

June 17, 2008

Guangdong Alpha Animation and Culture Co.,Ltd. Auldey Ind Area, Wenguan Rd., (Central), Chenghai, Shantou, Guangdong, China.

Dear William Wang,

Enclosed you will find your file copy of a Part 15 Certification (FCC ID: VVAAD815008).

For your reference, review normally take 1 week. Approval will then be granted when no query is sorted.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding the enclosed material.

Sincerely,

Shawn Xing

Assistant Manager

Enclosure



Guangdong Alpha Animation and Culture Co., Ltd.

Application
For
Certification
(FCC ID: VVAAD815008)

Transmitter

Sample Description : Disney R/C Car Model : 815050 Additional Model : 815060

We hereby certify that the sample of the above item is considered to comply with the requirements of FCC Part 15, Subpart C for Intentional Radiator, mention 47 CFR [20-9-2007]

Lawsa Lu

GZ08030667-1 Louisa Lu June 17, 2008

- The test results reported in this test report shall refer only to the sample actually tested and shall not refer or be deemed to refer to bulk from which such a sample may be said to have been obtained.
- This report shall not be reproduced except in full without prior authorization from Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Guangzhou Branch
- For Terms And Conditions of the services, it can be provided upon request.
- The evaluation data of the report will be kept for 3 years from the date of issuance.

Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Guangzhou Branch

1~8th floor, Block E2, 11 Cai Pin Road, Science city, Guangzhou Economic Development Zone, Guangzhou, P. R. China Tel: (8620) 8213 9688 Fax: (8620) 3205 7538

LIST OF EXHIBITS

INTRODUCTION

EXHIBIT 1: General Description

EXHIBIT 2: System Test Configuration

EXHIBIT 3: Emission Results

EXHIBIT 4: Equipment Photographs

EXHIBIT 5: Product Labelling

EXHIBIT 6: Technical Specifications

EXHIBIT 7: Instruction Manual

EXHIBIT 8: Miscellaneous Information

MEASUREMENT/TECHNICAL REPORT

Guangdong Alpha Animation and Culture CO., Ltd. - MODEL: 815050 FCC ID: VVAAD815008

June 17, 2008

This report concerns (check one:)	Original Grant X	Class II C	Change	
Equipment Type: Low Power Transmitter	example: computer, μ	printer, mode	m, etc.)	
Deferred grant requested per 47 CFR 0.4	57(d)(1)(ii)? Yo	es	No _	Х
	If yes, defer un	ntil:	date	
Company Name agrees to notify the Com	mission by:			
		date		
date.		es		
Transition Rules Request per 15.37? If no, assumed Part 15, Subpart C for	Y	es	No	X
of the intended date of announcement of date. Transition Rules Request per 15.37? If no, assumed Part 15, Subpart C for Edition] provision. Report prepared by:	Y	es	No	X

Table of Contents

1.0	General Description	2
	1.1 Product Description	
	1.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants	
	1.3 Test Methodology	3
	1.4 Test Facility	3
2.0	System Test Configuration	
	2.1 Justification.	
	2.2 EUT Exercising Software	
	2.3 Special Accessories	
	2.4 Equipment Modification	
	2.5 Measurement Uncertainty	
	2.6 Support Equipment List and Descripition	6
		_
3.0	Emission Results	
	3.1 Field Strength Calculation	
	3.1 Field Strength Calculation (cont'd)	
	3.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph	
	3.3 Radiated Emission Data	12
4.0	Favinment Dhotographs	4 5
4.0	Equipment Photographs	15
5.0	Product Labelling	17
6.0	<u>Technical Specifications</u>	19
7.0	Instruction Manual	21
8.0	Miscellaneous Information	23
	8.1 Measured Bandwidth	
	8.2 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization	25
	8.3 Calculation of Average Factor	26
	8 4 Emission Test Procedures	27

List of attached file

Exhibit type	File Description	filename	
Test Report	Test Report	report.pdf	
Operation Description	Technical Description	descri.pdf	
Test Setup Photo	Radiated Emission	radiated photos.pdf	
Test Report	Bandwidth Plot	bw.pdf	
External Photo	External Photo	external photos.pdf	
Internal Photo	Internal Photo	internal photos.pdf	
Block Diagram	Block Diagram	block.pdf	
Schematics	Circuit Diagram	circuit.pdf	
ID Label/Location	Label Artwork and Location	label.pdf	
User Manual	User Manual	manual.pdf	
Test Report	Average Factor	af.pdf	
Cover Letter	Letter of Agency	agency.pdf	

