

# SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

# Hytera Communications Co., Ltd.

HYT Tower, Hi-Tech Industrial Park North, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China

FCC ID: YAMPD46XU2

Report Type: Product Type: Class II Permissive Change Digital Mobile Radio Wilson then **Test Engineer:** Wilson Chen **Report Number:** RSZ150313003-20BA1 **Report Date:** 2015-04-01 BeilHu Bell Hu **Reviewed By:** SAR Engineer Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, ShiHua Road, FuTian Free Trade Zone Prepared By: Shenzhen, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-755-33320018 Fax: +86-755-33320008 www.baclcorp.com.cn

**Note**: This test report is prepared for the customer shown above and for the device described herein. It may not be duplicated or used in part without prior written consent from Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp.

Attestation of Test Results							
		Company Name		Hytera Communications Co., Ltd.			
		<b>EUT Description</b>		Digital Mobile Radio			
EUT		FCC ID		YAMPD46XU2			
Informa	tion	Model Number		Test model: PD412U(2) Multiple models: PD410U(2), PD415U(2), PD416U(2)	2), PD418U(2)		
		Те	est Date	2015-03-30			
Frequency (MHz)	Mode			Max. SAR Level(s) Reported	Limit (W/Kg)		
450.520	Digital			o: 1.810 W/kg Back: 3.641 W/kg	0.0		
450-520 Analog		12.5kHz Face up: 1.884 W/kg (Corrected by multiplying 50%) Body worn: 3.495 W/kg (Corrected by multiplying 50%)			8.0		
		ANSI / IEEE C95.1: 2005 IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fileds, 3 kHz to 300 GHz.					
Applicable Standards		ANSI / IEEE C95.3: 2002 IEEE Recommended Practice for Measurements and Computations of Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields With Respect to Human Exposure to SuchFields,100 kHz—300 GHz.					
		IEEE1528:2013 IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques					
		KDB procedures  KDB 447498 D01 v05r02: Mobile and Portable Devices RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies.  KDB 865664 D01v01r03: SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01.					
		KDB 643646D01 v01r01: SAR test Reduction Considerations for Occupational PTT Radios.					

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

**Note:** This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for Occupational /Controlled Exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Standards and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013 and RF exposure KDB procedures.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

SAR Evaluation Report 2 of 65

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	4
EUT DESCRIPTION	5
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION	
REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES	6
SAR LIMITS	
FACILITIES	
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM	9
EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION	16
EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION	
SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION	17
Liquid Verification	
System Accuracy Verification	
SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA	
EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY	24
TEST POSITIONS FOR DEVICE OPERATING NEXT TO A PERSON'S EAR	
CHEEK/TOUCH POSITION	
EAR/TILT POSITION	
TEST POSITIONS FOR BODY-WORN AND OTHER CONFIGURATIONS	
SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURE Test methodology	
CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT	
PROVISION APPLICABLE  TEST PROCEDURE	
MAXIMUM OUTPUT POWER AMONG PRODUCTION UNITS	
Test Results:	
SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	29
SAR TEST DATA	
TEST RESULT:	30
SAR PLOTS (SUMMARY OF THE HIGHEST SAR VALUES)	31
APPENDIX A – MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	35
APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	37
APPENDIX C – DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	
APPENDIX D – EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS	
LIQUID DEPTH ≥ 15CM	
FACE-UP 2.5 CM SEPARATION TO FLAT PHANTOM	
BODY-BACK 0.0 CM SEPARATION TO FLAT PHANTOM	
EUT – Front View.	
EUT – BACK VIEW	
EUT-Left View	
EUT-RIGHT VIEW	
EUT-Top View EUT-Bottom View	
EUT-UNCOVER VIEW	
BATTERY VIEW	
EUT – BELT CLIP	63
EUT – Antenna	63
APPENDIX G – INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	64
PRODUCT SIMILARITY DECLARATION LETTER	65

Revision Number	Report Number	Description of Revision	Date of Revision	
0	RSZ150313002-20	Original Report	2015-04-01	
1	RSZ150313003-20BA1	Class II permissive Change Report	2015-04-01	

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

This is a CIIPC application of the device; the differences between the original device and the current one are as follows:

- 1. Removing the display screen and keyboard in the current device
- 2. Changing the model, the original models are PD460U (2), PD462U (2), PD465U (2), PD466U (2) and PD468U (2), and the new models are PD410U (2), PD412U (2), PD415U (2), PD416U (2) and PD418U (2).

They have the same main board and transmitter module between the original device and the current one. For the change made to the device, the entire worse case configuration was performed.

SAR Evaluation Report 4 of 65

## **EUT DESCRIPTION**

This report has been prepared on behalf of Hytera Communications Co., Ltd. and their product and their product, FCC ID: YAMPD46XU2, Model: PD412U(2) or the EUT (Equipment Under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report.

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

#### \*Note:

This series products model: PD410U (2), PD412U (2), PD415U (2), PD416U (2) and PD418U (2), we select model: PD412U (2) to test, there is no electrical change has been made to the equipment, please refer to the product similarity letter.

## **Technical Specification**

Product Type	Portable
Exposure Category:	Occupational/Controlled Exposure
Antenna Type(s):	External Antenna
Body-Worn Accessories:	Belt Clip and Headset Cable
Face-Head Accessories:	None
Modulation Type:	4FSK&FM
Frequency Band:	450-520 MHz
Conducted RF Power:	36.23 dBm
Dimensions (L*W*H):	124mm (L)×60mm (W)×35mm (H)
Power Source:	7.4V Rechargeable Li-ION Battery
Normal Operation:	Face Up and Body-worn

SAR Evaluation Report 5 of 65

#### REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES

#### FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

#### CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

SAR Evaluation Report 6 of 65

### FCC Limit (1g Tissue)

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

	SAR (W/kg)			
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60	8.0		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

### CE Limit (10g Tissue)

	SAR (W/kg)			
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue)	2.0	10		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

Occupational/Controlled environments Spatial Peak limit 8.0 W/kg (FCC/IC) & 10 W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

SAR Evaluation Report 7 of 65

### Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

## **FACILITIES**

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect data is located at 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, Shi Hua Road, Fu Tian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P.R. of China

SAR Evaluation Report 8 of 65

#### **DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM**

These measurements were performed with ALSAS 10 Universal Integrated SAR Measurement system from APREL Laboratories.

