

## SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

## Hisense International Co., Ltd.

No. 218 Qianwangang Road, Economy & Technology Dev

FCC ID: W9HPADP0004

Report Type: Product Type: Original Report MID Wilson then **Test Engineer:** Wilson Chen **Report Number:** RSZ140609006-20 **Report Date:** 2014-07-13 BeilHu Bell Hu **Reviewed By:** SAR Engineer Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, ShiHua Road, FuTian Free Trade Zone Prepared By: Shenzhen, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-755-33320018 Fax: +86-755-33320008 www.baclcorp.com.cn

**Note**: This test report is prepared for the customer shown above and for the device described herein. It may not be duplicated or used in part without prior written consent from Laboratories Bay Area Compliance Corp.

Attestation of Test Results					
	Company Name	Hisense International Co., Ltd.			
	EUT Description	MID			
EUT Information	FCC ID	W9HPADP0004			
	Model Number	E7244, E7244XX (XX=A-Z)			
	Test Date	2014-07-11			
Frequency(MHz)	Ma	ax. SAR Level(s) Reported	Limit(W/Kg)		
802.11b	0	0.113 W/kg 1g Body SAR	1.6		
Applicable Standards	Requency Electromas  ANSI / IEEE C95.3 : IEEE Recommended Frequency Electrom SuchFields,100 kHz—  KDB procedures  KDB 447498 D01 : Equip KDB 865664 D018  KDB 248227 SAR I KDB 648474 D04 S  IEEE1528:2003  IEEE Recommended	Safety Levels with Respect to Human Expensive Fileds, 3 kHz to 300 GHz: 2002  I Practice for Measurements and Computation and Fileds With Respect to Human—300 GHz.  Mobile and Portable Devices RF Exposure of Measurement Policies.  AR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r01  Measurement Procedures for 802.11a/b/g transmoderation Considerations for Wireless Haman Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial—AR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications for Wireless Communicatio	ations of Radio n Exposure to  Procedures and mitters ndsets  Average Specific		

**Note:** This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Standards and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2003 and RF exposure KDB procedures.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

SAR Evaluation Report 2 of 57

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	4
EUT DESCRIPTION	5
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION	5
REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES	6
SAR LIMITS	7
FACILITIES	8
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM	9
EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION	16
EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION	16
SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION	17
LIQUID VERIFICATION	
SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA	18
EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY	
TEST POSITIONS FOR DEVICE OPERATING NEXT TO A PERSON'S EAR	
CHEEK/TOUCH POSITION	22
EAR/TILT POSITION TEST POSITIONS FOR BODY-WORN AND OTHER CONFIGURATIONS	
SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURE	
TEST METHODOLOGY	24
CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT	25
PROVISION APPLICABLE	
TEST PROCEDURE	
ANTENNA LOCATION DESCRIPTION	
SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	
SAR TEST DATA	27
EUT SCAN RESULTS (SUMMARY OF HIGHEST SAR VALUES)	28
APPENDIX A – MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	29
APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	30
APPENDIX C – DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	40
APPENDIX D – EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS	50
Liquid depth ≥ 15cm.	
BODY – BACK SETUP PHOTO (0.0MM) BODY-BOTTOM SETUP PHOTO (0.0MM)	
APPENDIX E – EUT PHOTOS	
EUT – FRONT VIEW	
EUT – BACK VIEW	52
EUT – LEFT SIDE VIEW EUT – RIGHT SIDE VIEW	
EUT – Top View	
EUT – BOTTOM VIEW	
EUT – UNCOVERED VIEW	
APPENDIX F – INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	
PRODUCT SIMILARITY DECLARATION LETTER	57

## **DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY**

Revision Number	Report Number	Description of Revision	Date of Revision	
0	RSZ140609006-20	Original Report	2014-07-13	

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

SAR Evaluation Report 4 of 57

### **EUT DESCRIPTION**

This report has been prepared on Hisense International Co., Ltd. and their product, FCC ID: W9H PADP0004, Mode: E7244, E7244XX (XX=A-Z) or the EUT (Equipment Under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report. The EUT is a MID.

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

\*Note: This series products model: E7244 and E7244XX (XX=A-Z) we select model: E7244 to test, there is no electrical change has been made to the equipment, please refer to the product similarity letter.

### **Technical Specification**

Product Type	Portable	
Exposure Category:	Population / Uncontrolled	
Antenna Type(s):	Internal Antenna	
Body-Worn Accessories:	Headset	
Face-Head Accessories:	None	
Operating Mode:	Wifi	
Frequency Band:	Wifi(802.11b/g/n): 2412-2462 MHz;	
Conducted RF Power:	Wifi:14.88dBm	
Dimensions (L*W*H):	190mm (L) x 105mm (W) x 8 mm (H)	
Power Source:	3.7VDC Rechargeable Battery	
Normal Operation:	Body-Worn	

SAR Evaluation Report 5 of 57

### REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES

#### FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

#### CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

SAR Evaluation Report 6 of 57

### **SAR Limits**

### FCC Limit (1g Tissue)

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

	SAR (W/kg)			
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60	8.0		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

### CE Limit (10g Tissue)

	SAR (V	W/kg)		
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue)	2.0	10		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

General Population/Uncontrolled environments Spatial Peak limit 1.6W/kg (FCC) & 2 W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

SAR Evaluation Report 7 of 57

### **FACILITIES**

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect data is located at 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, Shi Hua Road, Fu Tian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P.R. of China.