EXHIBIT 1

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

1.0 **General Description**

1.1 Product Description

The equipment under test (EUT) is a transmitter for RC car operating at 49.860MHz which is operated by a crystal. The EUT is power by a 9V battery, The EUT has an ON/OFF switch on the rear surface, two control buttons on the left and right side of the front surface and 3 buttons named A, B, C at the center of the front surface. After switched ON the EUT, the two control buttons are used to control the RC Car moving forward, backward, turning left and right respectively. When press button A to start the racer, generating starting and neutral sounds. push forward/backward controlling pole for racer going forward, with front & back lights and accelerating sound on; pull pole for backward, with flashing lights and "dud" sound on. Change pole movements suddenly for braking sound. Push left/right controlling pole for left/right turnings and light flashes. Press the button A again to stop this function. When press button B for self demo function and 3 types of dynamic music, Move Forward/backward or left/right controlling pole to stop self demo function. When press button C for 2 types of music while driving.

The Model 815060 is the same as the tested Model 815050 in hardware and software aspect. The only differences are the appearance, trade name and model no. for trading purpose.

The brief circuit description is saved with file name: descri.pdf

1.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants

This is a single application for certification of a transmitter. The receiver for this transmitter is authorized by Certification procedure with FCC ID: VVAAD815003.

1.3 Test Methodology

Radiated emission measurements were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.4 (2003). Radiated Emission measurement was performed in a Semi-chamber. Preliminary scans were performed in the Semi-chamber only to determine worst case modes. For each scan, the procedure for maximizing emissions in Appendices D and E were followed. All Radiated tests were performed at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters, unless stated otherwise in the "Justification Section" of this Application.

1.4 Test Facility

The Semi-chamber facility used to collect the radiated data is **SHENZHEN ACADEMY OF METROLOGY AND QUALITY INSPECTION** and located at Bldg. of Metrology & Quality Inspection, Longzhu Road, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China. This test facility and site measurement data have been fully placed on file with the FCC.

EXHIBIT 2 SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION

2.0 **System Test Configuration**

2.1 Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it), and in the confines as outlined in ANSI C63.4 (2003).

The EUT was powered by a new 9V battery during test.

For maximizing emissions, the EUT was rotated through 360°, the antenna height was varied from 1 meter to 4 meters above the ground plane, and the antenna polarization was changed. This step by step procedure for maximizing emissions led to the data reported in Exhibit 3.0.

The unit was operated standalone and placed in the centre of the turntable.

The equipment under test (EUT) was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). The EUT was placed on a turn table and rotate through 360°, which enabled the engineer to maximize emissions through its placement in the three orthogonal axes.

For simplicity of testing, the unit was wired to transmit continuously.

2.2 EUT Exercising Software

There was no special software to exercise the device.

2.3 Special Accessories

There are no special accessories necessary for compliance of this product.

2.4 Equipment Modification

Any modifications installed previous to testing by Guangdong Alpha Animation and Culture CO., Ltd. will be incorporated in each production model sold/leased in the United States.

No Modifications were installed by Intertek Testing Services.

2.5 Measurement Uncertainty

When determining the test conclusion, the measurement uncertainty of test has been considered.

2.6 Support Equipment List and Description

This product was tested in a standalone configuration.

All the items listed under section 2.0 of this report are

Confirmed by:

Shawn Xing Assistant Manager Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Guangzhou Branch Agent for Guangdong Alpha Animation and Culture Co., Ltd.

Signature

June 17, 2008

Date

EXHIBIT 3

EMISSION RESULTS

3.0 **Emission Results**

Data is included worst case configuration (the configuration which resulted in the highest emission levels). A sample calculation, configuration photographs and data tables of the emissions are included.

