

# SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

# Autel Intelligent Tech. Corp., Ltd.

6th - 10th Floor, Bldg. B1, Zhiyuan, Xueyuan Rd., Xili, Nanshan, Shenzhen, 518055, China

# FCC ID: WQ8MAXISYSMS908S

Report Type: Product Type:

Original Report AUTOMOTIVE DIAGNOSTIC &

ANALYSIS SYSTEM

Oscar. Ye

**Report Number:** RSZ170419010-20A

**Report Date:** 2017-06-12

Oscar Ye

Reviewed By: Manager

Prepared By: Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Kunshan)

No.248 Chenghu Road, Kunshan,

Jiangsu province, China. Tel: +86-0512-86175000 Fax: +86-0512-88934268 www.baclcorp.com.cn

Attestation of Test Results						
	Company Name	Autel Intelligent Tech. Corp., Ltd.				
	<b>EUT Description</b>	AUTOMOTIVE DIAGNOSTIC & ANALYSIS	SYSTEM			
EUT Information	Model Number	Tested Model: MaxiSys MS908S Multiple Models: MaxiSys MS908S Pro, MaxiSys ADAS, MaxiSys CV				
	FCC ID WQ8MAXISYSMS908S					
	<b>Test Date</b>	2017-05-20				
MODE		Max. SAR Level(s) Reported(W/Kg)	Limit (W/Kg)			
<b>2.4G WLAN (802.11b)</b> 1g Body SAR		0.44				
5.2G WLAN (802.11a)	1g Body SAR	1.00				
<b>5.8G WLAN (802.11a)</b> 1g Body SAR		1.03				
Bluetooth (EDR) 1g Body SAR		0.13				
Simultaneous	1g Body SAR	1.16				

### Note:

For 5.2G WLAN and 5.8G WLAN mode stand-alone SAR and related information, please refer to the SAR report: RSZ170419010-20B, which was issued by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp.

	FCC 47 CFR part 2.1093 Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices
	IEEE1528:2013
	IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific
	Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices:
	Measurement Techniques
	IEC 62209-2:2010
Applicable Standards	Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices-Human models, instrumentation, and procedures-Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)
	KDB procedures
	KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06.
	KDB 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04
	KDB 865664 D02 RF Exposure Reporting v01r02
	KDB 248227 D01 802.11 WLAN SAR v02r02

**Note:** This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Standards and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013 and RF exposure KDB procedures.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

SAR Evaluation Report 2 of 35

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	4
EUT DESCRIPTION	5
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION	5
REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES	6
SAR LIMITS	7
FACILITIES	8
POWER REFERENCE MEASUREMENT AREA SCAN ZOOM SCAN	9
POWER DRIFT MEASUREMENTZ-SCAN	10
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM	11
EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION	16
EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION	16
SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION	17
Liquid Verification	17
EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY	20
SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURE	22
CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT	23
PROVISION APPLICABLE	
MAXIMUM OUTPUT POWER AMONG PRODUCTION UNITSTEST RESULTS:	
SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	28
2.4 GHz 802.11g/n OFDM SAR TEST EXCLUSION CONSIDERATION:	29
APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	33

# **DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY**

Revision Number	Report Number	Description of Revision	Date of Revision
0	RSZ170419010-20A	Original Report	2017-06-12

## Note:

For 5.2G WLAN and 5.8G WLAN mode stand-alone SAR and related information, please refer to the SAR report: RSZ170419010-20B, which was issued by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp.

SAR Evaluation Report 4 of 35

## **EUT DESCRIPTION**

This report has been prepared on behalf of Autel Intelligent Tech. Corp., Ltd. and their product, FCC ID: WQ8MAXISYSMS908S, Model: MaxiSys MS908S or the EUT (Equipment under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report.

Report No: RSZ170419010-20A

#### Note:

- 1. This series products model: MaxiSys MS908S Pro, MaxiSys ADAS, MaxiSys CV and MaxiSys MS908S are identical; they have the identical schematics, only named differently. Model MaxiSys MS908S was selected for fully testing, the detailed information can be referred to the declaration which was stated and guaranteed by the applicant.
- 2. All measurement and test data in this report was gathered from production sample serial number: 17041901003(Assigned by BACL, Kunshan). The EUT supplied by the applicant was received on 2017-04-25.

