REPORT



Report No.: 17071008-FCC-H Supersede Report No.:N/A

Applicant	BLU Products , Inc			
Product Name	Feature Pho	Feature Phone		
Model No.	TANK MEGA	1		
Standards	ANSI/IEEE C	FCC 47 CFR Part2(2.1093) ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1999 IEEE 1528-2013 & Published RF Exposure KDB Procedures		
Test Date	Oct 10 to Oc	t 12, 2017		
Issue Date	Oct 24, 2017	Oct 24, 2017		
Test Result	PASS			
Equipment complied with the specification				
Equipment did not comply with the specification				
York Liu Wily Zhang				
		Wiky Zhang Checked By		
This test report may be reproduced in full only				

Test result presented in this test report is applicable to the tested sample only

Issued by: SIEMIC (SHENZHEN-CHINA) LABORATORIES

Zone A, Floor 1, Building 2 Wan Ye Long Technology Park South Side of Zhoushi Road, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong China 518108

Phone: +86 0755 2601 4629801 Email: China@siemic.com.cn



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	2 of 79

Laboratory Introduction

SIEMIC, headquartered in the heart of Silicon Valley, with superior facilities in US and Asia, is one of the leading independent testing and certification facilities providing customers with one-stop shop services for Compliance Testing and Global Certifications.



In addition to testing and certification, SIEMIC provides initial design reviews and compliance management throughout a project. Our extensive experience with China, Asia Pacific, North America, European, and International compliance requirements, assures the fastest, most cost effective way to attain regulatory compliance for the global markets.

Accreditations for Conformity Assessment

Country/Region	Scope
USA	EMC, RF/Wireless, SAR, Telecom
Canada	EMC, RF/Wireless, SAR, Telecom
Taiwan	EMC, RF, Telecom, SAR, Safety
Hong Kong	RF/Wireless, SAR, Telecom
Australia	EMC, RF, Telecom, SAR, Safety
Korea	EMI, EMS, RF, SAR, Telecom, Safety
Japan	EMI, RF/Wireless, SAR, Telecom
Singapore	EMC, RF, SAR, Telecom
Europe	EMC, RF, SAR, Telecom, Safety



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	3 of 79

This page has been left blank intentionally.



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	4 of 79

CONTENTS

1	EUT INFORMATION	5
2	TECHNICAL DETAILS	6
3	INTRODUCTION	7
4	SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP	8
5	ANSI/IEEE C95.1 – 1999 RF EXPOSURE LIMIT	19
6	SYSTEM AND LIQUID VERIFICATION	20
7	UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT	28
8	TEST INSTRUMENT	31
9	OUTPUT POWER VERIFICATION	32
10	SAR TEST RESULTS	36
11	SAR MEASUREMENT REFERENCES	39
ANN	EX A CALIBRATION REPORTS	44
ANN	EX B SAR SYSTEM PHOTOGRAPHS	76
ANN	EX C SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	77



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	5 of 79

1 **EUT INFORMATION**

EUT Information		
EUT Description	Feature Phone	
Model No	TANK MEGA	
Input Power	Rechargeable Li-ion Battery Model: C724211360L Spec: 3.7V ,3600mAh,13.32Wh	
Maximum Conducted Output Power to Antenna	GSM 850 Voice : 32.32dBm PCS 1900 Voice : 29.35dBm	
Highest Reported SAR Level(s)	1.10W/Kg 1g Head Tissue 0.70W/Kg 1g Body Tissue	
Classification Per Stipulated Test Standard	Portable Device, Class B, No DTM Mode	
Multi-SIM	Support dual-SIM, dual standby, the multiple SIM card with two lines cannot transmitting at the same time.	
Co-located TX	WWAN can transmit simultaneously with Bluetooth	
Antenna Separation distances	2cm - WWAN antenna-to-Bluetooth antenna	
Antenna Type(s)	PIFA Antenna(WWAN)	
Accessory	N/A	

SAR Test Result

	Equipment Frequency Class Band		Highest 1g SAR Summary		
			Head (Separation 0mm)	Body-worn (Separation 15mm)	Highest Simultaneous Transmission 1g
			1g SAR(W/kg)		SAR(W/kg)
Licensed	GSM	GSM850	1.10	0.70	1.36
_, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _	GSM1900		0.30	0.48	
Date of Testing:			Oct 10 to Oct 12, 2017		



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	6 of 79

2 TECHNICAL DETAILS

Purpose	Compliance testing of Feature Phone model TANK MEGA with stipulated standard
Applicant / Client	BLU Products, Inc 10814 NW 33rd St # 100 Doral, FL 33172, USA
Manufacturer	BLU Products, Inc 10814 NW 33rd St # 100 Doral, FL 33172, USA
Laboratory performing the tests	SIEMIC(Shenzhen-China) Laboratories Zone A, Floor 1, Building 2, Wan Ye Long Technology Park, South Side of Zhoushi Road, Bao'an District, Shenzhen 518108, Guangdong, P.R.C. Tel: +(86) 0755-26014629 VIP Line:950-4038-0435
Test Software	OpenSAR V4_02_31
Test report reference number	17071008-FCC-H
Date EUT received	Oct 9, 2017
Standard applied	See Page 39
Dates of test (from – to)	Oct 10, 2017~ Oct 12, 2017
No of Units:	1
Equipment Category:	PCE
Trade	BLU
Model Name:	TANK MEGA
RF Operating Frequency (ies)	GSM850 TX: 824.2 ~ 848.8 MHz; RX: 869.2 ~ 893.8 MHz PCS1900 TX: 1850.2 ~ 1909.8 MHz; RX: 1930.2 ~ 1989.8 MHz Bluetooth: 2402-2480 MHz
Modulation:	GSM / GPRS: GMSK Bluetooth: GFSK, π /4-DQPSK, 8DPSK
GPRS/EGPRS Multi-slot class	8/10/11/12
FCC ID	YHLBLUTKMEGA