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

SAR Evaluation Report 8 of 57

#### **DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM**

These measurements were performed with ALSAS 10 Universal Integrated SAR Measurement system from APREL Laboratories.

### **ALSAS-10U System Description**

ALSAS-10-U is fully compliant with the technical and scientific requirements of IEEE 1528, IEC 62209, CENELEC, ARIB, ACA, and the Federal Communications Commission. The system comprises of a six axes articulated robot which utilizes a dedicated controller. ALSAS-10U uses the latest methodologies. And FDTD modeling to provide a platform which is repeatable with minimum uncertainty.

#### **Applications**

Predefined measurement procedures compliant with the guidelines of CENELEC, IEEE, IEC, FCC, etc are utilized during the assessment for the device. Automatic detection for all SAR maxima are embedded within the core architecture for the system, ensuring that peak locations used for centering the zoom scan are within a 1mm resolution and a 0.05mm repeatable position. System operation range currently available up-to 6 GHz in simulated tissue.

#### **Area Scans**

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 10mm2 step integral, with 1mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.



Where the system identifies multiple SAR peaks (which are within 25% of peak value) the system will provide the user with the option of assessing each peak location individually for zoom scan averaging.

### **Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)**

The averaging zoom scan volume utilized in the ALSAS-10U software is in the shape of a cube and the side dimension of a 1 g or 10 g mass is dependent on the density of the liquid representing the simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m3 is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1 g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10 g cube 21,5mm.

When the cube intersects with the surface of the phantom, it is oriented so that 3 vertices touch the surface of the shell or the center of a face is tangent to the surface. The face of the cube closest to the surface is modified in order to conform to the tangent surface.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications (including FCC) utilize a physical step of 5x5x8 (8mmx8mmx5mm) providing a volume of 32mm in the X & Y axis, and 35mm in the Z axis.

SAR Evaluation Report 9 of 57

### **ALSAS-10U Interpolation and Extrapolation Uncertainty**


The overall uncertainty for the methodology and algorithms the used during the SAR calculation was evaluated using the data from IEEE 1528 based on the example f3 algorithm:

$$f_3(x, y, z) = A \frac{a^2}{\frac{a^2}{4} + x'^2 + y'^2} \cdot \left( e^{-\frac{2z}{a}} + \frac{a^2}{2(a+2z)^2} \right)$$

### **Isotropic E-Field Probe**

The isotropic E-Field probe has been fully calibrated and assessed for isotropicity, and boundary effect within a controlled environment. Depending on the frequency for which the probe is calibrated the method utilized for calibration will change.

The E-Field probe utilizes a triangular sensor arrangement as detailed in the diagram below:



SAR is assessed with a calibrated probe which moves at a default height of 5mm from the center of the diode, which is mounted to the sensor, to the phantom surface (in the Z Axis). The 5mm offset height has been selected so as to minimize any resultant boundary effect due to the probe being in close proximity to the phantom surface.

The following algorithm is an example of the function used by the system for linearization of the output from the probe when measuring complex modulation schemes.

$$V_{i} = U_{i} + U_{i}^{2} \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_{i}}$$

SAR Evaluation Report 10 of 57

### **Isotropic E-Field Probe Specification**

Calibration Method	Frequency Dependent Below 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in a TEM Cell Above 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in waveguide
Sensitivity	$0.70 \ \mu V/(V/m)^2$ to $0.85 \ \mu V/(V/m)^2$
Dynamic Range	0.0005 W/kg to 100 W/kg
Isotropic Response	Better than 0.1 dB
Diode Compression Point (DCP)	Calibration for Specific Frequency
Probe Tip Diameter	< 2.9 mm
Sensor Offset	1.56 (+/- 0.02 mm)
Probe Length	289 mm
Video Bandwidth	@ 500 Hz: 1 dB @ 1.02 kHz: 3 dB
Boundary Effect	Less than 2.1% for distance greater than 0.58 mm
Spatial Resolution	The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe.  The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

### **Boundary Detection Unit and Probe Mounting Device**

ALSAS-10U incorporates a boundary detection unit with a sensitivity of 0.05mm for detecting all types of surfaces. The robust design allows for detection during probe tilt (probe normalize) exercises, and utilizes a second stage emergency stop. The signal electronics are fed directly into the robot controller for high accuracy surface detection in lateral and axial detection modes (X, Y, & Z).

The probe is mounted directly onto the Boundary Detection unit for accurate tooling and displacement calculations controlled by the robot kinematics. The probe is connect to an isolated probe interconnect where the output stage of the probe is fed directly into the amplifier stage of the Daq-Paq.

### **Daq-Paq (Analog to Digital Electronics)**

ALSAS-10U incorporates a fully calibrated Daq-Paq (analog to digital conversion system) which has a 4 channel input stage, sent via a 2 stage auto-set amplifier module. The input signal is amplified accordingly so as to offer a dynamic range from  $5\mu V$  to 800mV. Integration of the fields measured is carried out at board level utilizing a Co-Processor which then sends the measured fields down into the main computational module in digitized form via an RS232 communications port. Probe linearity and duty cycle compensation is carried out within the main Daq-Paq module.

