

**Amber Helm Development L.C.**

92723 Michigan Hwy-152

Sister Lakes, Michigan 49047 USA

Tel: 888-847-8027

**EMC Test Report**

**MTB11-WR1913TX**  
Issued: August 20, 2019

regarding

**USA: CFR Title 47, Part 15.209 (Emissions)**  
**Canada: ISED RSS-210/GNe (Emissions)**

for



**MTB11**

**Category: Electronic Door Access Pad**

Judgments:

**15.209/RSS-210 Compliant Transmitter**

Testing Completed: August 20, 2019



Prepared for:


**Schlage Lock Company / Allegion**

11819 North Pennsylvania Street, Carmel Indiana 46032 USA


Phone: +1 (317) 810-3700, Fax: +1 (317) 810-3051

Contact: Frank Nardelli, Frank.Nardelli@allegion.com


Data Recorded by:

  
Dr. Joseph Brunett, EMC-002790-NE

Prepared by:

  
Dr. Joseph Brunett, EMC-002790-NE

Reviewed by:

  
Gordon Helm, EMC-002401-NE

Date of Issue: August 20, 2019

## Revision History

Rev. No.	Date	Details	Revised By
r0	August 20, 2019	Initial Release.	J. Brunett
r1	September 7, 2019	Revisions inline with reviewer comments.	J. Brunett

## Contents

<b>Revision History</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1 Test Report Scope and Limitations</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1 Laboratory Authorization . . . . .	4
1.2 Report Retention . . . . .	4
1.3 Subcontracted Testing . . . . .	4
1.4 Test Data . . . . .	4
1.5 Limitation of Results . . . . .	4
1.6 Copyright . . . . .	4
1.7 Endorsements . . . . .	4
1.8 Test Location . . . . .	5
1.9 Traceability and Equipment Used . . . . .	5
<b>2 Test Specifications and Procedures</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Test Specification and General Procedures . . . . .	6
<b>3 Configuration and Identification of the Equipment Under Test</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1 Description and Declarations . . . . .	7
3.1.1 EUT Configuration . . . . .	8
3.1.2 Modes of Operation . . . . .	8
3.1.3 Variants . . . . .	8
3.1.4 Test Samples . . . . .	9
3.1.5 Functional Exerciser . . . . .	9
3.1.6 Modifications Made . . . . .	9
3.1.7 Production Intent . . . . .	9
3.1.8 Declared Exemptions and Additional Product Notes . . . . .	9
<b>4 Emissions</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1 General Test Procedures . . . . .	10
4.1.1 Radiated Test Setup and Procedures . . . . .	10
4.1.2 Conducted Emissions Test Setup and Procedures . . . . .	12
4.1.3 Power Supply Variation . . . . .	12
4.2 Intentional Emissions . . . . .	14
4.2.1 Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation . . . . .	14
4.2.2 Fundamental Emission Bandwidth . . . . .	16
4.2.3 Fundamental Emission . . . . .	17
4.3 Unintentional Emissions . . . . .	18
4.3.1 Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions . . . . .	18
4.3.2 General Radiated Spurious . . . . .	20
4.3.3 Conducted Emissions Test Results - AC Power Port(s) . . . . .	21
<b>5 Measurement Uncertainty and Accreditation Documents</b>	<b>22</b>

## List of Tables

1	Test Site List.	5
2	Equipment List.	5
3	EUT Declarations.	7
4	Pulsed Emission Characteristics (Duty Cycle).	14
5	Intentional Emission Bandwidth.	16
6	Fundamental Radiated Emissions.	17
7	Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions.	18
7	Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions.	19
8	Radiated Digital Spurious Emissions.	20
9	AC Mains Power Conducted Emissions Results.	21
10	Measurement Uncertainty.	22

## List of Figures

1	Photos of EUT.	7
2	EUT Test Configuration Diagram.	8
3	Radiated Emissions Diagram of the EUT.	10
4	Radiated Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s).	11
5	Conducted Emissions Setup Diagram of the EUT.	12
6	Conducted Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s).	13
7	Pulsed Emission Characteristics (Duty Cycle).	14
7	Pulsed Emission Characteristics (Duty Cycle).	15
8	Intentional Emission Bandwidth.	16
9	Accreditation Documents	22

## 1 Test Report Scope and Limitations

### 1.1 Laboratory Authorization

Test Facility description and attenuation characteristics are on file with the FCC Laboratory, Columbia, Maryland (FCC Reg. No: US5348 and US5356) and with ISED Canada, Ottawa, ON (File Ref. No: 3161A and 24249). Amber Helm Development L.C. holds accreditation under NVLAP Lab Code 200129-0.

