

ShenZhen Electronic Product Quality Testing Center

CONFORMANCE TEST REPORT FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE TO ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

SAR REPORT

AUTEL INTELLIGENT TECHNOLOGY CO.,LTD MaxiDAS

Type Name: DS708

FCC ID: XPRMAXIDASDS708

Hardware Version: --Software Version: ---

Date of Issue: 2009-09-18









GENERAL SUMMARY

Product Name	MaxiDAS	Development Stage	MP				
	47CFR § 2.1093: Radiofrequency Radiation	•					
	FCC OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human						
	Fields	Exposure to Natione	quency Electromagnetic				
Standard(s)	ANSI C95.1-1999: IEEE Standard for	Safety Levels with	Respect to Human				
Otandard(3)	Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagneti	ic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 (GHz.				
	IEEE 1528-2003: Recommended Practice	for Determining the	Peak Spatial-Average				
	Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Hui	man Body Due to Wi	reless Communications				
	Devices: Experimental Techniques.						
	Localized Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) of	of this portable wireles	ss equipment has been				
	measured in all cases requested by the rele	evant standards cited in	Clause 5.2 of this test				
Conclusion	report. Maximum localized SAR is below exposure limits specified in the relevant standards						
Conclusion	cited in Clause 5.1 of this test report.						
	General Judgment: Pass						
		Date of iss	ue: Sep 18th, 2009				
	TX Freq. Band: 2400-2483.5MHz						
Commont	RX Freq. Band: 2400-2483.5MHz						
Comment	Antenna Character : Inside						
	The test result only responds to the measured	d sample.					
	DA Elect						
Tested	by: Zhang Can	Para Sep 18,	> 009				
Tested	Zhang Can						
Checked	by:	Date S	18 Z o Ð9				
Checked	Smart Li	70,1					
Can a limb							
Approved	by:	Date: Sep. 4)				
	Li dii Wu	-	/				
I							



Contents

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

2. ADMINISTRATIVE DATA

- 2.1. Identification of the Responsible Testing Laboratory
- 2.2. Identification of the Responsible Testing Location(s)
- 2.3. Organization Item
- 2.4. Identification of Applicant
- 2.5. Identification of Manufacture

3. EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT)

- 3.1. Identification of the Equipment under Test
- 3.2. Identification of all used Test Sample of the Equipment under Test

4. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST

- 4.1. Schematic Test Configuration
- 4.2. SAR Measurement System

5. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE TEST

- 5.1. Applicable Limit Regulations
- 5.2. Applicable Measurement Standards

6. LABORATORY ENVIRONMENT

7. TEST RESULTS

- 7.1. Dielectric Performance
- 7.2. Summary of Measurement Results
- 7.3. Conclusion

8. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

9. MAIN TEST INSTRUMENTS

This Test Report consists of the following Annexes:

Annex A: Accreditation Certificate

Annex B: Test Layout

Annex C: Sample Photographs

Annex D: Graph Test Results

Annex E: System Performance Check Data



1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

- 1.1 This report only refers to the item that has undergone the test.
- 1.2 This report standalone dose not constitute or imply by its own an approval of the product by the certification Bodies or competent Authorities.
- 1.3 This document is only valid if complete; no partial reproduction can be made without written approval of Shenzhen Electronic Product Quality Testing Center.
- 1.4 This report cannot be used partially or in full for publicity and/or promotional purposes without previous written approval of Shenzhen Electronic Product Quality Testing Center and the Accreditation Bodies, if it applies.



2. Administrative Date

2.1. Identification of the Responsible Testing Laboratory

Company Name: ShenZhen Electronic Product Quality Testing Center

Department: Testing Department

Address: Electronic Testing Building, ShaHe Road, NanShan District,

ShenZhen, P. R. China

Telephone: +86-755-26628676 **Fax:** +86-755-26627238

Responsible Test Lab

Managers:

Mr. Li'an Wu

2.2. Identification of the Responsible Testing Location(s)

Company Name: ShenZhen Electronic Product Quality Testing Center

Address: Electronic Testing Building, ShaHe Road, NanShan District,

ShenZhen, P. R. China

2.3. Organization Item

S.E.T Report No.: SAR REPORT S.E.T Project Leader: Mr. Li Sixiong

S.E.T Responsible for

Mr. Li'an Wu

accreditation scope:

 Start of Testing:
 2009-09-15

 End of Testing:
 2009-09-17

2.4.Identification of Applicant

Company Name: AUTEL INTELLIGENT TECHNOLOGY CO.,LTD

Address: Rm.2205-2206, Overseas Chinese Scholars Venture Bldg., Hi-Tech Industrial

Park, Nanshan District, Shenzhen 518057, China

Contact person: /
Telephone: /
Fax: /

2.5.Identification of Manufacture

Company Name: AUTEL INTELLIGENT TECHNOLOGY CO.,LTD

Address: Rm.2205-2206, Overseas Chinese Scholars Venture Bldg., Hi-Tech Industrial

Park, Nanshan District, Shenzhen 518057, China

Contact person: /
Telephone: /
Fax: /

Notes: This data is based on the information by the applicant.



