

Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

In accordance with the requirements of Report and Order: FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093); RSS102 issue 5;

IEC62209-2:2010;IEEE 1528:2013

SAR TEST REPORT

For

Product Name: 10DTB42

Brand Name: Hipstreet

Model No.: 10DTB42

Series Model: N/A

Test Report Number: C160104S01-SF

Issued for

Kobian Canada Inc.

560 Denison Street, Unit#5, Markham, Ontario, L3R 2M8, Canada

Issued by

Compliance Certification Services Inc.

Kun shan Laboratory

No.10 Weiye Rd., Innovation park, Eco&Tec, Development Zone, Kunshan City, Jiangsu, China

> TEL: 86-512-57355888 FAX: 86-512-57370818





Note: This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Compliance Certification Services Inc. This document may be altered or revised by Compliance Certification Services Inc. personnel only, and shall be noted in the revision section of the document. The client should not use it to claim product endorsement by A2LA or any government agencies. The test results in the report only apply to the tested sample.



Revision History

Revision	REPORT NO.	Date	Page Revised	Contents
Original	C160104S01-SF	January 11, 2016	N/A	N/A
01	C160104S01-SF	January 26, 2016	All report	Add the rest of the channel from the worst test position and relevant information.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)4					
2.	EUT DESCRIPTION	5				
	2.1 STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE	6				
3.	REQUIREMENTS FOR COMPLIANCE TESTING DEFINED BY THE FCC	7				
4.	TEST METHODOLOGY	7				
5.	TEST CONFIGURATION					
6.	DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT SETUP	8				
	6.1 MEASUREMENT SYSTEM DIAGRAM	9				
	6.2 SYSTEM COMPONENTS	10				
7.	EVALUATION PROCEDURES	13				
8.	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	17				
9.	EXPOSURE LIMIT	19				
10.	EUT ARRANGEMENT	20				
	10.1 BODY WORN TEST	20				
11.	MEASUREMENT RESULTS	21				
	11.1 TEST LIQUIDS CONFIRMATION	21				
	11.2 LIQUID MEASUREMENT RESULTS	22				
	11.3 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK	23				
	11.4 EUT TUNE-UP PROCEDURES AND TEST MODE	26				
	11.5 SAR TEST CONFIGURATIONS	29				
	11.6 BODY TEST EXCLUSION THRESHOLDS					
	11.7 EUT SETUP PHOTOS					
	11.8 BODY SAR TEST CONFIGURATION					
	11.9 SAR HANDSETS MULTI XMITER ASSESSMENT					
12.	EUT PHOTO	36				
13.	EQUIPMENT LIST & CALIBRATION STATUS	40				
14.	FACILITIES	41				
15.	REFERENCES	41				
App	pendix A: Plots of Performance Check	42				
App	pendix B: DASY Calibration Certificate	45				
App	pendix C: Plots of SAR Test Result	45				



Report No .: C160104S01-SF

1. CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)

		,		
Product Name:	10DTB42			
Brand Name:	Hipstreet			
Model Name.:	10DTB42			
Series Model:	N/A			
Device Category:	PROTABLE DEVICES			
Exposure Category:	GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE			
Date of Test:	January 8, 2016 & January 26, 2016			
Applicant:	Kobian Canada Inc. 560 Denison Street, Unit#5, Markham, Ontario, L3R 2M8, Canada			
Manufacturer:	Kobian Canada Inc. 560 Denison Street, Unit#5, Markham, Ontario, L3R 2M8, Canada			
Application Type:	Certification			
4	APPLICABLE STANDARDS	AND TEST PROCEDURES		
STANDARDS AND	TEST PROCEDURES	TEST RESULT		
KDB 865664 D01 RSS102 issue 5		No non-compliance noted		
Deviation from Applicable Standard				
None				

The device was tested by Compliance Certification Services Inc. in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in KDB 865664; RSS102 issue 5 The test results in this report apply only to the tested sample of the stated device/equipment. Other similar device/equipment will not necessarily produce the same results due to production tolerance and measurement uncertainties.

Approved by:	Tested by:		
Jeff fang	Sam. ye.		
Jeff.fang RF Manager Compliance Certification Services Inc.	Sam.ye Test Engineer Compliance Certification Services Inc.		



2. EUT DESCRIPTION

Product Name:	10DTB42	
Brand Name:	Hipstreet	
Model Name.:	10DTB42	
Series Model:	N/A	
Model Discrepancy:	N/A	
FCC ID:	YH5-10DTB42	
IC:	8012A-10DTB42	
Software version	LMY47I	
Hardware version	EM_T8511A_V6.1	
Power reduction:	NO	
DTM Description:	N/A	
Device Category:	Production unit	
Frequency Range:	WLAN 2.4GHz Band: 2412 MHz ~ 2462 MHz Bluetooth: 2402 MHz ~ 2480 MHz	
Modulation Technique:	IEEE 802.11b: DSSS (CCK, DQPSK, DBPSK) IEEE 802.11g/n: OFDM (QPSK, BPSK, 16-QAM, 64-QAM) Bluetooth 3.0: GFSK + π/4DQPSK+8DPSK Bluetooth 4.0: GFSK	
Accessories:	Battery(rating): Capacitance: 4000mAh; Rated Voltage: 3.7V	
Antenna Specification:	WIFI/ Bluetooth: PIFA antenna	
Operating Mode:	Maximum continuous output	



Report No .: C160104S01-SF

2.1 STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

The maximum results of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) found during testing for Pilot, 10DTB42-8GB, are as follows.