### **ALSAS-10U System Description**

ALSAS-10-U is fully compliant with the technical and scientific requirements of IEEE 1528, IEC 62209, CENELEC, ARIB, ACA, and the Federal Communications Commission. The system comprises of a six axes articulated robot which utilizes a dedicated controller. ALSAS-10U uses the latest methodologies. And FDTD modeling to provide a platform which is repeatable with minimum uncertainty.

#### **Applications**

Predefined measurement procedures compliant with the guidelines of CENELEC, IEEE, IEC, FCC, etc are utilized during the assessment for the device. Automatic detection for all SAR maxima are embedded within the core architecture for the system, ensuring that peak locations used for centering the zoom scan are within a 1mm resolution and a 0.05mm repeatable position. System operation range currently available up-to 6 GHz in simulated tissue.

#### **Area Scans**

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 10mm2 step integral, with 1mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.



Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

Where the system identifies multiple SAR peaks (which are within 25% of peak value) the system will provide the user with the option of assessing each peak location individually for zoom scan averaging.

#### **Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)**

The averaging zoom scan volume utilized in the ALSAS-10U software is in the shape of a cube and the side dimension of a 1 g or 10 g mass is dependent on the density of the liquid representing the simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m3 is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1 g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10 g cube 21,5mm.

When the cube intersects with the surface of the phantom, it is oriented so that 3 vertices touch the surface of the shell or the center of a face is tangent to the surface. The face of the cube closest to the surface is modified in order to conform to the tangent surface.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications (including FCC) utilize a physical step of 5x5x8 (8mmx8mmx5mm) providing a volume of 32mm in the X & Y axis, and 35mm in the Z axis.

SAR Evaluation Report 9 of 65

### **ALSAS-10U Interpolation and Extrapolation Uncertainty**


The overall uncertainty for the methodology and algorithms the used during the SAR calculation was evaluated using the data from IEEE 1528 based on the example f3 algorithm:

$$f_3(x, y, z) = A \frac{a^2}{\frac{a^2}{4} + x'^2 + y'^2} \cdot \left( e^{-\frac{2z}{a}} + \frac{a^2}{2(a+2z)^2} \right)$$

## **Isotropic E-Field Probe**

The isotropic E-Field probe has been fully calibrated and assessed for isotropicity, and boundary effect within a controlled environment. Depending on the frequency for which the probe is calibrated the method utilized for calibration will change.

The E-Field probe utilizes a triangular sensor arrangement as detailed in the diagram below:



SAR is assessed with a calibrated probe which moves at a default height of 5mm from the center of the diode, which is mounted to the sensor, to the phantom surface (in the Z Axis). The 5mm offset height has been selected so as to minimize any resultant boundary effect due to the probe being in close proximity to the phantom surface.

The following algorithm is an example of the function used by the system for linearization of the output from the probe when measuring complex modulation schemes.

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

SAR Evaluation Report 10 of 65

#### **Isotropic E-Field Probe Specification**

Calibration Method	Frequency Dependent Below 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in a TEM Cell Above 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in waveguide		
Sensitivity	$0.70 \ \mu V/(V/m)^2$ to $0.85 \ \mu V/(V/m)^2$		
Dynamic Range	0.0005 W/kg to 100 W/kg		
Isotropic Response	Better than 0.1 dB		
Diode Compression Point (DCP)	Calibration for Specific Frequency		
Probe Tip Diameter	< 2.9 mm		
Sensor Offset	1.56 (+/- 0.02 mm)		
Probe Length	289 mm		
Video Bandwidth	@ 500 Hz: 1 dB @ 1.02 kHz: 3 dB		
Boundary Effect Less than 2.1% for distance greater than 0.58 mm			
Spatial Resolution	The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe.  The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe		

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

## **Boundary Detection Unit and Probe Mounting Device**

ALSAS-10U incorporates a boundary detection unit with a sensitivity of 0.05mm for detecting all types of surfaces. The robust design allows for detection during probe tilt (probe normalize) exercises, and utilizes a second stage emergency stop. The signal electronics are fed directly into the robot controller for high accuracy surface detection in lateral and axial detection modes (X, Y, & Z).

The probe is mounted directly onto the Boundary Detection unit for accurate tooling and displacement calculations controlled by the robot kinematics. The probe is connect to an isolated probe interconnect where the output stage of the probe is fed directly into the amplifier stage of the Daq-Paq.

## **Daq-Paq (Analog to Digital Electronics)**

ALSAS-10U incorporates a fully calibrated Daq-Paq (analog to digital conversion system) which has a 4 channel input stage, sent via a 2 stage auto-set amplifier module. The input signal is amplified accordingly so as to offer a dynamic range from  $5\mu V$  to 800mV. Integration of the fields measured is carried out at board level utilizing a Co-Processor which then sends the measured fields down into the main computational module in digitized form via an RS232 communications port. Probe linearity and duty cycle compensation is carried out within the main Daq-Paq module.

ADC 12 Bit	
Amplifier Range	20 mV to 200 mV and 150 mV to 800 mV
Field Integration	Local Co-Processor utilizing proprietary integration algorithms
Number of Input Channels	4 in total 3 dedicated and 1 spare
Communication	Packet data via RS232

SAR Evaluation Report 11 of 65

#### **Axis Articulated Robot**

ALSAS-10U utilizes a six axis articulated robot, which is controlled using a Pentium based real-time movement controller. The movement kinematics engine utilizes proprietary (Thermo CRS) interpolation and extrapolation algorithms, which allow full freedom of movement for each of the six joints within the working envelope. Utilization of joint 6 allows for full probe rotation with a tolerance better than 0.05mm around the central axis.

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1



Robot/Controller Manufacturer	Thermo CRS	
Number of Axis	Six independently controlled axis	
Positioning Repeatability	0.05 mm	
Controller Type	·	
Robot Reach	710 mm	
Communication	RS232 and LAN compatible	

#### **ALSAS Universal Workstation**

ALSAS Universal workstation allows for repeatability and fast adaptability. It allows users to do calibration, testing and measurements using different types of phantoms with one set up, which significantly speeds up the measurement process.

#### **Universal Device Positioner**

The universal device positioner allows complete freedom of movement of the EUT. Developed to hold a EUT in a free-space scenario any additional loading attributable to the material used in the construction of the positioner has been eliminated. Repeatability has been enhanced through the linear scales which form the design used to indicate positioning for any given test scenario in all major axes. A 15° tilt indicator is included for the of aid cheek to tilt movements for head SAR analysis. Overall uncertainty for measurements have been reduced due to the design of the Universal device positioner, which allows positioning of a device in as near to a free-space scenario as possible, and by providing the means for complete repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 12 of 65




## **Phantom Types**

The ALSAS-10U allows the integration of multiple phantom types. SAM Phantoms fully compliant with IEEE 1528, Universal Phantom, and Universal Flat.

