averaged over 10 grams and 1 gram requires a very fine resolution in the three dimensional scanned data array.






### 8. ANTENNA LOCATION AND TEST POSITION

For tablets with a display and overall diagonal dimension 28.5cm >20cm, the SAR procedure in KDB 447498 should be used. The tablet procedures required by KDB 447498 generally do not require separate hotspot mode testing.

According to KDB 447498 D01, the bottom face (back of the device) is required to be tested touching the flat phantom. Per KDB 447498, SAR testing applies for the tablet edges with antenna located within 5cm of each tablet edge closet to the user.



Assessment	MORL	SAR Tes	t Positon	AB MORI	MO	VB (
					Test distance	e: 0mm
Antennas	Back	Front	Edge A	Edge B	Edge C	Edge D
WLAN&BT	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes



#### 9. MEASUREMENT OF CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER

### 1. WiFi Average output power

		Frequency	C	Output Power(dl	3m)
Band	Channel	(MHz)	802.11b	802.11g	802.11n20
	(=)		(DSSS)	(OFDM)	(OFDM)
ORL	<b>1</b> 0	2412	13.56	7.83	7.52
WiFi	6	2437	14.25	8.48	8.42
True Woh	11	2462	14.78	9.06	8.71

			Output
Dond	Channel	Frequency	Power(dBm)
Band	Channel	(MHz)	802.11n40
			(OFDM)
LAB	3	2422	6.46
Wifi	6	2437	6.70
	9	2452	6.99

### 2. BT 4.0 peak output power

Band	Channel	Frequency	Output Power(dBm)
Bariu	Channel	(MHz)	GFSK
ORILE MC	0	2402	-2.01
BT 4.0	19	2441	-3.88
MOL	39	2480	-4.92



### 10. TEST RESULTS LIST

Summary of Measurement Results (WLAN 802.11b Band)

		Temperatu	re: 21.0~23.8°	C, humidity:	54~60%.		
Phantom Configurations	Device Test Positions	Device Test channel	SAR(W/Kg) , 1g Peak	Duty Cycle	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	Scaling Factor (Power)	Scaled SAR (W/Kg), 1g
NORL	Back upward	QLAB.	0.104	Mor	VE WE STA	1.052	0.110
3 M D. GLAB	Face upward	MOL	0.112	E MORI	1.008		0.119
Body	Edge A		0.083				0.088
(5mm	Edge B	11	0.178	99.2%			0.189
Separation)	Edge C	AL. A.	0.024	LAB			0.025
	Edge D		0.035	Moles	AE NA	ORL	0.037

#### Notes:

- Adjust SAR for OFDM is 0.189\*9.06/14.78=0.116W/Kg<1.2, so SAR is not required for OFDM modes.
- 2. SAR is measured for 2.4 GHz 802.11b DSSS using either the fixed test position or, when applicable, the initial test position procedure. SAR test reduction is determined according to the following:
  - 1) When the reported SAR of the highest measured maximum output power channel for the exposure configuration is  $\leq$  0.8 W/kg, no further SAR testing is required for 802.11b DSSS in that exposure configuration.
  - 2) When the reported SAR is > 0.8 W/kg, SAR is required for that position using the next highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is > 1.2 W/kg, SAR is required for the third channel; i.e., all channels require testing.
- 2.4 GHz 802.11 g/n OFDM are additionally evaluated for SAR if the highest reported SAR for 802.11b, adjusted by the ratio of the OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power, is > 1.2 W/kg. When SAR is required for OFDM modes in 2.4 GHz band, the Initial Test Configuration Procedures should be followed.
- 4. For held-to-ear and hotspot operations, the initial test position procedures were applied. The test position with the highest extrapolated peak SAR will be used as the initial test position. When reported SAR for the initial test position is  $\leq 0.4$  W/kg, no additional testing for the



remaining test positions was required. Otherwise, SAR is evaluated at the subsequent highest peak SAR positions until the reported SAR result is  $\leq$  0.8 W/kg or all test positions are measured.

5. Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01DR02-41929 for 2.4 GHz WIFI single transmission chain operations, the highest measured maximum output power channel for DSSS was selected for SAR measurement. SAR for OFDM modes (2.4 GHz 802.11g/n) was not required due to the maximum allowed powers and the highest reported DSSS SAR.