ADC	12 Bit	
Amplifier Range	20 mV to 200 mV and 150 mV to 800 mV	
Field Integration	Local Co-Processor utilizing proprietary integration algorithms	
Number of Input Channels	4 in total 3 dedicated and 1 spare	
Communication	Packet data via RS232	

SAR Evaluation Report 11 of 57

#### **Axis Articulated Robot**

ALSAS-10U utilizes a six axis articulated robot, which is controlled using a Pentium based real-time movement controller. The movement kinematics engine utilizes proprietary (Thermo CRS) interpolation and extrapolation algorithms, which allow full freedom of movement for each of the six joints within the working envelope. Utilization of joint 6 allows for full probe rotation with a tolerance better than 0.05mm around the central axis.



Robot/Controller Manufacturer	Thermo CRS
Number of Axis Six independently controlled axis	
Positioning Repeatability	0.05 mm
Controller Type	Single phase Pentium based C500C
Robot Reach	710 mm
Communication	RS232 and LAN compatible

#### **ALSAS Universal Workstation**

ALSAS Universal workstation allows for repeatability and fast adaptability. It allows users to do calibration, testing and measurements using different types of phantoms with one set up, which significantly speeds up the measurement process.

#### **Universal Device Positioner**

The universal device positioner allows complete freedom of movement of the EUT. Developed to hold a EUT in a free-space scenario any additional loading attributable to the material used in the construction of the positioner has been eliminated. Repeatability has been enhanced through the linear scales which form the design used to indicate positioning for any given test scenario in all major axes. A 15° tilt indicator is included for the of aid cheek to tilt movements for head SAR analysis. Overall uncertainty for measurements have been reduced due to the design of the Universal device positioner, which allows positioning of a device in as near to a free-space scenario as possible, and by providing the means for complete repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 12 of 57




Report No: RSZ140609006-20

### **Phantom Types**

The ALSAS-10U allows the integration of multiple phantom types. SAM Phantoms fully compliant with IEEE 1528, Universal Phantom, and Universal Flat.

### **APREL SAM Phantoms**

The SAM phantoms developed using the IEEE SAM CAD file. They are fully compliant with the requirements for both IEEE 1528 and FCC Supplement C. Both the left and right SAM phantoms are interchangeable, transparent and include the IEEE 1528 grid with visible NF and MB lines.




SAR Evaluation Report 13 of 57

#### **APREL Laboratories Universal Phantom**

The Universal Phantom is used on the ALSAS-10U as a system validation phantom. The Universal Phantom has been fully validated both experimentally from 800MHz to 6GHz and numerically using XFDTD numerical software.

The shell thickness is 2mm overall, with a 4mm spacer located at the NF/MB intersection providing an overall thickness of 6mm in line with the requirements of IEEE-1528.

The design allows for fast and accurate measurements, of handsets, by allowing the conservative SAR to be evaluated at on frequency for both left and right head experiments in one measurement.



SAR Evaluation Report 14 of 57

### **Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms**

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.

Ingredients	Frequency (MHz)									
(% by weight)	45	0	83	35	91	15	19	00	24	50
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2
Salt (Nacl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triton x-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5
Conductivity (s/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78

### Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body

Frequency	Head 7	Γissue	Body Tissue		
(MHz)	£r	O (S/m)	Er	O'(S/m)	
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80	
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92	
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94	
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97	
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05	
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06	
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30	
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40	
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52	
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95	
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73	
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00	

SAR Evaluation Report 15 of 57

## **EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION**


## **Equipments List & Calibration Information**

Equipment	Model	Calibration Date	S/N
CRS F3 robot	ALS-F3	N/A	RAF0805352
CRS F3 Software	ALS-F3-SW	N/A	N/A
CRS C500C controller	ALS-C500	N/A	RCF0805379
Probe mounting device & Boundary Detection Sensor System	ALS-PMDPS-3	N/A	120-00270
Universal Work Station	ALS-UWS	N/A	100-00157
Data Acquisition Package	ALS-DAQ-PAQ-3	2013-10-08	110-00212
Miniature E-Field Probe	ALS-E-020	2013-10-08	500-00283
Dipole,2450MHz	ALS-D-2450-S-2	2011-08-25	220-00758
Dipole Spacer	ALS-DS-U	N/A	250-00907
Device holder/Positioner	ALS-H-E-SET-2	N/A	170-00510
Left ear SAM phantom	ALS-P-SAM-L	N/A	130-00311
Right ear SAM phantom	ALS-P-SAM-R	N/A	140-00359
UniPhantom	ALS-P-UP-1	N/A	150-00413
Simulated Tissue 2450 MHz Body	ALS-TS-2450-B	Each Time	290-01109
Directional couple	DC6180A	2013-11-12	0325849
Attenuator	3dB	2014-05-08	5402
Network analyzer	8752C	2014-06-13	3410A02356
Dielectric probe kit	HP85070B	2014-06-13	N/A
Power Amplifier	5S1G4	N/A	71377
Synthesized Sweeper	HP 8341B	2014-05-08	2624A00116
EMI Test Receiver	ESCI	2013-11-12	101120