Equipment Class	Frequency Band	Highest SAR Summary Body 1g SAR (W/kg)
DTS	2.4GHz WLAN	0.333

exposure limits (1.6 W/kg) specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and RSS102 issue 5 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013.

Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

3. REQUIREMENTS FOR COMPLIANCE TESTING DEFINED BY THE FCC

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 W/Kg for an uncontrolled environment and 8.0 W/Kg for an occupational/controlled environment as recommended by the FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093); RSS102 issue 5.

4. TEST METHODOLOGY

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) testing specification, method and procedure for this device is in accordance with the following standards:

RSS102 issue 5

⊠ IEEE 1528: 2013

X IEC 62209-2:2010

5. TEST CONFIGURATION

During WLAN SAR testing EUT is configured with the WLAN continuous TX tool, and the transmission duty factor was monitored on the spectrum analyzer with zero-span setting For WLAN SAR testing, WLAN engineering test software installed on the EUT can provide continuous transmitting RF signal.

Duty cycle Form

Band	Mode	Duty cycle(100%)
	Bluetooth	100
	802.11b	100
2.4GHz	802.11g	100
	802.11n 20MHz	100
	802.11n 40MHz	100



Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

6. DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT SETUP

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY 5 from ATTENNESSA. The system is based on a high precision robot (working range greater than 0.9 m), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than ± 0.02 mm. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit. The SAR measurements were conducted with the E-field PROBE EX3DV4 (manufactured by SPEAG), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure described in [7] with accuracy of better than ±10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in [8] and found to be better than ±0.25 dB. The phantom used was the SAM Twin Phantom as described in FCC supplement C, IEE P1528 and IEC 62209.


The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquids.

Ingredients	Frequency (MHz)									
(% by weight)	450		835		915		1900		2450	
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2
Salt (NaCl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triton X-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5
Conductivity (S/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78



Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

6.1 MEASUREMENT SYSTEM DIAGRAM



The DASY5 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (St¨aubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal
 multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision
 detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The
 signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion between optical and electrical
 of the signals for the digital communication to the DAE and for the analog signal from the
 optical surface detection. The EOC is connected to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 7.
- DASY5 software.
- Remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- Validation dipole kits allowing validating the proper functioning of the system.



Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

6.2 SYSTEM COMPONENTS



The DASY5 measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with a 400MHz intel ULV celeron, 128MB chip-disk and 128 MB RAM. The necessary circuits for communication with either the DAE4(or DAE3) electronic box as well as the 16-bit AD-converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY5 I/O-board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.

The measurement server performs all real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operation.



The PC-operating system cannot interfere with these time critical processes. All connections are supervised by a watchdog, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program-controlled robot movements. Furthermore, the measurement server is equipped with two expansion slots which are reserved for future applications. Please note that the expansion slots do not have a standardized pinout and therefore only the expansion cards provided by SPEAG can be inserted. Expansion cards from any other supplier could seriously damage the measurement server. Calibration: No calibration required.

Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)



The data acquisition electronics (DAE4) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The input impedance of the DAE4 box is 200MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.

EX3DV4 Isotropic E-Field Probe for Dosimetric Measurements



Construction: Symmetrical design with triangular core

Built-in shielding against static charges

PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents,

e.g., DGBE)

Calibration: Basic Broad Band Calibration in air: 10-3000 MHz.

Conversion Factors (CF) for HSL 900 and HSL 1800 CF-Calibration for other liquids and frequencies upon

request.

Frequency: 10 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3

GHz)

Directivity: ± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis)

± 0.5 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)

Dynamic Range: 10 μW/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB

(noise: typically $< 1 \mu W/g$)

CCSRF

Compliance Certification Services Inc.

Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

Dimensions: Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 9 mm)

Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 10 mm)
Distance from probe tip to dipole centers:

1 mm

Application: High precision dosimetric measurements

in any exposure scenario (e.g., very strong gradient fields). Only probe which enables compliance testing for frequencies up to 6

GHz with precision of better 30%.




Interior of probe

SAM Twin Phantom

Construction:

The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528-200X, CENELEC 50360 and IEC 62209. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points with the robot.



Dimensions: Height: 850mm; Length: 1000mm; Width:

750mm



SAM Phantom (ELI4 v4.0)

Description Construction:

Phantom for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz. ELI4 is fully compatible with the latest draft of the standard IEC 62209 Part II and all known tissue simulating liquids. ELI4 has been optimized regarding its performance and can be integrated into our standard phantom tables. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow installation of the complete setup, including all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids, by teaching three points. The phantom is supported by software version DASY4/DASY5.5 and higher and is compatible with all SPEAG dosimetric probes and dipoles

Shell Thickness: 2.0 ± 0.2 mm (sagging: <1%)

Filling Volume: Approx. 25 liters

Dimensions: Major ellipse axis: 600 mm

Minor axis: 400 mm 500mm





Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom

Construction: In combination with the Twin SAM Phantom, the Mounting Device (made from POM) enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates, whereby the rotation point is the ear opening. The devices can be easily and accurately positioned according to IEC, IEEE, CENELEC, FCC or other specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, and flat phantom).



System Validation Kits for SAM Twin Phantom

Construction: Symmetrical dipole with I/4 balun Enables

measurement of feedpoint impedance with NWA Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with brain simulating solutions Includes distance

holder and tripod adaptor.