3.1 Field Strength Calculation

The field strength is calculated by adding the reading on the Spectrum Analyzer to the factors associated with preamplifiers (if any), antennas, cables, pulse desensitization and average factors (when specified limit is in average and measurements are made with peak detectors). A sample calculation is included below.

where $FS = Field Strength in dB\mu V/m$

RA = Receiver Amplitude (including preamplifier) in $dB\mu V$

CF = Cable Attenuation Factor in dB

AF = Antenna Factor in dB AG = Amplifier Gain in dB

PD = Pulse Desensitization in dB

AV = Average Factor in -dB

In the radiated emission table which follows, the reading shown on the data table may reflect the preamplifier gain. An example of the calculations, where the reading does not reflect the preamplifier gain, follows:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF - AG + PD + AV$$

3.1 Field Strength Calculation (cont'd)

Example

Assume a receiver reading of 62.0 dB μ V is obtained. The antenna factor of 7.4 dB and cable factor of 1.6 dB is added. The amplifier gain of 29 dB is subtracted. The pulse desensitization factor of the spectrum analyzer was 0 dB, and the resultant average factor was -10 dB. The net field strength for comparison to the appropriate emission limit is 32 dB μ V/m. This value in dB μ V/m was converted to its corresponding level in μ V/m.

 $RA = 62.0 \ dB\mu V$ $AF = 7.4 \ dB$ $CF = 1.6 \ dB$ $AG = 29.0 \ dB$ $PD = 0 \ dB$

AV = -10 dB

 $FS = 62 + 7.4 + 1.6 - 29 + 0 + (-10) = 32 dB\mu V/m$

Level in μ V/m = Common Antilogarithm [(32 dB μ V/m)/20] = 39.8 μ V/m

3.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph

Worst Case Radiated Emission

99.720 MHz

For electronic filing, the worst case radiated emission configuration photograph is saved with filename: radiated photos.pdf

3.3 Radiated Emission Data

The data on the following page lists the significant emission frequencies, the limit and the margin of compliance. Numbers with a minus sign are below the limit.

Judgement: Passed by 5.9 dB

TEST PERSONNEL:			
Lanisa Lu			
Signature			
Louisa Lu, Engineer Typed/Printed Name			
<u>June 17, 2008</u> Date			

Applicant: Guangdong Alpha Animation and Culture Co., Ltd. Date of Test: June 17, 2008

Model: 815050 Mode: Transmit Sample: 1/1

Table 1

Radiated Emissions

Polarization	Frequency	Reading	Pre-	Antenna	Average	Net	Limit	Margin
	(MHz)	(dBµV)	Amp	Factor	Factor	at 3m	at 3m	(dB)
			Gain	(dB)	(-dB)	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	
			(dB)					
Horizontal	35.820	25.4	20.0	15.8		21.2	40.0	-18.8
Vertical	49.860	88.3	20.0	9.2	4.7	72.8	80.0	-7.2
Vertical	71.530	33.7	20.0	7.2	-	20.9	40.0	-19.1
Horizontal	75.590	31.6	20.0	7.1		18.7	40.0	-21.3
Vertical	99.720	48.3	20.0	9.3	-	37.6	43.5	-5.9
Vertical	149.580	35.1	20.0	9.1		24.2	43.5	-19.3
Horizontal	49.860	71.4	20.0	9.2	4.7	55.9	80.0	-24.1

Notes: 1. Peak Detector Data unless otherwise stated.

- 2. All measurements were made at 3 meter. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3 meter distance were measured at 0.3 meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3 meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3 meter.
- 3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.
- 4. Horn antenna is used for the emissions over 1000MHz.

*Emission within the restricted band meets the requirement of part 15.205. The corresponding limit as per 15.209 is based on Quasi peak detector data for frequencies below 1000 MHz and peak detector data with average factor for frequencies over 1000 MHz.

Test Engineer: Louisa Lu

EXHIBIT 4

EQUIPMENT PHOTOGRAPHS

4.0 **Equipment Photographs**

For electronic filing, the photographs are saved with filename: external photos.pdf and internal photos.pdf

EXHIBIT 5

PRODUCT LABELLING

5.0 **Product Labelling**

For electronic filing, the FCC ID label artwork and the label location are saved with filename: label.pdf

EXHIBIT 6 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

6.0 **Technical Specifications**

For electronic filing, the block diagram and schematics are saved with filename: block.pdf and circuit.pdf

EXHIBIT 7 INSTRUCTION MANUAL

7.0 **Instruction Manual**

For electronic filing, a preliminary copy of the Instruction Manual is saved with filename: manual.pdf

This manual will be provided to the end-user with each unit sold/leased in the United States.

