



## ADT (Shanghai) Corporation

2F, Building C, No.1618, Yishan Rd., 201103, Shanghai, China

Jun. 22, 2006

FCC ID: UB6TL040102

The following lists are the answers for the comments on Jun. 20, 2006, please kindly have a review on it:

1) FYI....The device does not appear to be subject to DoC requirements and therefore the DoC FCC logo should not be placed on the device. The manufacturer should correct this.

[RE: Please take a reference to the mail. There is dissent regarding this.](#)

2) The 731 form, item 7a on page 2 cites this is a composite device. Please explain as the device appears to be subject to a single authorization only. However it appears that maybe the receiver portion of this system may also need to be approved. In this case the information should be provided in 7b. Please review/correct as necessary.

[RE: Selection is made in 7b. Please see \(UB6TL040102\)731Form\\_0622.](#)

3) According to recent FCC interpretations, the confidentiality letter must be signed by either the contact given on the FCC site for the applicant, or someone listed in the technical or non-technical portions of the 731 form. Lin Xianke does not appear to be listed on the FCC site as the appropriate contact (FCC site shows Huang Zhangxi). Please help correct the cover letters as necessary.

[RE: "Huang Zhangxi" quitted the job after the registration of FRN. I informed the client to change the information of contact person on the website.](#)

4) The duty cycle shown simply appears to show 2 slightly different levels (likely due to FSK shifting between frequencies) and therefore is not an actual on-off pulsing during transmission and not considered correct. The duty cycle appears to actually have been  $20 \log 0.206 = -13.7 \text{ dB}$  and not as shown. Note that this will also affect compliance at the second harmonics given the data provided. Please review and correct as necessary.

[RE: Please see \(UB6TL040102\)TestRpt\\_0622.](#)

Thank you very much.