#### 6. Scaling Factor calculation

Band	Tune-up power tolerance(dBm)	SAR test channel Power (dBm)	Scaling Factor
802.11b	Max output power =14.5+-0.5	14.78	1.052



#### 11. REPEATED SAR MEASUREMENT

In accordance with published RF Exposure KDB procedure 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

- Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
- 2) When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/kg (~ 10% from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20.



#### 12. BLUETOOTH EXCLUSIONS APPLIED

Test distance	: 5mm	AE TRIAL MORE ME	AB B
Band	Highest power(mW) per tune up	1-g SAR test threshold	Test required?
BT4.0	0.63	[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)] • [√f(GHz)] ≤ 3.0 for 1-g SAR	No

#### Note:

Per KDB 447498 D01v05r02, when the minimum test separation distance is <5mm, a distance of 5mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.

The maximum tune-up limit power of BT4.0 is **0.63mW** @ **2.402GHz** [(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)]·[ $\sqrt{f(GHz)}$ ] =**0.189**  $\leq$  3.0






# ANNEX A PHOTOGRAPS OF THE EUT

### 1. Back Side Position



### 2. Face Side Position








### 3. Edge A



# 4. Edge B







### 5. Edge C



# 6. Edge D





#### 7. Liquid Level Photo





# **ANNEX B GRAPH TEST RESULTS**

BAND	<u>PARAMETERS</u>	
RLAL	Measurement 1: Flat Plane with Body device position on High	
	Channel in DSSS mode	
	Measurement 2: Flat Plane with Body device position on High	
	Channel in DSSS mode	
	Measurement 3: Flat Plane with Body device position on High	
000 441	Channel in DSSS mode	
<u>802.11b</u>	Measurement 4: Flat Plane with Body device position on High	
	Channel in DSSS mode.	
	Measurement 5: Flat Plane with Body device position on High	
	Channel in DSSS mode.	
	Measurement 6: Flat Plane with Body device position on High	
	Channel in DSSS mode.	



#### **MEASUREMENT 1**

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016.3.13

Measurement duration: 9 minutes 1 second


### A. Experimental conditions.


Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt	
Phantom	Flat 10 ALAN	
Device Position	Body	
Band	802.11b	
Channels	High	
Signal	DSSS	

#### **B. SAR Measurement Results**

# High Band SAR (Channel 11):

Frequency (MHz)	2462.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.483541
Conductivity (S/m)	1.964352
Power drift (%)	1.270000
Ambient Temperature:	22.9°C
Liquid Temperature:	22.1°C
ConvF:	6.73
Crest factor:	ORL MO 1:1









Maximum location: X=-55.00, Y=-65.00 SAR Peak: 0.16 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.058967
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.103573







#### **MEASUREMENT 2**

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016.3.13

Measurement duration: 9 minutes 1 second


### A. Experimental conditions.


Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt	
Phantom	Flat 10 ALAN	
Device Position	Body	
Band	802.11b	
Channels	High	
Signal	DSSS	

#### **B. SAR Measurement Results**

# High Band SAR (Channel 11):

Frequency (MHz)	2462.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.483541
Conductivity (S/m)	1.964352
Power drift (%)	2.010000
Ambient Temperature:	22.9°C
Liquid Temperature:	22.1°C
ConvF:	6.73
Crest factor:	0RL 111 3 W









Maximum location: X=-56.00, Y=-50.00 SAR Peak: 0.18 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.063337
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.112061







#### **MEASUREMENT 3**

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016.3.13

Measurement duration: 9 minutes 1 second


### A. Experimental conditions.


Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt	
Phantom	Flat	
Device Position	Body	
Band	802.11b	
Channels	High	
Signal	DSSS	

#### **B. SAR Measurement Results**

# High Band SAR (Channel 11):

Frequency (MHz)	2462.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.483541
Conductivity (S/m)	1.964352
Power drift (%)	0.250000
Ambient Temperature:	22.9°C
Liquid Temperature:	22.1°C
ConvF:	6.73
Crest factor:	0RL 111 8 W 1AD









Maximum location: X=-16.00, Y=-17.00 SAR Peak: 0.16 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.042410
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.083054







#### **MEASUREMENT 4**

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016.3.13

Measurement duration: 9 minutes 1 second


### A. Experimental conditions.


Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt	
Phantom	Flat	
Device Position	Body	
Band	802.11b	
Channels	High	
Signal	DSSS	

#### **B. SAR Measurement Results**

# High Band SAR (Channel 11):

Frequency (MHz)	2462.000000	
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.483541	
Conductivity (S/m)	1.964352	
Power drift (%)	1.270000	
Ambient Temperature:	22.9°C	
Liquid Temperature: 22.1°C		
ConvF:	6.73	
Crest factor:	0RL 1101:1	









