

Making a case for the use of digital footprint data for evidence-based policies in response to human mobility changes after COVID-19 in Latin America

Francisco Rowe

Carmen Cabrera-Arnau

Miguel González-Leonardo

Andrea Nasuto

Ruth Neville

Abstract. Text for abstract

Introduction

Digital footprint data (DFD) are increasingly becoming a vital component of the data ecosystem to measure and monitor human mobility. DFD are digital traces left as a result from social interactions on digital platforms, such as the Internet through web search engines (e.g. Google), social media networks (e.g. Twitter and Facebook), commercial systems in the way of transactions (e.g. payment systems), sensor networks to capture environmental and human changes (e.g. fitness trackers, temperature and sound sensors), and imagery collected via satellites, cameras, drones, CCTV and imaging devices. Digital traces encoding location recorded through Call Detail Records (CDRs), eXtended Detail Records (XDR), Global Positioning System (GPS), Bluetooth and smart card data have been particularly valuable to reconstruct a traceable digital representation of human mobility.

These forms of DFD offer three key opportunities to capture human mobility (1) at higher geographical and temporal granularity; (2) over extensive geographical coverage comprising entire population systems or geographical areas; and (3) in real or near-real time [REF]. These attributes have enabled to complement traditional data sources to capture human mobility at various geographically scales, including urban mobility [REF], internal migration [REF] and international migration [REF]

Yet, the use of DFD poses significant challenges. These data are a by-product of administrative processes. They are not collected for research purposes. Their use involves major conceptual, methodological, data and ethical challenges [REF]. For instance, turning raw DFD into actionable, usable information requires significant data engineering, embracing data-driven hypotheses, accounting for data biases, ensuring privacy and anonymity, and integrating and validating the resulting outcomes with external data sources [REF]. These challenges to be overcome to unleash the opportunities offered by DFD.

An increasing number of “Data for Good” initiatives have been developed to leverage the potential positive social impact of DFD. These include data governance, data strategy and data sharing initiatives

(European Commission. Joint Research Centre. 2022). Data governance initiatives involve efforts focused on the provision of guidance about best practices for the collection, storage, share and use DFD for the social good. Data strategy initiatives focus on building capacity in civil society by designing data strategies for nonprofits and government agencies, such as Data-Pop Alliance and the Open Data Institute. Data sharing initiatives entail the creation and facilitation of access to datasets by data providers for organisations seeking to generate data solutions and positive social impact. These initiatives include [Data for Good at Meta](#) and [Waze Partner Hub](#).

Enabled by these initiatives, the use of DFD seems to have been - much more promising in less developed countries given data scarcity.

- Discuss how digital footprint data have been used in more developed countries or global north
- Highlight the limited use of digital footprint data in the global south
- Aim: Use of digital footprint data for mobility and policy response
- Argue case for COVID and mobility
- Structure

Background

Internal population movements during the COVID-19 pandemic

Contemporary patterns of internal population movements

Data

Description of the Meta-Facebook Data - Population and movement

Description of the COVID stringency data

Description of the WorldPop data

Methods

Describe the distinction of movements of different distances and rationale

Describe and display population density classification

Description of the analysis using the baseline

Description of the netflows, inflows and outflows

Description of flow maps

Results

Population movements early 2020 and 2020 following the COVID-19 outbreak

Describe the violin / box plots. Key points:

- Decline in mobility following the implementation of COVID-19 stringency measures in early 2020. The decline follows a gradient: stronger in the most density areas, smaller in the least dense areas. Describe differences across countries and types of moves when relevant.
- Recovery in 2022 following the relaxation of COVID-19 restrictions. Describe differences across countries and types of move when relevant.

Spatio-temporal patterns of population redistribution during COVID-19

> 50km

- Negative net balance in the highest density regions of the metropolitan area in early 2020 for Chile - support of urban exodus but temporarily. The negative net balance in the highest density areas coincides with increases with positive balances in low density areas (class 2 and 3)
- Different patterns in Argentina, Colombia and Mexico - a trend of positive net balances coinciding with negative balances in low density areas (class 2 and 3) - particularly in Colombia and Mexico
- A systematic pattern of negative net balance in medium size cities across all countries (class 7)
- The temporal patterns in the intensity of outflows and inflows are remarkably similar with differences in magnitude.

< 50km

- Negative net balances in the highest density areas of Argentina, Colombia and Mexico - evidence of a donut effect i.e. negative balance in the core of metropolitan cities
- This pattern coincides with positive balances across different places in the urban hierarchy in these countries.
- Chile reports a different pattern - relatively little change - remarkable is the large negative balance in class 8.

Movements from and to capital cities

Discussion

Summary of key findings

- Decline in mobility intensity after the outbreak of COVID-19
- Support of urban exodus for Chile - but temporary - recovery to pre-pandemic levels

- Donut effect in Argentina, Colombia and Mexico

Interpretation

Policy implications

- for housing, transport and planning
- for data

Conclusion

References

European Commission. Joint Research Centre. 2022. *Data innovation in demography, migration and human mobility*. LU: Publications Office. <https://doi.org/10.2760/027157>.