3. Equipment Under Test (EUT)

3.1.Identification of the Equipment under Test

Brand Name: Autel
Type Name: DS708
Marking Name: DS708

Test frequency 2400-2483.5MHz
Development Stage Identical prototype

Accessories Charger

Battery Model \
Battery specification \

Antenna type Inside

General description: Tx mode

Operation mode 802.11b: data rate 11Mbps

802.11g: data rate 54Mbps

Modulation mode 802.11b:DSSS 802.11g:OFDM

Max. Power(EIRP) /

Note: According to FCC OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C, the EUT is designed to operate in front of a person's face, the EUT should be tested for SAR compliance with two mode: the front of the device positioned at 2.5cm from and the back of the device positioned directly against a flat phantom.

3.2.Identification of all used Test Sample of the Equipment under Test

EUT Code	Serial Number	Hardware Version	Software Version
1#	/	1	/



4 OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST

4.1 Schematic Test Configuration

During SAR test, EUT is in Traffic Mode (Channel Allocated) at Normal Voltage Condition.

The EUT operate in channel 1, 6, 11 of 802.11b and 802.11g mode.

The EUT shall use its internal transmitter. The antenna(s), battery and accessories shall be those specified by the manufacturer. The EUT battery must be fully charged and checked periodically during the test to ascertain uniform power output. If a wireless link is used, the antenna connected to the output of the base station simulator shall be placed at least 50 cm away from the handset.

The signal transmitted by the simulator to the antenna feeding point shall be lower than the output power level of the handset by at least 35 dB.

4.2 SAR Measurement System

The SAR measurement system being used is the IndexSAR SARA2 system, which consists of a




Figure 1. SAR Lab Test Measurement Set-up

Mitsubishi RV-E2 6-axis robot arm and controller, IndexSAR probe and amplifier and SAM phantom Head Shape. The system is controlled remotely from a PC, which contains the software to control the robot and data acquisition equipment. The software also displays the data obtained from test scans, and determine the averaged SAR values (averaging region 1 gram or 10 gram) for compliance testing.



The measurements are done by two scan: first a coarse scan (2-Division) determines the region of the maximum SAR, afterwards the averaged SAR is measured in a second san within the shape of a cube. The measurement time takes about 20 minutes.

4.2.1 Robot system specification

The robot is used to articulate the probe to programmed positions inside the phantom head to obtain the SAR readings from the DUT.



Robot and Stand

Type Mitsubishi Movemaster RV-2A / 6 axis vertical

articulated robot

Dimensions (robot) Height: 790mm (in home position)

Dimensions (robot stand) 1010L x 450W x 820H mm

Weight Approx. 36 kg
Position repeatability +/- 0.04mm

Drive Method AC servomotor

Expandability Extra axis expansion capability for probe

calibration applications E-Field probe



Robot Controller Unit

Type CR1 - 571

Dimensions 212W x 290D x 151H mm

Weight 8 kg

Power source single-phase 100 - 240 VAC


4.2.2 Probe and amplifier specification

IXP-050 Indexsar isotropic immersible SAR probe

The probes are constructed using three orthogonal dipole sensors arranged on an interlocking, triangular prism core. The probes have built-in shielding against static charges and are contained within a PEEK cylindrical enclosure material at the tip (showed in figure 2). The system uses diode compression potential (DCP) to determine SAR values for different types of modulation. Crest factor is not used for determining SAR values. The DCP for different types of modulation is determined during the probe



calibration procedure.






Figure 2. Specification and characterisation parameters of indexsar probe



IFA-010 Amplifier

The amplifier unit has a multi-pole connector to connect to the probe and a multiplexer selects between the 3-channel single-ended inputs. A 16-bit AtoD converter with programmable gain is used along with an on-board micro-controller with non-volatile firmware. Battery life is around 150 hours and data are transferred to the PC via 3m of duplex optical fibre and a self-powered RS232 to optical converter.



Probe Amplifier and PC Interface

Type High impedance inputs with 3 independent x,y,z sensor

channels giving simultaneous measurement data every 2ms. Reads true average of modulated signals without the need

for duty cycle corrections


Ranges Software selectable of x1 to 63

Cable Optical cable with self-powered 9 way RS232 converter.

3m cable length supplied as standard.

Other lengths to order.

Power Requirements 2 x AAA batteries giving approximately 100 hours usage.



'Word' report format

The results of each frequency scan are presented in a Microsoft 'Word' document with all the necessary measurement parameters automatically tabulated. Users can customise the layout and in some cases language changes are possible.

4.2.3 Phantoms and simulant liquid

4.2.3.1 SAR head phantom (SAM)

The Indexsar SAM Upright Phantom is fabricated to the shape defined in these CAD files by Antennessa.