900.1800.2450.5800 MHz Frequency:

ReTune loss: > 20 dB at specified validation position **Power capability:** > 100 W (f < 1GHz); > 40 W (f > 1GHz)

Dimensions:

D835V2: dipole length: 161 mm; overall height: 340 mm D1800V2: dipole length: 72.5 mm; overall height: 300 mm D1900V2: dipole length: 67.7 mm; overall height: 300 mm D2450V2: dipole length: 51.5 mm; overall height: 290 mm D5GHzV2: dipole length: 20.6 mm; overall height: 300mm



System Validation Kits for ELI4 phantom

Construction: Symmetrical dipole with I/4 balun Enables

> measurement of feedpoint impedance with NWA Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with brain simulating solutions Includes distance

holder and tripod adaptor.

900, 1800, 2450, 5800 MHz Frequency:

ReTune loss: > 20 dB at specified validation position **Power capability:** > 100 W (f < 1GHz); > 40 W (f > 1GHz)

Dimensions:

D835V2: dipole length: 161 mm; overall height: 340 mm D1800V2: dipole length: 72.5 mm; overall height: 300 mm D1900V2: dipole length: 67.7 mm; overall height: 300 mm

D2450V2: dipole length: 51.5 mm; overall height: 290 mm D5GHzV2: dipole length: 20.6 mm; overall height: 300 mm



7. EVALUATION PROCEDURES

DATA EVALUATION

The DASY 5 post processing software automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters: - Sensitivity Norm_i, a_{i0} , a_{i1} , a_{i2}

Conversion factor ConvF_i
 Diode compression point dcp_i

Device parameters: - Frequency f

- Crest factor cf

Media parameters: - Conductivity σ

- Density ho

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY 5 components. In the direct measuring mode of the multi-meter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

with V_i = Compensated signal of channel i(i = x, y, z)

 U_i = Input signal of channel i (i = x, y, z) cf = Crest factor of exciting field (DASY 5 parameters)

cf = Crest factor of exciting field
 dcp_i = Diode compression point
 (DASY 5 parameter)
 (DASY 5 parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-field probes: $E = \begin{bmatrix} E & E \end{bmatrix}$

$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

H-field probes: $H_i = \sqrt{Vi} \cdot \frac{a_{i10} + a_{i11}f + a_{i12}f^2}{f}$

with V_i = Compensated signal of channel i(i = x, y, z)

 $Norm_i$ = Sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x, y, z)

 $\mu V/(V/m)^2$ for E0field Probes

ConvF = Sensitivity enhancement in solution

aij = Sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

f = Carrier frequency (GHz)

Ei = Electric field strength of channel i in V/m

Hi = Magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$



Report No .: C160104S01-SF

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

 E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

= conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

= equivalent tissue density in g/cm³

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field as a free space field.

$$P_{pwe} = \frac{E_{tot}^2}{3770}$$
 or $P_{pwe} = H_{tot}^2 \cdot 37.7$

with P_{pwe} = Equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm²

 E_{tot} = total electric field strength in V/m

 H_{tot} = total magnetic field strength in A/m



Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURES

The procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

• Power Reference Measurement

The reference and drift jobs are useful jobs for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. Both jobs measure the field at a specified reference position, at a selectable distance from the phantom surface. The reference position can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section. The reference job projects the selected point onto the phantom surface, orients the probe perpendicularly to the surface, and approaches the surface using the selected detection method.

Area Scan

The area scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in DASY 5 software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. The scan area is defined by an editable grid. This grid is anchored at the grid reference point of the selected section in the phantom. When the area scan's property sheet is brought-up, grid was at to 15 mm by 15 mm and can be edited by a user.

Zoom Scan

Zoom scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The default zoom scan measures 5 x 5 x 7 points within a cube whose base faces are centered around the maximum found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. If the preceding Area Scan job indicates more then one maximum, the number of Zoom Scans has to be enlarged accordingly (The default number inserted is 1).

Power Drift measurement

The drift job measures the field at the same location as the most recent reference job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last reference measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for one reference measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. In the properties of the Drift job, the user can specify a limit for the drift and have DASY 5 software stop the measurements if this limit is exceeded.

Z-Scan

The Z Scan job measures points along a vertical straight line. The line runs along the Z-axis of a one-dimensional grid. A user can anchor the grid to the current probe location. As with any other grids, the local Z-axis of the anchor location establishes the Z-axis of the grid.

Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the IEEE1529 standard. It can be conducted for 1 g and 10 g.

The DASY 5 system allows evaluations that combine measured data and robot positions, such as:

- · maximum search
- extrapolation
- · boundary correction
- · peak search for averaged SAR

During a maximum search, global and local maximum searches are automatically performed in 2-D after each Area Scan measurement with at least 6 measurement points. It is based on the evaluation of the local SAR gradient calculated by the Quadratic Shepard's method. The algorithm will find the global maximum and all local maxima within -2 dB of the global maxima for all SAR distributions.

Extrapolation

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. Several measurements at different distances are necessary for the extrapolation.

Extrapolation routines require at least 10 measurement points in 3-D space. They are used in the Cube Scan to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The routine uses the modified Quadratic Shepard's method for extrapolation. For a grid using 5x5x7 measurement points with 5mm resolution amounting to 343 measurement points, the uncertainty of the extrapolation routines is less than 1% for 1 g and 10 g cubes.