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	7 of 79

3 INTRODUCTION

Introduction

This measurement report shows compliance of the EUT with ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1999 and FCC 47 CFR Part2 (2.1093)

The test procedures, as described in IEEE 1528-2013 Standard for IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques(300MHz~6GHz) and Published RF Exposure KDB Procedures

SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (p).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{\rho dV} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of watts per kilogram (W/kg). SAR can be related to the electric field at a point by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \mid E \mid^2}{\rho}$$

where:

 σ = conductivity of the tissue (S/m)

 ρ = mass density of the tissue (kg/m³)

E = rms electric field strength (V/m)



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	8 of 79


4 SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

Dosimetric Assessment System

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system OPENSAR from SATIMO. The system is based on a high precision robot (working range: 850 mm), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than \pm 0.02 mm. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit.

The SAR measurements were conducted with dosimetric probe (manufactured by SATIMO), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure described in SAR standard with accuracy of better than ±10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in SAR starndard and found to be better than ±0.25 dB. The phantom used was the SAM Phantom as described in FCC supplement C, IEEE P1528 and CENELEC EN62209-1.

Measurement System Diagram



The OPENSAR system for performing compliance tests consist of the following items:

- 1. A standard high precision 6-axis robot (KUKA) with controller and software.
- 2. KUKA Control Panel (KCP).
- 3. A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- 4. The functions of the PC plug-in card are to perform the time critical task such as signal filtering, surveillance of the robot operation fast movement interrupts.



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	9 of 79

- 5. A computer operating Windows XP.
- 6. OPENSAR software.
- 7. Remote control with teaches pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- 8. The SAM phantom enabling testing left-hand right-hand and body usage.
- 9. The Position device for handheld EUT.
- 10. Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes (see Application Note).
- 11. System validation dipoles to validate the proper functioning of the system.



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	10 of 79

EP100 Probe





Construction Symmetrical design with triangular Core. Built-in shielding against static charges Calibration in air from 100 MHz to 2.5 GHz. In brain and muscle simulating tissue at frequencies from 800 to 6000 MHz (accuracy of 8%).

Frequency 100 MHz to 6 GHz;

Linearity; 0.25 dB (100 MHz to 6 GHz),

Directivity: 0.25 dB in brain tissue (rotation around probe axis) 0.5 dB in brain tissue (rotation normal probe axis)

Dynamic: 0.001W/kg to > 100W/kg;

Range Linearity: 0.25 dB

Surface: 0.2 mm repeatability in air and liquids

Dimensions Overall length: 330 mm

Tip length: 16 mm

Body diameter: 8 mm

Tip diameter: 2.6 mm

Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: <1.5 mm

Application General dosimetric up to 6 GHz

Compliance tests of GSM Phones

Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique, with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates.




Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	11 of 79

It is connected to the KRC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. The 3D file of the phantom is include in OpenSAR software. The Video Positioning System allow the system to take the automatic reference and to move the probe safely and accurately on the phantom.

E-Field Probe Calibration Process

Probe calibration is realized, in compliance with CENELEC EN50361; CEI/IEC 62209 and IEEE 1528 std, with CALISAR, SATIMO proprietary calibration system. The calibration is performed with the technique using reference waveguide.



 $SAR = \frac{4\left(P_{fw} - P_{bw}\right)}{ab\delta} \cos^2\left(\pi \frac{y}{a}\right) e^{-(2z/\delta)}$

Where:

P_{fw} = Forward Power P_{bw} = Backward Power

a and b = Waveguide dimensions

 δ = Skin depth

Keithley configuration:

Rate = Medium; Filter =ON; RDGS=10; FILTER TYPE =MOVING AVERAGE; RANGE AUTO

After each calibration, a SAR measurement is performed on a validation dipole and compared with a NPL calibrated probe, to verify it.



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	12 of 79

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure described in SAR standard with accuracy better than +/- 10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in SAR standard and found to be better than +/-0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested.

The free space E-field from probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies bellow 0.8 GHz, and in a waveguide above 0.8 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. E-field correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue.

SAM Phantom

The SAM Phantom SAM29 is constructed of a fiberglass shell ntegrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is in compliance with the specification set in IEEE 1528 and CENELEC EN62209-1, IEC62209-2.

The phantom enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region.

A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot.

Shell Thickness: 2 0.2 mm Filling Volume: Approx. 25 liters

Dimensions (H x L x W): 810 x 1000 x 500 mm

Liquid is filled to at least 15mm from the bottom of Phantom.





Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	13 of 79

Device Holder

In combination with the Generic Twin Phantom V3.0, the Mounting Device enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation points is the ear opening. The devices can be easily, accurately, and repeatedly positioned according to the FCC and CENELEC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).



Note: A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produced infinite number of configurations [10]. To produce the worst-case condition (the hand absorbs antenna output power), the hand is omitted during the tests.