#### **APREL SAM Phantoms**

The SAM phantoms developed using the IEEE SAM CAD file. They are fully compliant with the requirements for both IEEE 1528 and FCC Supplement C. Both the left and right SAM phantoms are interchangeable, transparent and include the IEEE 1528 grid with visible NF and MB lines.



SAR Evaluation Report 13 of 65

#### **APREL Laboratories Universal Phantom**

The Universal Phantom is used on the ALSAS-10U as a system validation phantom. The Universal Phantom has been fully validated both experimentally from 30MHz to 6GHz and numerically using XFDTD numerical software.

The shell thickness is 2mm overall, with a 4mm spacer located at the NF/MB intersection providing an overall thickness of 6mm in line with the requirements of IEEE-1528.

The design allows for fast and accurate measurements, of handsets, by allowing the conservative SAR to be evaluated at on frequency for both left and right head experiments in one measurement.



SAR Evaluation Report 14 of 65

## **Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms**

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

Ingredients	Frequency (MHz)									
(% by weight)	450		835		915		1900		2450	
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2
Salt (Nacl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triton x-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5
Conductivity (s/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78

#### Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body

Frequency	Head	Tissue	<b>Body Tissue</b>		
(MHz)	Er	O' (S/m)	Er	O'(S/m)	
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80	
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92	
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94	
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97	
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05	
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06	
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30	
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40	
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52	
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95	
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73	
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00	

SAR Evaluation Report 15 of 65

## **EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION**

## **Equipments List & Calibration Information**


Equipment	Model	Calibration Date	S/N
CRS F3 robot	ALS-F3	N/A	RAF0805352
CRS F3 Software	ALS-F3-SW	N/A	N/A
CRS C500C controller	ALS-C500	N/A	RCF0805379
Probe mounting device & Boundary Detection Sensor System	ALS-PMDPS-3	N/A	120-00270
Universal Work Station	ALS-UWS	N/A	100-00157
Data Acquisition Package	ALS-DAQ-PAQ-3	2014-10-14	110-00212
Miniature E-Field Probe	ALS-E-020	2014-10-14	500-00283
Dipole, 450 MHz	ALS-D-450-S-2	2012-07-31	175-00503
Dipole Spacer	ALS-DS-U	N/A	250-00907
Device holder/Positioner	ALS-H-E-SET-2	N/A	170-00510
Left ear SAM phantom	ALS-P-SAM-L	N/A	130-00311
Right ear SAM phantom	ALS-P-SAM-R	N/A	140-00359
UniPhantom	ALS-UM-FLAT	N/A	153-00104
Simulated Tissue 450 MHz Head	ALS-TS-450-H	Each Time	260-01106
Simulated Tissue 450 MHz Body	ALS-TS-450-B	Each Time	260-02108
Attenuator	3dB	2014-05-08	5402
Network analyzer	8752C	2014-06-03	3410A02356
Dielectric probe kit	HP85070B	2014-06-13	N/A
Power Amplifier	5S1G4	N/A	71377
Directional couple	DC6180A	2014-06-13	0325849
Synthesized Sweeper	HP 8341B	2014-06-03	2624A00116
EMI Test Receiver	ESCI	2014-06-13	101746

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

SAR Evaluation Report 16 of 65

## SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

## **Liquid Verification**



Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

## **Liquid Verification Results**

Frequency (MHz)	Lianid	Liquid Parameter		Target Value		Delt	a (%)	Tolerance
	Liquid Type	£ <sub>r</sub>	O (S/m)	£ <sub>r</sub>	O (S/m)	$\Delta \epsilon_{ m r}$	ΔΟ΄ (S/m)	(%)
450.0125	Head	42.92	0.87	43.50	0.87	-1.333	0.000	±5
450.0125	Body	54.77	0.93	56.70	0.94	-3.404	-1.064	±5
468.0125	Head	42.70	0.89	43.50	0.87	-1.839	2.299	±5
408.0123	Body	54.80	0.94	56.70	0.94	-3.351	0.000	±5
495 0125	Head	43.37	0.85	43.50	0.87	-0.299	-2.299	±5
485.0125	Body	54.31	0.93	56.70	0.94	-4.215	-1.064	±5
500.0125	Head	42.96	0.87	43.50	0.87	-1.241	0.000	±5
500.0125	Body	55.01	0.96	56.70	0.94	-2.981	2.128	±5
£10.007£	Head	42.78	0.88	43.50	0.87	-1.655	1.149	±5
519.9875	Body	55.60	0.96	56.70	0.94	-1.940	2.128	±5

<sup>\*</sup>Liquid Verification was performed on 2015-03-30.

Please refer to the following tables.

SAR Evaluation Report 17 of 65

	450MHz Head			450MHz Body	
Frequency (MHz)	e'	e''	Frequency (MHz)	e'	e''
450.0	42.9187	34.9348	450.0	54.7734	37.1656
451.5	42.8060	34.6999	451.5	55.4379	37.0786
453.0	42.8557	34.8765	453.0	54.8895	37.1513
454.5	43.1333	34.6835	454.5	54.0016	37.0757
456.0	42.6938	34.5698	456.0	55.2116	37.1544
457.5	42.9433	34.4000	457.5	54.7247	37.2992
459.0	43.1661	34.5557	459.0	54.0213	37.1782
460.5	42.9611	34.4123	460.5	55.0686	37.3092
462.0	42.7437	34.1113	462.0	55.5301	37.2939
463.5	43.3762	34.1870	463.5	55.6686	37.0614
465.0	43.3233	34.2243	465.0	54.8413	37.2524
466.5	43.3207	34.0683	466.5	55.0899	37.0985
468.0	42.6981	34.0689	468.0	54.7971	36.1208
469.5	42.7413	32.8174	469.5	54.1727	36.2196
471.0	43.3779	32.6340	471.0	55.8680	36.3209
472.5	42.9295	32.6455	472.5	55.7254	36.1582
474.0	43.1598	32.7408	474.0	55.8684	36.0635
475.5	43.1731	32.6258	475.5	55.4751	36.2694
477.0	43.2391	32.4695	477.0	55.3451	36.4390
478.5	43.2070	32.5581	478.5	54.7047	36.4181
480.0	42.8223	32.7005	480.0	55.0455	35.7243
481.5	43.1639	32.2376	481.5	55.1904	34.7653
483.0	43.2421	32.0504	483.0	55.1779	34.0378
484.5	43.4886	31.1235	484.5	54.1717	34.3339
486.0	43.2552	31.7868	486.0	54.4649	34.4622
487.5	43.0337	31.7214	487.5	54.7238	34.0211
489.0	43.1444	31.7950	489.0	54.0900	34.0242
490.5	42.6609	31.8178	490.5	54.3656	34.2152
492.0	42.9962	31.7082	492.0	55.7296	34.4014
493.5	43.4975	32.3188	493.5	55.1316	34.2581
495.0	42.7829	32.4893	495.0	54.5009	34.1568
496.5	43.0359	32.4739	496.5	55.4472	34.3745
498.0	43.3672	32.3161	498.0	54.9954	34.0826
499.5	42.8971	31.2355	499.5	55.7744	34.2399
501.0	43.0756	30.9253	501.0	54.5032	34.3881
502.5	43.4110	31.0001	502.5	55.8779	34.3160
504.0	43.1992	30.9194	504.0	55.8632	34.0385
505.5	43.0828	30.9921	505.5	54.1968	34.3168
507.0	43.0936	30.7123	507.0	54.8154	34.2009
508.5	43.3702	30.6908	508.5	55.7057	34.3122
510.0	43.2663	30.6707	510.0	55.5741	34.4570
511.5	42.9275	30.4400	511.5	54.3460	34.2758
513.0	42.8511	30.5401	513.0	55.1276	34.3984
514.5	42.8203	30.2451	514.5	53.8967	34.4014
516.0	43.0504	30.4943	516.0	54.9408	34.1059
517.5	43.0074	30.2466	517.5	54.5325	33.2443
519.0	42.9469	30.3266	519.0	55.5999	33.4366
520.5	42.6369	30.2282	520.5	55.6125	33.2007