Boundary effect

For measurements in the immediate vicinity of a phantom surface, the field coupling effects between the probe and the boundary influence the probe characteristics. Boundary effect errors of different dosimetric probe types have been analyzed by measurements and using a numerical probe model. As expected, both methods showed an enhanced sensitivity in the immediate vicinity of the boundary. The effect strongly depends on the probe dimensions and disappears with increasing distance from the boundary. The sensitivity can be approximately given as:

$$S\approx S_o + S_b exp(-\frac{z}{a})cos(\pi\frac{z}{\lambda})$$

Since the decay of the boundary effect dominates for small probes (a<< λ), the cos-term can be omitted. Factors Sb (parameter Alpha in the DASY 5 software) and a (parameter Delta in the DASY 5 software) are assessed during probe calibration and used for numerical compensation of the boundary effect. Several simulations and measurements have confirmed that the compensation is valid for different field and boundary configurations.

This simple compensation procedure can largely reduce the probe uncertainty near boundaries. It works well as long as:

- · the boundary curvature is small
- the probe axis is angled less than 30_ to the boundary normal
- the distance between probe and boundary is larger than 25% of the probe diameter
- the probe is symmetric (all sensors have the same offset from the probe tip)

Since all of these requirements are fulfilled in a DASY 5 system, the correction of the probe boundary effect in the vicinity of the phantom surface is performed in a fully automated manner via the measurement data extraction during post processing.



Report No .: C160104S01-SF

8. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Measurement uncertainty for 30 MHz to 3 GHz averaged over 1 gram						
Uncertainty Component	Uncertainty	Prob.	Div.	C _{i (1g)}	Std. Unc. (1-g)	V _i or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe Calibration (k=1)	6.00	Normal	1	1	6.00	8
Probe Isotropy	4.70	Rectangular	√3	0.7	1.90	8
Modulation Response	2.40	Rectangular	√3	1	1.39	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.60	Rectangular	√3	0.7	3.88	8
Boundary Effect	2.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1.15	∞
Linearity	4.70	Rectangular	√3	1	2.71	∞
System Detection Limit	1.00	Rectangular	√3	1	0.58	∞
Readout Electronics	0.30	Normal	1	1	0.30	∞
Response Time	0.80	Rectangular	√3	1	0.46	∞
Integration Time	2.60	Rectangular	√3	1	1.50	∞
RF Ambient Noise	3.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1.73	∞
RF Ambient Reflections	3.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1.73	∞
Probe Positioner	0.40	Rectangular	√3	1	0.23	∞
Probe Positioning	2.90	Rectangular	√3	1	1.67	∞
Max. SAR Evaluation	2.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1.15	∞
Test sample Related		,		•		
Test sample Positioning	2.9	Normal	1	1	2.9	145
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.6	Normal	1	1	3.6	5
Power drift	5	Rectangular	√3	1	2.89	8
Power Scaling	0	Rectangular	√3	1	0.00	∞
Phantom and Tissue Param	neters					
Phantom Uncertainty	6.1	Rectangular	√3	1	3.52	∞
SAR correction	1.9	Rectangular	√3	1	1.10	8
Liquid Conductivity (target)	5	Rectangular	√3	0.64	1.85	8
Liquid Conductivity (meas)	0.27	Rectangular	√3	0.78	0.12	∞
Liquid Permittivity (target)	5	Rectangular	√3	0.6	1.73	8
Liquid Permittivity (meas)	-1.68	Rectangular	√3	0.26	-0.25	8
Temp. unc Conductivity	3.4	Rectangular	√3	0.78	1.53	8
Temp. unc Permittivity	0.4	Rectangular	√3	0.23	0.05	∞
Combined Std. Uncertainty		RSS			11.42	361
Expanded STD Uncertainty		k=2			22. 8	5%
Expanded STD Uncertainty		<i>k</i> =2			1. 79	dB



Report No .: C160104S01-SF

Measurement uncertainty for 30 MHz to 3 GHz averaged over 1 gram							
Uncertainty Component	Uncertainty	Prob.	Div.	C _{i (1g)}	Std. Unc. (1-g)	V _i or Veff	
Measurement System	Measurement System						
Probe Calibration (k=1)	6.00	Normal	1	1	6.00	∞	
Probe Isotropy	4.70	Rectangular	√3	0.7	1.90	∞	
Modulation Response	2.40	Rectangular	√3	1	1.39	∞	
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.60	Rectangular	√3	0.7	3.88	8	
Boundary Effect	2.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1.15	8	
Linearity	4.70	Rectangular	√3	1	2.71	∞	
System Detection Limit	1.00	Rectangular	√3	1	0.58	∞	
Readout Electronics	0.30	Normal	1	1	0.30	∞	
Response Time	0.80	Rectangular	√3	1	0.46	∞	
Integration Time	2.60	Rectangular	√3	1	1.50	∞	
RF Ambient Noise	3.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1.73	∞	
RF Ambient Reflections	3.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1.73	∞	
Probe Positioner	0.40	Rectangular	√3	1	0.23	8	
Probe Positioning	2.90	Rectangular	√3	1	1.67	∞	
Max. SAR Evaluation	2.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1.15	8	
Test sample Related							
Test sample Positioning	2.9	Normal	1	1	2.9	145	
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.6	Normal	1	1	3.6	5	
Power drift	5	Rectangular	√3	1	2.89	8	
Power Scaling	0	Rectangular	√3	1	0.00	∞	
Phantom and Tissue Param	neters						
Phantom Uncertainty	6.1	Rectangular	√3	1	3.52	8	
SAR correction	1.9	Rectangular	√3	1	1.10	8	
Liquid Conductivity (target)	5	Rectangular	√3	0.64	1.85	8	
Liquid Conductivity (meas)	0.21	Rectangular	√3	0.78	0.09	8	
Liquid Permittivity (target)	5	Rectangular	√3	0.6	1.73	8	
Liquid Permittivity (meas)	-1.97	Rectangular	√3	0.26	-0.30	∞	
Temp. unc Conductivity	3.4	Rectangular	√3	0.78	1.53	8	
Temp. unc Permittivity	0.4	Rectangular	√3	0.23	0.05	8	
Combined Std. Uncertainty		RSS			11.42	361	
Expanded STD Uncertainty		k=2			22. 8	5%	
Expanded STD Uncertainty		<i>k</i> =2			1. 79	dB	

Table: Worst-case uncertainty for DASY5 assessed according to EN62209. The budge is valid for the frequency range 30 MHz to 3G Hz and represents a worst-case analysis.



Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

9. EXPOSURE LIMIT

(A). Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body	Partial-Body	Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles		
0.4	8.0	20.0		

(B). Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body	Partial-Body	Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles		
0.08	1.6	4.0		

Note: Whole-Body SAR is averaged over the entire body, partial-body SAR is averaged over any 1 gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube. SAR for hands, wrists, feet and ankles is averaged over any 10 grams of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube.

<u>Population/Uncontrolled Environments</u> are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

<u>Occupational/Controlled Environments</u> are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

NOTE GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE PARTIAL BODY LIMIT 1.6 W/kg

Report No .: C160104S01-SF

EUT ARRANGEMENT 10.

Please refer to IEEE1528 illustration below.

10.1 BODY WORN TEST

This EUT was tested in four different positions. They are front side, rear side, Edge 1 and Edge 4 of tablet. In these positions ,the surface of EUT is touching phantom with 0 mm.




Fig Illustration for Lap-touching Position

Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

MEASUREMENT RESULTS 11.

11.1 TEST LIQUIDS CONFIRMATION

SIMULATED TISSUE LIQUID PARAMETER CONFIRMATION

The dielectric parameters were checked prior to assessment using the HP85070C dielectric probe kit. The dielectric parameters measured are reported in each correspondent section.

IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 P1528 RECOMMENDED TISSUE DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528

Target Frequency	He	ad	Body	
(MHz)	ϵ_{r}	σ (S/m)	ϵ_{r}	σ (S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	45.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

 $(\varepsilon_r = \text{relative permittivity}, \sigma = \text{conductivity and } \rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3)$



Report No .: C160104S01-SF

11.2 LIQUID MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The following table show the measuring results for simulating liquid:

Liquid Type	Liquid Temp. (°C)	Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation (%)	Limited (%)	Measured Date
Body2412	21.5	Permitivity(ε)	52.75	51.861	-1.68	± 5	2016-1-8
D00y2412	21.5	Conductivity(σ)	1.90	1.906	0.27	± 5	2010-1-8
Body2437	21.5	Permitivity(ε)	52.72	51.701	-1.93	± 5	2016-1-26
B00y2437	21.5	Conductivity(σ)	1.93	1.937	0.21	± 5	2010-1-20
Body2462	21.5	Permitivity(ε)	52.68	51.648	-1.97	± 5	2016-1-26
D00y2402	21.0	Conductivity(σ)	1.97	1.963	-0.15	± 5	2010-1-20




Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

11.3 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

The system performance check is performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications of $\pm 10\%$. The system performance check results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

System check is performed regularly on all frequency bands where tests are performed with the DASY5 system .




SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK MEASUREMENT CONDITIONS

- The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with head and body simulating liquid of the following parameters.
- The DASY5 system withan E-fileld probe EX3DV4 SN: 3798 was used for the measurements.
- The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feed point was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 15 mm (below 1 GHz) and 10 mm (above 1 GHz) from dipole center to the simulating liquid surface.
- The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 10mm was aligned with the dipole.
- Special 7x7x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration (dx= 5 mm, dy= 5 mm, dz= 5 mm).
- Distance between probe sensors and phantom surface was set to 2 mm.
- The dipole input power was 250mW±3%.
- The results are normalized to 1 W input power.



Report No .: C160104S01-SF



Note: For SAR testing, the depth is 15cm shown above



Report No .: C160104S01-SF

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK RESULTS

Liquid Type	Ambient Temp. (° C)	Liquid Temp. (°C)	Input Power (W)	Measured SAR1g (W/Kg)	Target	1W Normalized SAR _{1g} (W/Kg)	Deviatio n (%)	Limited (%)	Date
Body2450	22	21.5	0.25	12.39	49.20	49.56	0.73	± 10	2016-1-8
Body2450	22	21.5	0.25	12.60	49.20	50.40	2.44	± 10	2016-1-26

Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

11.4 EUT TUNE-UP PROCEDURES AND TEST MODE

Conducted output power(dBm):

General Note:

- 1 Power must be measured at each transmit antenna port according to the DSSS and OFDM transmission configurations in each standalone and aggregated frequency band.
- 2 Power measurement is required for the transmission mode configuration with the highest maximum output power specified for production units.
 - 1) When the same highest maximum output power specification applies to multiple transmission modes, the largest channel bandwidth configuration with the lowest order modulation and lowest data rate is measured.
 - 2) When the same highest maximum output power is specified for multiple largest channel bandwidth configurations with the same lowest order modulation or lowest order modulation and lowest data rate, power measurement is required for all equivalent 802.11 configurations with the same maximum output power.
- 3 For each transmission mode configuration, power must be measured for the highest and lowest channels; and at the mid-band channel(s) when there are at least 3 channels. For configurations with multiple mid-band channels, due to an even number of channels, both channels should be measured.
- 4 Apply the default power measurement procedures to measure maximum output power for each standalone and aggregated frequency band.
 - a) The maximum output power of band gap channels is limited to the lowest maximum output power certified for the adjacent bands regardless of whether band aggregation is applied for SAR testing.
 - b) The measured maximum output power results are used to reduce the number of channels that need testing.