SAR Evaluation Report 16 of 57

### SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

### **Liquid Verification**



Report No: RSZ140609006-20

Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

### **Liquid Verification Results**

Frequency Liquid I		Liquid	Liquid Parameter		Target Value		Delta (%)	
(MHz)	Type	$\epsilon_{\rm r}$	O' (S/m)	$\epsilon_{\rm r}$	O'(S/m)	$\Delta \epsilon_{ m r}$	△O' (S/m)	(%)
2412	Body	52.86	1.95	52.70	1.95	0.304	0.000	±5
2437	Body	52.83	1.92	52.70	1.95	0.247	-1.538	±5
2462	Body	52.89	1.95	52.70	1.95	0.361	0.000	±5

<sup>\*</sup>Liquid Verification was performed on 2014-07-11

	2450 MHz Bod	у	2450 MHz Body			
Frequency (MHz)	e'	e''	Frequency (MHz)	e'	e''	
2412	52.8576	14.5342	2438	52.8339	14.0999	
2414	52.8039	14.7006	2440	52.8332	14.3072	
2416	52.8106	14.1841	2442	52.8758	14.2649	
2418	52.8904	14.4678	2444	52.8267	14.8296	
2420	52.8760	14.5364	2446	52.8523	14.1562	
2422	52.8795	14.2968	2448	52.8766	14.4523	
2424	52.8901	14.3551	2450	52.8236	14.6632	
2426	52.8022	14.8578	2452	52.8189	14.8889	
2428	52.8154	14.0936	2454	52.8389	14.0585	
2430	52.8369	14.3204	2456	52.8815	14.6609	
2432	52.8750	15.0129	2458	52.8427	14.8230	
2434	52.8070	14.1842	2460	52.7986	14.4830	
2436	52.8333	14.1578	2462	52.8913	14.2511	


SAR Evaluation Report 17 of 57

### **System Accuracy Verification**

Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of  $\pm 10\%$ . The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

### **System Verification Setup Block Diagram**



### Probe and dipole antenna List and Detail

Manufa cturer	Description	Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
APREL	Probe	ALS-E-020	500-00283	2013-10-08	2014-10-07
APREL	Dipole antenna(2450MHz)	ALS-D-2450-S-2	220-00758	2011-08-25	2014-08-24

### **System Accuracy Check Results**

Date	Frequency Band	Liquid Type		ıred SAR V/Kg)	Target Value (W/Kg)	Delta (%)	Tolerance (%)
2014-07-11	2450	Body	1g	49.582	52.561	-5.668	±10

<sup>\*</sup>All SAR values are normalized to 1 Watt forward power.

SAR Evaluation Report 18 of 57

### SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

System Performance Check 2450 MHz Body Liquid

Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: ALS-D-2450-S-2; S/N: 220-00758

Product Data

Device Name : Dipole 2450MHz

Serial No. : 220-00758 Type : Dipole

Model : ALS-D-2450-S-2 Frequency : 2450 MHz

Max. Transmit Pwr
Drift Time : 3 min(s)
Power Drift-Start : 50.102 W/kg
Power Drift-Finish
Power Drift (%) : -2.074

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Tissue Data

: BODY Type Serial No. : 290-01109 Frequency : 2450 MHz Last Calib. Date : 11-Jul-2014 : 20.00 °C Temperature Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C Humidity : 50.00 RH% : 52.82 F/m Epsilon Sigma : 2.00 S/m Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. M

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle
Serial No. : 500-00283
Last Calib. Date : 08-Oct-2013
Frequency : 2450 MHz

Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 4.3

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)^2$ 

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

Measurement Data


Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 20.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 20.00 °C

Area Scan : 7x7x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 19 of 57

1 gram SAR value : 49.582 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 21.034 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 51.239 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 94.113 W/kg



2450 MHz System Body Validation


SAR Evaluation Report 20 of 57


#### EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY

#### **Test Positions for Device Operating Next to a Person's Ear**

This category includes most wireless handsets with fixed, retractable or internal antennas located toward the top half of the device, with or without a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover. The handset should have its earpiece located within the upper ¼ of the device, either along the centerline or off-centered, as perceived by its users. This type of handset should be positioned in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point". The "test device reference point" should be located at the same level as the center of the earpiece region. The "vertical centerline" should bisect the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges. A "ear reference point" is located on the outer surface of the head phantom on each ear spacer. It is located 1.5 cm above the center of the ear canal entrance in the "phantom reference plane" defined by the three lines joining the center of each "ear reference point" (left and right) and the tip of the mouth.

A handset should be initially positioned with the earpiece region pressed against the ear spacer of a head phantom. For the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom, the device should be positioned parallel to the "N-F" line defined along the base of the ear spacer that contains the "ear reference point". For interim head phantoms, the device should be positioned parallel to the cheek for maximum RF energy coupling. The "test device reference point" is aligned to the "ear reference point" on the head phantom and the "vertical centerline" is aligned to the "phantom reference plane". This is called the "initial ear position". While maintaining these three alignments, the body of the handset is gradually adjusted to each of the following positions for evaluating SAR:





SAR Evaluation Report 21 of 57

#### **Cheek/Touch Position**

The device is brought toward the mouth of the head phantom by pivoting against the "ear reference point" or along the "N-F" line for the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom.