Head Phantom

Type 2 Upright SAM phantom

Dimensions Height: 320mm

Baseplate diameter: 275mm

Weight empty: 1.2 kg

filled: 7.2 kg

Wall thickness 2.0 mm ±0.2

Construction Low loss resin / Strengthened

saggital seam

It is mounted on the base table, which holds the robotic positioner. Both mechanical and laser-based




registration systems are utilised to register the phantom position in relationship to the robot co-ordinate system. In the SARA2 implementation, the SAM phantom is mounted on a supporting table made of low dielectric loss material, which includes mounting brackets for DUT positioners, dipole holders and (optionally) a shelf for supporting larger devices like laptop computers.

4.2.3.2 Box phantom

The box phantom used for body testing and for validation is manufactured from Perspex.

IXB - 070 Specification and characterisation parameters



Tissue-simulant volume required for 150mm depth (6 litres)


4.2.3.3 Simulant liquids

Simulant liquids that are used for testing at frequencies of 450MHz, which are made mainly of sugar, salt and water solutions may be left in the phantoms. Approximately 7litres are needed for an upright head compared to about 27litres for a horizontal bath phantom.


Ingredients	Frequen	cy(MHz)
(% by weight)	24	-50
Tissue Type	Head	Body
Water	N.A.	N.A.
Salt(NaCl)	N.A.	N.A.
Sugar	N.A.	N.A.
HEC	N.A.	N.A.
Bacterial de	N.A.	N.A.
DGBE	N.A.	N.A.
Acticide SPX	N.A.	N.A.
Dielectric Constant	39.2	52.7
Conductivity (S/m)	1.80	1.95



4.2.4 SAR measurement procedure



Measurement 6.6.3






Channel		I	Left		Right			
	Ch	eek	Т	ilt	Ch	eek	Tilt	
	Retracted	Extended	Retracted	Extended	Retracted	Extended	Retracted	Extended
Mode 1:								
High			S2(-1.4dB)	S2(-0.4dB)			S2(-2.2dB)	S2(-1.4dB)
Middle	S1(-4dB)	S1(-4dB)	S1(-1.5dB)	S1(-0.5dB)	S1(-5dB)	S1(-5dB)	S1(-2.5dB)	S1(-1.5dB)
Low			S2(-1.3dB)	S2(-0.7dB)			S2(-2.7dB)	S2(-0.6dB)
Mode 2:								
High			S2(-2.7dB)	S2(-1.1dB)				
Middle	S1(-5dB)	S1(-5dB)	S1(-2.5dB)	S1(-1dB)	S1(-6dB)	S1(-6dB)	S1(-5dB)	S1(-5dB)
Low			S2(-2.2dB)	S2(-0.8dB)				

After an area scan has been done at a fixed distance of 8mm from the surface of the phantom on the source side, a 3D scan is set up around the location of the maximum spot SAR. First, a point within the scan area is visited by the probe and a SAR reading taken at the start of testing. At the end of testing, the probe is returned to the same point and a second reading is taken. Comparison between these start and end readings enables the power drift during measurement to be assessed.

Above is the scanning procedure flow chart and table from the IEEE p1528 standard. This is the procedure for which all compliant testing should be carried out to ensure that all variations of the device position and transmission behaviour are tested.

4.2.5 Validation testing using box phantoms

The following procedure, recommended for performing validation tests using box phantoms is based on the procedures described in the draft IEEE standard P1528. Setup according to the setup diagram below:





With the SG and Amp and with directional coupler in place, set up the source signal at the relevant frequency and use a power meter to measure the power at the end of the SMA cable that you intend to connect to the balanced dipole. Adjust the SG to make this, say, 0.25W (24 dBm). If this level is too high to read directly with the power meter sensor, insert a calibrated attenuator (e.g. 10 or 20 dB) and make a suitable correction to the power meter reading.

- Note 1: In this method, the directional coupler is used for monitoring rather than setting the exact feed power level. If, however, the directional coupler is used for power measurement, you should check the frequency range and power rating of the coupler and measure the coupling factor (referred to output) at the test frequency using a VNA.
- Note 2: Remember that the use of a 3dB attenuator (as shown in Figure 8.1 of P1528) means that you need an RF amplifier of 2 times greater power for the same feed power. The other issue is the cable length. You might get up to 1dB of loss per meter of cable, so the cable length after the coupler needs to be quite short.
- Note 3: For the validation testing done using CW signals, most power meters are suitable. However, if you are measuring the output of a modulated signal from either a signal generator or a handset, you must ensure that the power meter correctly reads the modulated signals.

4.2.5.1 Setting up the box phantom for validation testing

The main purpose of the box phantom is for validation of the system. By placing the box phantom in place of the upright head, using the box phantom dipole holder the system can now be used to check that the probe and software are giving accurate readings.