WI AN 2.4G chain0

WLAN 2.4G Chainu						
Mode	Channel	Frequence (MHZ)	Target power(dBm)	Turn up tolerance (dBm)	Maximum Turn up power (dBm)	Average power (dBm)
	1	2412	18	±1	19	18.76
802.11 b	6	2437	17	±1	18	17.65
	11	2462	18	±1	19	18.63
	1	2412	16	±1	17	16.61
802.11 g	6	2437	18	±1	19	18.25
	11	2462	16	±1	17	16.66
000.44	1	2412	16	±1	17	16.46
802.11 n 20MHz	6	2437	18	±1	19	18.54
2011112	11	2462	16	±1	17	16.86
802.11 n 40MHz	3	2422	15	±1	16	15.30
	6	2437	18	±1	19	18.13
7011112	9	2452	14	±1	15	14.86



Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

Bluetooth 3.0+EDR Conducted output power(dBm):

		Aver	1)		
Channel	Frequency	Date Rate			
		1Mbps 2Mbps 3Mbp			
CH00	2402MHz	4.40	1.72	1.73	
CH39	2441 MHz	4.26	2.22	2.20	
CH78	2480 MHz	4.05	2.30	2.41	

BLE Conducted output power (dBm):

Channel	Frequency	Average power (dBm)
Chamilei	Frequency	Date Rate
CH00	2402MHz	-3.49
CH20	2440 MHz	-3.63
CH39	2480 MHz	-3.82

According to KDB447498 D01:The 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distances ≤ 50 mm are determined by:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance,

mm)] $\cdot [\sqrt{f_{(GHz)}}] \le 3.0$ for 1-g SAR and ≤ 7.5 for 10-g extremity SAR, where

- f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
- Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation25
- The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison
- 3.0 and 7.5 are referred to as the numeric thresholds in the step 2 below
- If the test separation distance (antenna-user) is < 5mm, 5mm is used for excluded SAR calculation

	Wireless Interface	Bluetooth	
Tı	une-up Maximum power (dBm)	4.5	
Tun	e-up Maximum rated power (mW)	2.818	
	Antenna to user (mm)	5	
Body	Frequency(GHz)	2.480	
	SAR exclusion threshold	0.888	

Per KDB 447498 D01 exclusion thresholds is 0.888 < 3, Bluetooth RF exposure evaluation is not required.

According to RSS102-2015:

SAR evaluation for this device was performed with a separation distance of 5 mm. Observing the SAR evaluation exemption limit table (Table 1, see below) found in § 2.5.1 of RSS102:2015, it was determined that the SAR exemption limit for this device is 4 mW for 2.4GHz transmission. No Wi-Fi mode qualified for test exemption as all power levels were above the stated thresholds. On the contrary, Bluetooth, with a frequency of 2480 MHz and a maximum output power of 2.818 mW (4.5 dBm, tune-up tolerance accounted for), is lower than the exemption threshold and therefore exempt from SAR evaluation for either the intended user or bystanders. So Bluetooth RF exposure evaluation is not required



Report No .: C160104S01-SF

Table 1: SAR evaluation – Exemption limits for routine evaluation based on frequency and separation distance


Frequency		Exe	mption Limits (n	nW)	
(MHz)	At separation	At separation	At separation	At separation	At separation
	distance of	distance of	distance of	distance of	distance of
	≤ 5 mm	10 mm	15 mm	20 mm	25 mm
≤300	71 mW	101 mW	132 mW	162 mW	193 mW
450	52 mW	70 mW	88 mW	106 mW	123 mW
835	17 mW	30 mW	42 mW	55 mW	67 mW
1900	7 mW	10 mW	18 mW	34 mW	60 mW
2450	4 mW	7 mW	15 mW	30 mW	52 mW
3500	2 mW	6 mW	16 mW	32 mW	55 mW
5800	1 mW	6 mW	15 mW	27 mW	41 mW
Frequency		Exe	mption Limits (n	nW)	
(MHz)	At separation	At separation	At separation	At separation	At separation
	distance of	distance of	distance of	distance of	distance of
	30 mm	35 mm	40 mm	45 mm	≥50 mm
≤300	223 mW	254 mW	284 mW	315 mW	345 mW
450	141 mW	159 mW	177 mW	195 mW	213 mW
835	80 mW	92 mW	105 mW	117 mW	130 mW
1900	99 mW	153 mW	225 mW	316 mW	431 mW
2450	83 mW	123 mW	173 mW	235 mW	309 mW
3500	86 mW	124 mW	170 mW	225 mW	290 mW



Report No .: C160104S01-SF

11.5 SAR TEST CONFIGURATIONS

Antenna position



Device dimensions (H x W): 261 x 155 mm

Antennas	Wireless Interface
Bluetooth &WLAN Antenna	WLAN 2.4GHz Bluetooth

Test Mode

IEEE 802.11	Data transmission mode(802.11b)
-------------	---------------------------------



Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

11.6 BODY TEST EXCLUSION THRESHOLDS

The following SAR test exclusion Thresholds based on KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06) 4.3.1)

