



# **EMF Evaluation Report**

<b>Date of Report</b>
Number of
pages:

3/04/2019 9

Client's Contact person:

Joaquin Gomez Serrano

Responsible Test engineer:

Juho Tuohino

Testing laboratory:

Elektroniikkatie 17 90590 Oulu Finland

**Verkotan Oy** 

Client:

Datalogic S.r.l.

Via San Vitalino, 13 40012 Lippo di Calderara di Reno Italy

**Tested device** 

WLC4090 (BK-910)

**Related reports:** 

Testing has been carried out in

accordance with:

**680106 D01 RF Exposure Wireless Charging App v03** Rf exposure considerations for low power consumer

wireless power transfer applications

Documentation:

The test report must always be reproduced in full; reproduction of an excerpt only is subject

to written approval of the testing laboratory

**Test Results:** 

The EUT complies with the requirements in respect of all parameters subject to the

test.

The test results relate only to devices specified in this document

Date and signatures:

03.04.2019

**Laboratory Manager** 







#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1. S	SUMMARY OF EMF TEST REPORT	3
1.1	TEST DETAILS	3
1.2	1717 O 12171 O 171 1 ( LOO L 1 O	
1.	L.2.1 KDB 680106 D01	3
2. D	DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST (DUT)	4
2.1	TECHNICAL DATA OF THE DUT	4
3. T	FEST EQUIPMENT	5
3.1	TEST SETUP	5
3.	3.1.1 KDB 680106 D01	5
3.2	LIMITS	6
3.3	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	7
4. T	FEST RESULTS	8
4.1	ELECTRIC FIELD RESULTS	8
42		







#### 1. SUMMARY OF EMF TEST REPORT

#### 1.1 Test Details

#### **Equipment under Test (EUT):**

Product:	WLC4090
Manufacturer:	Datalogic
Serial Number:	Z18P01795
FCC ID	U4FWRLCHR
Type:	BK-910
DUT Number:	22823
State of the Sample	Production sample

#### **Testing information:**

Testing performed:	12.10.2018
Notes:	
Document ID:	FCC_EMF_Report_BK-910_ID3121_02042019.docx
Measurement performed by:	Juho Tuohino

#### 1.2 Maximum Results

# 1.2.1 KDB 680106 D01

The maximum reported electric field and magnetic field strength values are shown in tables below. The device conforms to the requirements of the standards when the maximum measurement value is less than the MPE limit.

Test Distance to EUT*		MPE Limits	Measured value	Result
Electric field strength	15	614 V/m	0.81 V/m	PASS
Magnetic field strength	15	1.63 A/m	0.24 A/m	PASS

<sup>\*</sup>measured from the center of the probe(s) to the edge of the device

Test	Measurement location	Distance to EUT* [cm]	MPE Limits- 50%	Measured value	Result
Magnetic field	sides	15	0.815 A/m	0.17 A/m	PASS
Magnetic field	top	20	0.815 A/m	0.15 A/m	PASS

<sup>\*</sup>FCC measured from the center of the probe(s) to the edge of the device







# 2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST (DUT)

The DUT is a cradle for a bar code reader with a wireless charging capability. It can be powered via host communication port or aux power port.

Device Category	Mobile		
Exposure Environment	Uncontrolled		

#### 2.1 Technical data of the DUT

Operating Frequency	120-200 kHz
Antenna Type	Inductive loop coil antenna
Power Supply	AC 230 V / DC 5V usb
Maximum Nominal Power	5W








# 3. TEST EQUIPMENT

Test Equipment	Model	Model Serial Number	
E and H field meter	Narda EHP-200AC	170WX80310	19.3.2018

# 3.1 Test setup

#### 3.1.1 KDB 680106 D01

E- and H-field was measured from all sides and top of the DUT. The separation distance reported is measured from the center of the probe to the edge of the device. Photos of the test setup are shown in appendix A.