SAR Evaluation Report 18 of 65

## **System Accuracy Verification**

Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of  $\pm 10\%$ . The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

### **System Verification Setup Block Diagram**



### Probe and dipole antenna List and Detail

Manufa cturer	Description	Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
APREL	Probe	ALS-E-020	500-00283	2014-10-14	2015-10-13
APREL	Dipole antenna(450MHz)	ALS-D-450-S-2	175-00503	2012-07-31	2015-07-30

### **System Accuracy Check Results**

Date	Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Measured SAR (W/Kg)		Target Value (W/Kg)	Delta (%)	Tolerance (%)
2015 02 20 450	450	Head	1g	4.786	4.572	4.681	±10
2015-03-30	450	Body	1g	4.762	4.508	5.634	±10

<sup>\*</sup>All SAR values are normalized to 1 Watt forward power.

SAR Evaluation Report 19 of 65

#### Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

#### SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

System Performance Check 450 MHz Head Liquid

Dipole 450 MHz; Type: ALS-D-450-S-2; S/N: 175-00503

Product Data

Device Name : Dipole 450 MHz Serial No. : 175-00503 Type : Dipole

Model : ALS-D-450-S-2

Frequency Band : 450
Max. Transmit Pwr
Drift Time : 3 min(s)
Power Drift-Start : 4.492 W/kg
Power Drift-Finish
Power Drift (%) : -2.103

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Phantom Data

Tissue Data

: Head Type Serial No. : 260-01106 Frequency : 450.00MHz Last Calib. Date : 30-Mar-2015 : 20.00 °C Temperature Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C Humidity : 56.00 RH% : 42.92 F/m Epsilon Sigma : 0.87 S/m

Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle Serial No. : 500-00283 Last Calib. Date : 14-Oct-2014

Frequency Band : 450 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 5.7

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

Measurement Data


Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C

Area Scan : 8x10x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 20 of 65

1 gram SAR value : 4.786 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 3.225 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 4.997 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 7.512 W/kg



450 MHz System Validation with Head Tissue

SAR Evaluation Report 21 of 65

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

#### **Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)**

#### System Performance Check 450 MHz Body Liquid

Dipole 450 MHz; Type: ALS-D-450-S-2; S/N: 175-00503

Product Data

Device Name : Dipole 450 MHz Serial No. : 175-00503 Type : Dipole

Model : ALS-D-450-S-2

Frequency Band : 450
Max. Transmit Pwr
Drift Time : 3 min(s)
Power Drift-Start : 4.403 W/kg
Power Drift-Finish
Power Drift (%) : 1.614

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Phantom Data

Tissue Data

: Body Type : 260-02108 Serial No. : 450.00MHz Frequency Last Calib. Date : 30-Mar-2015 Temperature : 20.00 °C : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 56.00 RH% Humidity : 54.77 F/m Epsilon Sigma : 0.93 S/m

Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle Serial No. : 500-00283 Last Calib. Date : 14-Oct-2014

Frequency Band : 450 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 5.8

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

Measurement Data


Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C

Area Scan : 8x10x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 22 of 65

1 gram SAR value : 4.762 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 3.161 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 4.974 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 7.392 W/kg



450 MHz System Validation with Body Tissue


SAR Evaluation Report 23 of 65


## EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY

#### **Test Positions for Device Operating Next to a Person's Ear**

This category includes most wireless handsets with fixed, retractable or internal antennas located toward the top half of the device, with or without a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover. The handset should have its earpiece located within the upper ¼ of the device, either along the centerline or off-centered, as perceived by its users. This type of handset should be positioned in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point". The "test device reference point" should be located at the same level as the center of the earpiece region. The "vertical centerline" should bisect the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges. A "ear reference point" is located on the outer surface of the head phantom on each ear spacer. It is located 1.5 cm above the center of the ear canal entrance in the "phantom reference plane" defined by the three lines joining the center of each "ear reference point" (left and right) and the tip of the mouth

A handset should be initially positioned with the earpiece region pressed against the ear spacer of a head phantom. For the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom, the device should be positioned parallel to the "N-F" line defined along the base of the ear spacer that contains the "ear reference point". For interim head phantoms, the device should be positioned parallel to the cheek for maximum RF energy coupling. The "test device reference point" is aligned to the "ear reference point" on the head phantom and the "vertical centerline" is aligned to the "phantom reference plane". This is called the "initial ear position". While maintaining these three alignments, the body of the handset is gradually adjusted to each of the following positions for evaluating SAR:





Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

SAR Evaluation Report 24 of 65

#### **Cheek/Touch Position**

The device is brought toward the mouth of the head phantom by pivoting against the "ear reference point" or along the "N-F" line for the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom.

This test position is established:


• When any point on the display, keypad or mouthpiece portions of the handset is in contact with the phantom.

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

o (or) When any portion of a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover opened to its intended self-adjusting normal use position is in contact with the cheek or mouth of the phantom.