## **Technical Specification**

Product Type	Portable
Exposure Category:	Population / Uncontrolled
Antenna Type(s):	Internal Antenna
Body-Worn Accessories:	None
Face-Head Accessories:	None
Operation Mode :	WLAN and Bluetooth
Frequency Band:	WLAN 2.4G (802.11b/g/n20): 2412-2462MHz WLAN 5.2G (802.11a/n/ac): 5180-5240MHz WLAN 5.8G (802.11a/n/ac): 5745-5825MHz Bluetooth:2402-2480MHz
Dimensions (L*W*H):	30 cm (L) x22 cm (W) x 5 cm (H)
Power Source:	3.7V DC Rechargeable Battery
Normal Operation: Body Supported and Handheld	

SAR Evaluation Report 5 of 35

## REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES

### FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For portable devices, the RF radiation exposure evaluation requirement was provided in part 2.1093. According to KDB447498 D01 "General RF Exposure Guidance", the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

Report No: RSZ170419010-20A

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices.

### CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For portable devices, the limitation of exposure of the general public to electromagnetic fields was recommended on Council Recommendation 1999/519/EC. According to the Standard IEC62209-1/2, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body portable devices.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

SAR Evaluation Report 6 of 35

### **SAR Limits**

## FCC Limit (1g Tissue)

Report No: RSZ170419010-20A

	SAR (W/kg)				
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)			
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4			
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60	8.0			
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0			

## CE Limit (10g Tissue)

	SAR (W/kg)				
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)			
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4			
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue)	2.0	10			
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0			

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

SAR Evaluation Report 7 of 35

# **FACILITIES**

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (kunshan) to collect test data is located on No.248 Chenghu Road, Kunshan, Jiangsu province, China.

SAR Evaluation Report 8 of 35

#### **DASY4 SAR Evaluation Procedure**

#### **Power Reference Measurement**

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurement jobs are useful jobs for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. Both jobs measure the field at a specified reference position, at a selectable distance from the phantom surface. The reference position can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section. The reference job projects the selected point onto the phantom surface, orients the probe perpendicularly to the surface, and approaches the surface using the selected detection method. The Minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. By default, the Minimum distance of probe sensors to surface is 4mm. This distance can be modified by the user, but cannot be smaller than the Distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties.


#### Area Scan


The Area Scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in DASY4 software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids.

The scanning area is defined by an editable grid. This grid is anchored at the grid reference point of the selected section in the phantom. When the Area Scan's property sheet is brought-up, grid settings can be edited by a user.

When an Area Scan has measured all reachable points, it computes the field maxima found in the scanned area, within a range of the global maximum. The range (in dB) is specified in the standards for compliance testing. For example, a 2 dB range is required in IEEE 1528-2013, IEC 62209-1:2006 and IEC 62209-2:2010 standards, whereby 3 dB is a requirement when compliance is assessed in accordance with the ARIB standard (Japan). If only one Zoom Scan follows the Area Scan, then only the absolute maximum will be taken as reference. For cases where multiple maximums are detected, the number of Zoom Scans has to be increased accordingly.

After measurement is completed, all maxima and their coordinates are listed in the Results property page. The maximum selected in the list is highlighted in the 3-D view. For the secondary maxima returned from an Area Scan, the user can specify a lower limit (peak SAR value), in addition to the Find secondary maxima within x dB condition. Only the primary maximum and any secondary maxima within x dB from the primary maximum and above this limit will be measured.





SAR Evaluation Report 9 of 35

### Zoom Scan

Zoom Scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The default Zoom Scan measures 5 x 5 x 7 points within a cube whose base faces are centered around the maxima found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. When the measurement is done, the Zoom Scan evaluates the averaged SAR for 1 g and 10 g and displays these values next to the job's label.

Report No: RSZ170419010-20A

#### Power drift measurement

The Power Drift Measurement job measures the field at the same location as the most recent power reference measurement job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The Power Drift Measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last Power Reference Measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for one reference measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. The measurement procedure is the same as Step 1.

#### Z-Scan

The Z Scan job measures points along a vertical straight line. The line runs along the Z axis of a one-dimensional grid. A user can anchor the grid to the section reference point, to any defined user point or to the current probe location. As with any other grids, the local Z axis of the anchor location establishes the Z axis of the grid.