Data Evaluation

The OPENSAR software automatically executes the following procedure to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the valuation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe Parameters	- Sensitivity	Norm _i
	- Conversion factor	ConvFi
	- Diode compression point Dcpi	
Device Parameter	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media Parametrs	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can either be found in the component documents or be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the OPENSAR components.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

Where V_i = Compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

 U_i = Input signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

cf = Crest factor of exciting field(DASY parameter)

dcp_i = Diode compression point (DASY parameter)



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	14 of 79

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-field probes: $E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$

H-field probes: $H_i = \sqrt{Vi} \cdot \frac{a_{i10} + a_{i11}f + a_{i12}f^2}{f}$

Where V_i = Compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

 $Norm_i$ = Sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x, y, z)

μV/(V/m)2 for E0field Probes

ConvF= Sensitivity enhancement in solution

aii = Sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

f = Carrier frequency (GHz)

E_i = Electric field strength of channel i in V/m
 H_i = Magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{ss} = \sqrt{E_z^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR - E_{ss}^{2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

where SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

 E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

 σ = conductivity in [mho/m] or [siemens/m]

 ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm3

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field as a free space field.

$$P_{pus} - \frac{E_{ns}^2}{3770}$$
 or $P_{pus} - H_{ns}^2 \cdot 37.7$

where P_{pwe} = Equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm2

 E_{tot} = total electric field strength in V/m H_{tot} = total magnetic field strength in A/m



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	15 of 79

SAR Evaluation – Peak Spatial - Average

The procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps

Power Reference Measurement

The reference and drift jobs are useful jobs for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. Both jobs measure the field at a specified reference position, at a selectable distance from the phantom surface. The reference position can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section. The reference job projects the selected point onto the phantom surface, orients the probe perpendicularly to the surface, and approaches the surface using the selected detection method.

Area Scan

The area scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in OPENSAR software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. The scan area is defined by an editable grid. This grid is anchored at the grid reference point of the selected section in the phantom. When the area scan's property sheet is brought-up, grid was at to 15 mm by 15 mm and can be edited by a user.

Zoom Scan

Zoom scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The default zoom scan measures 5 x 5 x 7 points within a cube whose base faces are centered around the maximum found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. If the preceding Area Scan job indicates more then one maximum, the number of Zoom Scans has to be enlarged accordingly (The default number inserted is 1).

Power Drift measurement

The drift job measures the field at the same location as the most recent reference job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last reference measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for one reference measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. In the properties of the Drift job, the user can specify a limit for the drift and have OPENSAR software stop the measurements if this limit is exceeded.

SAR Evaluation – Peak SAR

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the IEEE1529 standard. It can be conducted for 1 g and 10 g. The OPENSAR system allows evaluations that combine measured data and robot positions, such

- maximum search
- extrapolation
- boundary correction
- peak search for averaged SAR

During a maximum search, global and local maximum searches are automatically performed in 2-D after each Area Scan measurement with at least 6 measurement points. It is based on the evaluation of the local SAR gradient calculated by the Quadratic Shepard's method. The algorithm will find the global maximum and all local maxima within -2 dB of the global maxima for all SAR distributions.



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	16 of 79

Extrapolation

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. Several measurements at different distances are necessary for the extrapolation.

They are used in the Cube Scan to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The routine uses the fourth order least square polynomial method for extrapolation. For a grid using 5x5x7 measurement points with 5mm resolution amounting to 343 measurement points, the uncertainty of the extrapolation routines is less than 1% for 1 g and 10 g cubes.

Definition of Reference Points

Ear Reference Point

Figure 6.2 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Phantom. The point "M" is the reference point for the center of the mouth, "LE" is the left ear reference point (ERP), and "RE" is the right ERP. The ERPs are 15mm posterior to the entrance to the ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 6.1. The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) is perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Figure 6.1). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning [5].




Figure 6.1 Close-up side view of ERP's



Figure 6.2 Front, back and side view of SAM

Device Reference Points

Two imaginary lines on the device need to be established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device is placed in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point" (See Fig. 6.3). The "test device reference point" is than located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device is positioned so that the "vertical centerline" is bisecting the front surface of the device at it's top and bottom edges, positioning the "ear reference point" on the outer surface of both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point [5].




Figure 6.3 Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line Reference Points



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	17 of 79

Test Configuration - Positioning for Cheek / Touch

1. Position the device close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure below), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the device is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom








Figure 7.1 Front, Side and Top View of Cheek/Touch Position

- 2. Translate the device towards the phantom along the line passing through RE and LE until the device touches the ear.
- 3. While maintaining the device in this plane, rotate it around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline is in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (called the reference plane).
- 4. Rotate the device around the vertical centerline until the device (horizontal line) is symmetrical with respect to the line NF.
- 5. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE and maintaining the device contact with the ear, rotate the device about the line NF until any point on the device is in contact with a phantom point below the ear (cheek). See Figure below.




Figure 7.2 Side view w/ relevant markings



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	18 of 79

Test Configuration - Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt

With the test device aligned in the Cheek/Touch Position":

- 1. While maintaining the orientation of the device, retracted the device parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the device by 15 degrees.
- 2. Rotate the device around the horizontal line by 15 degrees.
- 3. While maintaining the orientation of the device, move the device parallel to the reference plane until any part of the device touches the head. (In this position, point A is located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact is at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the device shall be reduced. The tilted position is obtained when any part of the device is in contact with the ear as well as a second part of the device is in contact with the head (see Figure below).