For existing head phantoms – when the handset loses contact with the phantom at the pivoting point, rotation should continue until the device touches the cheek of the phantom or breaks its last contact from the ear spacer.

#### **Cheek / Touch Position**



#### **Ear/Tilt Position**

With the handset aligned in the "Cheek/Touch Position":

- 1) If the earpiece of the handset is not in full contact with the phantom's ear spacer (in the "Cheek/Touch position") and the peak SAR location for the "Cheek/Touch" position is located at the ear spacer region or corresponds to the earpiece region of the handset, the device should be returned to the "initial ear position" by rotating it away from the mouth until the earpiece is in full contact with the ear spacer.
- 2) (otherwise) The handset should be moved (translated) away from the cheek perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" (note: one of these ear reference points may not physically exist on a split head model) for approximate 2-3 cm. While it is in this position, the device handset is tilted away from the mouth with respect to the "test device reference point" until the inside angle between the vertical centerline on the front surface of the phone and the horizontal line passing through the ear reference point isby 15 80°. After the tilt, it is then moved (translated) back toward the head perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" until the device touches the phantom or the ear spacer. If the antenna touches the head first, the positioning process should be repeated with a tilt angle less than 15° so that the device and its antenna would touch the phantom simultaneously. This test position may require a device holder or positioner to achieve the translation and tilting with acceptable positioning repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 25 of 65

If a device is also designed to transmit with its keypad cover closed for operating in the head position, such positions should also be considered in the SAR evaluation. The device should be tested on the left and right side of the head phantom in the "Cheek/Touch" and "Ear/Tilt" positions. When applicable, each configuration should be tested with the antenna in its fully extended and fully retracted positions. These test configurations should be tested at the high, middle and low frequency channels of each operating mode; for example, AMPS, CDMA, and TDMA. If the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, Cheek/Touch, Tile/Ear, extended and retracted) is at least 2.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s). If the transmission band of the test device is less than 10 MHz, testing at the high and low frequency channels is optional.

#### Ear /Tilt 15° Position



### Test positions for body-worn and other configurations

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.




Figure 5 - Test positions for body-worn devices

SAR Evaluation Report 26 of 65

#### **SAR Evaluation Procedure**

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 35 mm x 35 mm x 35 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
  - 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
  - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

#### **Test methodology**

IEEE1528:2013 KDB 447498 D01 v05r02 KDB 865664 D01 v01r03 KDB 643646 D01 v01r01

SAR Evaluation Report 27 of 65


## CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT

## **Provision Applicable**

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

#### **Test Procedure**

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the Signal Analyzer through sufficient attenuation.



Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

## **Maximum Output Power among production units**

Max Target Power for Production Unit (dBm)							
PTT/Mode	Frequency(450-520MHz)						
Digital-12.5K	36.30						
Analog-12.5K	30.30						

#### **Test Results:**

Mode	Frequency Spacing (kHz)	Frequency (MHz)	Output(dBm)	Output Power(W)	Power level
		450.0125	36.19	4.159	High
		468.0125	36.22	4.188	High
Digital	12.5	485.0125	36.22	4.188	High
		500.0125	36.19	4.159	High
		519.9875	36.17	4.140	High
		450.0125	36.23	4.198	High
		468.0125	36.21	4.178	High
Analog	12.5	485.0125	36.17	4.140	High
		500.0125	36.18	4.150	High
		519.9875	36.19	4.159	High

SAR Evaluation Report 28 of 65

## SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

## **SAR Test Data**

### **Environmental Conditions**

Temperature:	21 °C
Relative Humidity:	50%
ATM Pressure:	1002 mbar

<sup>\*</sup> Testing was performed by Wilson Chen on 2015-03-30

**SAR Evaluation Report** 29 of 65

#### **Test Result:**

## Digital (Modulation 4FSK; Channel Spacing 12.5 kHz):

E	Power	Max.	Max.	1 g SAR Value (W/Kg)					
Frequency (MHz)	Drift (%)	Meas. Power (dBm)	Rated Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	Plot		
Face up (2.5cm)									
450.0125	2.540	36.19	36.30	1.026	1.163	1.193	/		
468.0125	-1.798	36.22	36.30	1.019	1.596	1.626	/		
485.0125	0.843	36.22	36.30	1.019	1.776	1.810	1#		
500.0125	4.048	36.19	36.30	1.026	1.591	1.632	/		
519.9875	-1.916	36.17	36.30	1.030	1.384	1.426	/		
		Bod	y-Back with	Belt Clip (0	).0cm)				
450.0125	-0.499	36.19	36.30	1.026	3.064	3.144	/		
468.0125	-0.906	36.22	36.30	1.019	3.212	3.273	/		
485.0125	-0.435	36.22	36.30	1.019	3.573	3.641	2#		
500.0125	1.933	36.19	36.30	1.026	3.342	3.429	/		
519.9875	-0.249	36.17	36.30	1.030	3.097	3.190	/		

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

### Analog (Modulation FM; Channel Spacing 12.5 kHz):

Frequency	Power Drift	Max. Meas.	Max. Rated		1 g SAl	R Value(W	// <b>Kg</b> )	
(MHz)			Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	50%	Plot
			Face up (	2.5cm)				
450.0125	0.729	36.23	36.30	1.016	2.184	2.219	1.109	/
468.0125	-1.688	36.21	36.30	1.021	2.803	2.862	1.431	
485.0125	2.612	36.17	36.30	1.030	3.659	3.769	1.884	3#
500.0125	2.545	36.18	36.30	1.028	3.416	3.512	1.756	
519.9875	4.466	36.19	36.30	1.026	3.234	3.318	1.659	/
		Body-B	ack with B	elt Clip (0.0	cm)			
450.0125	-1.722	36.23	36.30	1.016	6.129	6.227	3.114	/
468.0125	0.376	36.21	36.30	1.021	6.502	6.639	3.319	
485.0125	1.195	36.17	36.30	1.030	6.786	6.990	3.495	4#
500.0125	2.488	36.18	36.30	1.028	6.303	6.479	3.240	
519.9875	-2.855	36.19	36.30	1.026	6.026	6.183	3.091	/

#### Note:

- 1. When the 1-g SAR tested using the default battery and default accessories is  $\leq 3.5W/Kg$  (corrected by Multiplying 50% for FM mode), testing for other channels are optional.
- 2. For a analog PTT, only simplex communication technology was supported, so the SAR value need to be corrected by Multiplying 50%.
- 3. Passive body-worn and audio accessories generally do not apply to the head SAR of PTT radios.
- 4. The whole antenna and radiating structures that may contribute to the measured SAR or influence the SAR distribution has been included in the area scan.