	Wireless Interface	WLAN
Exposure	Wildiess interface	802.11 b
Position	Maximum power	19
	Maximum rated power(mW)	79.43
	Antenna to user (mm)	4
Front view	SAR exclusion threshold	7.67
	SAR testing required	Yes
_	Antenna to user (mm)	5
Rear view	SAR exclusion threshold	9.58
	SAR testing required	Yes
	Antenna to user (mm)	11
Edge1	SAR exclusion threshold	21.08
	SAR testing required	Yes
	Antenna to user (mm)	227
Edge2	SAR exclusion threshold	1866
	SAR testing required	No
	Antenna to user (mm)	117
Edge3	SAR exclusion threshold	766
	SAR testing required	No
	Antenna to user (mm)	4
Edge4	SAR exclusion threshold	7.67
	SAR testing required	Yes

Note:

- Maximum power is the source-based time-average power and represents the maximum RF output power among production units
- 2. Per KDB 447498 D01, for larger devices, the test separation distance of adjacent edge configuration is determined by the closest separation between the antenna and the user.
- 3. Per KDB 447498 D01, standalone SAR test exclusion threshold is applied; If the distance of the antenna to the user is < 5mm, 5mm is used to determine SAR exclusion threshold
- 4. Per KDB 447498 D01, the 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distances ≤ 50 mm are determined by:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)] $\cdot [\sqrt{f(GHz)}] \le 3.0$ for 1-q SAR and ≤ 7.5 for 10-q extremity SAR

f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz

Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation

The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

For < 50 mm distance, we just calculate mW of the exclusion threshold value (3.0) to do compare. This formula is [3.0] / $[\sqrt{f(GHz)}] \cdot [(min. test separation distance, mm)] = exclusion threshold of mW.$

- 5. Per KDB 447498 D01, at 100 MHz to 6 GHz and for *test separation distances* > 50 mm, the SAR test exclusion threshold is determined according to the following
 - a) [Threshold at 50 mm in step 1) + (test separation distance 50 mm)·(f(MHz)/150)] mW, at 100 MHz to 1500 MHz
 - b) [Threshold at 50 mm in step 1) + (test separation distance 50 mm) 10] mW at > 1500 MHz and ≤ 6 GHz
- 6. When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm according to 5) in section 4.1 is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.



Report No .: C160104S01-SF

The following SAR test exclusion Thresholds based on RSS102 issue5 2.5.1

. 110 10110111	Wireless Interface	WLAN
Exposure	vviiciess interiace	802.11 b
Position	Maximum power	19
	Maximum rated power(mW)	79.43
_	Antenna to user (mm)	4
Front view	SAR exclusion threshold	4
	SAR testing required	Yes
_	Antenna to user (mm)	5
Rear view	SAR exclusion threshold	4
	SAR testing required	Yes
	Antenna to user (mm)	11
Edge1	SAR exclusion threshold	15
	SAR testing required	Yes
	Antenna to user (mm)	227
Edge2	SAR exclusion threshold	309
	SAR testing required	No
	Antenna to user (mm)	117
Edge3	SAR exclusion threshold	309
	SAR testing required	No
	Antenna to user (mm)	4
Edge4	SAR exclusion threshold	5
	SAR testing required	Yes

Note:


SAR evaluation is required if the separation distance between the user and/or bystander and the antenna and/or radiating element of the device is less than or equal to 20 cm, except when the device operates at or below the applicable output power level (adjusted for tune-up tolerance) for the specified separation distance defined in Table 1.



Report No .: C160104S01-SF

11.7 EUT SETUP PHOTOS

11.8 BODY SAR TEST CONFIGURATION





Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

SAR Results for Body Test Records 2.4GHz

Band	Mode	Test Position	Dist. (mm	Freq. (MHZ)	max Power (dBm)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Scalin g Factor	Power Drift (dB)	Duty Cycle Factor	SAR1g (mW/g)	Scaled SAR1g (mW/g)
WLAN 2.4Ghz	802.11b	Front	0	2412	18.76	19	1.057	0.00	1	0.315	0.333
		Front	0	2437	17.65	18	1.084	0.12	1	0.227	0.246
		Front	0	2462	18.63	19	1.089	0.11	1	0.273	0.297
		Rear	0	2412	18.76	19	1.057	0.00	1	0.299	0.316
		Edge1	0	2412	18.76	19	1.057	0.08	1	0.018	0.019
		Edge4	0	2412	18.76	19	1.057	0.01	1	0.163	0.172

Remark: SAR is not required for the following 2.4 GHz OFDM conditions.

- 1) When KDB Publication 447498 SAR test exclusion applies to the OFDM configuration.
- 2) When the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg.
- The highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is > 1.2 W/kg. So 2.4 GHz OFDM mode is require.
- 3) SAR for subsequent highest measured maximum output power channels in the subsequent test configuration is required only when the reported SAR of the preceding higher maximum output power channel(s) in the subsequent test configuration is > 1.2 W/kg or until all required channels are tested.



Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Compliance Certification Services Inc. Report

Report No .: C160104S01-SF

Repeated SAR measurement

Band	Mode	Test Position	Dist. (mm)	Ch.	Original Measured SAR10g (mW/g)	1st Repeated SAR10g (mW/g)	Ratio	Original Measured SAR10g (mW/g)	2nd Repeated SAR10g (mW/g)	Ratio

Note:

1. Per KDB 865664 D01, for each frequency band, repeated SAR measurement is required only when the measured SAR is ≥ 0.8W/Kg

Per KDB 865664 D01, if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurement is ≤1.2 and the measured SAR <1.45W/Kg,only one repeated measurement is required.

- 2. Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/kg
- 3. The ratio is the difference in percentage between original and repeated measured SAR.