This test position is established:


• When any point on the display, keypad or mouthpiece portions of the handset is in contact with the phantom.

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

o (or) When any portion of a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover opened to its intended self-adjusting normal use position is in contact with the cheek or mouth of the phantom.

For existing head phantoms – when the handset loses contact with the phantom at the pivoting point, rotation should continue until the device touches the cheek of the phantom or breaks its last contact from the ear spacer.

#### **Cheek / Touch Position**



### **Ear/Tilt Position**

With the handset aligned in the "Cheek/Touch Position":

- 1) If the earpiece of the handset is not in full contact with the phantom's ear spacer (in the "Cheek/Touch position") and the peak SAR location for the "Cheek/Touch" position is located at the ear spacer region or corresponds to the earpiece region of the handset, the device should be returned to the "initial ear position" by rotating it away from the mouth until the earpiece is in full contact with the ear spacer.
- 2) (otherwise) The handset should be moved (translated) away from the cheek perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" (note: one of these ear reference points may not physically exist on a split head model) for approximate 2-3 cm. While it is in this position, the device handset is tilted away from the mouth with respect to the "test device reference point" until the inside angle between the vertical centerline on the front surface of the phone and the horizontal line passing through the ear reference point isby 15 80°. After the tilt, it is then moved (translated) back toward the head perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" until the device touches the phantom or the ear spacer. If the antenna touches the head first, the positioning process should be repeated with a tilt angle less than 15° so that the device and its antenna would touch the phantom simultaneously. This test position may require a device holder or positioner to achieve the translation and tilting with acceptable positioning repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 22 of 57

If a device is also designed to transmit with its keypad cover closed for operating in the head position, such positions should also be considered in the SAR evaluation. The device should be tested on the left and right side of the head phantom in the "Cheek/Touch" and "Ear/Tilt" positions. When applicable, each configuration should be tested with the antenna in its fully extended and fully retracted positions. These test configurations should be tested at the high, middle and low frequency channels of each operating mode; for example, AMPS, CDMA, and TDMA. If the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, Cheek/Touch, Tile/Ear, extended and retracted) is at least 2.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s). If the transmission band of the test device is less than 10 MHz, testing at the high and low frequency channels is optional.

#### Ear /Tilt 15° Position



### Test positions for body-worn and other configurations

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.




Figure 5 - Test positions for body-worn devices

SAR Evaluation Report 23 of 57

#### **SAR Evaluation Procedure**

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 35 mm x 35 mm x 35 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
  - 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
  - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

### **Test methodology**

KDB 447498 D01 KDB 865664 D01 KDB 248227 KDB 648474

SAR Evaluation Report 24 of 57

### CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT


### **Provision Applicable**

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

### **Test Procedure**

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the spectrum analyzer through sufficient attenuation.

Report No: RSZ140609006-20



Wifi

**Maximum Output Power among production units** 

Max Target Power for Production Unit (dBm)						
Mode/Dand(MHz)	Channel					
Mode/Band(MHz)	Low	Middle	High			
Wifi (802.11b)	15.00	15.00	15.00			
Wifi (802.11g)	15.00	15.00	15.00			
Wifi (802.11n20)	15.00	15.00	15.00			
Wifi (802.11n40)	15.00	15.00	15.00			

#### **Test Results:**

#### Wifi

D I	Frequency	Conducted Output Power			
Band	(MHz)	(dBm)	(mw)		
	2412	14.50	28.184		
802.11b	2437	14.82	30.339		
	2462	14.86	30.620		
802.11g	2412	14.57	28.642		
	2437	14.75	29.854		
	2462	14.84	30.479		
	2412	14.43	27.733		
802.11n-HT20	2437	14.70	29.512		
	2462	14.88	30.761		
802.11n-HT40	2422	14.42	27.669		
	2437	14.68	29.376		
	2452	14.76	29.923		

#### Note:


1. The output power was tested under data rate 1Mbps for 802.11b, 6Mbps for 802.11a/g, 6.5Mbps for 802.11n-HT20, 13.5Mbps for 802.11n-HT40.

SAR Evaluation Report 25 of 57


## ANTENNA LOCATION DESCRIPTION

### WiFi Antenna Location:

### Right edge



Back edge



Front edge

EUT-Edge	Separation Distances (mm)	Stand-Alone SAR
Front	3.5	×
Back	7	√
Left	35	×
Right	47	×
Тор	179	×
Bottom	3	√

SAR Evaluation Report 26 of 57

### SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

#### **SAR Test Data**

#### **Environmental Conditions**

Temperature:	20-21 °C
Relative Humidity:	50-52%
ATM Pressure:	1002 mbar

<sup>\*</sup> Testing was performed by Wilson Chen on 2014-07-11

#### **Test Result:**

### WiFi 802.11b (2412-2462MHz)

	Frequency	(MHz)	Power	Meas.	Max. Rated	1 g SAR Value (W/Kg)			
EUT	CI I		Drift	Avg. Power (dBm)	Avg. Power (dBm)				
Position	Channel	MHz	(%)			Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	
	1	2412	/	/	/	/	/		
Body-worn-Back (0.0mm)	6	2437	/	/	/	/	/		
(0.011111)	11	2462	-1.717	14.86	15.00	1.033	0.109	0.113	
Body-worn-Bottom (0.0mm)	1	2412	/	/	/	/	/		
	6	2437	/	/	/	/	/		
	11	2462	-0.846	14.86	15.00	1.033	0.075	0.077	