SAR Evaluation Report 10 of 35

# **DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM**

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY4 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) which is the Fifth generation of the system shown in the figure hereinafter:



## **DASY4 System Description**

The DASY4 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:



SAR Evaluation Report 11 of 35

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Staubli TX=RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- An isotropic field probe optimized and calibrated for the targeted measurement.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplication, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion from optical to electrical signals for the digital
  communication to the DAE. To use optical surface detection, a special version of the EOC is required. The EOC
  signal is transmitted to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- The Light Beam used is for probe alignment. This improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer running Win7 profesional operating system and the DASY42 software.
- Remote control and teach pendant as well as additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The phantom, the device holder and other accessories according to the targeted measurement.

#### **DASY4 Measurement Server**

The DASY4 measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with a 400MHz intel ULV Celeron, 128MB chip-disk and 128MB RAM. The necessary circuits for communication with the DAE4 (or DAE3) electronics box, as well as the 16 bit AD converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY4 I/O board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.



The measurement server performs all real-time data evaluation of field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operation. The PC operating system cannot interfere with these time critical processes. All connections are supervised by a watchdog, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program-controlled robot movements. Furthermore, the measurement server is equipped with an expansion port which is reserved for future applications. Please note that this expansion port does not have a standardized point out, and therefore only devices provided by SPEAG can be connected. Devices from any other supplier could seriously damage the measurement server.

#### **Data Acquisition Electronics**

The data acquisition electronics (DAE4) consist of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifer with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder with a control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information, as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.

The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection.

The input impedance of both the DAE4 as well as of the DAE3 box is 200MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.

SAR Evaluation Report 12 of 35

#### **EX3DV4 E-Field Probes**

Frequency	10 MHz to > 6 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in TSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in TSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	$10 \mu W/g$ to > 100 mW/g Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (noise: typically < 1 μW/g)
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm)  Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm)  Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm
Application	High precision dosimetric measurements in any exposure scenario (e.g., very strong gradient fields); the only probe that enables compliance testing for frequencies up to 6 GHz with precision of better 30%.
Compatibility	DASY3, DASY4, DASY42 SAR and higher, EASY4/MRI

#### **SAM Twin Phantom**

The SAM twin phantom is a fiberglass shell phantom with 2mm shell thickness (except the ear region, where shell thickness increases to 6 mm). The phantom has three measurement areas:

- \_ Left hand
- \_ Right hand
- \_ Flat phantom

The phantom table for the DASY systems based on the TX90XL and RX160L robots have the size of  $100 \times 50 \times 85$  cm (L xWx H). The phantom table for the compact DASY systems based on the RX60L robot have the size of  $100 \times 75 \times 91$  cm (L xWx H); these tables are reinforced for mounting of the robot onto the table.



For easy dislocation these tables have fork lift cut outs at the bottom.

The bottom plate contains three pairs of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections. Only one device holder is necessary if two phantoms are used (e.g., for different liquids)

A white cover is provided to cover the phantom during o\_-periods to prevent water evaporation and changes in the liquid parameters. Free space scans of devices on top of this phantom cover are possible.

Three reference marks are provided on the phantom counter. These reference marks are used to teach the absolute phantom position relative to the robot.

SAR Evaluation Report 13 of 35

#### **Robots**

The DASY4 system uses the high precision industrial robots RX90XL from Staubli SA (France). The TX robot family is the successor of the well known RX robot family and offers the same features important for our application:

Report No: RSZ170419010-20A

- High precision (repeatability 0.02mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Low maintenance costs (virtually maintenance free due to direct drive gears; no belt drives)
- Jerk-free straight movements (brushless synchron motors; no stepper motors)
- Low ELF interference (motor control fields shielded via the closed metallic construction shields)

The above mentioned robots are controlled by the Staubli CS8c robot controllers. All information regarding the use and maintenance of the robot arm and the robot controller is contained on the CDs delivered along with the robot. Paper manuals are available upon request direct from Staubli.

#### **Area Scans**

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 10mm2 step integral, with 1mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.

Where the system identifies multiple SAR peaks (which are within 25% of peak value) the system will provide the user with the option of assessing each peak location individually for zoom scan averaging.

#### **Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)**

The averaging zoom scan volume utilized in the DASY4 software is in the shape of a cube and the side dimension of a 1 g or 10 g mass is dependent on the density of the liquid representing the simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m3 is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1 g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10 g cube 21,5mm.