EXHIBIT 8 MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION

8.0 <u>Miscellaneous Information</u>

This miscellaneous information includes details of the measured bandwidth, the test procedure and calculation of factors such as pulse desensitization and averaging factor.

8.1 **Measured Bandwidth**

The plot saved in bw.pdf which shows the fundamental emission is confined in the specified band. The field strength of any emission appearing between the band edges and up to 10kHz above and below the band edges (49.81 and 49.91 MHz) is at least 26 dB below the carrier level. And at 49.81 & 49.91 MHz, there are at least -43.0 dB below the carrier level. It meets requirement of Section 15.235(b).

Bandedge compliance is determined by apply marker-delta method, i.e. Resultant peak field strength = Fundamental peak emission – delta from the plot = $77.5 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m} - 43.0 \text{ dB}$ = $34.5 \text{dB}\mu\text{V/m}$

The resultant peak field strength meets the general radiated emission limit in Section 15.209, which does not exceed 40 dBµV/m at 3m.

Figure 8.1 Bandwidth

8.2 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization

The determination of pulse desensitivity was made in accordance with Hewlett Packard Application Note 150-2, *Spectrum Analysis ... Pulsed RF*.

The effective period (T_{eff}) was approximately 460 μs for a digital "1" bit, as shown in the plots of Exhibit 8.3. With a resolution bandwidth (3 dB) of 100 kHz, the pulse desensitivity factor was 0 dB.

8.3 Calculation of Average Factor

Averaging factor in $dB = 20 \log (duty \text{ cycle})$

The specification for output field strengths in accordance with the FCC rules specifies measurements with an average detector. During testing, a spectrum analyzer incorporating a peak detector was used. Therefore, a reduction factor can be applied to the resultant peak signal level and compared to the limit for measurement instrumentation incorporating an average detector.

The time period over which the duty cycle is measured is 100 milliseconds, or the repetition cycle, whichever is a shorter time frame. The worst case (highest percentage on) duty cycle is used for the calculation. The duty cycle is measured by placing the spectrum analyzer in zero scan (receiver mode) and linear mode at maximum bandwidth (3 MHz at 3 dB down) and viewing the resulting time domain signal output from the analyzer on a Tektronix oscilloscope. The oscilloscope is used because of its superior time base and triggering facilities.

A plot of the worst-case duty cycle as detected in this manner are saved with filename: af.pdf

The duty cycle is simply the on-time divided by the period:

```
The duration of one cycle = 18.16ms

Effective period of the cycle = 4 \times 1.48ms +10 \times 460µs

= 10.52ms
```

DC = 10.52ms / 18.16ms = 0.57929 or 57.929%

Therefore, the averaging factor is found by 20 log_{10} 0.57929 = -4.7 dB

8.4 Emissions Test Procedures

The following is a description of the test procedure used by Intertek Testing Services in the measurements of transmitters operating under Part 15, Subpart C rules.

The test set-up and procedures described below are designed to meet the requirements of ANSI C63.4 - 2003.

The transmitting equipment under test (EUT) is placed on a wooden turntable which is four feet in diameter and approximately one meter in height above the ground plane. During the radiated emissions test, the turntable is rotated and any cables leaving the EUT are manipulated to find the configuration resulting in maximum emissions. The EUT is adjusted through all three orthogonal axes to obtain maximum emission levels. The antenna height and polarization are varied during the testing to search for maximum signal levels.

Detector function for radiated emissions is in peak mode. Average readings, when required, are taken by measuring the duty cycle of the equipment under test and subtracting the corresponding amount in dB from the measured peak readings. A detailed description for the calculation of the average factor can be found in Exhibit 8.3.

The frequency range scanned is from the lowest radio frequency signal generated in the device which is greater than 9 kHz to the tenth harmonic of the highest fundamental frequency or 40 GHz, whichever is lower. For line conducted emissions, the range scanned is 150 kHz to 30 MHz.

8.4 Emissions Test Procedures (cont'd)

The EUT is warmed up for 15 minutes prior to the test.

Conducted measurements are made as described in ANSI C63.4 - 2003.