4.2.5.2 Equipments and results of validation testing

Equipments:

name	Type and specification		
Signal generator	SML02		
Directional coupler	450MHz-3GHz		
Amplifier	3W 502(10-2500MHz)		
Reference dipole	IXD-245 validation dipole		

Results:

Frequency	Date	Target value(1g)	Test value(1g)
		W/kg	W/kg
2450MHz	2009.09.17	52.4	52.344 (Body)
		52.4	



4.2.6 Interpolation of 2D area scan

The 2D cubic B-spline interpolation is used after the initial area scan at fixed distance from the phantom shell wall. The initial scan data are collected with approx. 10mm spatial resolution and spline interpolation is used to find the location of the local maximum to within a 1mm resolution for positioning the subsequent 3D scanning.

4.2.7 Extrapolation of 3D scan

For the 3D scan, data are collected on a spatially regular 3D grid having (by default) 6.4 mm steps in the lateral dimensions and 3.5 mm steps in the depth direction (away from the source). SARA2 enables full control over the selection of alternative step sizes in all directions.

The digitised shape of the head is available to the SARA2 software, which decides which points in the 3D array are sufficiently well within the shell wall to be 'visited' by the SAR probe. After the data collection, the data are extrapolated in the depth direction to assign values to points in the 3D array closer to the shell wall. A notional extrapolation value is also assigned to the first point outside the shell wall so that subsequent interpolation schemes will be applicable right up to the shell wall boundary.

4.2.8 Interpolation of 3D scan and volume averaging

The procedure used for defining the shape of the volumes used for SAR averaging in the SARA2 software follow the method of adapting the surface of the 'cube' to conform with the curved inner surface of the phantom. This is called, here, the conformal scheme.

For each row of data in the depth direction, the data are extrapolated and interpolated to less than 1mm spacing and average values are calculated from the phantom surface for the row of data over distances corresponding to the requisite depth for 10g and 1g cubes. This results in two 2D arrays of data, which are then cubic B-spline interpolated to sub mm lateral resolution. A search routine then moves an averaging square around through the 2D array and records the maximum value of the corresponding 1g and 10g volume averages. For the definition of the surface in this procedure, the digitized position of the head shell surface is used for measurement in head-shaped phantoms. For measurements in rectangular, box phantoms, the distance between the phantom wall and the closest set of gridded data points is entered into the software. For measurements in box-shaped phantoms, this distance is under the control of the user. The effective distance must be greater than 2.5mm as this is the tip-sensor distance and to avoid interface proximity effects, it should be at least 5mm. A value of 6 or 8mm is recommended. This distance is called **dbe**.



For automated measurements inside the head, the distance cannot be less than 2.5mm, which is the radius of the probe tip and to avoid interface proximity effects, a minimum clearance distance of x mm is retained. The actual value of dbe will vary from point to point depending upon how the spatially regular 3D grid points fit within the shell. The greatest separation is when a grid point is just not visited due to the probe tip dimensions. In this case the distance could be as large as the step-size plus the minimum clearance distance (i.e with x=5 and a step size of 3.5, dbe will be between 3.5 and 8.5mm).

The default step size (dstep) used is 3.5mm, but this is under user-control. The compromise is with time of scan, so it is not practical to make it much smaller or scan times become long and power-drop influences become larger.

The robot positioning system specification for the repeatability of the positioning (dss) is +/- 0.04mm. The phantom shell is made by an industrial moulding process from the CAD files of the SAM shape, with both internal and external moulds. For the upright phantoms, the external shape is subsequently digitized on a Mitutoyo CMM machine (Euro an ultrasonic sensor indicate that the shell thickness (dph) away from the ear is 2.0 +/- 0.1mm. The ultrasonic measurements were calibrated using additional mechanical measurements on available cut surfaces of the phantom shells. See support document IXS-020x. For the upright phantom, the alignment is based upon registration of the rotation axis of the phantom on its 253mm diameter baseplate bearing and the position of the probe axis when commanded to go to the axial position. A laser alignment tool is provided (procedure detailed elsewhere). This enables the registration of the phantom tip (dmis) to be assured to within approx. 0.2mm. This alignment is done with reference to the actual probe tip after installation and probe alignment. The rotational positioning of the phantom is variable – offering advantages for special studies, but locating pins ensure accurate repositioning at the principal positions (LH and RH ears).

4.2.9 Probe anisotropy and boundary proximity influence correction software (Virtual Probe Miniaturization VPM software)

Indexsar Report IXS0223 provides a background to the factors affecting measurements at high frequencies when using SAR probes of size 8 – 5mm tip diameter. Although the Indexsar probes are at the smaller end of this range, SAR probes are not isotropic in 5GHz phantom field gradients and additional precautions have to be taken in measurements. The following measures are recommended:

1) At >5GHz, the SAR field decays to 1/e of its value within 3-4mm of the surface of a phantom with a



source adjacent. So, measurements are significantly affected by small errors in the separation distances employed between the probe and the phantom surface. The distance between the probe tip and the plane of the sensors should be allowed for using the same value as the at declared in the probe calibration document. Distances between the probe tip and phantom surface should be measured accurately to 0.1mm. The best way to assure this is to use the robot to position the probe in light contact with the phantom wall and then to withdraw the probe by the selected amount under robot control.