Figure 7.3 Front, Side and Top View of Ear/15° Tilt Position

Test Position – Body Worn Configurations

Body Worn Position

- (a) To position the device parallel to the phantom surface with either keypad up or down.
- (b) To adjust the device parallel to the flat phantom.
- (c) To adjust the distance between the device surface and the flat phantom to 1.5 cm or holster surface and the flat phantom to 0 cm.





Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	19 of 79

5 ANSI/IEEE C95.1 – 1999 RF EXPOSURE LIMIT

In order for users to be aware of the body-worn operating requirements for meeting RF exposure compliance, operating instructions and cautions statements are included in the user's manual.

Uncontrolled Environment

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

Controlled Environment

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Table 8.1 Human Exposure Limits

	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIROMENT Professional Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR ¹ Brain	1.60	8.00
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR ² Whole Body	0.08	0.40
SPATIAL PEAK SAR ³ Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.00	20.00

¹ The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

² The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.

³ The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	20 of 79

6 SYSTEM AND LIQUID VERIFICATION

Basic SAR system validation requirements

The SAR system must be validated against its performance specifications before it is deployed. When SAR probes, system components or software are changed, upgraded or recalibrated, these must be validated with the SAR system(s) that operates with such components. Reference dipoles are used with the required tissue-equivalent media for system validation,

The detailed system validation results are maintained by each test laboratory, which are normally not required for equipment approval. Only a tabulated summary of the system validation status, according to the validation date(s), measurement frequencies, SAR probes and tissue dielectric parameters is required in the SAR report.

System Setup

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave that comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:




Fig 8.1 System Setup for System Evaluation

- 1. Signal Generator
- 2. Amplifier
- 3. Directional Coupler
- 4. Power Meter
- 5. Calibrated Dipole

Note: The output power on dipole port must be calibrated to 30 dBm (1000 mW) before dipole is connected.



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	21 of 79

System Verification Results

Prior to SAR assessment, the system is verified to 10% of the SAR measurement on the reference dipole at the time of calibration by the calibration facility. Full system validation status and result summary can be found in below

Target and measurement SAR after Normalized (1W):

Measurement Date	Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type (head/body)	Target SAR1g (W/kg)	Measured SAR1g (W/kg)	Normalized SAR1g (W/kg)	Deviation (%)
Oct 10, 2017	835	head	9.64	0.993	9.93	3.01
Oct 10, 2017	835	body	9.96	0.961	9.61	-3.51
Oct 12, 2017	1900	head	39.88	3.699	36.99	-7.25
Oct 12, 2017	1900	body	40.38	4.054	40.45	0.17

Note: system check input power: 100mW



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	22 of 79

Liquid Verification

The dielectric parameters were checked prior to assessment using the HP85070C dielectric probe kit. The dielectric parameters measured are reported in each correspondent section.

KDB 865664 recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters

The head and body tissue parameters given in this below table should be used to measure the SAR of transmitters operating in 100 MHz to 6 GHz frequency range. The tissue dielectric parameters of the tissue medium at the test frequency should be within the tolerance required in this document. The dielectric parameters should be linearly interpolated between the closest pair of target frequencies to determine the applicable dielectric parameters corresponding to the device test frequency.

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by IEEE Std 1528-2013 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in 1528 are derived from tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described above and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in 1528.



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	23 of 79

Liquid Confirmation Result:

1. Measured Head liquid Properties

Date	Freq.(MHz)	Liquid Parameters	Measured	Target	Delta (%)	Limit±(%)
Oct 10, 2017	025	Relative Permittivity (εr):	41.9	41.5	0.96	5
Oct 10, 2017 835	Conductivity (σ):	0.88	0.90	-2.22	5	
Oct 12, 2017	10 2017 1000	Relative Permittivity (εr):	40.3	40.0	0.75	5
Oct 12, 2017 1900	Conductivity (o):	1.43	1.40	2.14	5	

2. Measured Body liquid Properties

Date	Freq.(MHz)	Liquid Parameters	Measured	Target	Delta (%)	Limit±(%)
Oct 10, 2017	025	Relative Permittivity (εr):	55.12	55.20	-0.14	5
Oct 10, 2017	Oct 10, 2017 835	Conductivity (o):	0.93	0.97	-4.12	5
0 + 10 0017	1000	Relative Permittivity (εr):	53.22	53.3	-0.15	5
Oct 12, 2017 1900	Conductivity (σ):	1.49	1.52	-1.97	5	





Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	24 of 79


System Verification Plots Product Description: Dipole Model: SID835

Test Date: Oct 10, 2017

rest Date. Oct 10, 2017	
Medium(liquid type)	HSL_835
Frequency (MHz)	835.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	41.9
Conductivity (S/m)	0.88
Input power	100mW
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.74
Sensor-surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	0.390000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.657447
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.992786










Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	25 of 79


Product Description: Dipole


Model: SID835

Test Date: Oct 10, 2017

Medium(liquid type)	MSL_835		
Frequency (MHz)	835.000000		
Relative permittivity (real part)	55.12		
Conductivity (S/m)	0.93		
Input power	100mW		
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262		
Crest factor	1.0		
Conversion Factor	1.81		
Sensor-surface	4mm		
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm		
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm		
Variation (%)	0.360000		
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.635967		
QAD 1a /\M/ka\	0.061231		











Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	26 of 79


Product Description: Dipole Model: SID1900

Test Date: Oct 12, 2017

1001 Dato: 001 12, 2011			
Medium(liquid type)	HSL_1900		
Frequency (MHz)	1900.000		
Relative permittivity (real part)	40.3		
Conductivity (S/m)	1.43		
Input power	100mW		
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262		
Crest factor	1.0		
Conversion Factor	2.01		
Sensor-Surface	4mm		
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm		
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm		
Variation (%) -0.630000			
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	1.986748		
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	3.699097		











Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	27 of 79


Product Description: Dipole

Model: SID1900 Test Date: Oct 12, 2017

Test Date. Oct 12, 2011			
Medium(liquid type)	MSL_1900		
Frequency (MHz)	1900.000		
Relative permittivity (real part)	53.22		
Conductivity (S/m)	1.49		
Input power	100mW		
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262		
Crest factor	1.0		
Conversion Factor	2.05		
Sensor-Surface	4mm		
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm		
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm		
Variation (%) -0.820000			
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.151455		
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	4.054408		









Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	28 of 79

7 UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT

The component of uncertainly may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainly by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type An evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance

A Type A evaluation of standard uncertainty may be based on any valid statistical method for treating data. This includes calculating the standard deviation of the mean of a series of independent observations; using the method of least squares to fit a curve to the data in order to estimate the parameter of the curve and their standard deviations; or carrying out an analysis of variance in order to identify and quantify random effects in certain kinds of measurement.

A type B evaluation of standard uncertainty is typically based on scientific judgment using all of the relevant information available. These may include previous measurement data, experience and specification, data provided in calibration reports and uncertainties assigned to reference data taken from handbooks. Broadly speaking, the uncertainty is either obtained from an outdoor source or obtained from an assumed distribution, such as the normal distribution, rectangular or triangular distributions indicated in Table below:

Uncertainty Distribution	Normal	Rectangle	Triangular	U Shape
Multi-plying Factor ^(a)	1/k ^(b)	1 / √3	1 / √6	1 / √2

(a) standard uncertainty is determined as the product of the multiplying factor and the estimated range of variations in the measured quantity

(b) κ is the coverage factor

Standard Uncertainty for Assumed Distribution

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type -sum-by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances.

Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. Typically, the coverage factor ranges from 2 to 3. Using a coverage factor allows the true value of a measured quantity to be specified with a defined probability within the specified uncertainty range. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %.

The COMOSAR Uncertainty Budget is show in below table:

The following table includes the uncertainty table of the IEEE 1528 from 300MHz to 3GHz and KDB865664 to 6GHZ too, The values are determined by Satimo.



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	29 of 79

UNCERTAINTY F	OD 6	VCT		DEDE		NICE	CHEC	·V
UNCERTAINTTE	UK 3	1311		CKF	UK IVI <i>F</i>	AINCE	CHEC	· N
	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	ci (1 g)	ci (10 g)	1 g ui	10 g ui	Vi
Uncertainty Component						(± %)	(± %)	
Measurement System		1	Ι .	1 .	Ι .	l		
Probe Calibration	5,8	N	1	1 (4	1	5,8	5,8	∞
Axial Isotropy	3,5	R	√3	(1- cp)1/2	(1- cp)1/2	1,42887	1,42887	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	5,9	R	√3	√Ср	√Ср	2,40866	2,40866	∞
Boundary Effect	1	R	√3	1	1	0,57735	0,57735	∞
Linearity	4,7	R	√3	1	1	2,71355	2,71355	∞
System Detection Limits	1	R	√3	1	1	0,57735	0,57735	∞
Readout Electronics	0,5	N	1	1	1	0,5	0,5	∞
Response Time	0	R	√3	1	1	0	0	∞
Integration Time	1,4	R	√3	1	1	0,80829	0,80829	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	3	R	√3	1	1	1,73205	1,73205	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	1,4	R	√3	1	1	0,80829	0,80829	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	1,4	R	√3	1	1	0,80829	0,80829	8
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	2,3	R	√3	1	1	1,32791	1,32791	∞
Dipole								
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	2	N	√3	1	1	1,1547	1,1547	N-1
Input Power and SAR drift measurement	5	R	√3	1	1	2,88675	2,88675	8
Phantom and Tissue Parameters								
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	4	R	√3	1	1	2,3094	2,3094	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	5	R	√3	0,64	0,43	1,84752	1,2413	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	4	N	1	0,64	0,43	2,56	1,72	М
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	5	R	√3	0,6	0,49	1,73205	1,41451	8
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	5	N	1	0,6	0,49	3	2,45	М
Combined Standard Uncertainty		RSS				9,6671	9,1645	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL)		k				19,3342	18,3290	