### 1.2 Report Retention

For equipment verified to comply with the regulations herein, the manufacturer is obliged to retain this report with the product records for the life of the product, and no less than ten years. A copy of this Report will remain on file with this laboratory until September 2029.

### 1.3 Subcontracted Testing

This report does not contain data produced under subcontract.

### 1.4 Test Data

This test report contains data included within the laboratories scope of accreditation.

### 1.5 Limitation of Results

The test results contained in this report relate only to the item(s) tested. Any electrical or mechanical modification made to the test item subsequent to the test date shall invalidate the data presented in this report. Any electrical or mechanical modification made to the test item subsequent to this test date shall require reevaluation.

### 1.6 Copyright

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Amber Helm Development L.C.

### 1.7 Endorsements

This report shall not be used to claim product endorsement by any accrediting, regulatory, or governmental agency.

## 1.8 Test Location

The EUT was fully tested by **Amber Helm Development L.C.**, headquartered at 92723 Michigan Hwy-152, Sister Lakes, Michigan 49047 USA. Table 1 lists all sites employed herein. Specific test sites utilized are also listed in the test results sections of this report where needed.

Table 1: Test Site List.

Description	Location	Quality Num.
OATS (3 meter)	3615 E Grand River Rd., Williamston, Michigan 48895	OATSC

## 1.9 Traceability and Equipment Used

Pertinent test equipment used for measurements at this facility is listed in Table 2. The quality system employed at Amber Helm Development L.C. has been established to ensure all equipment has a clearly identifiable classification, calibration expiry date, and that all calibrations are traceable to the SI through NIST, other recognized national laboratories, accepted fundamental or natural physical constants, ratio type of calibration, or by comparison to consensus standards.

Table 2: Equipment List.

Description	Manufacturer/Model	SN	Quality Num.	Last Cal By / Date Due
Biconical	EMCO / 93110B	9802-3039	BICEMCO01	Keysight / Aug-2020
Log Periodic Antenna	EMCO / 3146	9305-3614	LOGEMCO01	Keysight / Aug-2020
BNC-BNC Coax	WRTL / RG58/U	001	CAB001-BLACK	AHD / Jul-2020
3.5-3.5MM Coax	PhaseFlex / PhaseFlex	001	CAB015-PURP	AHD / Jul-2020
Spectrum Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz / FSV30	101660	RSFSV30001	RS / Apr-2021
Quad Ridge Horn	Singer / A6100	C35200	HQR1TO18S01	Keysight / Aug-2020
LISN	Solar / 8012-50-R-24-BNC	962138	LISN7	AHD / April-2021
Shielded Loop Antenna	EMCO / 6502	9502-2926	EMCOLOOP1	Lib. Labs. / Aug-2020

## 2 Test Specifications and Procedures

### 2.1 Test Specification and General Procedures

The goal of Schlage Lock Company / Allegion is to demonstrate that the Equipment Under Test (EUT) complies with the Rules and/or Directives below. Detailed in this report are the results of testing the Schlage Lock Company / Allegion MTB11 for compliance to:

Country/Region	Rules or Directive	Referenced Section(s)
United States	Code of Federal Regulations	CFR Title 47, Part 15.209
Canada	ISED Canada	ISED RSS-210/GNE

It has been determined that the equipment under test is subject to the rules and directives above at the date of this testing. In conjunction with these rules and directives, the following specifications and procedures are followed herein to demonstrate compliance (in whole or in part) with these regulations.

ANSI C63.4:2014	"Methods of Measurement of Radio-Noise Emissions from Low-Voltage Electrical and Electronic Equipment in the Range of 9 kHz to 40 GHz"
ANSI C63.10:2013	"American National Standard of Procedures for Compliance Testing of Unlicensed Wireless Devices"
IEEE Trans. EMC, Vol. 47, No. 3 August 2005	"Extrapolating Near-Field Emissions of Low-Frequency Loop Transmitters," J.D.Brunett, V.V.Liepa, D.L.Sengupta
TP0102RA	"AHD Internal Document TP0102 - Radiated Emissions Test Procedure"
ISED Canada	"The Measurement of Occupied Bandwidth"
ICES-003; Issue 6 (2016)	"Information Technology Equipment (ITE) - Limits and methods of measurement"

### 3 Configuration and Identification of the Equipment Under Test

#### 3.1 Description and Declarations

The EUT is commercial access card reader pad. The EUT is approximately 15 x 4 x 2 cm (approx.) in dimension, and is depicted in Figure 1. It is powered by 12 VDC access system power supply. This device is used as an entry door access pad that reads LF keycards. Table 3 outlines provider declared EUT specifications.



Figure 1: Photos of EUT.

Table 3: EUT Declarations.