- 2) The preferred test geometry at 5GHz is for testing at the bottom of an open phantom. If tests at the side of a phantom are performed, it will be necessary to apply VPM corrections as described below. In either case, careful monitoring of probe spacing from the phantom is required. Probe isotropy is improved for measuring fields polarized either normal to or parallel to the probe axis. If the source polarization is known, this arrangement should be established, if possible.
- 3) The probe calibration factors including boundary correction terms should be carefully entered from the calibration document. The probe calibration factors require that the probe be oriented in a known rotational position. The red spot on the Indexsar probe should be aligned facing away from the robot arm.
- 4) The latest SARA2 software (VPM editions) contain support for correcting for probe anisotropy in strong field gradients and include a procedure for correcting for boundary proximity influences. As noted above, the probe has to be oriented in a given rotational position and some familiarity with the new measurement procedures is necessary. The calculations can be performed either with or without the extended correction schemes applied.
- 5) If boundary corrections are used, it may be preferable to go rather closer to the phantom surface than is usually recommended and to perform scans using small steps between the measurement planes so that good data on the SAR profiles are collected within the first 10mm of the phantom depth.



5 CHARACTERISTICS OF THE TEST

5.1 Applicable Limit Regulations

47CFR § 2.1093: Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable Devices

FCC OET Bulletin 65(Edition 97-01), Supplement C(Edition 01-01): Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields

ANSI C95.1–1999: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz.

It specifies the maximum exposure limit of **1.6 W/kg** as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue for portable devices being used within 20 cm of the user in the uncontrolled environment.

5.2 Applicable Measurement Standards

IEEE 1528–2003: Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques.

They specify the measurement method for demonstration of compliance with the SAR limits for such equipments.

6 LABORATORY ENVIRONMENT

Table: The Ambient Conditions during SAR Test

Temperature	Min. = 15 ° C, Max. = 30 ° C				
Relative humidity	Min. = 30%, Max. = 70%				
Ground system resistance	< 0.5 Ω				
Ambient noise is checked and found very low and in compliance with requirement of standards.					
Reflection of surrounding objects is minimized and in	compliance with requirement of standards.				



7 TEST RESULTS

7.1 Dielectric Performance

The measured 1-gram averaged SAR values of the device against the head is provided in Tables 1. The humidity and ambient temperature of test facility were $54\% \sim 60\%$ and 23.0° C $\sim 23.9^{\circ}$ C respectively. The flat phantom was full of the head tissue or body tissue simulating liquid. The EUT was supplied with full-charged battery for each measurement.

Table 1: Dielectric Performance of Body and Head Tissue Simulating Liquid

Temperature: 23.0~23.9	Temperature: 23.0~23.9° C, humidity: 54~60%.								
1	Frequency	Permittivity ε	Conductivity o (S/m)						
Target value	Target value 2450 MHz(Body)		1.95						
Validation value (Sep 17)	2450 MHz(Body)	53.14	1.937						
Target value	2450 MHz(Head)	39.2	1.80						
Validation value (Sep 17)	2450 MHz(Head)								

7.2 Summary of Measurement Results (2450 MHz Band)

Temperature: 21.0~21.9° C, humidity: 48~58%.					
Limit of SAR (M/kg)	1 g Avera	age			
Limit of SAR (W/kg)	1.6				
	Measurement Re	sult (W/kg)			
Test Case	1g Average	Power level			
	ig Average	(dBm)			
802.11b, Channel1_body	0.316	24.95			
802.11b, Channel6_body	0.356	24.96			
802.11b, Channel11_body	0.421	25.09			
802.11g, Channel1_body	0.243	20.23			
802.11g, Channel6_body	0.250	20.66			
802.11g, Channel11_body	0.264	22.24			



7.3 Conclusion

Localized Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) of this portable wireless device has been measured in all cases requested by the relevant standards cited in Clause 5.2 of this report. Maximum localized SAR is **below** exposure limits specified in the relevant standards cited in Clause 5.1 of this test report.

8 Measurement Uncertainty

No	Uncertainty Component	Туре	Uncertainty Value (%)	Probability Distribution	k	Ci	Standard Uncertainty (%) <i>ui</i> (%)	Degree of freedom
	Measurement System							
1	−Probe Calibration	В	3.6	N	1	1	3.60	∞
2	—Axial isotropy	В	4.23	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{1-cp}$	0.00	∞
3	-Hemispherical Isotropy	В	10.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	√cp	6.18	∞
4	—Boundary Effect	В	1.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.98	8
5	—Linearity	В	2.98	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.69	80
6	—System Detection Limits	В	1.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.60	80
7	-Readout Electronics	В	1.00	N	1	1	1.00	80
8	Response Time	В	0.80	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.50	80
9	-Integration Time	В	2.60	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.50	8
10	-RF Ambient Conditions	В	3.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.70	∞
11	-Probe Position Mechanical tolerance	В	1.14	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.33	∞
12	-Probe Position with respect to Phantom Shell	В	2.86	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.83	8
13	-Extrapolation, Interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR evaluation	В	3.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.08	∞
	Uncertainties of the DUT	•					•	•