When the cube intersects with the surface of the phantom, it is oriented so that 3 vertices touch the surface of the shell or the center of a face is tangent to the surface. The face of the cube closest to the surface is modified in order to conform to the tangent surface.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications (including FCC) utilize a physical step of 5x5x8 (8mmx8mmx5mm) providing a volume of 32mm in the X & Y axis, and 35mm in the Z axis.

SAR Evaluation Report 14 of 35

## **Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms**

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.

### Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body

Frequency	Head	Tissue	<b>Body Tissue</b>		
(MHz)	εr	O'(S/m)	εr	O'(S/m)	
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80	
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92	
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94	
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97	
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05	
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06	
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30	
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40	
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52	
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95	
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73	
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00	

SAR Evaluation Report 15 of 35

# **EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION**

# **Equipments List & Calibration Information**

Equipment	Model	S/N	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
Robot	RX90	5L79A1	N/A	N/A
DASY4 Test Software	DASY4.5	N/A	N/A	N/A
DASY4 Measurement Server	DASY 4.5.12	1180	N/A	N/A
Data Acquistion Electronics	DAE4	772	2016/10/25	2017/10/24
E-Field Probe	EX3DV4	7382	2016/10/26	2017/10/25
Dipole,2450 MHz	D2450V2	970	2015/07/08	2018/07/07
Mounting Device	SD 000 H01 KA	N/A	N/A	N/A
Twin SAM	Twin SAM V5.0	1909	N/A	N/A
Simulated Tissue 2450 MHz Body	TS-2450-B	N/A	Each Time	/
Network Analyzer	8753B	2625A00809	2016/10/06	2017/10/05
S-Parameter Test Set	85047A	3033A02428	2016/10/06	2017/10/05
Dielectric probe kit	85070B	US33020324	N/A	N/A
Signal Generator	SMBV100A	261558	2016-07-04	2017-07-03
Power Meter	E4419B	MY41291878	2017/01/23	2018/01/22
Power Meter Sensor	E9301A	US39210953	2016/05/30	2017/05/29
Power Amplifier	10S1G4M1	18060	N/A	N/A
Directional Coupler	488Z	N/A	N/A	N/A
Attenuator	20dB, 100W	N/A	N/A	N/A
Attenuator	3dB, 150W	N/A	N/A	N/A

Report No: RSZ170419010-20A

SAR Evaluation Report 16 of 35

# SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

# **Liquid Verification**



Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

# **Liquid Verification Results**

Frequency	Liquid	Liquid Parameter		Target Value		Delta (%)		Tolerance
(MHz)	Туре	-			$\Delta \epsilon_{ m r}$	ΔΟ (S/m)	(%)	
2402	Simulated Tissue 2450MHz Body	51.93	1.94	52.76	1.90	-1.573	2.105	±5
2412	Simulated Tissue 2450MHz Body	51.88	1.93	52.75	1.91	-1.649	1.047	±5
2441	Simulated Tissue 2450MHz Body	52.15	1.96	52.71	1.94	-1.062	1.031	±5
2442	Simulated Tissue 2450MHz Body	52.03	1.96	52.71	1.94	-1.290	1.031	±5
2450	Simulated Tissue 2450MHz Body	51.85	1.97	52.70	1.95	-1.613	1.026	±5
2462	Simulated Tissue 2450MHz Body	51.10	2.01	52.67	1.98	-2.981	1.515	±5
2480	Simulated Tissue 2450MHz Body	51.46	2.03	52.66	1.99	-2.279	2.010	±5

<sup>\*</sup>Liquid Verification was performed on 2017-05-20.

SAR Evaluation Report 17 of 35

## **System Accuracy Verification**

Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of  $\pm 10\%$ . The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

## **System Verification Setup Block Diagram**



## **System Accuracy Check Results**

Date	Frequency Band	Liquid Type	Measured SAR (W/Kg)		Target Value (W/Kg)	Delta (%)	Tolerance (%)
2017-05-20	2450	Body	1g	52.6	51.3	2.534	±10

#### Note:

The power inputted to dipole is 0.1Watt; the SAR values are normalized to 1 Watt forward power by multiplying 10 times.