Maximum location: X=-9.00, Y=-22.00 SAR Peak: 0.29 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.100431
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.178213







#### **MEASUREMENT 5**

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016.3.13

Measurement duration: 9 minutes 1 second


#### A. Experimental conditions.


Aperilia della del	A SEE ME
Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt
Phantom	Flat 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
Device Position	Body
Band	802.11b
Channels	High
Signal	DSSS

#### **B. SAR Measurement Results**

# High Band SAR (Channel 11):

Frequency (MHz)	2462.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.483541
Conductivity (S/m)	1.964352
Power drift (%)	1.270000
Ambient Temperature:	22.9°C
Liquid Temperature:	22.1°C
ConvF:	6.73
Crest factor:	ORL MO 1:1







**VOLUME SAR** 



Maximum location: X=-55.00, Y=-9.00 SAR Peak: 0.05 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.008950
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.023888







#### **MEASUREMENT 6**

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016.3.13

Measurement duration: 9 minutes 1 second


### A. Experimental conditions.


Appendiculation of the state of	
Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt
Phantom	Flat 10 RLAN
Device Position	Body
Band	802.11b
Channels	High
Signal	DSSS

#### **B. SAR Measurement Results**

#### High Band SAR (Channel 11):

<u> </u>	
Frequency (MHz)	2462.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.483541
Conductivity (S/m)	1.964352
Power drift (%)	2.010000
Ambient Temperature:	22.9°C
Liquid Temperature:	22.1°C
ConvF:	6.73
Crest factor:	110 1:1 M









Maximum location: X=-15.00, Y=8.00 SAR Peak: 0.08 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.010651
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.034537







### System Performance Check Data(Body)

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016.3.13

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 27 seconds


#### A. Experimental conditions.


Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	AB GLAD MORE MO
Band	2450MHz
Channels	de la
Signal	CW

#### **B. SAR Measurement Results**

# Band SAR

<u> </u>	
Frequency (MHz)	2450.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.520397
Conductivity (S/m)	1.928859
Power Drift (%)	0.630000
Ambient Temperature:	22.9°C
Liquid Temperature:	22.1°C
ConvF:	4.96
Crest factor:	10 1:1 m








### Maximum location: X=7.00, Y=6.00

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.664163
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.238452

### **Z Axis Scan**





# ANNEX C GENERAL INFORMATION

# 1. Identification of the Responsible Testing Laboratory

Company Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd.
Department:	Morlab Laboratory
Address:	FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, GuangDong Province, P. R. China
Responsible Test Lab Manager:	Mr. Su Feng
Telephone:	+86 755 36698555
Facsimile:	+86 755 36698525

# 2. Identification of the Responsible Testing Location

Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd.  Morlab Laboratory	
Address:	FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang	
	Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, GuangDong	
	Province, P. R. China	



### 3. List of Test Equipments

No.	Instrument	Туре	Cal. Date	Cal. Due
1	PC	Dell (Pentium IV 2.4GHz, SN:X10-23533)	(n.a)	(n.a)
2	Network Emulator	Aglient (8960, SN:10752)	2015-6-17	1year
3	Network Analyzer	Agilent(E5071B ,SN:MY42404762 )	2015-9-24	1year
4	Voltmeter	Keithley (2000, SN:1000572)	2015-9-24	1year
5	Signal Generator	Rohde&Schwarz (SMP_02)	201-9-24	1year
6	Power Amplifier	PRANA (Ap32 SV125AZ)	2015-9-24	1year
7	Power Meter	Agilent (E4416A, SN:MY45102093)	2015-5-07	1year
8	Power Sensor	Agilent (N8482A, SN:MY41091706)	2015-5-07	1year
9	Directional coupler	Giga-tronics(SN:1829112)	2015-9-24	1year
10	Probe	Satimo (SN:SN 37/08 EP80)	2015-8-17	1year
11	Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent (85033E)	2015-9-24	1year
12	Phantom	Satimo (SN:SN_36_08_SAM62)	N/A	N/A
13	Liquid	Satimo(Last Calibration: 2016-3-13)	N/A	N/A
16	Dipole 2450MHz	Satimo (SN 30/13 DIP2G450-263)	2015-6-20	1year

\*\*\*\*\* END OF REPORT \*\*\*\*\*