#### General Declarations

<b>Equipment Type:</b>	Electronic Door Access Pad
<b>Country of Origin:</b>	USA
<b>Nominal Supply:</b>	12 VDC
<b>Oper. Temp Range:</b>	Not Declared
<b>Frequency Range:</b>	0.125, 13.56 MHz
<b>Antenna Dimension:</b>	Not Declared
<b>Antenna Type:</b>	Integral LF Coils
<b>Antenna Gain:</b>	Not Declared
<b>Number of Channels:</b>	1 (per band)
<b>Channel Spacing:</b>	Not Applicable
<b>Alignment Range:</b>	Not Declared
<b>Type of Modulation:</b>	AM

#### United States

<b>FCC ID Number:</b>	XPB-MTB11
<b>Classification:</b>	DXX

#### Canada

<b>IC Number:</b>	8053B-MTB11
<b>Classification:</b>	RFID Device

### 3.1.1 EUT Configuration

The EUT is configured for testing as depicted in Figure 2.




Figure 2: EUT Test Configuration Diagram.

### 3.1.2 Modes of Operation

The EUT is capable of operating as a LF access card reader which communicates back to a central access controller over an RS-485 interface. The EUT also includes a BLE pre-certified modular transceiver for BLE based access tracking. The BLE transceiver (FCC ID: QOQBGM111, IC: 5123A-BGM111) employed in this product is modularly pre-approved. The LF card reader component and digital spurious emissions, including worst case spurious from the pre-certified modular radio, are reported herein. All three radios are capable of simultaneous transmission and were set to actively transmit while each individual transmitter was tested.

### 3.1.3 Variants

There is only a single electrical version of the EUT, as tested.

### **3.1.4 Test Samples**

Four samples of the EUT were provided for emissions testing. Two samples were capable of CW transmission at 125 kHz and 13.56 MHz via LF programming cards. Two other samples contained normal operating firmware.

### **3.1.5 Functional Exerciser**

Normal operating EUT functionality was verified by observation of transmitted signal. Access keycards were provided to place the EUT into CW transmitting modes as well as for normal access testing.

### **3.1.6 Modifications Made**

There were no modifications made to the EUT by this laboratory.

### **3.1.7 Production Intent**

The EUT appears to be a production ready sample.

### **3.1.8 Declared Exemptions and Additional Product Notes**

This EUT also employs a pre-approved Bluetooth (BLE) module. The LF card reader component and digital spurious emissions from this EUT (when fully operational) are evaluated in this report.

## 4 Emissions

### 4.1 General Test Procedures

#### 4.1.1 Radiated Test Setup and Procedures

Radiated electromagnetic emissions from the EUT are first pre-scanned in our screen room. Spectrum and modulation characteristics of all emissions are recorded. Instrumentation, including spectrum analyzers and other test equipment as detailed in Section 1.8 are employed. After pre-scan, emission measurements are made on the test site of record. If the EUT connects to auxiliary equipment and is table or floor standing, the configurations prescribed in relevant test standards are followed. Alternatively, a layout closest to normal use (as declared by the provider) is employed if the resulting emissions appear to be worst-case in such a configuration. See Figure 3. All intentionally radiating elements that are not fixed-mounted in use are placed on the test table lying flat, on their side, and on their end (3-axes) and the resulting worst case emissions are recorded. If the EUT is fixed-mounted in use, measurements are made with the device oriented in the manner consistent with installation and then emissions are recorded. If the EUT exhibits spurious emissions due to internal receiver circuitry, such emissions are measured with an appropriate carrier signal applied.




Figure 3: Radiated Emissions Diagram of the EUT.

For devices with intentional emissions below 30 MHz, a shielded loop antenna and/or E-field and H-Field broadband probes are used depending on the regulations. Shielded loops are placed at a 1 meter receive height at the desired measurement distance. For exposure in this band, the broadband probes employed are 10cm diameter single-axis shielded transducers and measurements are repeated and summed over three axes.

Emissions between 30 MHz and 1 GHz are measured using calibrated broadband antennas. For both horizontal and vertical polarizations, the test antenna is raised and lowered from 1 to 4 m in height until a maximum emission level is detected. The EUT is then rotated through 360° in azimuth until the highest emission is detected. The test antenna is then raised and lowered one last time from 1 to 4 m and the worst case value is recorded. Emissions above 1 GHz are characterized using standard gain or broadband ridge-horn antennas on our OATS with a 4 × 5 m rectangle of ECCOSORB absorber covering the OATS ground screen and a 1.5m table height. Care is taken to ensure that test receiver resolution and video bandwidths meet the regulatory requirements, and that the emission bandwidth of the EUT is not reduced. Photographs of the test setup employed are depicted in Figure 4.