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	30 of 79

UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR HANDSET SAR TEST 1 g 10 g Tol. Prob. C_i C_i Div u_{i} U_{i} (± %) Dist. (1 g)(10 g)(± %) (± %) **Uncertainty Component** Vi **Measurement System Probe Calibration** 5,8 Ν 5.8 5,8 $(\overline{1-c_p)^{1/2}}$ $(1-c_{\rm p})^{1/2}$ √3 R 3,5 1.43 1,43 ∞ Axial Isotropy √3 √C_p $\sqrt{C_p}$ 5,9 R 2,41 2,41 Hemispherical Isotropy ∞ √3 R Boundary Effect 1 1 0.58 0.58 √3 4,7 R 1 2,71 2,71 1 Linearity ∞ System Detection Limits 1 R √3 1 1 0,58 0,58 ∞ 0,5 Ν 1 0,50 0,50 Readout Electronics 1 1 ∞ Response Time 0 R √3 1 1 0,00 0,00 ∞ R √3 1,4 1 1 0.81 0.81 Integration Time ∞ R √3 1 1,73 1,73 **RF Ambient Conditions** 3 1 ∞ Probe Positioner Mechanical R √3 1 1.4 1 0.81 0.81 ∞ Tolerance Probe Positioning with respect to R √3 1 1 1.4 0.81 0.81 ∞ Phantom Shell Extrapolation, interpolation and 2,3 R √3 1 1 1,33 1.33 Integration Algorithms for Max. ∞ SAR Evaluation **Test sample Related** Test Sample Positioning 2,6 Ν 1 1 1 2.60 2,60 N-1 **Device Holder Uncertainty** 3 Ν 1 1 1 3.00 3.00 N-1 Output Power Variation - SAR drift 5 √3 R 1 1 2.89 2.89 ∞ measurement **Phantom and Tissue Parameters** Phantom Uncertainty (shape and √3 4 R 1 1 2,31 2,31 thickness tolerances) Liquid Conductivity - deviation from 5 R √3 0.64 0.43 1.85 1.24 target values Liquid Conductivity - measurement 4 Ν 1 0,64 0,43 2,56 1,72 Μ uncertainty Liquid Permittivity - deviation from √3 5 R 0,49 0,6 1,73 1,41 ∞ target values Liquid Permittivity - measurement 5 Ν 1 0.6 0.49 3.00 2.45 Μ uncertainty RSS **Combined Standard Uncertainty** 10,39 9,92 **Expanded Uncertainty** k 20,78 19,84 (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL)



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	31 of 79

8 TEST INSTRUMENT

EST INSTRUMENT	TATION				
Name of Equipment	Manufacturer	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date	Calibration Due
PC	Compaq	PV 3.06GHz	375052-AA1	N/A	N/A
Signal Generator	Agilent	8665B-008	3744A10293	05/15/2017	05/15/2018
MultiMeter	Keithley	MiltiMeter 2000	1259033	06/21/2017	06/21/2018
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753ES	US39173518	08/04/2017	08/04/2018
Wireless Communication Test Set	R&S	CMU200	111078	07/22/2017	07/22/2018
Power Meter	HP	437B	3038A03648	05/17/2017	05/17/2018
E-field PROBE	MVG	SSE2	SN 27/15 EPGO262	09/20/2016	09/20/2018
DIPOLE 835	SATIMO	SID 835	SN 18/11 DIPC 150	06/8/2017	06/8/2018
DIPOLE 1900	SATIMO	SID 1900	SN 18/11 DIPG 153	06/8/2017	06/8/2018
Communication Antenna	SATIMO	ANTA3	SN 20/11 ANTA 3	06/21/2017	06/20/2018
Laptop POSITIONING DEVICE	SATIMO	LSH15	SN 24/11 LSH15	N/A	N/A
e\POSITIONING DEVICE	SATIMO	MSH73	MSH73 SN 24/11 MSH73		N/A
DUMMY PROBE	ANTENNESSA		DP41	N/A	N/A
SAM PHANTOM	SATIMO	SAM87	SN 24/11 SAM87	N/A	N/A
Elliptic Phantom	SATIMO	ELLI20	SN 20/11ELLI20	N/A	N/A
PHANTOM TABLE	SATIMO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6 AXIS ROBOT	KUKA	KR5	949272	N/A	N/A
high Power Solid State Amplifier (80MHz~1000MHz)	Instruments for Industry	CMC150	M631-0408	05/16/2017	05/16/2018
Medium Power Solid State Amplifier (0.8~4.2GHz)	Instruments for Industry	S41-25	M629-0408	06/28/2017	06/28/2018
Wave Tube Amplifier 4- 8 GHz at 20Watt	Hughes Aircraft Company	1277H02F000	81	08/22/2017	08/22/2018



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	32 of 79

9 OUTPUT POWER VERIFICATION

Test Condition:

Conducted Measurement

EUT was set for low, mid, high channel with modulated mode and highest RF output power.

The base station simulator was connected to the antenna terminal.

2 Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty

All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz - 40GHz is $\pm 1.5dB$.

3 Environmental Conditions

Temperature 23°C
Relative Humidity 53%
Atmospheric Pressure 1019mbar

Test Date : Oct 10, 2017
Tested By : York Liu

Test Procedures:

EUT radio output power measurement

- 1. The transmitter output port was connected to base station emulator.
- 2. Establish communication link between emulator and EUT and set EUT to operate at maximum output power all the time.
- 3. Select lowest, middle, and highest channels for each band and different possible test mode.
- 4. Measure the conducted peak burst power and conducted average burst power from EUT antenna port.

Other radio output power measurement

The output power was measured using power meter at low, mid, and hi channels.