SAR Evaluation Report 18 of 35

#### SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA

### **DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; S/N: 970**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.97 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\varepsilon_r = 51.85$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7382; ConvF(7.88, 7.88, 7.88); Calibrated: 26/10/2016

- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE - SN772; Calibrated: 25/10/2016

- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: Twin SAM V5.0; Serial: 1909

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

**2450 Body system check/Area Scan (71x91x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 6.23 mW/g


**2450 Body system check/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 50.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.075 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 11.7 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 5.26 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.51 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 6.15 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 19 of 35

#### **EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY**


### **Body-supported device**

A typical example of a body supported device is a wireless enabled laptop device that among other orientations may be supported on the thighs of a sitting user. To represent this orientation, the device shall be positioned with its base against the flat phantom.

Report No: RSZ170419010-20A


Other orientations may be specified by the manufacturer in the user instructions. If the intended use is not specified, the device shall be tested directly against the flat phantom in all usable orientations. The screen portion of the device shall be in an open position at a 90° angle as seen in Figure a (left side), or at an operating angle specified for intended use by the manufacturer in the operating instructions. Where a body supported device has an integral screen required for normal operation, then the screen-side will not need to be tested if it ordinarily remains 200 mm from the body. Where a screen mounted antenna is present, this position shall be repeated with the screen against the flat phantom as shown in Figure 7a) (right side), if this is consistent with the intended use.

Other devices that fall into this category include tablet type portable computers and credit card transaction authorisation terminals, point-of-sale and/or inventory terminals. Where these devices may be torso or limb-supported, the same principles for body-supported devices are applied. The example in Figure b) shows a tablet form factor portable computer for which SAR should be separately assessed with d) each surface and e) the separation distances positioned against the flat phantom that correspond to the intended use as specified by the manufacturer. If the intended use is not specified in the user instructions, the device shall be tested directly against the flat phantom in all usable orientations. Some body-supported devices may allow testing with an external power supply (e.g. a.c. adapter) supplemental to the battery, but it shall be verified and documented in the measurement report that SAR is still conservative.




a) Portable computer with external antenna plug-in-radio-card (left side) or with internal antenna located in screen section (right side)

SAR Evaluation Report 20 of 35



b) Tablet form factor portable computer



c) Wireless credit card transaction authorisation terminal

Figure 7 – Test positions for body supported devices

## Test positions for Hand-held device

Hand-held device means a portable device which is located in a user's hand during its intended use Hand-held usage of the device, not at the head or torso. The device shall be placed directly against the flat phantom as shown in Figure J.1, for those sides of the device that are in contact with the hand during intended use.




Figure J.1 - Test position for hand-held devices, not used at the head or torso

SAR Evaluation Report 21 of 35

#### **SAR Evaluation Procedure**

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.

Report No: RSZ170419010-20A

- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
  - 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
  - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.


SAR Evaluation Report 22 of 35

## CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT

## **Provision Applicable**

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the EMI Test Receiver through sufficient attenuation.



WLAN / Bluetooth

## **Maximum Output Power among production units**

Max Target Power for Production Unit (dBm)								
Band/Mode			Channel					
Danu	Wiode	Low	Middle	High				
Bluetooth(GFSK)		16.70	16.50	15.50				
Bluetooth( 7	Bluetooth( π /4-DQPSK)		16.00	15.00				
Bluetooth	(8-DPSK)	16.70	16.00	14.50				
	802.11b	15.20	15.20	15.20				
WLAN 2.4G	802.11g	15.10	15.10	15.10				
	802.11n HT20	14.80	14.80	14.80				

#### Note:

For 5.2G WLAN and 5.8G WLAN mode stand-alone SAR and related information, please refer to the SAR report: RSZ170419010-20B, which was issued by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp.

### **Test Results:**

### **Bluetooth:**

Mode	Channel	Channel frequency	Conducted C	Output Power
Mode	No.	(MHz)	(dBm)	(mW)
	0	2402	16.54	45.082
BDR(GFSK)	39	2441	16.30	42.658
	78	2480	15.23	33.343
	0	2402	16.43	43.954
EDR( π /4-DQPSK)	39	2441	15.62	36.475
	78	2480	14.73	29.717
	0	2402	16.69	46.666
EDR(8-DPSK)	39	2441	15.89	38.815
	78	2480	14.34	27.164