No. SAR09-025

14	-Position of the DUT	Α	2.90	N	1	1	2.90	0
15	-Holder of the DUT	Α	3.60	N	1	1	3.60	0
16	-Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	В	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.89	∞
	Phantom and Tissue Paramet	ers						
17	-Phantom Uncertainty(shape and thickness tolerances)	В	1.43	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.83	∞
18	-Liquid Conductivity Target - tolerance	В	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	2.02	8
19	Liquid Conductivity – measurement Uncertainty)	В	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.81	8
20	-Liquid Permittivity Target tolerance	В	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	1.73	8
21	-Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	В	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.35	∞
Com	nbined Standard Uncertainty			RSS			±8.95%	
	anded uncertainty nfidence interval of 95 %)			K= 2.003935			±17.9%	

9 MAIN TEST INSTRUMENTS

No.	EQUIPMENT	TYPE	Due Date
1	E-Field SAR Probe	IXP-050 (SN 0201)	2010-03-27
2	Six-axis AC Servo industrial robot	RV-2A (SN AN406018)	2010-03-27
3	System Validation Dipole 2450MHz	IXD-245(SN 0104)	2010-03-27
4	Probe Amplifier and PC Interface	IFA-010 (SN 0027)	2010-03-27
5	Flat Phantom	IXB-070	2010-03-27



ANNEX A

of

ShenZhen Electronic Product Quality Testing Center

CONFORMANCE TEST REPORT FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE TO ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

SAR REPORT AUTEL INTELLIGENT TECHNOLOGY CO.,LTD MaxiDAS Accreditation Certificate

This Annex consists of 2 pages Date of Report: 2009-09-18













China National Accreditation Service for Conformity Assessment

LABORATORY ACCREDITATION CERTIFICATE

(No. CNAS L1659)

China National Accreditation Service for Conformity Assessment has accredited

Shenzhen Electronic Product Quality Testing Center (CQCS Testing Co. Ltd.)

Electronic Testing Building Wenguang Road, Shahe West, Xili Town, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

to ISO/IEC 17025:1999 General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories(CNAS-CL01 Accreditation Criteria for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories) for the competence in the field of testing and calibration.

The scope of accreditation is detailed in the attached schedule bearing the same accreditation number as above. The schedule forms an integral part of this certificate.

Date of Issue: 2007-01-17

Date of Expiry: 2009-10-08

Date of Initial Accreditation: 1999-08-03

有多年

Signed on behalf of China National Accreditation Service for Conformity Assessment

China National Accreditation Service for Conformity Assessment (CNAS) is authorized by Certification and Accreditation Administration of the People's Republic of China (CNCA) to operate the national accreditation systems for conformity assessment. CNAS is the signatory to International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation Multilateral Recognition Arrangement (ILAC MRA), and the signatory to Asia Pacific Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation Multilateral Recognition Arrangement (APLAC MRA).



ANNEX B

of

ShenZhen Electronic Product Quality Testing Center

CONFORMANCE TEST REPORT FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE TO ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

SAR REPORT

AUTEL INTELLIGENT TECHNOLOGY CO.,LTD

MaxiDAS

Type Name: DS708

Hardware Version: --Software Version: ---

TEST LAYOUT

This Annex consists of 2 pages
Date of Report: 2009-09-18











Fig.1 SARA2 System Test Layout



Fig.2 Depth of the head liquid




Fig.3 Depth of the body liquid





Fig.4 Test position_body Position (the EUT positioned against a flat phantom)



ANNEX C

of

ShenZhen Electronic Product Quality Testing Center

CONFORMANCE TEST REPORT FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE TO ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

SAR REPORT

AUTEL INTELLIGENT TECHNOLOGY CO.,LTD

MaxiDAS

Type Name: DS708

Sample Photographs

This Annex consists of 5 pages Date of Report: 2009-09-18









1. Photograph of the Equipment under Test

1.1. Appearance









1.2 Inside













ANNEX D

of

ShenZhen Electronic Product Quality Testing Center

CONFORMANCE TEST REPORT FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE TO ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

SAR REPORT

AUTEL INTELLIGENT TECHNOLOGY CO.,LTD MAXIDAS

Type Name: DS708

Graph Test Results

This Annex consists of 7 pages Date of Report: 2009-09-18











SAR Test DS708 2450MHz_BODY (802.11b Channel 1)