Source-based Time Averaged Burst Power Calculation:

For TDMA, the following duty cycle factor was used to calculate the source-based time average power

Number of Time slot	1	2	3	4
Duty Cycle	1:8	1:4	1:2.66	1:2
Duty cycle factor	-9.03 dB	-6.02 dB	-4.26 dB	-3.01 dB
Crest Factor	8	4	2.66	2

Remark: Time slot duty cycle factor = 10 * log (1 / Time Slot Duty Cycle)

Source based time averaged power = Maximum burst averaged power (1 Uplink) – 9.03 dB Source based time averaged power = Maximum burst averaged power (2 Uplink) – 6.02 dB Source based time averaged power = Maximum burst averaged power (3 Uplink) – 4.26 dB Source based time averaged power = Maximum burst averaged power (4 Uplink) – 3.01 dB



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	33 of 79

Test Result:

GSM:

Burst Average Power (dBm);								
Band		GSN	/ 850			PCS	1900	
Channel	128	190	251	Tune up Power tolerant	512	661	810	Tune up Power tolerant
Frequency (MHz)	824.2	836.6	848.8	1	1850.2	1880	1909.8	1
GSM Voice (1 uplink),GMSK	32.31	32.23	32.32	32±1	29.35	29.13	29.25	29±1
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 8 (1 uplink),GMSK	32.3	32.25	32.34	32±1	29.38	29.17	29.28	29±1
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 10 (2 uplink),GMSK	30.32	30.45	30.56	30±1	26.66	26.63	26.94	27±1
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 11 (3 uplink),GMSK	28.37	28.51	28.65	28±1	25.07	25.02	25.32	25±1
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 12 (4 uplink),GMSK	26.31	26.4	26.51	26±1	22.86	22.87	22.95	23±1

Remark:

GPRS, CS1 coding scheme.

Multi-Slot Class 8 , Support Max 4 downlink, 1 uplink , 5 working link Multi-Slot Class 10 , Support Max 4 downlink, 2 uplink , 5 working link Multi-Slot Class 11 , Support Max 4 downlink, 3 uplink , 5 working link Multi-Slot Class 12 , Support Max 4 downlink, 4 uplink , 5 working link

	Source Based time Average Power (dBm)							
Band		G	SM850		PCS1900			
Channel	128	190	251	Time Average factor	512	661	810	Time Average factor
Frequency (MHz)	824.2	836.6	848.8	1	1850.2	1880	1909.8	1
GSM Voice (1 uplink),GMSK	23.28	23.20	23.29	-9.03	20.32	20.10	20.22	-9.03
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 8 (1 uplink),GMSK	23.27	23.22	23.31	-9.03	20.35	20.14	20.25	-9.03
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 10 (2 uplink),GMSK	24.30	24.43	24.54	-6.02	20.64	20.61	20.92	-6.02
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 11 (3 uplink),GMSK	24.11	24.25	24.39	-4.26	20.81	20.76	21.06	-4.26
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 12 (4 uplink),GMSK	23.30	23.39	23.50	-3.01	19.85	19.86	19.94	-3.01

Remark:

Time average factor = 1 uplink , 10*log(1/8)=-9.03dB , 2 uplink , 10*log(2/8)=-6.02dB , 3 uplink , 10*log(3/8)=-4.26dB , 4 uplink , 10*log(4/8)=-3.01dB

Source based time average power = Burst Average power + Time Average factor

Note: 1. due to the source based time average power; Body SAR was performed at GPRS Multi-slot class 10 for GPRS850 and GPRS Multi-slot class 11 for GPRS1900.



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	34 of 79

Bluetooth Measurement Result

Mode	Frequency (MHz)	Output Power(dBm)	Tune up limited(dBm)
	2402	5.749	6±1
GFSK	2441	6.219	6±1
	2480	5.906	6±1
	2402	6.781	7±1
π /4DQPSK	2441	7.248	7±1
	2480	6.865	7±1
	2402	7.156	7±1
8DPSK	2441	7.659	7±1
	2480	7.912	7±1

Note: 1. BT power was tested and only Maximum Power was provide here.


2. SAR Test Exclusion Threshold for BT is about 9.6mW, the maximum tune up power of BT is 8dBm=6.31mW, so BT stand-alone SAR isn't required



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	35 of 79

Antenna Separation Information:







Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	36 of 79

10 SAR TEST RESULTS

Test Condition:

1.	SAR Measurement		
	The distance between the EUT a	and the antenna of the emulator is more	than 50 cm and the output power radiated from
	the emulator antenna is at least 3	30 dB less than the output power of EU	Г.
2	Measurement Uncertainty: See	page 28 for detail	
3	Environmental Conditions	Temperature	23°C
		Relative Humidity	53%
		Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar
4	Test Date : Oct 10, 2017~ Oct 12	2, 2017	
	Tested By : York Liu		

Generally Test Procedures:

- 1. Establish communication link between EUT and base station emulation by air link.
- 2. Place the EUT in the selected test position. (Cheek, tilt or flat)
- 3. Perform SAR testing at middle or highest output power channel under the selected test mode. If the measured 1-g SAR is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, then testing for the other channel will not be performed.
- 4. When SAR is<0.8W/kg, no repeated SAR measurement is required

SAR Summary Test Result:

GSM850

Date of Measured : Oct 10, 2017 Body-worn Separation Distance: 15mm								
Position	Channel	Mode	SAR 1g(W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)	Power Drift (%)	Maximum Turn-up Power(dBm)	measured output power (dBm)	Scaled Maximum SAR(W/kg)
Right Head Cheek	Low	GSM voice	0.729	1.6	-0.35	33	32.31	0.85
Right Head Cheek	Mid	GSM voice	0.923	1.6	3.94	33	32.23	1.10
Right Head Cheek	Mid	GSM voice	0.901	1.6	0.21	33	32.23	1.08
Right Head Cheek	High	GSM voice	0.866	1.6	0.54	33	32.32	1.01
Right Head Tilt	Mid	GSM voice	0.454	1.6	-2.06	33	32.23	0.54
Left Head Cheek	Low	GSM voice	0.689	1.6	-0.19	33	32.31	0.81
Left Head Cheek	Mid	GSM voice	0.870	1.6	0.10	33	32.23	1.04
Left Head Cheek	Mid	GSM voice	0.853	1.6	-2.48	33	32.23	1.02
Left Head Cheek	High	GSM voice	0.638	1.6	0.56	33	32.32	0.75
Left Head Tilt	Mid	GSM voice	0.442	1.6	-1.01	33	32.23	0.53
Body Front-side	Mid	GPRS Class10	0.508	1.6	-0.86	31	30.45	0.58
Body Back-side	Mid	GPRS Class10	0.618	1.6	-2.48	31	30.45	0.70



