

FCC SAR TEST REPORT

APPLICANT Shinano Kenshi Co., Ltd.

PRODUCT NAME Plextalk Pocket

MODEL NAME PTP1, PTP1/LINK

TRADE NAME Plextalk

BRAND NAME Plextor

FCC ID WNU-PTP1B

47CFR 2.1093 STANDARD(S) IEEE 1528-2013

ISSUE DATE 2017-04-28

SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.

NOTE: This document is issued by MORLAB, the test report shall not be reproduced except in full without prior written permission of the company. The test results apply only to the particular sample(s) tested and to the specific tests carried out which is available on request for validation and information confirmed at our website.





DIRECTORY

<u>TES</u>	REPORT DECLARATION	4
1.	SUMMARY OF MAXIMUM SAR VALUE ·······	5
<u>2.TE</u>	CHNICAL INFORMATION	6
	DENTIFICATION OF APPLICANT ·······	
	DENTIFICATION OF MANUFACTURER······	
	QUIPMENTUNDER TEST (EUT)	
	PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE EUT	
	2 IDENTIFICATION OF ALL USED EUT·····	
2.4	APPLIED REFERENCE DOCUMENTS	7
<u>3. S</u>	PECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR)	9
	NTRODUCTION ······	
3.2	AR Definition ·····	9
<u>4. S</u>	AR MEASUREMENT SETUP······	·····10
4.1	THE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM ····································	10
4.2	РРОВЕ	10
4.3	PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS	12
4.3.	L Dosimetric Assessment Procedure ·····	12
4.3.	Pree Space Assessment Procedure	12
4.3.	3 TEMPERATURE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE······	12
4.4	PHANTOM ·····	13
4.5	DEVICE HOLDER ······	13
<u>5. T</u>	SSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS ······	<u>····14</u>
<u>6. U</u>	NCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT	····16
6.1	JNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR EUT SAR TEST·······	16



6.2 UNCERTAINTY FOR SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK
7. SAR MEASUREMENT EVALUATION ————————————————————————————————————
7.1 SYSTEM SETUP
7.2 VALIDATION RESULTS20
8. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST21
8.1 Information on the testing21
8.2 BODY-WORN CONFIGURATIONS22
8.3 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE ·······22
8.4 DESCRIPTION OF INTERPOLATION/EXTRAPOLATION SCHEME23
9. ANTENNA LOCATION ————————————————————————————————————
10. MEASUREMENT OF CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER25
11. TEST RESULTS LIST25
12 ANNEX A GENERAL INFORMATION27
13 ANNEX B PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE EUT27
14 ANNEX C PLOTS OF HIGH SAR TEST RESULTS27
15 ANNEX D SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK DATA27
ANNEX B PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE EUT30

Change History			
Issue	Issue Date Reason for change		
1.0 2017-04-28 First edition			



TEST REPORT DECLARATION

Applicant	Shinano Kenshi Co., Ltd.		
Applicant Address	1078, Kami-Maruko, Ueda-Shi, Nagano-Ken, 386-0498, Japan		
Manufacturer	Group Sense M	Nobile-Tech Limited	I
Manufacturer Address		F, Sino Industrial lowloon, Hong Kong	Plaza, 9 Kai Cheung Road,
Product Name	Plextalk Pocket		
Model Name	PTP1, PTP1/LINK		
Brand Name	Plextor		
HW Version	MP		
SW Version	MP		
Test Standards	est Standards 47CFR 2.1093;		
Test Date	2017-04-15		
The Highest Reported	Head	0.517W/kg	Limit(\\/\/\ca\): 1 6\\\/\\ca
1g-SAR(W/kg)	Body-worn	0.276W/kg	Limit(W/kg): 1.6W/kg

Tested by	Lin Jun	
	Liu Jun (Test Engineer)	
Approved by	Peng Hu	
	Peng Huarui (Supervisor)	



1. SUMMARY OF MAXIMUM SAR VALUE

Mode/Band	Test Position	Measurement SAR-1g(W/kg)
WLAN 2.4GHz	Head	0.467
WLAN 2.4GHZ	Body-worn (10mm Gap)	0.249

Note:

1. The SAR limit(1.6W/kg) for general population/uncontrolled exposure is specified in FCC 47 CFR part2(2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1991.