SAR Evaluation Report 30 of 65

## SAR Plots (Summary of the Highest SAR Values)

#### Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

#### Face-Up 2.5cm (Digital 12.5k-485.0125 MHz)

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : 4FSK
Crest Factor : 2
Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 11x8x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 1.365 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 1.377 W/kg Power Drift (%) : 0.843

Tissue Data

Type : Head

Frequency : 485.0125 MHz
Epsilon : 43.37 F/m
Sigma : 0.85 S/m
Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data


Serial No. : 500-00283
Frequency Band : 450
Duty Cycle Factor : 2
Conversion Factor : 5.7

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 1.776 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 1.439 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 1.791 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 2.698 W/kg





SAR Evaluation Report 31 of 65

#### Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

#### Back-Worn 0.0cm (Digital 12.5k-485.0125 MHz)

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : 4FSK
Crest Factor : 2
Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 8x16x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 2.715 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 2.703 W/kg Power Drift (%) : -0.435

Tissue Data

Type : Body

Frequency : 485.0125 MHz
Epsilon : 54.31 F/m
Sigma : 0.93 S/m
Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data


Serial No. : 500-00283 Frequency Band : 450 Duty Cycle Factor : 2 Conversion Factor : 5.8

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 3.573 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 2.998 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 3.593 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 5.002 W/kg

#### Plot 2#



SAR Evaluation Report 32 of 65

#### Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

#### Face-Up 2.5cm (Analog 12.5k-485.0125 MHz)

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : FM Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 11x8x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 3.113 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 3.196 W/kg Power Drift (%) : 2.612

Tissue Data

Type : Head

 Frequency
 : 485.0125 MHz

 Epsilon
 : 43.37 F/m

 Sigma
 : 0.85 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data


Serial No. : 500-00283 Frequency Band : 450 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 5.7

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 3.659 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 3.170 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 3.717 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 4.985 W/kg

#### Plot 3#



SAR Evaluation Report 33 of 65

#### Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

#### Back-Worn 0.0cm (Analog 12.5k-485.0125 MHz)

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : FM Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 8x16x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 5.215 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 5.277 W/kg Power Drift (%) : 1.195

Tissue Data

Type : Body

Frequency : 485.0125 MHz
Epsilon : 54.31 F/m
Sigma : 0.93 S/m
Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data


Serial No. : 500-00283 Frequency Band : 450 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 5.8

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 6.786 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 5.597 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 6.917 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 10.082 W/kg

Plot 4#



SAR Evaluation Report 34 of 65

## APPENDIX A – MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

According to IEEE1528:2013, the uncertainty budget has been determined for the Head SAR measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

Source of Uncertainty	Tolerance Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (1-g)	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (10-g)	Standard Uncertainty	Standard Uncertainty
		3.5	1.0			(1-g) %	(10-g) %
		Measure	ment Syst	em	Т	T	T
Probe Calibration	3.5	normal	1	1	1	3.5	3.5
Axial Isotropy	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	$(1-cp)^1$	1.5	1.5
Hemispherical Isotropy	10.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	√ср	√ср	4.4	4.4
Boundary Effect	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Linearity	4.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7
Detection Limit	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Readout Electronics	1.0	normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0
Response Time	0.8	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5
Integration Time	1.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.0	1.0
RF Ambient Condition -Noise	0.6	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.3	0.3
RF Ambient Condition - Reflections	3.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Probe Positioner Mech. Restrictions	0.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	2.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Extrapolation and Integration	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.1	2.1
		Test sar	nple relate	ed			
Test sample positioning	2.0	normal	1	1	1	2.0	2.0
Test Sample Positioning	2.3	normal	1	1	1	2.3	2.3
Device Holder Uncertainty	4.0	normal	1	1	1	6.215	6.215
Drift of Output Power	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.67	2.67
		Phantor	n and Seti	ıp			
Phantom Uncertainty	3.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.0	2.0
SAR correction in permittivity and conductivity	1.2	normal	1	1	0.85	1.2	1.0
Liquid conductivity measurement	5.0	normal	1	0.78	0.71	3.9	3.6
Liquid permittivity measurement	5.0	normal	1	0.25	0.29	1.3	1.5
conductivity—temperat ure	1.1	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	0.5	0.5
permittivity—temperatu re	1.3	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.23	0.2	0.2
Combined Uncertainty		RSS				10.78	10.55
Expanded uncertainty (coverage factor=2)		Normal(k=2)				21.56	21.10

SAR Evaluation Report 35 of 65

According to IEC62209-2:2010, the uncertainty budget has been determined for the Body SAR measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Source of Uncertainty	Tolerance Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (1-g)	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (10-g)	Standard Uncertainty (1-g) %	Standard Uncertainty (10-g) %						
Measurement System													
Probe Calibration	3.5	normal	1	1	1	3.5	3.5						
Axial Isotropy	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	(1-cp) <sup>1</sup>	1.5	1.5						
Hemispherical Isotropy	10.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	√ср	√ср	4.4	4.4						
Boundary Effect	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6						
Linearity	4.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7						
Detection Limit	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6						
Readout Electronics	1.0	normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0						
Response Time	0.8	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5						
Integration Time	1.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.0	1.0						
RF Ambient Condition -Noise	0.6	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.3	0.3						
RF Ambient Condition - Reflections	3.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7						
Probe Positioner Mech. Restrictions	0.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2						
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	2.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7						
Extrapolation and Integration	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.1	2.1						
		Test sar	nple relate	ed									
Test sample positioning	2.0	normal	1	1	1	2.0	2.0						
Test Sample Positioning	2.3	normal	1	1	1	2.3	2.3						
Device Holder Uncertainty	4.0	normal	1	1	1	6.215	6.215						
Drift of Output Power	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.67	2.67						
		Phantor	n and Setu	ıp									
Phantom Uncertainty	3.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.0	2.0						
SAR correction in permittivity and conductivity	1.2	normal	1	1	0.85	1.2	1.0						
Liquid conductivity measurement	5.0	normal	1	0.78	0.71	3.9	3.6						
Liquid permittivity measurement	5.0	normal	1	0.25	0.29	1.3	1.5						
conductivity—temperat ure	1.1	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	0.5	0.5						
permittivity—temperatu re	1.3	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.23	0.2	0.2						
Combined Uncertainty		RSS				10.78	10.55						
Expanded uncertainty (coverage factor=2)		Normal(k=2)				21.56	21.10						

SAR Evaluation Report 36 of 65

# APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

### **NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES**

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

Calibration File No.: PC-1598

Task No: BACL-5778

# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Equipment: Miniature Isotropic RF Probe
Record of Calibration
Head and Body
Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories
Model No.: E-020

Serial No.: 500-00283

Calibration Procedure: D01-032-E020-V2, D22-012-Tissue, D28-002-Dipole

Project No: BACL-5745

Calibrated: 14<sup>th</sup> October 2014 Released on: 14<sup>th</sup> October 2014

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

**VCL** CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr, OTTAWA, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613) 435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 37 of 65

Division of APREL Inc.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report reproduces the results of the calibration performed in line with the references listed below. Calibration is performed using accepted methodologies as per the references listed below. Probes are calibrated for air, and tissue and the values reported are the results from the physical quantification of the probe through meteorgical practices.