**Note:** The data was tested in conducted mode.

SAR Evaluation Report 23 of 35

Band	Channel	Frequency	Conducted C	Output Power
Banu	No.	(MHz)	(dBm)	(mW)
	1	2412	14.13	25.882
802.11b	7	2442	14.51	28.249
	11	2462	15.19	33.037
	1	2412	13.94	24.774
802.11g	7	2442	14.40	27.542
	11	2462	15.03	31.842
	1	2412	13.75	23.714
802.11n HT20	7	2442	14.76	29.923
Ī	11	2462	13.99	25.061

Report No: RSZ170419010-20A

## Note:

1. The output power was tested under data rate 1Mbps for 802.11b, 6Mbps for 802.11g, MCS0 for 802.11n HT20.


SAR Evaluation Report 24 of 35

# **EUT Test Description:**



The upper photo is the complete appearance of the EUT. For conservative consideration and in order to make it easier to test, the four rubber foots are took off when SAR test.

SAR Evaluation Report 25 of 35



### Antenna Distance To Edge

Antenna Distance To Edge(mm)								
Mode Left Right Front Back Top Botton						Bottom		
WLAN 22 235 <10 <10 <5 188								
Bluetooth	277	10	<10	<10	60	149		

**Note:** When the separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 0 mm is applied to determine SAR test Exclusion. When the separation distance is < 10 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test Exclusion.

### SAR test exclusion for the EUT edge considerations Result

SAR Test Exclusion for the EUT Edges Considerations								
Mode Left Right Front Back Top Bottom								
WLAN	WLAN Judge Judge Exclusion Judge Judge Ju							
Bluetooth	Judge	Judge	Exclusion	Judge	Judge	Judge		

Note:

**Required:** The SAR test is required as Standalone SAR test exclusion considerations table.

**Exclusion:** In normal operation mode, the Front side (screen) doesn't touch the user in the intended use, so SAR is not

required.

Judge: Please refer the below tables for detail.

SAR Evaluation Report 26 of 35

#### SAR test exclusion for the EUT edge considerations detail:

#### Note:

For 5.2G WLAN and 5.8G WLAN mode stand-alone SAR and related information, please refer to the SAR report: RSZ170419010-20B, which was issued by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp.

Report No: RSZ170419010-20A

#### Distance < 50mm

Mode	Edge	Frequency (MHz)	Pavg (dBm)	Pavg (mW)	Distance (mm)	Calculated value	Threshold (1-g)	SAR Test required
	Left	2462	15.20	33.11	22	2.4	3.0	No
WLAN 2.4G	Back	2462	15.20	33.11	5	10.4	3.0	Yes
	Тор	2462	15.20	33.11	0	10.4	3.0	Yes
Dlustooth	Back	2402	16.70	46.77	5	14.7	3.0	Yes
Bluetooth	Right	2402	16.70	46.77	10	7.4	3.0	Yes

The 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distances  $\leq$  50 mm are determined by:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)]

 $[\sqrt{f(GHz)}] \le 3.0$  for 1-g SAR and  $\le 7.5$  for 10-g extremity SAR, where

- 1. f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz.
- 2. Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation.
- 3. The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison.
- 4. When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test Exclusion.

#### Distance > 50mm

Mode	Edge	Frequency (MHz)	Pavg (dBm)	Pavg (mW)	Distance (mm)	Test exclusion Threshold (mW)	SAR Test required
WLAN 2.4G	Right	2462	15.20	33.11	235	1945.40	No
WLAN 2.4G	Bottom	2462	15.20	33.11	188	1475.40	No
	Left	2402	16.70	46.77	277	2365.25	No
Bluetooth	Тор	2402	16.70	46.77	60	195.25	No
	Bottom	2402	16.70	46.77	149	1085.25	No

At 100 MHz to 6 GHz and for *test separation distances* > 50 mm, the SAR test exclusion threshold is determined according to the following:

- a) [Power allowed at numeric threshold for 50 mm in step 1) + (test separation distance 50 mm)·( f(MHz)/150)] mW, at 100 MHz to 1500 MHz
- b) [Power allowed at numeric threshold for 50 mm in step 1) + (test separation distance 50 mm)  $\cdot$  10] mW at > 1500 MHz and  $\leq$  6 GHz

SAR Evaluation Report 27 of 35

## SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

#### **SAR Test Data**

#### **Environmental Conditions**

Temperature:	21.3-22.1 °C
Relative Humidity:	53 %
ATM Pressure:	1006 mbar
Test Date:	2017-05-20

Testing was performed by Jack Xu, Apple Wu, Judy Huang.