Where regulations allow for direct measurement of field strength, power values (dBm) measured on the test receiver / analyzer are converted to dB $\mu$ V/m at the regulatory distance, using

$$E_{dist} = 107 + P_R + K_A - K_G + K_E - C_F$$

where  $P_R$  is the power recorded on spectrum analyzer, in dBm,  $K_A$  is the test antenna factor in dB/m,  $K_G$  is the combined pre-amplifier gain and cable loss in dB,  $K_E$  is duty correction factor (when applicable) in dB, and  $C_F$  is a distance conversion (employed only if limits are specified at alternate distance) in dB. This field strength value is then compared with the regulatory limit. If effective isotropic radiated power (EIRP) is computed, it is computed as

$$EIRP(dBm) = E_{3m}(dB\mu V/m) - 95.2.$$

When presenting data at each frequency, the highest measured emission under all possible EUT orientations (3-axes) is reported.




Figure 4: Radiated Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s).

#### 4.1.2 Conducted Emissions Test Setup and Procedures

**AC Port Conducted Spurious** For this device, AC power line conducted emissions are measured in our screen room. If the EUT connects to auxiliary equipment and is table or floor standing, the configurations prescribed in ANSI C63.4 / CISPR 22 are employed. Alternatively, an on-table layout more representative of actual use may be employed if the resulting emissions appear to be worst-case in such a configuration. See Figure 5.




Figure 5: Conducted Emissions Setup Diagram of the EUT.

Conducted emissions are measured and recorded for each AC mains power source over the spectrum 0.15 MHz to 30 MHz for both the ungrounded (HI/PHASE) and grounded (LO/GND) conductors with the EUT placed in its highest current draw operating mode(s). The test receiver is set to peak-hold mode in order to record the peak emissions throughout the course of functional operation. Only if an emission exceeds or is near the limit are quasi-peak and average detection applied. Photographs of the test setup employed are depicted in Figure 6.

#### 4.1.3 Power Supply Variation

Tests at extreme supply voltages are made if required by the procedures specified in the test standard, and results of this testing are detailed in this report.

In the case of this EUT, measurements of the worst-case radiated emissions are performed with the supply voltage varied by no less than 85% and 115% of the nominal rated value for devices connecting to AC power mains.



Figure 6: Conducted Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s).

## 4.2 Intentional Emissions

### 4.2.1 Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation

The details and results of testing the EUT for pulsed operation are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4: Pulsed Emission Characteristics (Duty Cycle).

Frequency Range	Det	IF Bandwidth	Video Bandwidth	Test Date:	20-May-19
9 kHz $f < 150$ kHz	Pk/QPk	200 Hz	300 Hz	Test Engineer:	Joseph Brunett
150 kHz $f < 30$ MHz	Pk/QPk	9 kHz/10 kHz	30 kHz	EUT Mode:	Normal Operating
25 MHz $f < 1\,000$ MHz	Pk/QPk	120 kHz	300 kHz	Meas. Distance:	3 meters
$f > 1\,000$ MHz	Pk	3 MHz	3MHz	EUT Tested:	Allegion MTB11
$f > 1\,000$ MHz	Avg	3 MHz	10kHz		

#	EUT Mode	Overall Transmission			Internal Frame Characteristics			Computed Duty Cycle*	
		Min. Repetition Rate (sec)	Max. No. of Frames	Total Transmission Length (sec)	Max. Frame Length (ms)	Min. Frame Period (s)	Frame Encoding	(%)	Duty (dB)
1	Normal (125 kHz)	0.387	1	-	135.0	>100 ms	When a passive access key card is place over the access pad on the front of the EUT, the lock interrogates the passive card once every 0.387 seconds, the longest frame has an on time of 135 ms.	N/A	N/A
2	Normal (13.56 MHz)	0.382	1	-	174	>100 ms	When a passive access key card is place over the access pad on the front of the EUT, the lock interrogates the passive card once every 0.382 seconds with a set of 4 CW pulses, the longest of which has an on time of 174ms.	N/A	N/A

\* No Duty Cycle is employed when demonstrating compliance.




Figure 7(a): Pulsed Emission Characteristics (Duty Cycle).




Figure 7(b): Pulsed Emission Characteristics (Duty Cycle).

#### 4.2.2 Fundamental Emission Bandwidth

Emission bandwidth (EBW) of the EUT is measured with the device placed in the test mode(s) with the shortest available frame length and minimum frame spacing. Radiated emissions are recorded following the test procedures listed in Section 2.1. The 20 dB EBW is measured as the max-held peak-detected signal when the IF bandwidth is greater than or equal to 1% of the receiver span. For complex modulations other than ASK and FSK, the 99% emission bandwidth per IC test procedures has a different result, and is also separately reported. The results of EBW testing are summarized in Table 5. Plots showing measurements employed to obtain the emission bandwidth reported are provided in Figure 8.