2.TECHNICAL INFORMATION

Note: the Following data is based on the information by the applicant.

2.1 Identification of Applicant

Company Name: Shinano Kenshi Co., Ltd.	
Address:	1078, Kami-Maruko, Ueda-Shi, Nagano-Ken, 386-0498, Japan

2.2 Identification of Manufacturer

Company Name:	Group Sense Mobile-Tech Limited	
Address: Units 13-24, 2/F, Sino Industrial Plaza, 9 Kai Cheung Road, K		
	Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong	

2.3 EquipmentUnder Test (EUT)

Model Name:	PTP1, PTP1/LINK
Trade Name:	Plextalk
Brand Name:	Plextor
Hardware Version:	MP
Software Version:	MP
Tx Frequency Bands:	802.11 b/g/n: 2412-2462 MHz;
Uplink Modulations:	WIFI 802.11b: DSSS; WIFI 802.11g/n: OFDM;
Hotspot function:	No Support

2.3.1 Photographs of the EUT

Please refer to the External Photos for the Photos of the EUT



2.3.2 Identification of all used EUT

The EUT identity consists of numerical and letter characters, the letter character indicates the test sample, and the Following two numerical characters indicate the software version of the test sample.

EUT Identity	Hardware Version	Software Version
1#	MP	MP

2.4 Applied Reference Documents

Leading reference documents for testing:

		1 -		
No.	Identity	Document Title		
1	47 CFR§2.1093	Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable		
		Devices		
2	IEEE 1528-2013	IEEE Recommended Practice forDetermining the Peak		
		Spatial-AverageSpecific Absorption Rate (SAR) in theHuman		
		Head from WirelessCommunications Devices:		
		Measurement Techniques		
3	KDB 447498 D01v06	General RF Exposure Guidance		
4	KDB 248227 D01v02r02	SAR Measurement Procedures for 802.11 Transmitters		
6	KDB 865664 D01v01r04	SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz		
7	KDB 865664 D02v01r02	SAR Reporting		
8	KDB 648474 D04v01r03	Handset SAR		

2.5 Device Category and SAR Limits

This device belongs to portable device category because its radiating structure is allowed to be used within 20 centimeters of the body of the user. Limit for General Population/Uncontrolled exposure should be applied for this device, it is 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue.



Uncontrolled Environment

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

Controlled Environment

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. The exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body	Partial-Body	Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles
0.4	8.0	20.0

Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body	Partial-Body	Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles
0.08	1.6	4.0

Note: This device belongs to portable device category because its radiating structure is allowed to be used within 20 centimeters of the body of the user. Limit for General Population/Uncontrolled exposure should be applied for this device, it is 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue.



3. SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR)

3.1 Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are Middle than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

3.2 SAR Definition

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density. (p). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be either related to the temperature elevation in tissue by,

$$SAR = C\left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t}\right)$$

Where C is the specific head capacity, δT is the temperature rise and δt the exposure duration, or related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

Where σ is the conductivity of the tissue, ρ is the mass density of the tissue and |E| is the rms electrical field strength.

However for evaluating SAR of low power transmitter, electrical field measurement is typically applied.



4. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

4.1 The Measurement System

Comosar is a system that is able to determine the SAR distribution inside a phantom of human being according to different standards. The Comosar system consists of the Following items:

- Main computer to control all the system
- 6 axis robot
- Data acquisition system
- Miniature E-field probe
- Phone holder
- Head simulating tissue

The Following figure shows the system.



The EUT under test operating at the maximum power level is placed in the phone holder, under the phantom, which is filled with head simulating liquid. The E-Field probe measures the electric field inside the phantom. The OpenSAR software computes the results to give a SAR value in a 1g or 10g mass.

4.2 Probe

For the measurements the Specific Dosimetric E-Field Probe SN 37/08 EP80 with Following specifications is used

- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg

- Tip Diameter: 6.5 mm






- Distance between probe tip and sensor center: 2.5mm
- Distance between sensor center and the inner phantom surface: 4 mm (repeatability better than +/- 1mm)
- Probe linearity: <0.25 dB - Axial Isotropy: <0.25 dB
- Spherical Isotropy: <0.25 dB
- Calibration range: 835to 2500MHz for head & body simulating liquid.

Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 30°

Probe calibration is realized, in compliance with CENELEC EN 62209 and IEEE 1528 std, with CALISAR, Antennessa proprietary calibration system. The calibration is performed with the EN 622091 annex technique using reference guide at the five frequencies.



$$SAR = \frac{4\left(P_{fw} - P_{bw}\right)}{ab\delta} \cos^2\left(\pi \frac{y}{a}\right) e^{-(2z/\delta)}$$

Where:

Pfw = Forward Power Pbw = Backward Power

a and b = Waveguide dimensions

= Skin depth



Keithley configuration:

Rate = Medium; Filter = ON; RDGS=10; FILTER TYPE = MOVING AVERAGE; RANGE AUTO After each calibration, a SAR measurement is performed on a validation dipole and compared with aNPL calibrated probe, to verify it.

The calibration factors, CF(N), for the 3 sensors corresponding to dipole 1, dipole 2 and dipole 3 are:

$$CF(N)=SAR(N)/Vlin(N)$$

(N=1,2,3)

The linearised output voltage Vlin(N) is obtained from the displayed output voltage V(N) using

$$Vlin(N)=V(N)*(1+V(N)/DCP(N))$$

(N=1,2,3)

Where DCP is the diode compression point in mV.

4.3 Probe Calibration Process

4.3.1 Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each E-Probe/Probe Amplifier combination has unique calibration parameters. SATIMO Probe calibration procedure is conducted to determine the proper amplifier settings to enter in the probe parameters. The amplifier settings are determined for a given frequency by subjecting the probe to a known E-field density (1 mW/cm²) using an with CALISAR, Antenna proprietary calibration system.

4.3.2 Free Space Assessment Procedure

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This calibration can be performed in a TEM cell if the frequency is below 1 GHz and in a waveguide or other methodologies above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is rotated 360 degrees until the three channels show the maximum reading. The power density readings equates to 1 mW/cm².

4.3.3 Temperature Assessment Procedure

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulating head tissue. The E-field in the medium correlates with the temperature rise in the dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

Where:

 $\delta t = \text{exposure time (30 seconds)},$





C = heat capacity of tissue (brainor muscle),

 δT = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

SAR is proportional to $\Delta T/\Delta t$, the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. The electric field in the simulated tissue can be used to estimate SAR by equating the thermally derived SAR to that with the E- field component.

Where:

 σ = simulated tissue conductivity,


 ρ = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm³ for brain tissue)

4.4 Phantom

For the measurements the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) defined by the IEEE SCC-34/SC2 group is used. The phantom is a polyurethane shell integrated in a wooden table. The thickness of the phantom amounts to 2mm +/- 0.2mm. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right phone usage and includes an additional flat phantom part for the simplified performance check. The phantom set-up includes a cover, which prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

4.5 Device Holder

The positioning system allows obtaining cheek and tilting position with a very good accuracy. In compliance with CENELEC, the tilt angle uncertainty is Middle than 1°.



Device holder

System Material	Permittivity	Loss Tangent
Delrin	3.7	0.005



5. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS

For SAR measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom, the phantom must be filled with homogeneous tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. The nominal dielectric values of the tissue simulating liquids in the phantom and the tolerance of 5% are listed in below table.

The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquids

Frequency Band (MHz)	24	50
Tissue Type	Head	Body
DeionisedWater	62.70	73.20
Salt(NaCl)	0.50	0.10
Sugar	0.00	0.00
Tween 20	0.00	0.00
HEC	0.00	0.00
Bactericide	0.00	0.00
Triton X-100	36.80	0.00
DGBE	0.00	26.70
Diethylenglycol monohexylether	0.00	0.00
Dielectric Constant	39.20	52.70
Conductivity (S/m)	1.80	1.95

Note: Please refer to the validation results for dielectric parameters of each frequency band.

The dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an Agilent 85033E Dielectric Probe Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.