System / software:	SARA2 / 2.40 VPM	Input Power Drift:	0.02dB
Date / Time:	2009-9-17 11:13:59	DUT Battery Model/No:	
Filename:	DS708_802.11B_BODY	Probe Serial Number:	0201
	_CH1.txt		
Ambient Temperature:	20.3°C	Liquid Simulant:	BODY tissue
Device Under Test:	DS708	Relative Permittivity:	53.14
Relative Humidity:	51%	Conductivity:	1.937
Phantom S/No:	HeadBox75mm.csv	Liquid Temperature:	20.3°C
Phantom Rotation:	0°	Max SAR X-axis	1.71 mm
		Location:	
DUT Position:	BODY	Max SAR Y-axis	-10.29 mm
		Location:	
Antenna	BUILD INSIDE	Max E Field:	17.42 V/m
Configuration:			
Test Frequency:	2450MHz	SAR 1g:	0.316 W/kg
Air Factors:	354 / 376 / 470	SAR 10g:	0.244 W/kg
Conversion Factors:	.442 / .442 / .442	SAR Start:	0.147 W/kg
Type of Modulation:	DSSS	SAR End:	0.143 W/kg
Modn. Duty Cycle:	1	SAR Drift during Scan:	-2.51 %
Diode Compression	20 / 20 / 20	Probe battery last	18/07/09
Factors (V*200):		changed:	
Input Power Level:	Max Power	Extrapolation:	poly4









SAR Test DS708 2450MHz_BODY (802.11b Channel 6)

System / software:	SARA2 / 2.40 VPM	Input Power Drift:	0.03dB
Date / Time:	2009-9-17 11:24:05	DUT Battery Model/No:	
Filename:	DS708_802.11B_BODY	Probe Serial Number:	0201
	_CH6.txt		
Ambient Temperature:	20.3°C	Liquid Simulant:	BODY tissue
Device Under Test:	DS708	Relative Permittivity:	53.14
Relative Humidity:	51%	Conductivity:	1.937
Phantom S/No:	HeadBox75mm.csv	Liquid Temperature:	20.3°C
Phantom Rotation:	0°	Max SAR X-axis	1.71 mm
		Location:	
DUT Position:	BODY	Max SAR Y-axis	-10.29 mm
		Location:	
Antenna	BUILD INSIDE	Max E Field:	18.37 V/m
Configuration:			
Test Frequency:	2450MHz	SAR 1g:	0.356 W/kg
Air Factors:	354 / 376 / 470	SAR 10g:	0.267 W/kg
Conversion Factors:	.442 / .442 / .442	SAR Start:	0.161 W/kg
Type of Modulation:	DSSS	SAR End:	0.159 W/kg
Modn. Duty Cycle:	1	SAR Drift during Scan:	-0.96 %
Diode Compression	20 / 20 / 20	Probe battery last	18/07/09
Factors (V*200):		changed:	
Input Power Level:	Max Power	Extrapolation:	poly4









SAR Test DS708 2450MHz_BODY (802.11b Channel 11)

System / software:	SARA2 / 2.40 VPM	Input Power Drift:	0.05dB
Date / Time:	2009-9-17 14:02:44	DUT Battery Model/No:	
Filename:	DS708_802.11B_BODY	Probe Serial Number:	0201
	_CH11.txt		
Ambient Temperature:	20.3°C	Liquid Simulant:	BODY tissue
Device Under Test:	DS708	Relative Permittivity:	53.14
Relative Humidity:	51%	Conductivity:	1.937
Phantom S/No:	HeadBox75mm.csv	Liquid Temperature:	20.3°C
Phantom Rotation:	0°	Max SAR X-axis	3.43 mm
		Location:	
DUT Position:	BODY	Max SAR Y-axis	-12.00 mm
		Location:	
Antenna	BUILD INSIDE	Max E Field:	19.87 V/m
Configuration:			
Test Frequency:	2450MHz	SAR 1g:	0.421 W/kg
Air Factors:	354 / 376 / 470	SAR 10g:	0.321 W/kg
Conversion Factors:	.442 / .442 / .442	SAR Start:	0.187 W/kg
Type of Modulation:	DSSS	SAR End:	0.182 W/kg
Modn. Duty Cycle:	1	SAR Drift during Scan:	-2.76 %
Diode Compression	20 / 20 / 20	Probe battery last	18/07/09
Factors (V*200):		changed:	
Input Power Level:	Max Power	Extrapolation:	poly4









SAR Test DS708 2450MHz_BODY (802.11g Channel 1)

System / software:	SARA2 / 2.40 VPM	Input Power Drift:	0.03dB
Date / Time:	2009-9-17 9:59:41	DUT Battery Model/No:	
Filename:	DS708_802.11G_BOD	Probe Serial Number:	0201
	Y_CH1.txt		
Ambient Temperature:	20.3°C	Liquid Simulant:	BODY tissue
Device Under Test:	DS708	Relative Permittivity:	53.14
Relative Humidity:	51%	Conductivity:	1.937
Phantom S/No:	HeadBox75mm.csv	Liquid Temperature:	20.3°C
Phantom Rotation:	0°	Max SAR X-axis	-42.86 mm
		Location:	
DUT Position:	BODY	Max SAR Y-axis	-8.57 mm
		Location:	
Antenna	BUILD INSIDE	Max E Field:	11.45 V/m
Configuration:			
Test Frequency:	2450MHz	SAR 1g:	0.243 W/kg
Air Factors:	354 / 376 / 470	SAR 10g:	0.140 W/kg
Conversion Factors:	.442 / .442 / .442	SAR Start:	0.024 W/kg
Type of Modulation:	OFDM	SAR End:	0.024 W/kg
Modn. Duty Cycle:	1	SAR Drift during Scan:	1.82 %
Diode Compression	20 / 20 / 20	Probe battery last	18/07/09
Factors (V*200):		changed:	
Input Power Level:	Max Power	Extrapolation:	poly4