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	37 of 79

PCS1900:

Date of Measure	d : Oct 12, 20	17	Body-worn Separation Distance: 15mm					
Position	Channel	Mode	SAR 1g(W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)	Power Drift (%)	Maximum Turn-up Power(dBm)	measured output power (dBm)	Scaled Maximum SAR(W/kg)
Right Head Cheek	Mid	GSM voice	0.245	1.6	-0.03	30	29.13	0.30
Right Head Tilt	Mid	GSM voice	0.195	1.6	-1.13	30	29.13	0.24
Left Head Cheek	Mid	GSM voice	0.173	1.6	-2.44	30	29.13	0.21
Left Head Tilt	Mid	GSM voice	0.092	1.6	0.15	30	29.13	0.11
Body Front side	Mid	GPRS Class11	0.152	1.6	-3.82	26	25.02	0.19
Body Back side	Mid	GPRS Class11	0.385	1.6	-1.18	26	25.02	0.48

Measurement variability consideration

According to KDB 865664 D01v01 section 2.8.1, repeated measurements are required following the procedures as below:

- 1. Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80W/kg; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
- 2. When the original highest measured SAR is \geq 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3. Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/kg (~ 10% from the 1-g SAR limit).
- Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥ 1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20. Measured SAR (W/Kg)

Repeated SAR:

		Channel	Mode	measured SAR(W/kg)				
Band	Position			Original	1st Repeated		2nd Repeated	
					Value	Ratio	Value	Ratio
GSM850	Right Head Cheek	Mid	GSM voice	0.923	0.901	1.02	N/A	N/A
GSM850	Left Head Cheek	Mid	GSM voice	0.870	0.853	1.02	N/A	N/A



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	38 of 79

Simultaneous Transmission SAR Analysis.

No.	Applicable Simultaneous Transmission Combination
1.	WWAN+BT

Note:

- 1. For simultaneous transmission analysis, Bluetooth SAR is estimated per KDB 447498 D01 v06 base on the formula below:
 - (max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)]·[$\sqrt{f_{\text{GHz}}}/x$] W/kg for test separation distances ≤ 50 mm;

where x = 7.5 for 1-g SAR, and x = 18.75 for 10-g SAR.

- 0.4 W/kg for 1-g SAR and 1.0 W/kg for 10-g SAR, when the test separation distances is $> 50 \text{ mm.}^{21}$
- 2. If the test separation distances is≤5mm, 5mm is used for estimated SAR calculation.
- 3. BT's maximum tune up power is 8dBm and the estimated SAR is listed below.

Test position	Head(0 cm)	Body-worn(1.5cm)
BT Estimated SAR(W/kg)	0.26	0.09

Maximum Summation:

	WWAN	ВТ	WWAN+BT	
position	Max. Scaled SAR	Max. Scaled SAR	VVVVAINTDI	
Head 0cm	1.10	0.26	1.36	
Body 1.5cm	0.70	0.09	0.79	

Note: 1g-SAR scalar summation<1.6W/kg, so no simultaneous SAR is required.



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	39 of 79

11 SAR MEASUREMENT REFERENCES

References

- 1. FCC 47 CFR Part 2 "Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules and Regulations"
- 2. IEEE Std. C95.1-1999, "IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3kHz to 300GHz", 1999
- 3. IEEE Std. 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices:Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- 4. IEC 62209-2, "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices—Human models, instrumentation, and procedures Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate(SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body(frequency range of 30MHz to 6GHz)", March 2010
- 5. FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06, "RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies For Mobile and Portable Device", October 23, 2015
- 6. FCC KDB 941225 D01 v03r01, "3G SAR Measurement Procedures", October 23, 2015
- 7. FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01r04, "SAR Measurement Requirements For 100MHz to 6GHz", August 7, 2015
- 8. FCC KDB648474 D04 v01r03, "SAR Evaluation Considerations for Wireless Handsets". October 23, 2015



Test Report	17071008-FCC-H
Page	40 of 79

Maximum SAR measurement Plots

Test mode: GSM850, Middle channel (Right Head Cheek)

Product Description: Feature Phone

Model: TANK MEGA Test Date: Oct 10, 2017

rest bate. Oct 10, 2017		
Medium(liquid type)	HSL_835	
Frequency (MHz)	836.6000	
Relative permittivity (real part)	41.9	
Conductivity (S/m)	0.88	
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262	
Crest factor	8.0	
Conversion Factor	1.74	
Sensor-Surface	4mm	
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm	
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm	
Variation (%)	3.940000	
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.660728	
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.923122	

SURFACE SAR

SAR Visualisation Engineer Interface Surface Radiated Intervely Colors Scale (W/Ap) 0.850303 0.864388 0.0585313 0.

VOLUME SAR