Table 1: Dielectric Performance of Tissue Simulating Liquid

Temperature: 22.0~23.8°C, humidity: 54~60%.								
Date	Freq.(MHz)	Liquid Parameters	Meas.	Target	Delta(%)	Limit±(%)		
2017/04/15	Hood 2450	Relative Permittivity(er):	39.11	39.20	-0.23	5		
2017/04/15 Head 2450		Conductivity(σ):	1.79	1.80	-0.56	5		
2017/04/15	Dody 2450	Relative Permittivity(er):	52.52	52.70	-0.34	5		
2017/04/15	Body 2450	Conductivity(σ):	1.94	1.95	-0.51	5		



6. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT

The Following table includes the uncertainty table of the IEEE 1528. The values are determined by Antennessa.

6.1 UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR EUT SAR TEST

a	b	С	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h= c*f/e	i= c*g/ e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+- %)	Prob Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+- %)	Vi
Measurement System									
Probe calibration	E.2.1	4.76	N	1	1	1	4.76	4.7	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.01	1.0	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.62	1.6	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.8	∞
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	N	1	1	1	0.02	0.0	∞
Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.7	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1	∞
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.7	∞
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1 5	∞
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.0	8
Extrapolation,	E.5.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.8	8
interpolation and								9	
integration Algoritms for									
Max. SAR Evaluation]
Test sample Related	E 4 2	0.03	N	1	1	1	0.03	0.0	NI
Test sample positioning	E.4.2.	0.03	N	1	1	ı	0.03	0.0	N- 1
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1.	5.00	N	1	1	1	5.00	5.0	N-



	1							0	1
Output power Power drift -	6.6.2	4.04	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.33	2.3	8
SAR drift measurement								3	
Phantom and Tissue Para	meters								
Phantom Uncertainty	E.3.1	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1		0.0	8
(Shape and thickness							0.03	3	
tolerances)								3	
Liquid conductivity -	E.3.2	4.57	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.1	8
deviation from target value								3	
Liquid conductivity -	E.3.3	5.00	N	1	0.64	0.43	3.20	2.1	М
measurement uncertainty								5	
Liquid permittivity -	E.3.2	3.69	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.0	8
deviation from target value								4	
Liquid permittivity -	E.3.3	10.0	N	1	0.6	0.49	6.00	4.9	М
measurement uncertainty		0						0	
Combined Standard			RSS				11.55	10.	
Uncertainty								67	
Expanded Uncertainty			K=2				23.11	21.	
(95% Confidence interval)								33	

6.2 UNCERTAINTY FOR SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

а	b	С	d	e=	f	g	h=	i=	k
				f(d,k)			c*f/e	c*g/	
								е	
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol	Prob	Div.	Ci	Ci	1g Ui	10g	Vi
		(+-			(1g)	(10g)	(+-%)	Ui	
		%)	Dist.					(+-	
								%)	
Measurement System									
Probe calibration	E.2.1	4.76	N	1	1	1	4.76	4.7	8
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.01	1.0	8
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.62	1.6	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	8
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.8	8
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	N	1	1	1	0.02	0.0	∞




Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.7	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1	8
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.7	∞
Probe positioner	E.6.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1	∞
Mechanical Tolerance								5	
Probe positioning with	E.6.3	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.0	8
respect to Phantom Shell								3	
Extrapolation,	E.5.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.8	∞
interpolation and								9	
integration Algoritms for									
Max. SAR Evaluation									
Dipole			•		•			•	•
Dipole axis to liquid	8,E.4.	1.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	∞
Distance	2							8	
Input power and SAR drift	8,6.6.	4.04	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.33	2.3	8
measurement	2							3	
Phantom and Tissue Para	meters								
Phantom Uncertainty	E.3.1	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.0	∞
(Shape and thickness								3	
tolerances)									
Liquid conductivity -	E.3.2	4.57	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.1	8
deviation from target value								3	
Liquid conductivity -	E.3.3	5.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.2	М
measurement uncertainty								4	
Liquid permittivity -	E.3.2	3.69	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.0	8
deviation from target value								4	
Liquid permittivity -	E.3.3	10.0	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	3.46	2.8	М
measurement uncertainty		0						3	
Combined Standard			RSS				8.83	8.3	
Uncertainty								7	
Expanded Uncertainty			K=2				17.66	16.	
(95% Confidence interval)								73	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							·		



7. SAR MEASUREMENT EVALUATION

7.1 System Setup

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave which comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It is performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurements in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system verification setup is shown as below



The validation dipole is placed beneath the flat phantom with the specific spacer in place. The distance spacer is touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The power meter PM1 measures the forward



power at the location of the system check dipole connector. The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power at the dipole connector and the power meter PM2 is read at that level. After connecting the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter

7.2 Validation Results

After system check testing, the SAR result will be normalized to 1W forward input power and compared with the reference SAR value derived from validation dipole certificate report. The deviation of system check should be within 10 %.