#### Note:

For 5.2G WLAN and 5.8G WLAN mode stand-alone SAR and related information, please refer to the SAR report: RSZ170419010-20B, which was issued by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp.

### WLAN(802.11b)

EUT	Frequency (MHz)	Meas. Avg. Power	Max. Rated Avg.	1	g SAR V	alue (W/K	g)
Position	(MIIIZ)	(dBm)	Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	Plot
	2412	/	/	/	/	/	/
Body-Back (0mm)	2442	/	/	/	/	/	/
(OIIIII)	2462	15.19	15.20	1.002	0.068	0.068	1#
	2412	/	/	/	/	/	/
Body-Top (0mm)	2442	/	/	/	/	/	/
(omm)	2462	15.19	15.20	1.002	0.436	0.437	2#

### Note:

- 1. When the 1-g SAR is  $\leq$  0.8W/Kg, testing for other channel is optional. 2. When SAR or MPE is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production units, the results must be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit according to the power applied to the individual channels tested to determine compliance.
- 3. SAR is measured using the highest measured maximum output power channel for the initial test configuration.

SAR Evaluation Report 28 of 35

## 2.4 GHz 802.11g/n OFDM SAR Test Exclusion Consideration:

Modulation Mode	Pavg (dBm)	Pavg (mW)	Reported SAR(W/kg)	Adjusted SAR(W/kg)	Limit(W/kg)	SAR Test Exclusion
802.11b(DSSS)	15.20	33.11	0.437	/	/	/
802.11g(OFDM)	15.10	32.36	/	0.43	1.2	Yes
802.11n HT20(OFDM)	14.80	30.20	/	0.40	1.2	Yes

#### Note:

KDB 248227 D01-When SAR measurement is required for 2.4 GHz 802.11g/n OFDM configurations, the measurement and test reduction procedures for OFDM are applied. SAR is not required for the following 2.4 GHz OFDM conditions.

- a) When KDB Publication 447498 D01 SAR test exclusion applies to the OFDM configuration.
- b) When the highest *reported* SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is  $\leq 1.2$  W/kg.

SAR Evaluation Report 29 of 35

### **Bluetooth(EDR):**

EUT	Frequency	Meas. Avg. Power	Max. Rated Avg.	1 :	g SAR Va	alue (W/k	Kg)
Position	(MHz)	(dBm)	Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	Plot
	2402	16.69	16.70	1.002	0.101	0.101	3#
Body-Back (0mm)	2441	/	/	/	/	/	/
(omm)	2480	/	/	/	/	/	/
Dada Diale	2402	16.69	16.70	1.002	0.133	0.133	4#
Body-Right	2441	/	/	/	/	/	/
(0mm)	2480	1	/	/	/	/	/

#### Note:

- When the 1-g SAR is ≤ 0.8W/Kg, testing for other channel is optional.
   When SAR or MPE is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production units, the results must be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit according to the power applied to the individual channels tested to determine compliance.
- 3. SAR is measured using the highest measured maximum output power channel for the initial test configuration.

30 of 35 SAR Evaluation Report

# **SAR Measurement Variability**

In accordance with published RF Exposure KDB procedure 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results

Report No: RSZ170419010-20A

- 1) Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg.
- 2) When the original highest measured SAR is  $\geq 0.80$  W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is  $\ge 1.45$  W/kg ( $\sim 10\%$  from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20.

### The Highest Measured SAR Configuration in Each Frequency Band

#### **Body**

			Meas. SA	R (W/kg)	Largest to	
Frequency Band	Freq.(MHz)	EUT Position	Original	Repeated	Smallest SAR Ratio	
/	/	/	/	/	/	


#### Note:

Second Repeated Measurement is not required since the ratio of the largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurement is not > 1.20.