Table 5: Intentional Emission Bandwidth.

Frequency Range	Det	IF Bandwidth	Video Bandwidth	Test Date:	20-May-19
9 kHz f 150 kHz	Pk	> 1% Span	$\geq 3 * \text{IFBW}$	Test Engineer:	Joseph Brunett
150 kHz f 30 MHz	Pk	> 1% Span	$\geq 3 * \text{IFBW}$	EUT Mode:	Normal Operating
Meas. Distance:	0.1 meters	EUT Tested:	Allegion MTB11		

#	Frequency Range (MHz)	Temp (C)	Supply (VDC)	99% PWR BW (kHz)	20 dB EBW (kHz)	110 kHz Restricted Band (dBc)		
1	0.125	20	6	38.2	7.3	35.2		
2	13.56	20	6	711.3	441.6	N/A		




Figure 8: Intentional Emission Bandwidth.

#### 4.2.3 Fundamental Emission

Following the test procedures listed in Section 2.1, field emissions measurements are made on the EUT for both Horizontal and Vertically polarized coupling fields. The EUT's loop antenna(s) are measured along all three axes, including when the EUT loop axes are aligned in the same axis as the test loop and aligned coplanar (in the same plane) with the test loop antenna. Table 6 details the results of these measurements.

Table 6: Fundamental Radiated Emissions.

Frequency Range		Det	IF Bandwidth		Video Bandwidth				Test Date:	20-May-19																		
#	Mode	Polarization	Freq. MHz	Ant. Used	Ant. Ht.	Table Angle	Ka dB/m	Kg dB	Cf** 3m / 300m (dB)	E3m (Pk) dBuV/m	E300m (Pk) dBuV/m	E30m (QPk/Avg) dBuV/m	E300m Limit dBuV/m	Pass By***														
1	<b>MTB11</b>																											
2	125 CW	Coaxial - Horz	0.125	S. Loop	1.0	330.0	10.1	0.0	80.0	57.6	-22.4		25.7	<b>48.1</b>														
3		Coplanar - Vert	0.125	S. Loop	1.0	330.0	10.1	0.0	80.0	54.8	-25.2		25.7	50.9														
4		Coplanar - Horz	0.125	S. Loop	1.0	330.0	10.1	0.0	80.0	52.8	-27.2		25.7	52.9														
5	<b>MTB11</b>																											
6	13.56 CW	Coaxial - Horz	13.56	S. Loop	1.0	330.0	10.6	0.0	40.0	65.7	25.7		29.5	<b>3.8</b>														
7		Coplanar - Vert	13.56	S. Loop	1.0	330.0	10.6	0.0	40.0	61.8	21.8		29.5	7.7														
8		Coplanar - Horz	13.56	S. Loop	1.0	330.0	10.6	0.0	40.0	61.7	21.7		29.5	7.8														
9	125 CW	Coaxial - Horz		Freq. MHz	AC Supply Voltage		E3m (Pk) dBuV/m																					
10				.125	132.25		57.6																					
11				.125	115.00		57.6																					
12	13.56 CW	Coaxial - Horz			97.75		57.6																					
13					13.56		132.25																					
14					13.56		115.00																					
* EUT was tested in CW mode. No averaging applies and Quasi-Peak data was not needed to demonstrate compliance.																												
** 40 dB/dec conversion factor employed																												

## 4.3 Unintentional Emissions

### 4.3.1 Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions

The results for the measurement of transmit chain spurious emissions at the nominal voltage and temperature are provided in Table 7. Following the test procedures listed in Section 2.1, field emissions measurements are made on the EUT for both Horizontal and Vertically polarized coupling fields. The EUT's loop antenna(s) are measured when the EUT loop axes placed in all three axes, including when they are aligned along the same axis as the test loop antenna and are aligned coplanar with the test loop antenna. For all arrangements, test loop is rotated for maximum field. The results for the measurement of transmit chain spurious emissions at the nominal voltage and temperature are provided in Table 7. Measurements are performed to 10 times the highest fundamental operating frequency.

Table 7(a): Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions.