Frequency	2450MHz(H)	2450MHz(B)
Target value 1W (1g)	53.34 W/Kg	50.93W/Kg
Test value 1g (100 mW input power)	5.324 W/Kg	5.086 W/Kg
Normalized to 1W value(1g)	53.24W/Kg	50.86 W/Kg
Deviation	-0.19%	-0.14%

Note: System checks the specific test data please see Annex D




8. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST

8.1 Information on the testing

The mobile phone antenna and battery are those specified by the manufacturer. The battery is fully charged before each measurement. The output power and frequency are controlled using a base station simulator. The mobile phone is set to transmit at its highest output peak power level.

The mobile phone is test in the "cheek" and "tilted" positions on the left and right sides of the phantom. The mobile phone is placed with the vertical centre line of the body of the mobile phone and the horizontal line crossing the centre of the earpiece in a plane parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.







IllustrationforCheekPosition








Illustration for Tilted Position

Description of the "cheek" position:

The mobile phone is well placed in the reference plane and the earpiece is in contact with the ear. Then the mobile phone is moved until any point on the front side get in contact with the cheek of the phantom or until contact with the ear is lost.

Description of the "tilted" position:






The mobile phone is well placed in the "cheek" position as described above. Then the mobile phone is moved outward away from the month by an angle of 15 degrees or until contact with the ear lost.

Remark: Please refer to Appendix B for the test setup photos.

8.2 Body-worn Configurations

The body-worn configurations shall be tested with the supplied accessories (belt-clips, holsters, etc.) attached to the device in normal use configuration.

For body-worn and other configurations a flat phantom shall be used which is comprised of material with electrical properties similar to the corresponding tissues.



IllustrationforBodyWornPosition

8.3 Measurement procedure

The Following steps are used for each test position

- 1. Establish a call with the maximum output power with a base station simulator. The connection between the mobile and the base station simulator is established via air interface.
- 2. Measurement of the local E-field value at a fixed location. This value serves as a reference value for calculating a possible power drift.
- 3. Measurement of the SAR distribution with a grid of 8 to 16mm * 8 to 16 mm and a constant distance to the inner surface of the phantom. Since the sensors cannot directly measure at the inner phantom surface, the values between the sensors and the inner phantom surface are extrapolated. With these values the area of the maximum SAR is calculated by an interpolation scheme.
- 4. Around this point, a cube of 30 * 30 * 30 mm or 32 * 32 * 32 mm is assessed by measuring 5 or



8 * 5 or 8*4 or 5 mm. With these data, the peak spatial-average SAR value can be calculated.

8.4 Description of interpolation/extrapolation scheme


The local SAR inside the phantom is measured using small dipole sensing elements inside a probe body. The probe tip must not be in contact with the phantom surface in order to minimize measurements errors, but the highest local SAR will occur at the surface of the phantom.

An extrapolation is using to determinate this highest local SAR values. The extrapolation is based on a fourth-order least-square polynomial fit of measured data. The local SAR value is then extrapolated from the liquid surface with a 1mm step.

The measurements have to be performed over a limited time (due to the duration of the battery) so the step of measurement is high. It could vary between 5 and 8 mm. To obtain an accurate assessment of the maximum SAR averaged over 10 grams and 1 gram requires a very fine resolution in the three dimensional scanned data array.