SAR Evaluation Report 31 of 35

# SAR SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION DESCRIPTION

### **Antenna Location:**



## **Simultaneous Transmission:**

Description of Simultaneo	Antonnos Distonos (mm)		
Transmitter Combination	Simultaneous?	Hotspot?	Antennas Distance (mm)
Bluetooth + WLAN	$\sqrt{}$	×	222

## Simultaneous SAR test exclusion considerations:

### WLAN with BT:

Band	Danisia	Reported	SAR (W/kg)	ΣSAR	
	Position	WLAN	BT	< 1.6W/kg	
WLAN 2.4G + BT	Body	0.437	0.133	0.570	
WLAN 5.2G + BT	Body	1.00	0.133	1.133	
WLAN 5.8G + BT	Body	1.03	0.133	1.163	

## **Conclusion:**

**ΣSAR < 1.6 W/kg** therefore simultaneous transmission SAR with Volume Scans is **not** required.

SAR Evaluation Report 32 of 35

# APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the measurement system and is given in the following Table.

## Measurement uncertainty evaluation for IEEE1528-2013 SAR test

Source of uncertainty	Tolerance/ uncertainty ± %	Probability distribution	Divisor	ci (1 g)	ci (10 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (1 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (10 g)		
Measurement system									
Probe calibration	6.55	N	1	1	1	6.6	6.6		
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7		
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	√3	0	0	0.0	0.0		
Boundary effect	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6		
Linearity	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7		
Detection limits	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6		
Readout electronics	0.3	N	1	1	1	0.3	0.3		
Response time	0.0	R	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0		
Integration time	0.0	R	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0		
RF ambient conditions – noise	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6		
RF ambient conditions–reflections	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6		
Probe positioner mech. Restrictions	0.8	R	√3	1	1	0.5	0.5		
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	6.7	R	√3	1	1	3.9	3.9		
Post-processing	2.0	R	√3	1	1	1.2	1.2		
		Test sample	erelated						
Test sample positioning	2.8	N	1	1	1	2.8	2.8		
Device holder uncertainty	6.3	N	1	1	1	6.3	6.3		
Drift of output power	5.0	R	√3	1	1	2.9	2.9		
		Phantom an	d set-up						
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	4.0	R	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3		
Liquid conductivity target)	5.0	R	√3	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2		
Liquid conductivity meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1		
Liquid permittivity target)	5.0	R	√3	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4		
Liquid permittivity meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2		
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS				12.2	12.0		
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence interval)						24.3	23.9		

SAR Evaluation Report 33 of 35

# Measurement uncertainty evaluation for IEC62209-2 SAR test

Source of uncertainty	Tolerance/ uncertainty ± %	Probability distribution	Divisor	ci (1 g)	ci (10 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (1 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (10 g)		
Measurement system									
Probe calibration	6.55	N	1	1	1	6.6	6.6		
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7		
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	√3	0	0	0.0	0.0		
Linearity	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7		
Modulation Response	0.0	R	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0		
Detection limits	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6		
Boundary effect	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6		
Readout electronics	0.3	N	1	1	1	0.3	0.3		
Response time	0.0	R	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0		
Integration time	0.0	R	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0		
RF ambient conditions – noise	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6		
RF ambient conditions–reflections	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6		
Probe positioner mech. Restrictions	0.8	R	√3	1	1	0.5	0.5		
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	6.7	R	√3	1	1	3.9	3.9		
Post-processing	2.0	R	√3	1	1	1.2	1.2		
		Test sample	e related						
Device holder Uncertainty	6.3	N	1	1	1	6.3	6.3		
Test sample positioning	2.8	N	1	1	1	2.8	2.8		
Power scaling	4.5	R	√3	1	1	2.6	2.6		
Drift of output power	5.0	R	√3	1	1	2.9	2.9		
		Phantom an	d set-up			_			
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	4.0	R	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3		
Algorithm for correcting SAR for deviations in permittivity and conductivity	1.9	N	1	1	0.84	1.1	0.9		
Liquid conductivity (meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1		
Liquid permittivity (meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2		
Temp. unc Conductivity	1.7	R	√3	0.78	0.71	0.8	0.7		
Temp. unc Permittivity	0.3	R	√3	0.23	0.26	0.0	0.0		
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS				12.2	12.1		
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence interval)						24.5	24.2		

SAR Evaluation Report 34 of 35

# **Appendixes**

Refer to separated files for the following appendixes.

APPENDIX B PROBE & DIPOLES CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES. APPENDIX C TEST POSITION PHOTOS. APPENDIX D SAR Plots.

\*\*\*\*\* END OF REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

Report No: RSZ170419010-20A

SAR Evaluation Report 35 of 35