**Figure 1** The top view of the test setup.







#### 3.2 Limits

# Limints for MPE

TABLE 1 - LIMITS FOR MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE EXPOSURE (MPE)

Frequency range (MHz)	Electric field strength (V/m)	Magnetic field strength (A/m)	Power density (mW/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Averaging time (minutes)				
	(A) Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure							
0.3-3.0	614	1.63	* 100	6				
3.0-30	1842/f	4.89/f	* 900/f <sup>2</sup>	6				
30-300	61.4	0.163	1.0	6				
300-1,500			f/300	6				
1,500-100,000			5	6				
	(B) Limits for Gene	ral Population/Uncontrolle	d Exposure					
0.3-1.34	614	1.63	* 100	30				
1.34-30	824/f	2.19/f	* 180/f <sup>2</sup>	30				
30-300	27.5	0.073	0.2	30				
300-1,500			f/1500	30				
1,500-100,000			1.0	30				







# 3.3 Measurement uncertainty

Magnetic field 30kHz-30MHz

Quantity	Relative standard uncertainty in (%)	Probability distribution	Sensitivity coefficient	Degrees of freedom	Relative uncertainty contribution
Uncertainty of the calibration of the sensor	3.9	normal	1	infinite	0.039
Uncertainty of the frequency response of the sensor	2.7	rectangular	1	infinite	0.027
Uncertainty of the non-linearity	3.4	rectangular	1	infinite	0.034
Uncertainty of the anisotropy	4.5	rectangular	1	infinite	0.045
Uncertainty of the resolution of the measurement system	1	rectangular	1	infinite	0.01
Uncertainty of the temperature variation	2.3	rectangular	1	infinite	0.023
Uncertainty of the repeatability of the measurements	2	normal	1	4	0.02
Combined standard uncertainty		normal		infinite	0.08
Expanded uncertainty (k=2)		<del>-</del>		<del>-</del>	16 %

Electric field 9kHz-27MHz

Quantity	Relative standard uncertainty in (%)	Probability distribution	Sensitivity coefficient	Degrees of freedom	Relative uncertainty contribution
Uncertainty of the calibration of the sensor	3.9	normal	1	infinite	0.039
Uncertainty of the frequency response of the sensor	1.7	rectangular	1	infinite	0.017
Uncertainty of the non-linearity	2.7	rectangular	1	infinite	0.027
Uncertainty of the anisotropy	4.1	rectangular	1	infinite	0.041
Uncertainty of the resolution of the measurement system	1.9	rectangular	1	infinite	0.019
Uncertainty of the temperature variation	2.3	rectangular	1	infinite	0.023
Uncertainty of the repeatability of the measurements	2	normal	1	4	0.02
Combined standard uncertainty		normal		infinite	0.074
Expanded uncertainty (k=2)	14.8 %				







#### 4. TEST RESULTS

Test description	Findings
A frequency span from 3 kHz to 30 MHz was scanned to check for spurious.	No spurious above -20 dBc was identified.
Occupied Band Width check.	OBW was measured and found to be less than 1kHz.  RBW set to 1 kHz, Span 20 kHz.
All sides and top of DUT were scanned.	Maximum emissions from top side of loop (Appendix A: Photos of DUT)
E- and H-field measurement was performed with increased monitoring period.	Results in the table below.

# **4.1 Electric Field Results**

Measurement direction	Separation distance* [cm]	Measured E- Field [V/m]	Power supply	Battery charge status
Тор	15	Peak 0.80	AC 230V	~90%
Тор	15	Peak 0.80	AC 230V	~50%
Тор	15	Peak 0.81/ 6 min Avg 0.71	AC 230V	
Тор	15	Peak 0.64	DC 5V	
Side 1	15	Peak 0.44	AC 230V	~1%
Side 2	15	Peak 0.47	AC 230V	
Side 3	15	Peak 0.48	AC 230V	
Side 4	15	Peak 0.45	AC 230V	

<sup>\*</sup>measured from the center of the probe(s) to the edge of the device







# 4.2 Magnetic Field Results

Measurement direction	Separation distance* [cm]	Measured H- Field [A/m]	Power supply	Charge status
Тор	15	Peak 0.22	AC 230V	~90%
Тор	15	Peak 0.24	AC 230V	~50%
Тор	15	Peak 0.24 / 0.17 6 min Avg	AC 230V	
Тор	20	Peak 0.15 / 6 min Avg 0.13	AC 230V	
Тор	15	Peak 0.20	DC 5V	~1%
Side 1	15	Peak 0.17	AC 230V	
Side 2	15	Peak 0.15	AC 230V	
Side 3	15	Peak 0.15	AC 230V	
Side 4	15	Peak 0.14	AC 230V	

<sup>\*</sup>measured from the center of the probe(s) to the edge of the device