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

#### Note:

- When the 1-g SAR is ≤ 0.8W/Kg, testing for other channel is optional.
   When SAR or MPE is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production units, the results must be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit according to the power applied to the individual channels tested to determine compliance.
- 3. KDB248227-SAR is not required for 802.11g channels when the maximum average output power is less than 1/4 dB higher than that measured on the corresponding 802.11b channels.

27 of 57 SAR Evaluation Report

### **EUT SCAN RESULTS (Summary of Highest SAR Values)**

#### Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

### 802.11b; Body-Worn-Back (2462MHz, Channel 11)

Measurement Data

Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 8x11x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.075 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.074 W/kg Power Drift (%) : -1.717

Tissue Data

 Type
 : Body

 Frequency
 : 2462 MHz

 Epsilon
 : 52.89 F/m

 Sigma
 : 1.95 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Serial No. : 500-00283 Frequency Band : 2450 MHz


Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 4.3

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)2$ 

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.109 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.054 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.118 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.257 W/kg





SAR Evaluation Report 28 of 57

## APPENDIX A – MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

## Measurement Uncertainty for 300MHz to 6GHz

Source of Uncertainty	Tolerance Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (1-g)	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (10-g)	Standard Uncertainty (1-g) %	Standard Uncertainty (10-g) %
		Measure	ment Syst	em	•		
Probe Calibration	3.5	normal	1	1	1	3.5	3.5
Axial Isotropy	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	(1-cp) <sup>1</sup>	1.5	1.5
Hemispherical Isotropy	10.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	√ср	√ср	4.4	4.4
Boundary Effect	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Linearity	4.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7
Detection Limit	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Readout Electronics	1.0	normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0
Response Time	0.8	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5
Integration Time	1.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.0	1.0
RF Ambient Condition -Noise	0.006	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.003	0.003
RF Ambient Condition - Reflections	3.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Probe Positioner Mech. Restrictions	0.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2
		Res	triction				
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	2.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Extrapolation and Integration	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.1	2.1
Test Sample Positioning	0.023	normal	1	1	1	0.023	0.023
Device Holder Uncertainty	6.215	normal	1	1	1	6.215	6.215
Drift of Output Power	4.627	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.67	2.67
		Phantor	n and Setu	ıp			
Phantom Uncertainty(shape & thickness tolerance)	3.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.0	2.0
Liquid Conductivity(target)	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.5	2.0	1.4
Liquid Conductivity(meas.)	1.938	normal	1	0.7	0.5	1.36	0.97
Liquid Permittivity(target)	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.5	1.7	1.4
Liquid Permittivity(meas.)	3.093	normal	1	0.6	0.5	1.86	1.55
Combined Uncertainty		RSS				10.78	10.55
Expanded uncertainty (coverage factor=2)		Normal(k=2)				21.56	21.10

SAR Evaluation Report 29 of 57

### APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

### **NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES**

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

Calibration File No.: PC-1537

Task No: BACL-5745

### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

> Equipment: Miniature Isotropic RF Probe Record of Calibration Head and Body Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories Model No.: E-020

Serial No.: 500-00283

Calibration Procedure: D01-032-E020-V2, D22-012-Tissue, D28-002-Dipole Project No: BACL-5745

Calibrated: 8<sup>th</sup> October 2013 Released on: 8<sup>th</sup> October 2013

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By: Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

 Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr.
 Division of APREL Lab.

 OTTAWA, ONTARIO
 TEL: (613) 435-8300

 CANADA K2K 3J1
 FAX: (613) 435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 30 of 57

#### **NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Inc.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report reproduces the results of the calibration performed in line with the references listed below. Calibration is performed using accepted methodologies as per the references listed below. Probes are calibrated for air, and tissue and the values reported are the results from the physical quantification of the probe through meteorgical practices.

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

#### **Calibration Method**

Probes are calibrated using the following methods.

#### <1000MHz

TEM Cell for sensitivity in air

Standard phantom using temperature transfer method for sensitivity in tissue

#### >1000MHz

Waveguide\* method to determine sensitivity in air and tissue

\*Waveguide is numerically (simulation) assessed to determine the field distribution and power

The boundary effect for the probe is assessed using a standard flat phantom where the probe output is compared against a numerically simulated series of data points

#### References

- IEEE Standard 1528
  - IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
- EN 62209-1
  - Human Exposure to RF Fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices Human models. instrumentation, and procedures-Part 1: Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held mobile wireless devices
- o IEC 62209-2
  - Human exposure to RF fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless devices Human models, instrumentation, and procedures Part 2: specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices (30 MHz 6 GHz)
- o TP-D01-032-E020-V2 E-Field probe calibration procedure
- o D22-012-Tissue dielectric tissue calibration procedure
- D28-002-Dipole procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole
- IEEE 1309 Standard for Calibration of Electromagnetic Field Sensors and Probes, Excluding Antennas, from 9kHz to 40GHz

Page 2 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 31 of 57

### NCL Calibration Laboratories

Division of APREL Inc.