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

#### Calibration Method

Probes are calibrated using the following methods.

<800 MHz

TEM Cell for sensitivity in air

Standard phantom using temperature transfer method for sensitivity in tissue

5800 MHs

Waveguide\* method to determine sensitivity in air and tissue

\*Waveguide is numerically (simulation) assessed to determine the field distribution and power

The boundary effect for the probe is assessed using a standard flat phantom where the probe output is compared against a numerically simulated series of data points

#### References

- IEEE Standard 1528:2013
  - IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
- o EN 62209-1:2006
  - Human Exposure to RF Fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices Human models. instrumentation, and procedures Part 1: Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held mobile wireless devices
- o IEC 62209-2:2010
  - Human exposure to RF fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless devices Human models, instrumentation, and procedures Part 2: specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices (30 MHz 6 GHz)
- o TP-D01-032-E020-V2 E-Field probe calibration procedure
- D22-012-Tissue dielectric tissue calibration procedure
- D28-002-Dipole procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole
- IEEE 1309 Standard for Calibration of Electromagnetic Field Sensors and Probes, Excluding Antennas, from 9kHz to 40GHz

Page 2 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 38 of 65

Division of APREL Inc.

#### Conditions

Probe 500-00283 was a recalibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory:  $22 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  +/-  $1.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  Temperature of the Tissue:  $21 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  +/-  $1.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  Relative Humidity:  $< 60 \,^{\circ}\text{M}$ 

#### **Primary Measurement Standards**

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Tektronix USB Power Meter
 11C940
 May 14, 2015

 Signal Generator HP 83640B
 3844A00689
 Feb 12, 2015

#### Secondary Measurement Standards

Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C 002106 Feb. 20, 2015

#### Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Dan Brooks, Test Engineer

Page 3 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 39 of 65

Division of APREL Inc.

### **Probe Summary**

E-Field Probe E020 Probe Type:

500-00283 Serial Number:

Frequency: As presented on page 5

Sensor Offset: 1.56 Sensor Length: 2.5

Tip Enclosure: Composite\* Tip Diameter: < 2.9 mm Tip Length: 55 mm **Total Length:** 289 mm

\*Resistive to recommended tissue recipes per IEEE-1528

### Sensitivity in Air

1.2 μV/(V/m)<sup>2</sup> 1.2 μV/(V/m)<sup>2</sup> 1.2 μV/(V/m)<sup>2</sup> Channel X: Channel Y: Channel Z:

**Diode Compression Point:** 95 mV

40 of 65 SAR Evaluation Report

Page 4 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Division of APREL Inc.

Calibration for Tissue (Head H. Body B)

Frequency	Tissue Type	Measured Epsilon	Measured Sigma	Standard Uncertainty (%)	Calibration Frequency Range (MHz)	Conversion Factor
450 H	Head	43.59	0.86	3.5	±50	5.7
450 B	Body	56.74	0.94	3.5	±50	5.8
750 H	Head	42.98	0.92	3.5	±50	6.0
750 B	Body	43.05	0.93	3.5	±50	5.5
835 H	Head	43.42	0.94	3.5	±50	5.9
835 B	Body	55.77	1.01	3.5	±50	5.9
900 H	Head	41.87	1.06	3.5	±50	6.0
900 B	Body	55.62	1.05	3.5	±50	5.9
1450 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
1450 B	Body	X	X	Х	X	Х
1500 H	Head	X	Х	Х	Х	Х
1500 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	Х
1640 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	X
1640 B	Body	X	Х	Х	X	X
1750 H	Head	38.23	1.38	3.5	±75	5.4
1750 B	Body	52.86	1.54	3.5	±75	5.3
1800 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	X
1800 B	Body	Х	Х	X	X	X
1900 H	Head	40.20	1.38	3.5	±75	4.8
1900 B	Body	52.63	1.46	3.5	±75	4.5
2000 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
2000 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	X
2100 H	Head	Х	Х	X	X	Х
2100 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	X
2300 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	Х
2300 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	X
2450 H	Head	37.26	1.84	3.5	±75	4.9
2450B	Body	53.61	1.9	3.5	±75	4.3
3000 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
3000 B	Body	X	X	Х	X	X
3600 H	Head	37.49	3.16	3.5	±100	4.5
3600 B	Body	49.94	3.86	3.5	±100	4.0
5250 H	Head	35.51	4.78	3.5	±100	3.0
5250 B	Body	47.54	5.11	3.5	±100	2.8
5600 H	Head	36.05	5.15	3.5	±100	2.8
5600 B	Body	46.49	5.72	3.5	±100	2.2
5800 H	Head	45.99	6.01	3.5	±100	3.2
5800 B	Body	35.6	5.37	3.5	±100	2.5

**SAR Evaluation Report** 41 of 65

Page 5 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Division of APREL Inc.

### **Boundary Effect:**

Uncertainty resulting from the boundary effect is less than 2.1% for the distance between the tip of the probe and the tissue boundary, when less than 0.58mm.

### **Spatial Resolution:**

The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe. The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe.

### **DAQ-PAQ Contribution**

To minimize the uncertainty calculation all tissue sensitivity values were calculated using a load impedance of 5 M $\Omega$ .

### **Probe Calibration Uncertainty**

Uncertainty component	Tolerance (±%)	Probability distribution	Divisor	Standard uncertainty (± %)
Incident or forward power	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Reflected power	2	R	√3	1.15
Liquid conductivity measurement	1	R	√3	0.58
Liquid permittivity measurement	1	R	√3	0.58
Liquid conductivity deviation	1.5	R	√3	0.87
Liquid permittivity deviation	1.5	R	√3	0.87
Frequency deviation	2.25	R	√3	1.30
Field homogeneity	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Field-probe positioning	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Field-probe linearity	1.55	R	√3	0.89
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS		3.50


SAR Evaluation Report 42 of 65

Page 6 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Division of APREL Inc.