Frequency Range	Def	IF Bandwidth	Video Bandwidth	Test Date:	20-May-19
9 kHz f 150 kHz	Pk/QPk	200 Hz	300 Hz	Test Engineer:	Joseph Brunett
150 kHz f 30 MHz	Pk/QPk	9 kHz	30 kHz	EUT Mode:	CW
25 MHz f 1 000 MHz	Pk/QPk	120 kHz	300 kHz	Meas. Distance:	3 meters
f > 1 000 MHz	Pk	3 MHz	3MHz	EUT Tested:	Allegion MTB11
f > 1 000 MHz	Avg	3 MHz	10kHz		

Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions													
#	Mode	Test Antenna Polarization	Freq. kHz	Ant. Used	Ant Ht. m	Table Angle deg	Ka dB/m	Kg dB	Cf** (3 to 300/30m)	E-field (300m / 30m) (Pk) dBuV/m	E-field Limit (300m / 30m) (Qpk/Avg) dBuV/m	Pass By	Comments
1	<b>MTB11 – 125 kHz Tx Harmonics</b>												
2	CW	Coaxial - Horz	250.0	SHLOOP01	1.0	330.0	10.0	0.0	80.0	-31.0		19.6	50.6
3		Coplanar - Vert	250.0	SHLOOP01	1.0	330.0	10.0	0.0	80.0	-33.2		19.6	52.8
4		Coplanar - Horz	250.0	SHLOOP01	1.0	330.0	10.0	0.0	80.0	-32.9		19.6	52.5
5		H/V (worst case)	375.0	SHLOOP01	1.0	330.0	10.0	0.0	80.0	-27.3		16.1	43.4 background
6		H/V (worst case)	500.0	SHLOOP01	1.0	330.0	10.2	0.0	40.0	-32.7		33.6	66.3 background
7		H/V (worst case)	625.0	SHLOOP01	1.0	330.0	10.2	0.0	40.0	-18.7		31.7	50.4 background
8		H/V (worst case)	750.0	SHLOOP01	1.0	330.0	10.1	0.0	40.0	-12.9		30.1	43.0 background
9		H/V (worst case)	875.0	SHLOOP01	1.0	330.0	10.2	0.0	40.0	-12.8		28.8	41.6 background
10		H/V (worst case)	1000.0	SHLOOP01	1.0	330.0	10.4	0.0	40.0	-23.1		27.6	50.7 background
11		H/V (worst case)	1125.0	SHLOOP01	1.0	330.0	10.4	0.0	40.0	-11.1		26.6	37.7 background
12		H/V (worst case)	1250.0	SHLOOP01	1.0	330.0	10.4	0.0	40.0	-17.2		25.7	42.9 background

\* EUT was tested in CW mode. No averaging applies and Quasi-Peak data was not needed to demonstrate compliance.

\*\* 40 dB/dec Near-field conversion factor employed.

Table 7(b): Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions.

Frequency Range	Det	IF Bandwidth	Video Bandwidth	Test Date:	20-May-19
9 kHz f 150 kHz	Pk/QPk	200 Hz	300 Hz	Test Engineer:	Joseph Brunett
150 kHz f 30 MHz	Pk/QPk	9 kHz	30 kHz	EUT Mode:	CW
25 MHz f 1 000 MHz	Pk/QPk	120 kHz	300 kHz	Meas. Distance:	3 meters
f > 1 000 MHz	Pk	3 MHz	3MHz	EUT Tested:	Allegion MTB11
f > 1 000 MHz	Avg	3 MHz	10kHz		

Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions														
#	Mode	Test Antenna Polarization	Freq. MHz	Ant. Used	Ant Ht. m	Table Angle deg	Ka	Kg dB/m	Cf** (3 to 30m)	E-field*** (Pk) dBuV/m	E-field*** (Qpk/Avg) dBuV/m	E-field Limit (30m / 3m)	Pass By	Comments
1	<b>MTB11 – 13.56 MHz Tx Harmonics</b>													
2	CW	Coaxial - Horz	27.1	SHLOOP01	1.0	330.0	8.7	0.0	20.0	3.4		29.5	26.1	max all, noise
3		Coplanar - Vert	27.1	SHLOOP01	1.0	330.0	8.7	0.0	20.0	3.8		29.5	25.7	max all, noise
4		Coplanar - Horz	27.1	SHLOOP01	1.0	330.0	8.7	0.0	20.0	5.8		29.5	23.7	max all, noise
5		H/V (worst case)	40.7	BICEMCO01	1.0	max all	11.5	-4	.0	19.3		40.0	20.7	noise
6		H/V (worst case)	54.2	BICEMCO01	1.0	max all	10.1	-4	.0	22.3		40.0	17.7	noise
7		H/V (worst case)	67.8	BICEMCO01	1.0	max all	9.7	-4	.0	29.7		40.0	10.3	background
8		H/V (worst case)	81.4	BICEMCO01	1.0	max all	9.5	-5	.0	25.7		40.0	14.3	noise
9		H/V (worst case)	94.9	BICEMCO01	1.0	max all	9.7	-5	.0	33.5		43.5	10.0	background
10		H/V (worst case)	108.5	BICEMCO01	1.0	max all	10.6	-6	.0	25.0		43.5	18.5	noise
11		H/V (worst case)	122.0	BICEMCO01	1.0	max all	11.7	-6	.0	21.9		43.5	21.6	noise
12		H/V (worst case)	135.6	BICEMCO01	1.0	max all	12.3	-6	.0	25.7		43.5	17.8	noise

\* EUT was tested in CW mode. No averaging applies and Quasi-Peak data was not needed to demonstrate compliance.