9. ANTENNA LOCATION





10. MEASUREMENT OF CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER

1. WiFi Average output power

		Frequency	Output Power(dBm)		
Band	Band Channel	n I Channel I	(MHz)	802.11b	802.11g
		()	(DSSS)	(OFDM)	
	1	2412	16.56	11.22	
Wi-Fi	Wi-Fi 6		16.18	11.17	
	11	2462	16.07	12.24	

11. TEST RESULTS LIST

Summary of Measurement Results (WLAN 802.11b Band)

,	animally of measurement research (VIII in each a)							
	Temperature: 21.0~23.8°C, humidity: 54~60%.							
		Device			Scaling	Scaling	Scaled	
Phantom	Device Test	Test	SAR(W/Kg),	Duty	Factor	Factor	SAR	
Configurations	Positions	channel	1g Peak	Cycle	(Duty	(Power)	(W/Kg),	
		Charine			Cycle)		1g	
Right Side	Cheek/Touch		0.350				0.387	
Of Head	Ear/Tilt		0.363				0.402	
Left Side	Cheek/Touch		0.362				0.401	
Of Head	Ear/Tilt	1	0.467	100%	1.000	1.107	0.517	
Body-worn	Back upward		0.249				0.276	
(10mm Separation)	Front upward		0.111				0.123	

Scaling Factor calculation

Band	Tune-up power tolerance(dBm)	SAR test channel Power (dBm)	Scaling Factor
Wi-Fi(802.11b)	Max output power =16.5(\pm 0.5)	16.56	1.107



Notes:

- 1. SAR is measured for 2.4 GHz 802.11b DSSS using either the fixed test position or, when applicable, the initial test position procedure. SAR test reduction is determined according to the following:
 - 1) When the reported SAR of the highest measured maximum output power channel for the exposure configuration is < 0.8 W/kg, no further SAR testing is required for 802.11b DSSS inthat exposure configuration.
 - 2) When the reported SAR is > 0.8 W/kg, SAR is required for that position using the next highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is > 1.2 W/kg, SAR is required for the third channel; i.e., all channels require testing.
- 2. 2.4 GHz 802.11 g/n OFDM are additionally evaluated for SAR if the highest reported SAR for 802.11b, adjusted by the ratio of the OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power, is > 1.2 W/kg.When SAR is required for OFDM modes in 2.4 GHz band, the Initial Test Configuration Procedures should be followed.
- 3. For held-to-ear and hotspot operations, the initial test position procedures were applied. The testposition with the highest extrapolated peak SAR will be used as the initial test position. Whenreported SAR for the initial test position is ≤ 0.4 W/kg, no additional testing for the remaining testpositions was required. Otherwise, SAR is evaluated at the subsequent highest peak SARpositions until the reported SAR result is ≤ 0.8 W/kg or all test positions are measured.
- 4. Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D02DR02-41929 for 2.4 GHz WIFI single transmission chain operations, the highest measured maximum output power channel for DSSS was selected for SAR measurement. SAR for OFDM modes (2.4 GHz802.11g/n) was not required due to the maximum allowed powers and the highest reported DSSS SAR.
- 5. Using the transmission mode determined by the DSSS procedure or initial test configuration, a maximum transmission duty factor of 100% is achievable in most test mode configurations by certain software. The EUT was measured for all positions in head and body exposure condition.



12 ANNEX A GENERAL INFORMATION

- 13 ANNEX B PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE EUT
- 14 ANNEX C PLOTS OF HIGH SAR TEST RESULTS
- 15 ANNEX D SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK DATA



ANNEX A GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Identification of the Responsible Testing Laboratory

Company Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd.
Department:	Morlab Laboratory
Address:	FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang
	Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, GuangDong
	Province, P. R. China
Responsible Test Lab Manager:	Mr. Su Feng
Telephone:	+86 755 36698555
Facsimile:	+86 755 36698525

2. Identification of the Responsible Testing Location

Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd.
	Morlab Laboratory
Address:	FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang
	Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, GuangDong
	Province, P. R. China




3. List of Test Equipments

No.	Instrument	Туре	Cal. Date	Cal. Due
1	PC	Dell (Pentium IV 2.4GHz, SN:X10-23533)	(n.a)	(n.a)
2	Network Emulator	Agilent(8960, SN:10752)	2016-6-03	1year
3	Network Emulator	Rohde&Schwarz (CMW500,SN:124534)	2016-6-03	1year
4	Voltmeter	Keithley (2000, SN:1000572)	2016-8-21	1year
5	Synthetizer	Rohde&Schwarz (SML_03, SN:101868)	2016-8-24	1year
6	Amplifier	Nucl udes (ALB216, SN:10800)	2016-8-24	1year
7	Power Meter	Rohde&Schwarz (NRVD, SN:101066)	2016-8-24	1year
8	Power Meter	Rohde&Schwarz (NRVD, SN:102055)	2016-7-21	1year
9	Power Sensor	MA2411B	2016-8-24	1year
10	Power Sensor	N1921A	2016-7-21	1year
11	Probe	Satimo (SN:SN 37/08 EP80)	2016-7-05	1year
12	Phantom	Satimo (SN:SN_36_08_SAM62)	N/A	N/A
13	Liquid	Satimo (Last alibration:2017-04-15)	N/A	N/A
14	Dipole 2450MHz	Satimo (SN 30/13 DIP2G450-263)	2016-7-05	3year
15	Thermo meter	KTJ(mode-01)	2016-7-05	1year