Report No .: C160104S01-SF

11.9 SAR HANDSETS MULTI XMITER ASSESSMENT


No.	Applicable Simultaneous Transmission Combination
1	N/A

Note:

1. 2.4GHz WLAN and BT share the same antenna, and cannot transmit simultaneously.



EUT PHOTO 12.























Report No .: C160104S01-SF

EQUIPMENT LIST & CALIBRATION STATUS 13.

Name of Equipment	Manufacturer	Type/Model	Serial Number	Last Calibration	Calibration Due
PC	HP	Core(rm)3.16G	CZCO48171H	N/A	N/A
Signal Generator	Agilent	E8257C	MY43321570	11/20/2015	11/19/2016
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	E5071B	MY42301382	03/03/2015	03/02/2016
Power Meter	Agilent	E4416A	GB41292714	03/03/2015	03/02/2016
Peak & Average sensor	Agilent	E9327A	us40441788	03/03/2015	03/02/2016
Power meter	Anritsu	ML2495A	1445010	03/03/2015	03/02/2016
Power sensor	Anritsu	MA2411B	1339220	03/03/2015	03/02/2016
E-field PROBE	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3798	07/24/2015	07/23/2016
DAE	SPEAG	DEA4	1245	07/22/2015	07/21/2016
DIPOLE 835MHZ ANTENNA	SPEAG	D835V2	4d114	07/30/2013	07/27/2016
DIPOLE 1900MHZ ANTENNA	SPEAG	D1900V2	5d136	07/22/2013	07/19/2016
Dielectric Probe Kit	SPEAG	DAK 3.5	1102	N/A	N/A
Dual Directional Coupler	Woken	20W couple	DOM2BHW1A1	N/A	N/A
DUMMY PROBE	SPEAG	DP_2	SPDP2001AA	N/A	N/A
SAM PHANTOM (ELI4 v4.0)	SPEAG	QDOVA001BB	1102	N/A	N/A
Twin SAM Phantom	SPEAG	QD000P40CD	1609	N/A	N/A
ROBOT	SPEAG	TX60	F10/5E6AA1/A101	N/A	N/A
ROBOT KRC	SPEAG	CS8C	F10/5E6AA1/C101	N/A	N/A
LIQUID CALIBRATION KIT	ANTENNESSA	41/05 OCP9	00425167	N/A	N/A

Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

14. FACILITIES

All measurement facilities used to collect the measurement data are located at

No.10, Weiye Rd., Innovation Park, Eco & Tec. Development Part, Kunshan City, Jiangsu Province, China.

15. REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environ-mental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.
- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O_ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-_eld scanning system for dosimetric assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645{652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1999: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-_eld probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM _ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15{17, 1997, pp. 120{124.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-_eld probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23{25 June, 1996, pp. 172{175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865{1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992..Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10



APPENDIX A: PLOTS OF PERFORMANCE CHECK

The plots are showing as followings.



Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

Date: 1/8/2016

Test Laboratory: Compliance Certification Services Inc.

System Performance Check-D2450 Body

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz D2450V2; Type: D24500V2; Serial: 817

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Communication System Band: D2450 (2450.0 MHz); Frequency:

2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz; σ = 1.956 S/m; ε_r = 51.83; ρ = 1000 kg/m³

Room Ambient Temperature: 22°C; Liquid Temperature: 21.5°C

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3798; ConvF(7.08, 7.08, 7.08); Calibrated: 7/24/2015;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1245; Calibrated: 7/22/2015
- Phantom: ELI v4.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: TP:xxxx
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222);
- SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

System Performance Check at Frequencies above 1 GHz/Pin=250 mW, dist=10mm (EX-Probe)/Area Scan (9x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=12mm, dy=12mm


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.5 W/kg

System Performance Check at Frequencies above 1 GHz/Pin=250 mW, dist=10mm (EX-Probe)/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 101.03 V/m: Power Drift = -0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.9 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 12.39 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.86 W/kgMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.8 W/kg



0 dB = 19.8 W/kg = 12.97 dBW/kg



Date of Issue: January 26, 2016 Report No .: C160104S01-SF

Date: 1/26/2016

Test Laboratory: Compliance Certification Services Inc.

System Performance Check-Body D2450

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz D2450V2; Type: D24500V2; Serial: 817

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Communication System Band: D2450 (2450.0 MHz);

Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz; $\sigma = 1.951 \text{ S/m}$; $\varepsilon_r = 51.705$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Room Ambient Temperature: 22°C; Liquid Temperature: 21.5°C

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)


DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3798; ConvF(7.08, 7.08, 7.08); Calibrated: 7/24/2015;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1245; Calibrated: 7/22/2015
- Phantom: ELI v4.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: TP:xxxx
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222);
- SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

System Performance Check at Frequencies above 1 GHz/Pin=250 mW, dist=10mm (EX-Probe)/Area Scan (9x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=12mm, dy=12mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.1 W/kg

System Performance Check at Frequencies above 1 GHz/Pin=250 mW, dist=10mm (EX-Probe)/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 98.03 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.6 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 12.6 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.79 W/kgMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.5 W/kg



0 dB = 19.5 W/kg = 12.90 dBW/kg

Report No .: C160104S01-SF

APPENDIX B: DASY CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

The DASY Calibration Certificates are showing in the file named Appendix B: DASY **Calibration Certificate.**

APPENDIX C: PLOTS OF SAR TEST RESULT

The plots are showing in the file named Appendix C Plots of SAR Test Result

END REPORT