\*\* 20 dB/dec Far-field conversion factor employed, if 3 meters > lambda/(2\*pi)

\*\*\* When E-field is reported directly from Spectrum Analyzer, Antenna Factors and Cable losses are included directly in SA settings.

#### 4.3.2 General Radiated Spurious

The results for the measurement of general spurious emissions (emissions arising from digital circuitry) at the nominal voltage and temperature are provided in Table 8. Radiation from digital components are measured up to 1000 MHz or to the highest frequency required by the applied standards, whichever is greater.

Table 8: Radiated Digital Spurious Emissions.

Frequency Range		Det	IF Bandwidth		Video Bandwidth		Test Date:		22-May-19								
25 MHz f < 1 000 MHz		Pk/QPk	120 kHz	300 kHz			Test Engineer:		Joseph Brunett								
f > 1 000 MHz		Pk	1 MHz	3 MHz			EUT:		Allegion MTB11								
		Avg	1 MHz	10kHz			EUT Mode:		LF+RF Active								
					Meas. Distance:		3 meters										
<b>Digital Spurious Emissions</b>																	
#	Test Freq. MHz	Antenna QN Used	Test Pol.	Ant. Ht. m	Table Angle deg	Ka dB/m	Kg dB	E-Field @ 3m**	FCC/IC Class B	CE Class B	FCC/IC Class A	CE Class A	FCC/IC + CE(CISPR)				
						Ka dB/m	Kg dB	QPk/Avg dB $\mu$ V/m	E3lim dB $\mu$ V/m	Pass dB	E3lim dB $\mu$ V/m	Pass dB	E3lim dB $\mu$ V/m	Pass dB	Comments		
1	94.8	BICEMCO01	H	1.0	max all	9.7	-5	20.1	17.5	43.5	26.0	40.5	23.0	54.0	36.5	50.5	33.0
2	94.8	BICEMCO01	V	1.0	max all	9.7	-5	38.7	30.4	43.5	13.1	40.5	10.1	54.0	23.6	50.5	20.1
3	100.3	BICEMCO01	H	1.0	max all	10.0	-5	27.3	20.8	43.5	22.7	40.5	19.7	54.0	33.2	50.5	29.7
4	100.3	BICEMCO01	V	1.0	max all	10.0	-5	33.5	28.4	43.5	15.1	40.5	12.1	54.0	25.6	50.5	22.1
5	109.9	BICEMCO01	H	1.0	max all	10.7	-6	28.2	28.0	43.5	15.5	40.5	12.5	54.0	26.0	50.5	22.5
6	109.9	BICEMCO01	V	1.0	max all	10.7	-6	25.2	24.9	43.5	18.6	40.5	15.6	54.0	29.1	50.5	25.6
7	135.5	BICEMCO01	H	1.3	max all	12.3	-6	31.7	28.5	43.5	15.0	40.5	12.0	54.0	25.5	50.5	22.0
8	135.5	BICEMCO01	V	1.8	max all	12.3	-6	27.2	25.2	43.5	18.3	40.5	15.3	54.0	28.8	50.5	25.3
9	182.6	BICEMCO01	H	1.3	max all	14.3	-8	28.7	25.8	43.5	17.7	40.5	14.7	54.0	28.2	50.5	24.7
10	182.6	BICEMCO01	V	1.8	max all	14.3	-8	33.1	32.6	43.5	10.9	40.5	7.9	54.0	21.4	50.5	17.9
11	189.9	BICEMCO01	H	1.3	max all	14.8	-8	35.5	34.8	43.5	8.7	40.5	5.7	54.0	19.2	50.5	15.7
12	189.9	BICEMCO01	V	1.8	max all	14.8	-8	36.3	34.8	43.5	8.7	40.5	5.7	54.0	19.2	50.5	15.7
13	217.0	LOGEMCO01	H	1.3	max all	11.3	-2.9	43.2	40.1	46.0	5.9	40.5	0.4	56.9	16.8	50.5	10.4
14	217.0	LOGEMCO01	V	1.8	max all	11.3	-2.9	39.7	38.2	46.0	7.8	40.5	2.3	56.9	18.7	50.5	12.3
15	244.9	LOGEMCO01	H	1.3	max all	12.3	-3.2	38.7	37.6	46.0	8.4	47.5	9.9	56.9	19.3	57.5	19.9
16	244.9	LOGEMCO01	V	1.8	max all	12.3	-3.2	23.0	22.9	46.0	23.1	47.5	24.6	56.9	34.0	57.5	34.6
17	271.5	LOGEMCO01	H	1.5	max all	13.0	-3.4	37.7	35.9	46.0	10.1	47.5	11.6	56.9	21.0	57.5	21.6
18	271.5	LOGEMCO01	V	1.5	max all	13.0	-3.4	30.4	28.7	46.0	17.3	47.5	18.8	56.9	28.2	57.5	28.8
19	280.2	LOGEMCO01	H	1.5	max all	13.3	-3.5	35.8	35.2	46.0	10.8	47.5	12.3	56.9	21.7	57.5	22.3
20	280.2	LOGEMCO01	V	1.5	max all	13.3	-3.5	34.9	34.9	46.0	11.1	47.5	12.6	56.9	22.0	57.5	22.6
21	298.3	LOGEMCO01	H	1.5	max all	13.7	-3.6	35.9	35.0	46.0	11.0	47.5	12.5	56.9	21.9	57.5	22.5
22	298.3	LOGEMCO01	V	1.5	max all	13.7	-3.6	22.0	22.4	46.0	24.0	47.5	25.5	56.9	34.9	57.5	35.5
23	360.0	LOGEMCO01	H	1.5	max all	15.0	-4.1	42.7	42.6	46.0	3.4	47.5	4.9	56.9	14.3	57.5	14.9
24	360.0	LOGEMCO01	V	1.5	max all	15.0	-4.1	32.4	30.3	46.0	15.7	47.5	17.2	56.9	26.6	57.5	27.2
25	440.0	LOGEMCO01	H	1.0	max all	16.4	-4.6	42.8	42.4	46.0	3.6	47.5	5.1	56.9	14.5	57.5	15.1
26	440.0	LOGEMCO01	V	1.3	max all	16.4	-4.6	35.7	35.3	46.0	10.7	47.5	12.2	56.9	21.6	57.5	22.2
27	551.0	LOGEMCO01	H	1.0	max all	18.3	-5.3	42.6	41.6	46.0	4.4	47.5	5.9	56.9	15.3	57.5	15.9
28	551.0	LOGEMCO01	V	1.3	max all	18.3	-5.3	38.0	37.2	46.0	8.8	47.5	10.3	56.9	19.7	57.5	20.3
29	763.0	LOGEMCO01	H	1.0	max all	21.1	-6.4	38.7	35.7	46.0	10.3	47.5	11.8	56.9	21.2	57.5	21.8
30	993.0	LOGEMCO01	H	1.0	max all	24.0	-7.4	29.9	28.0	54.0	26.0	47.5	19.5	60.0	32.0	57.5	29.5
31	2388.4	HQRITO18S01	H/V	1.5	max all	30.5	-6.5	49.5	35.3	54.0	18.7	50.0	14.7				BLE + 13.56
32	2483.5	HQRITO18S01	H/V	1.5	max all	30.8	-6.7	46.2	32.1	54.0	21.9	50.0	17.9				BLE + 13.56
33	2400.0	HQRITO18S01	H/V	1.5	max all	30.5	-6.6	40.3	30.3	54.0	23.7	50.0	19.7				BLE + 125
34	2483.5	HQRITO18S01	H/V	1.5	max all	30.8	-6.7	44.2	31.8	54.0	22.2	50.0	18.2				BLE + 125
35																	
36																	
37																	