ANNEX B PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE EUT

1. EUT Right Head Touch/Cheek Position




2. EUT Right Head Ear/Tilt(15°) Position






3. EUT Left Head Touch/Cheek Position




4. EUT Left Head Ear/Tilt(15°) Position





5. Back Side Position



6. Face Side Position





Liquid Level Photo





Annex C Plots of high SAR Test Results

MEASUREMENT 1

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017.4.15

Measurement duration: 7 minutes 47 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.


Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt	
Phantom	Right head	
Device Position	Cheek	
Band	802.11b	
Channels	Low	
Signal DSSS		

B. SAR Measurement Results

Low Band SAR (Channel 1)

Frequency (MHz)	2412.000000	
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.114268	
Conductivity (S/m)	1.793824	
Power drift (%)	1.130000	
Ambient Temperature:	22.9°C	
Liquid Temperature:	22.1°C	
ConvF:	4.82	
Crest factor:	1:1	








Maximum location: X=-8.00, Y=0.00 SAR Peak: 0.61 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.163014
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.349851

Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR	0.5965	0.3840	0.2172	0.0925	0.0517	0.0192	0.0015
(W/Kg)							
	0.6- 0.5- 0.4- (\$\frac{3}{\chi}\) 0.3-						
	% 0.2 0.1 0.0- ₋ 0.	02.55.07.5	12.5 17.	5 22.5 2 Z (mm)	27.5 32.5	40.0	





MEASUREMENT 2

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017.4.15

Measurement duration: 7 minutes 47 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.


Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt		
Phantom Right head			
Device Position	Tilt		
Band	802.11b		
Channels	Low		
Signal	DSSS		

B. SAR Measurement Results

Low Band SAR (Channel 1)

Frequency (MHz)	2412.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.114268
Conductivity (S/m)	1.793824
Power drift (%)	1.130000
Ambient Temperature:	22.9°C
Liquid Temperature:	22.1°C
ConvF:	4.82
Crest factor:	1:1








Maximum location: X=-8.00, Y=-5.00 SAR Peak: 0.68 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.158653
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.362780

Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR	0.6817	0.4059	0.1997	0.1017	0.0521	0.0241	0.0161
(W/Kg)							
	24K (#/kg) 24K (#/kg) 25.0 27.0 27.0 28.0 2.1 2.0 2.1	02.55.07.5	12.5 17.	5 22.5 2 Z (mm)	27.5 32.5	40.0	





MEASUREMENT 3

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017.4.15


Measurement duration: 7 minutes 51 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.

Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt					
Phantom	Left head					
Device Position	Cheek					
Band	802.11b					
Channels	Low					
Signal	DSSS					

B. SAR Measurement Results

Frequency (MHz)	2412.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.114268
Conductivity (S/m)	1.793824
Power drift (%)	-0.960000
Ambient Temperature:	22.9°C
Liquid Temperature:	22.1°C
ConvF:	4.82
Crest factor:	1:1








Maximum location: X=-1.00, Y=-2.00 SAR Peak: 0.67 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.167424
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.362161

Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR	0.6672	0.3878	0.1899	0.1023	0.0622	0.0138	0.0124
(W/Kg)							
	0.7- 0.6- 0.5- 0.4- 0.3- 0.2- 0.1- 0.0-	02.55.07.5	12.5 17.	5 22.5 2 Z (mm)	27.5 32.5	40.0	





MEASUREMENT 4

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017.4.15


Measurement duration: 7 minutes 51 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.

Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt					
Phantom	Left head					
Device Position	Tilt					
Band	802.11b					
Channels	Low					
Signal	DSSS					

B. SAR Measurement Results

Frequency (MHz)	2412.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.114268
Conductivity (S/m)	1.793824
Power drift (%)	-1.630000
Ambient Temperature:	22.9°C
Liquid Temperature:	22.1°C
ConvF:	4.82
Crest factor:	1:1








Maximum location: X=0.00, Y=0.00 SAR Peak: 0.82 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.214865
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.466682

Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR	0.8158	0.5054	0.2542	0.1539	0.0539	0.0172	0.0074
(W/Kg)							
	0.8- 0.7- 0.6- 0.5- 0.4- 0.3- 0.2- 0.1- 0.0-	02.55.07.5	12.5 17.	5 22.5 2 Z (mm)	27.5 32.5	40.0	





MEASUREMENT 5

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017.4.15


Measurement duration: 9 minutes 37 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.

Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt					
Phantom	Validation plane					
Device Position	Body					
Band	802.11b					
Channels	Low					
Signal	DSSS					

B. SAR Measurement Results

Frequency (MHz)	2412.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.519342
Conductivity (S/m)	1.935672
Power drift (%)	-3.420000
Ambient Temperature:	22.9°C
Liquid Temperature:	22.1°C
ConvF:	4.96
Crest factor:	1:1








Maximum location: X=25.00, Y=34.00 SAR Peak: 0.47 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.112869
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.248811

Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR	0.4740	0.2707	0.1264	0.0549	0.0296	0.0176	0.0103
(W/Kg)							
	0.5-						
	0.4-	$\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$					
		$\lambda \sqcup \bot$					
	(%/ k g) (%/ k g)	\dashv					
	き ⊯ 0.2-	$+\lambda$					
	¥ 0.2-	$ \cdot $					
	0.1-						
	0.0-			╼┾╼┾╼	- -		
	0.	02.55.07.5	12.5 17.		27.5 32.5	40.0	
Z (mm)							





MEASUREMENT 6

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017.04.15


Measurement duration: 13 minutes 30 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.

Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt					
Phantom	Flat					
Device Position	Body					
Band	802.11b					
Channels	Low					
Signal	DSSS					

B. SAR Measurement Results

Frequency (MHz)	2412.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.519342
Conductivity (S/m)	1.935672
Power drift (%)	2.290000
Ambient Temperature:	22.0°C
Liquid Temperature:	21.8°C
ConvF:	4.96
Crest factor:	1:1








Maximum location: X=3.00, Y=37.00 SAR Peak: 0.21 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.055085	
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.111054	

Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR	0.2405	0.1163	0.0409	0.0469	0.0138	0.0256	0.0037
(W/Kg)							
	0.24-						
	0.20-	\longrightarrow					
	(%) 0.15- (%) (%)	+			+++		
	æ ₩ 0.10- %	+					
	0.05-	\	++		+++		
	0. 00 - 0	.02.55.07.5	12.5 17	.5 22.5	27.5 32.5	40.0	
	Z (mm)						





Annex D System Performance Check Data

System Performance Check Data(Head)

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017.4.15

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 31 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.

Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt				
Phantom	Flat				
Device Position					
Band	2450MHz				
Channels					
Signal	CW				

B. SAR Measurement Results

Band SAR

Frequency (MHz)	2450.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.114268
Conductivity (S/m)	1.793824
Power Drift (%)	1.080000
Ambient Temperature:	22.0°C
Liquid Temperature:	21.8°C
ConvF:	4.82
Crest factor:	1:1







Maximum location: X=6.00, Y=1.00

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.364250
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.3242074

Z Axis Scan

Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR	0.0000	12.9615	6.2096	3.8187	2.4504	1.5036	1.0219
(W/Kg)							







System Performance Check Data(Body)

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017.4.15

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 27 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.


Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	
Band	2450MHz
Channels	
Signal	CW

B. SAR Measurement Results

Band SAR

Frequency (MHz)	2450.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.519342
Conductivity (S/m)	1.935672
Power Drift (%)	0.630000
Ambient Temperature:	22.9°C
Liquid Temperature:	22.1°C
ConvF:	4.96
Crest factor:	1:1









Maximum location: X=7.00, Y=6.00

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.642158
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.086 275

Z Axis Scan





***** END OF REPORT *****