# **Receiving Pattern Air**





Page 7 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

**SAR Evaluation Report** 43 of 65

Division of APREL Inc.

# Isotropy Error Air





**Isotropicity Tissue:** 


0.10 dB

Page 8 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

**SAR Evaluation Report** 44 of 65

Division of APREL Inc.

# **Dynamic Range**




Page 9 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

**SAR Evaluation Report** 45 of 65

Division of APREL Inc.

# Video Bandwidth



1 dB 3 dB Video Bandwidth at 500 Hz Video Bandwidth at 1.02 KHz:

# **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2014.

46 of 65 SAR Evaluation Report

Page 10 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

### ANNEX

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

# PROBE ALS-E020 S/N 500-00283 CALIBRATION

### Conditions

Ambient Temperature of the laboratory: 20  $^{\circ}$ C +/- 1.5 $^{\circ}$ C Temperature of the Tissue: 21  $^{\circ}$ C +/- 1.5 $^{\circ}$ C Relative Humidity: < 55%

Frequency	Tissue Type	Measured Epsilon	Measured Sigma	Standard Uncertainty (%)	Calibration Frequency Range (MHz)	Conversion Factor
150 H	Head	50.6	0.78	3.5	±50	6.0
150 B	Body	60.8	0.82	3.5	±50	6.0

# **Probe Calibration Uncertainty**

Uncertainty component	Tolerance (± %)	Probability distribution	Divisor	Standard uncertainty (± %)
Incident or forward power	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Reflected power	2	R	√3	1.15
Liquid conductivity measurement	1	R	√3	0.58
Liquid permittivity measurement	1	R	√3	0.58
Liquid conductivity deviation	1.5	R	√3	0.87
Liquid permittivity deviation	1.5	R	√3	0.87
Frequency deviation	2.25	R	√3	1.30
Field homogeneity	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Field-probe positioning	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Field-probe linearity	1.55	R	√3	0.89
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS		3.50

SAR Evaluation Report 47 of 65

# APPENDIX C – DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

### **NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES**

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

Calibration File No: DC-1426 Project Number: BACL-5672

# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories Part number: ALS-D-450-S-2 Frequency: 450 MHz Serial No: **175-00503** 

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Head and Body Calibration

Calibrated: 31st July 2012 Released on: 2<sup>nd</sup> August 2012

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

303 Terry Fox Drive, Suite 102 Kanata, Ontario CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613) 435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 48 of 65

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Conditions**

Dipole 175-00503 was taken from stock for an original calibration..

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory:  $22 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \, +/- \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ Temperature of the Tissue:  $21 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \, +/- \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ 

> We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Dan Brooks, Test Engineer

# **Calibration Results Summary**

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

#### **Mechanical Dimensions**

**Length:** 270.0 mm **Height:** 166.7 mm

# **Electrical Specification**

	Head	Body
Return Loss	-30.726 dB	-33.258 dB
SWR	1.061 U	1.049 U
Impedance	50.600 Ω	48.155 Ω


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 49 of 65

Division of APREL Laboratories.


# System Validation Results Head

Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
450 MHz	4.572	2.952	6.746



# System Validation Results Body

Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
450 MHz	4.508	2.959	6.656



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

3

SAR Evaluation Report 50 of 65

Division of APREL Laboratories.

### Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole RFE-362. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 130 MHz to 26 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 212.

#### References

SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure
SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure
IEEE 1528 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average
Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless
Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques"

### Conditions

Original calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory:  $22 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \, +/- \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ Temperature of the Tissue:  $20 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \, +/- \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ 

4

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 51 of 65

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Dipole Calibration Results**

#### **Mechanical Verification**

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
280.0 mm	166.7 mm	280.0 mm	166.0 mm

#### **Tissue Validation**

Body Tissue 450MHz	Measured Head	Measured Body
Dielectric constant, $\epsilon_r$	43.98	57.07
Conductivity, σ [S/m]	0.9	0.92

# **Dipole Calibration uncertainty**

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

5

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 52 of 65

Division of APREL Laboratories.

### **Electrical Calibration**

Test	Result Head	Result Body
S11 R/L	-30.726 dB	-33.258 dB
SWR	1.061 U	1.049 U
Impedance	50.600 Ω	48.155 Ω

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

### S11 Parameter Return Loss




This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 53 of 65


6

# NCL Calibration Laboratories Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **SWR**



# **BODY**




This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

54 of 65 SAR Evaluation Report

7

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Smith Chart Dipole Impedance**



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

8

SAR Evaluation Report 55 of 65

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2012.

9

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 56 of 65

# **APPENDIX D – EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS**





Face-Up 2.5 cm Separation to Flat Phantom



SAR Evaluation Report 57 of 65

# **Body-Back 0.0 cm Separation to Flat Phantom**



SAR Evaluation Report 58 of 65

# **APPENDIX E – EUT PHOTOS**

**EUT - Front View** 



**EUT – Back View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 59 of 65

# **EUT-Left View**



**EUT-Right View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 60 of 65

# **EUT-Top View**



**EUT-Bottom View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 61 of 65

# **EUT-Uncover View**



**Battery View** 




SAR Evaluation Report 62 of 65

# EUT – Belt Clip



EUT – Antenna



SAR Evaluation Report 63 of 65

### APPENDIX G – INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.

Report No: RSZ150313003-20BA1

- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-\_eld scanning system for dosimetricPage 64 of 65 assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645 (652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM \_ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15 {17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23 {25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainity in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.
- [15] FCC OET KDB643646 SAR Test Reduction Considerations for Occupational PTT Radios.

SAR Evaluation Report 64 of 65

# PRODUCT SIMILARITY DECLARATION LETTER



Hytera Communications Corporation Ltd. Add: HYT Tower, Hi-Tech Industrial Park North, Nanshan District, Shenzhen China. 518057

Tel: +86-0755-26972999

Fax: +86-0755-86137130

2015-05-05

### **Product Similarity Declaration**

To Whom It May Concern,

We, Hytera Communications Corporation Ltd., hereby declare that we have a product named as Digital Mobile Radio (Model number: PD412 U(2)) was tested by BACL, meanwhile, for our marketing purpose, we would like to list a series models (PD410 U(2), PD415 U(2), PD416 U(2), PD418 U(2)) on reports and certificate, all the models are identical schematics.

No other changes are made to them.

We confirm that all information above is true, and we'll be responsible for all the consequences. Please contact me if you have any question.

Signature: Lei Lion

Lei Xiong

General Director

\*\*\*\*\* END OF REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

SAR Evaluation Report 65 of 65