\*QPk detection below 1 GHz, Avg detection at or above 1 GHz with receiver bandwidth as specified at top of table.

\*\* When E-field is reported directly from Spectrum Analyzer, Antenna Factors and Cable losses are included directly in SA settings.

#### 4.3.3 Conducted Emissions Test Results - AC Power Port(s)

The results of emissions from the EUT's AC mains power port(s) are reported in Table 9.

Table 9: AC Mains Power Conducted Emissions Results.



## 5 Measurement Uncertainty and Accreditation Documents

The maximum values of measurement uncertainty for the laboratory test equipment and facilities associated with each test are given in the table below. This uncertainty is computed for a 95.45% confidence level based on a coverage factor of  $k = 2$ .

Table 10: Measurement Uncertainty.

Measured Parameter	Measurement Uncertainty <sup>†</sup>
Radio Frequency Conducted Emm. Amplitude	$\pm(f_{Mkr}/10^7 + RBW/10 + (SPN/(PTS - 1))/2 + 1 \text{ Hz})$ $\pm1.9 \text{ dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude (30 – 200 MHz)	$\pm4.0 \text{ dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude (200 – 1000 MHz)	$\pm5.2 \text{ dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude ( $f > 1000 \text{ MHz}$ )	$\pm3.7 \text{ dB}$

<sup>†</sup>Ref: CISPR 16-4-2:2011+A1:2014



Figure 9: Accreditation Documents