#### Conditions

Probe 500-00283 was a recalibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory:  $22 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  +/-  $1.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  Temperature of the Tissue:  $21 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  +/-  $1.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  Relative Humidity:  $< 60 \,^{\circ}$ 

#### **Primary Measurement Standards**

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Tektronix USB Power Meter
 11C940
 May 14, 2015

 Signal Generator HP 83640B
 3844A00689
 Feb 12, 2015

#### Secondary Measurement Standards

Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C 002106 Feb. 20, 2015

#### Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Dan Brooks, Test Engineer

Page 3 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 32 of 57

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

#### NCL Calibration Laboratories

Division of APREL Inc.

**Probe Summary** 

Probe Type: E-Field Probe E020

Serial Number: 500-00283

Frequency: As presented on page 5

Sensor Offset: 1.56
Sensor Length: 2.5

Tip Enclosure: Composite\*

Tip Diameter: < 2.9 mm

Tip Length: 55 mm

Total Length: 289 mm

Sensitivity in Air

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Channel X:} & 1.2 \ \mu\text{V/(V/m)}^2 \\ \text{Channel Y:} & 1.2 \ \mu\text{V/(V/m)}^2 \\ \text{Channel Z:} & 1.2 \ \mu\text{V/(V/m)}^2 \end{array}$ 

Diode Compression Point: 95 mV

SAR Evaluation Report 33 of 57

<sup>\*</sup>Resistive to recommended tissue recipes per IEEE-1528

Page 4 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

# NCL Calibration Laboratories Division of APREL Inc.

Calibration for Tissue (Head H, Body B)

Frequency	Tissue Type	Measured Epsilon	Measured Sigma	Standard Uncertainty (%)	Calibration Frequency Range (MHz)	Conversior Factor
450 H	Head	44.29	0.86	3.5	±50	5.7
450 B	Body	56.6	0.94	3.5	±50	5.8
750 H	Head	42.7	0.85	3.5	±50	5.6
750 B	Body	56.6	0.94	3.5	±50	5.5
835 H	Head	42.35	0.938	3.5	±50	5.9
835 B	Body	<b>56.65</b>	1.018	3.5	±50	<b>5.9</b>
900 H	Head	X	Х	X	Х	х
900 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	Х
1450 H	Head	X	X	X	Х	Х
1450 B	Body	X	X	X	X	Х
1500 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	X
1500 B	Body	X	X	X	X	Х
1640 H	Head	X	X	X	Х	X
1640 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
1750 H	Head	38.51	1.36	3.5	±75	5.4
1750 B	Body	51.79	1.53	3.5	±75	5.3
1800 H	Head	38.26	1.41	3.5	±75	5.0
1800 B	Body	<b>51.61</b>	1.58	3.5	±75	5.0
1900 H	Head	38.03	1.36	3.5	±75	4.8
1900 B	Body	53.13	1.58	3.5	±75	4.5
2000 H	Head	X	X	X	X	Х
2000 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	Х
2100 H	Head	X	Х	X	Х	Х
2100 B	Body	X	X	X	Х	X
2300 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
2300 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
2450 H	Head	37.64	1.88	3.5	±75	4.9
2450B	Body	50.7	2.03	3.5	±75	<mark>4.3</mark>
2600 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
2600 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
3000 H	Head	X	X	X	Х	X
3000 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
3600 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	X
3600 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
5250 H	Head	34.65	4.8	3.5	±100	2.7
5250 B	Body	47.6	5.3	3.5	±100	2.6
5600 H	Head	33.2	5.15	3.5	±100	<b>2.5</b>
5600 B	Body	45.21	5.57	3.5	±100	2.2
5800 H	Head	32.72	5.38	3.5	±100	3.2
5800 B	Body	44.28	6.04	3.5	±100	2.5

Page 5 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

**SAR Evaluation Report** 34 of 57

### **NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Inc.

#### **Boundary Effect:**

Uncertainty resulting from the boundary effect is less than 2.1% for the distance between the tip of the probe and the tissue boundary, when less than 0.58mm.

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

#### **Spatial Resolution:**

The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe. The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe.

#### **DAQ-PAQ Contribution**

To minimize the uncertainty calculation all tissue sensitivity values were calculated using a load impedance of  $5\,\mathrm{M}\Omega$ .

Page 6 of 10


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 35 of 57

### NCL Calibration Laboratories

Division of APREL Inc.

### **Receiving Pattern Air**




This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 36 of 57

Page 7 of 10

Division of APREL Inc.

# **Isotropy Error Air**





**Isotropicity Tissue:** 


0.10 dB

Page 8 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 37 of 57

Division of APREL Inc.

# **Dynamic Range**



Page 9 of 10


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 38 of 57

Division of APREL Inc.