SAR Test DS708 2450MHz_BODY (802.11g Channel 6)

System / software:	SARA2 / 2.40 VPM	Input Power Drift:	0.01dB
Date / Time:	2009-9-17 10:16:04	DUT Battery Model/No:	
Filename:	DS708_802.11G_BOD	Probe Serial Number:	0201
	Y_CH6.txt		
Ambient Temperature:	20.3°C	Liquid Simulant:	BODY tissue
Device Under Test:	DS708	Relative Permittivity:	53.14
Relative Humidity:	51%	Conductivity:	1.937
Phantom S/No:	HeadBox75mm.csv	Liquid Temperature:	20.3°C
Phantom Rotation:	0°	Max SAR X-axis	-36.00 mm
		Location:	
DUT Position:	BODY	Max SAR Y-axis	-3.43 mm
		Location:	
Antenna	BUILD INSIDE	Max E Field:	11.75 V/m
Configuration:			
Test Frequency:	2450MHz	SAR 1g:	0.250 W/kg
Air Factors:	354 / 376 / 470	SAR 10g:	0.187 W/kg
Conversion Factors:	.442 / .442 / .442	SAR Start:	0.129 W/kg
Type of Modulation:	OFDM	SAR End:	0.130 W/kg
Modn. Duty Cycle:	1	SAR Drift during Scan:	0.63 %
Diode Compression	20 / 20 / 20	Probe battery last	18/07/09
Factors (V*200):		changed:	
Input Power Level:	Max Power	Extrapolation:	poly4









SAR Test DS708 2450MHz_BODY (802.11g Channel 11)

System / software:	SARA2 / 2.40 VPM	Input Power Drift:	0.01dB
Date / Time:	2009-9-17 10:25:44	DUT Battery Model/No:	
Filename:	DS708_802.11G_BOD	Probe Serial Number:	0201
	Y_CH11.txt		
Ambient Temperature:	20.3°C	Liquid Simulant:	BODY tissue
Device Under Test:	DS708	Relative Permittivity:	53.14
Relative Humidity:	51%	Conductivity:	1.937
Phantom S/No:	HeadBox75mm.csv	Liquid Temperature:	20.3°C
Phantom Rotation:	0°	Max SAR X-axis	-37.71 mm
		Location:	
DUT Position:	BODY	Max SAR Y-axis	-3.43 mm
		Location:	
Antenna	BUILD INSIDE	Max E Field:	12.49 V/m
Configuration:			
Test Frequency:	2450MHz	SAR 1g:	0.264 W/kg
Air Factors:	354 / 376 / 470	SAR 10g:	0.160 W/kg
Conversion Factors:	.442 / .442 / .442	SAR Start:	0.118 W/kg
Type of Modulation:	OFDM	SAR End:	0.119 W/kg
Modn. Duty Cycle:	1	SAR Drift during Scan:	0.33 %
Diode Compression	20 / 20 / 20	Probe battery last	18/07/09
Factors (V*200):		changed:	
Input Power Level:	Max Power	Extrapolation:	poly4







System Cheek Body 2450MHz

System Cheek Body 2450MHz			
System / software:	SARA2 / 2.40 VPM	Input Power Drift:	0.01dB
Date / Time:	2009-9-17 9:29:45	DUT Battery Model/No:	
Filename:	System Cheek_Body	Probe Serial Number:	0201
	_2450MHz.txt		
Ambient Temperature:	20.3°C	Liquid Simulant:	HEAD tissue
Device Under Test:	IXD-245antenna	Relative Permittivity:	53.14
	(250mw)		
Relative Humidity:	57%	Conductivity:	1.937
Phantom S/No:	HeadBox75mm.csv	Liquid Temperature:	20.3°C
Phantom Rotation:	0°	Max SAR X-axis	0.00 mm
		Location:	
DUT Position:	2450_Body	Max SAR Y-axis	0.00 mm
		Location:	
Antenna	IXD-245antenna	Max E Field:	79.08 V/m
Configuration:			
Test Frequency:	2450MHz	SAR 1g:	13.086 W/kg
Air Factors:	354 / 376 / 470	SAR 10g:	6.037 W/kg
Conversion Factors:	.442 / .442 / .442	SAR Start:	1.647 W/kg
Type of Modulation:	1	SAR End:	1.648 W/kg
Modn. Duty Cycle:	1	SAR Drift during Scan:	0.93 %
Diode Compression	20 / 20 / 20	Probe battery last	18/07/09
Factors (V*200):		changed:	
Input Power Level:	24dBm	Extrapolation:	poly4

