# Defensive Honeypots for IP IoT Devices: Quantitive Comparison between Vanilla and Sandboxed

Franek Kruczynski

Honeypots

September 2025

## Contents

1	Inti	coduction	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Aims & Objectives	1
		1.2.1 Aim	1
		1.2.2 Objectives	1
	1.3	Product Review	2
		1.3.1 Scope	2
		1.3.2 Audience	2
2	Bac	ekground Review	3
	2.1	Existing Approaches	3
	2.2	Related Literature	3
3	Methodology & Techniques		
	3.1	Approach	4
	3.2	Technologies	4
	3.3	Version Control & Management	4
4	Project Management		
	4.1	Activities	5
	4.2	Schedule and Time Management	5
	4.3	Data Management	5
	4.4	Deliverables	5
5	Ref	erences	6

## Introduction

#### 1.1 Background

Abstract of the project goes here

The Internet of Things (IoT) is vastly expanding, driving a brand new and complex wave of device inter-connectivity worldwide, with an approximate 27-billion devices by the end of 2025 (Jinesh, 2025)

#### 1.2 Aims & Objectives

#### 1.2.1 Aim

To evaluate how effective isolation and containment mechanisms (sandboxing and segmentation) are at preventing malware propagation within IP IoT honeypot environments, compared to a non-contained (vanilla) honeypot – whilst utilising the same data set.

#### 1.2.2 Objectives

The objectives are as follows:

- To design and deploy a controlled IoT honeypot environment, composed of various VMs (*Virtual Machines*).
- To deploy a minimum of two separate, independent honeypots for external analysis:
  - 1. A Vanilla Honeypot with zero containment nor segmentation,

- **2.** A **Segmented Honeypot** operating within a secure, isolated environment.
- To collect, store and analyze malicious programs data, composed of:
  - 1. Network traffic
  - 2. Payloads
  - 3. Malware type
  - 4. Activity data
  - 5. Propagation attempts into the external environment

#### 1.3 Product Review

#### 1.3.1 Scope

The project will design and build a **contained IoT Honeypot environment** for IP devices, comparing two separate deployments (segmented vs vanilla). It is designed to help understand the theoretical importance of deploying honeypots within a secure container, and evaluate its success against low-interaction vanilla honeypots (Kocaogullar, 2023); considered low security.

What is its purpose? How will it work?

#### 1.3.2 Audience

Who is this project for?

# **Background Review**

## 2.1 Existing Approaches

Add on to 1.1, provide overview of similar products and why they aren't sufficient

#### 2.2 Related Literature

Self explanatory

- Look through thesis provided by supervisor

# Methodology & Techniques

## 3.1 Approach

- Link back to objectives?
  - Two separate VMs
  - Lab VM = honeypots

Analysis VM = protected

#### 3.2 Technologies

## 3.3 Version Control & Management

Introduce GitHub & Supervisor Google Drive

# Project Management

#### 4.1 Activities

## 4.2 Schedule and Time Management

- Calendar - Allocating times during week

## 4.3 Data Management

- How is this data going to be stored? (Analysis VM using pcaps) - CSV files for extracting

#### 4.4 Deliverables

# References

# **Bibliography**

Jinesh (2025). How Many IoT Devices Are There in 2025? URL: https://autobitslabs.com/how-many-iot-devices-are-there/.

Kocaogullar, Y et al. (2023). Hunting High or Low: Evaluating the Effectiveness of High-Interaction and Low-Interaction Honeypot. URL: https://kar.kent.ac.uk/102122/1/STAST2022.pdf.