### Video Bandwidth

#### **Probe Frequency Characteristics**



Video Bandwidth at 500 Hz 1 dB Video Bandwidth at 1.02 KHz: 3 dB

### **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2013.

Page 10 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 39 of 57

## APPENDIX C – DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

#### NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

Calibration File No: DC-1330 Project Number: BAC-dipole-cal-5619

## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole (Head & Body)

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories
Part number: ALS-D-2450-S-2
Frequency: 2450 MHz
Serial No: 220-00758

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory

Calibrated: 25<sup>th</sup> August, 2011 Released on: 25<sup>th</sup> August, 2011

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Kanata, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 40 of 57

Division of APREL Laboratories.

### Conditions

Dipole 220-00758 was received in good condition and was a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 21 °C +/- 0.5°C

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this device has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within this report has been reviewed for accuracy.

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

Stuart Nicol

C. Teodorian

Signal Generator Agilent E4438C

 Primary Measurement Standards
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Power meter Anritsu MA2408A
 245025437
 Nov.4, 2011

 Power Sensor Anritsu MA2481D
 103555
 Nov 4, 2011

 Attenuator HP 8495A (70dB) 1
 944A10711
 Aug.8, 2012

 Network Analyzer Agilent E5071C
 1334746J
 Feb. 8, 2012

 Secondary Measurement Standards

-506 MY55182336

June 7, 2012

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 41 of 57

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## **Calibration Results Summary**

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

### **Mechanical Dimensions**


**Length:** 52.4 mm Height: 30.3 mm

## **Electrical Specification**

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	2450 MHz	1.0459 U	-33.024 dB	48.533 Ω
Body	2450 MHz	1.1159 U	-25.235 dB	46.676 Ω

## **System Validation Results**

Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
Head	2450 MHz	52.667	24.518	105.920
Body	2450 MHz	52.561	24.104	108.940



3

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 42 of 57

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 220-00758. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 130 MHz to 26 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 212.

#### References

SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure

SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure

IEEE 1528 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques"

IEC-62209 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"

Part 1: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)" IEC-62209 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and bodymounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"

Part 2 *Draft*: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for handheld devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)"

#### Conditions

Dipole 220-00758 was a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5°C

#### Dipole Calibration uncertainty

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

4

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

SAR Evaluation Report 43 of 57

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Dipole Calibration Results**

### **Mechanical Verification**

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
51.5 mm	30.4 mm	52.4 mm	30.3 mm

#### **Electrical Calibration**

Tissue Type	Return Loss:	SWR:	Impedance:
Head	-33.024 dB	1.0459 U	48.533 Ω
Body	-25.235 dB	1.1159 U	46.676 Ω

#### **Tissue Validation**

	Dielectric constant, ε <sub>r</sub>	Conductivity, σ [S/m]
Head Tissue 2450MHz	38.2	1.82
Body Tissue 2450MHz	51.74	1.96

5

Report No: RSZ140609006-20


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 44 of 57

Division of APREL Laboratories.

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

### S11 Parameter Return Loss





This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 45 of 57


6


7

### NCL Calibration Laboratories

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## SWR







This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 46 of 57

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Smith Chart Dipole Impedance**





This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 47 of 57

8

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2011.

9

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 48 of 57

# 2450MHz Dipole Calibration By BACL at 2013-12-20

## **Mechanical Verification**

APREL Length	APREL Height	Measured Length	Measured Height
51.5mm	30.4 mm	51.5 mm	30.4 mm


Tissue Type	Measured Return Loss	Measured Impedance
Head	-36.595 dB	51.203 Ω
Body	-27.599 dB	49.186 Ω

## **Test Graphs:**

Head Tissue

Return Loss:

Impedance:





**Body Tissue** 

Return Loss:


Impedance:





SAR Evaluation Report 49 of 57

# **APPENDIX D – EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS**





**Body – Back Setup Photo (0.0mm)** 



SAR Evaluation Report 50 of 57

# **Body-Bottom Setup Photo (0.0mm)**

Report No: RSZ140609006-20



SAR Evaluation Report 51 of 57

## **APPENDIX E – EUT PHOTOS**

**EUT - Front View** 



**EUT - Back View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 52 of 57

### **EUT – Left Side View**



**EUT – Right Side View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 53 of 57

## **EUT - Top View**



**EUT – Bottom View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 54 of 57

## **EUT – Uncovered View**



Wifi antenna

SAR Evaluation Report 55 of 57

### APPENDIX F – INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.

Report No: RSZ140609006-20

- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-\_eld scanning system for dosimetric assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645 (652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM \_ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15 {17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23 {25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainity in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.

SAR Evaluation Report 56 of 57

## PRODUCT SIMILARITY DECLARATION LETTER

### Hisense Electric Co., Ltd.

No. 218 Qianwangang Road, Economy & Technology Dev,Qingdao ,266071,China Tel: 0532-80874377 Fax: 0532-80874665

2014-06-17

## **Product Similarity Declaration**

To Whom It May Concern,

We, Hisense Electric Co., Ltd. hereby declare that our Sero 7+, the series models E7244xx (x shall consist of lowercase letters a-z or capital letters A-Z) are electrically identical with the E7244 that was certified by BACL. They are just different in model numbers.

Please contact me if you have any question.

Signature:

Culu Tang

Lulu Tang Director

\*\*\*\*\* END OF REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

SAR Evaluation Report 57 of 57