Teorema. Sean $\mathbf{x}_{i,1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i,n_i} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ independientes tales que $\mathbf{x}_{i,j} \sim N((\boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}))$. Definamos

$$\mathbf{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_i - \overline{\mathbf{x}}) (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_i - \overline{\mathbf{x}})^{\mathrm{T}}$$

donde

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i \overline{\mathbf{x}}_i \,.$$

Si $\mu_1 = \cdots = \mu_k$, entonces $\mathbf{H} \sim \mathcal{W}(\Sigma, p, k-1)$.

Demostración. Sea $\mathbf{v}_i = \sqrt{n_i} ((\overline{\mathbf{x}}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i), 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ e indiquemos por } \mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k), \text{ es decir, la matriz } \mathbf{V}$ tiene como filas a $\mathbf{v}_1^{\mathrm{T}}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k^{\mathrm{T}}$. Luego, \mathbf{v}_i son independientes, $\mathbf{v}_i \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$.

Consideremos el vector

$$\mathbf{a} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n}}, \dots, \sqrt{\frac{n_k}{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

y observemos que $\|\mathbf{a}\| = 1$ y

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{a} &= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{\frac{n_{i}}{n}} \mathbf{v}_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{\frac{n_{i}}{n}} \sqrt{n_{i}} \left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{i} \left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{i} \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{i} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Si $\mu_1 = \cdots = \mu_k$, usando que $n \ \overline{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{i=1}^n n_i \ \overline{\mathbf{x}}_i$ obtenemos que

$$\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{i} \,\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{i} \boldsymbol{\mu} = \sqrt{n} \left(\overline{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) .$$

Sea $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ ortogonal tal que $\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k)$ y $\mathbf{a}_k = \mathbf{a}$. Definamos $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathrm{T}} = (\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_k) = \mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{P}$, por lo tanto, $\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{a}_k = \mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{a} = \sqrt{n} (\overline{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})$.

Usando que $\mathbf{v}_i \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}), \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ son independientes y $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k$ son ortonormales, concluímos que $\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{a}_j \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \|\mathbf{a}_j\|^2 \mathbf{\Sigma}) = N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$ independientes entre sí, es decir, $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_k$ son i.i.d. $\mathbf{y}_j \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$.

Usando que \mathbf{P} es una matriz ortogonal deducimos que

(1)
$$\mathbf{Y}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{P} \; \mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Por otra parte, usando que $\mathbf{v}_i = \sqrt{n_i} \left((\overline{\mathbf{x}}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i) \text{ y que } \mathbf{y}_k = \sqrt{n} \left(\overline{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) \text{ obtenemos que }$

$$\mathbf{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}) (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{x}})^{\mathrm{T}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} \left\{ (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) + (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}) \right\} \left\{ (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) + (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}) \right\}^{\mathrm{T}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathrm{T}} - n (\overline{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\overline{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathrm{T}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{v}_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} - \mathbf{y}_{k} \mathbf{y}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}},$$

de donde, usando (1) deducimos que

$$\mathbf{H} = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^{\mathrm{T}} - \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{Y}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^{\mathrm{T}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^{\mathrm{T}} - \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^{\mathrm{T}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Como $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{k-1}$ son independientes, $\mathbf{y}_j \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$, obtenemos que

$$\mathbf{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^{\mathrm{T}} \sim \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{\Sigma}, p, k-1)$$

lo que concluye la demostración.