

Relative influence of behavioral and environmental mechanisms underlying a mass insect-induced tree mortality event

Michael J. Koontz^{1,2,*}, Andrew M. Latimer^{1,2}, Leif A. Mortenson³, Christopher J. Fettig³, Constance I. Millar⁴, Malcolm P. North^{1,2,5}

¹Graduate Group in Ecology, University of California, Davis, CA, USA

²Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA, USA

³USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Placerville, CA, USA

⁴USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Albany, CA, USA

⁵USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Davis, CA, USA

*Correspondence: michael.koontz@colorado.edu

Date report generated: February 21, 2019

Abstract

Bark beetles!

Introduction

Forest spatial structure, the size and distribution of trees in the forest, is thought to be a key determinant of forest resilience. To date, much of the work on Sierra Nevada forest resilience focuses on stem density, which belies the complexity of forest structure and how it interacts with disturbance. However, complex forest structure is challenging to quantify, as it requires labor-intensive field surveys (e.g., to generate stem maps) or highly specialized, expensive equipment (e.g., LiDAR). Small, unmanned aerial systems (sUAS) enable fast and relatively cheap remote imaging over dozens of hectares of forest, which can be used to determine both forest structure and tree condition at the individual tree scale. Implementing photogrammetry on the collected images can provide a rich picture of the complex, 3-dimensional forest structure to which bark beetles respond, and equipping the sUAS with a multispectral sensor will allow calculation of vegetation indices (e.g., NDVI) commonly used to assess tree condition. Latitudinal and elevational gradients in the intensity of bark beetle activity during the recent California drought provide unique opportunities for a postmortem analysis of a major tree die off and how intersecting forces of forest structure and environmental conditions affect disturbance dynamics. Quantitative, fine-scale measures of tree condition across these geographic gradients

will enable broad-scale assessment of forest structure as well as the intensity of western pine beetle-induced tree mortality. Combined, these measurements can better our understanding of how complex forest structure affects insect disturbance, and vice versa, across the Sierra Nevada. Sound forest management requires a better understanding of the relationships between forest spatial structure, environmental conditions, and disturbance, which ultimately depends on accurate measurement of forest structure at appropriate spatial scales.

Aggressive bark beetles dealt the final blow to many of the nearly 150 million trees killed in the California drought of 2012 to 2015 and its aftermath along a strong south to north latitudinal gradient (Young *et al.* 2017; USDAFS 2019). A harbinger of climate change effects to come, high temperatures exacerbating the extreme drought led to tree mortality events of unprecedented size in the driest, densest forests across the state (Millar and Stephenson 2015; Young *et al.* 2017). A century of fire suppression policy has enabled forests to grow unchecked into dense stands, which increases water stress on trees and makes them more vulnerable to bark beetle attack (Fettig 2012; North *et al.* 2015). Previous studies show that bark beetles thrive in denser forests (Fettig 2012), but density is only a coarse gauge of the spatial distribution of trees—the forest structure—with which bark beetles interact (Raffa *et al.* 2008). Recent research has shown a strong link between complex forest structure and forest resilience, but measuring this complexity generally requires expensive equipment or labor-intensive field surveys (Larson and Churchill 2012; Kane *et al.* 2014). These barriers restrict survey frequency and extent, which limits insights into phenomena like bark beetle outbreaks that rapidly emerge over weeks to months but have long-lasting effects on forest conditions. Further, the clear and vast latitudinal gradient of mortality challenges our ability to simultaneously consider how environmental conditions may interact with local forest structure to produce patterns of insect activity.

Forests in California’s Sierra Nevada region are characterized by regular bark beetle disturbances that interact with forest structure. Bark beetles shape forest structure as they sporadically kill weakened trees under normal conditions, or wide swaths of even healthy trees under outbreak conditions. Forest structure also strongly influences bark beetle activity. Low-density forests are less prone to bark beetle attacks, but resolving the mechanism underlying this observation requires a more nuanced view of forest structure. For instance, a low-density forest may resist attack because its trees are in smaller clumps with greater average tree vigor, or because its wider canopy openings disrupt pheromone signaling between beetles (Fettig 2012). Thus, it remains poorly understood how complex forest structure affects and is affected by bark beetle activity.

Climate change mitigation strategies emphasize reducing tree densities (North *et al.* 2015; Young *et al.* 2017), but understanding the optimal scale and pattern of tree distribution that can mitigate bark beetle outbreaks will be vital for predicting how California forests may respond to these interventions. This project investigates

this relationship with the following research questions:

1. At what scale does tree density most strongly correlate with bark beetle attack intensity?
2. How does local forest structure affect the intensity of bark beetle outbreak?
3. Are there environmental gradients of elevation or latitude that affect bark beetle attack intensity?
4. Do these gradients interact with forest structure to shape bark beetle attack intensity?

Methods

Study sites were coincident with vegetation plots established by Fettig *et al.* (2019).

Study system

The study sites comprise mostly ponderosa pine trees, *Pinus ponderosa*, whose primary bark beetle predator in California is the western pine beetle (WPB), *Dendroctonus brevicomis*. The WPB is an aggressive bark beetle, meaning it must attack and kill live trees in order to successfully reproduce (Raffa *et al.* 2008). Pioneer WPBs disperse to a new host tree, determine the host's susceptibility to attack, and use pheromone signals to attract other WPBs. The attracted WPBs mass attack the tree by boring into its inner bark, laying eggs, and dying, leaving their offspring to develop inside the doomed tree before themselves dispersing [Raffa2008]. Small WPB populations prefer weakened trees but large populations can overwhelm the defense mechanisms of even healthy trees. Successful attacks on large, healthy trees are boons to bark beetle fecundity and trigger outbreaks in which populations explode and massive tree mortality occurs. In California, the WPB can have 3 generations in a single year giving it a greater potential to spread rapidly through forests than its more infamous congener, the mountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus ponderosa* (MPB).

We build our study on 180 vegetation monitoring plots at 36 sites established between 2016 and 2017. These established plots are located in beetle-attacked, mixed-conifer forests across the Eldorado, Stanislaus, Sierra and Sequoia National Forests across an elevation gradient (3000-4000 feet, 4000-5000 feet, and 5000+ feet above sea level) and have variable forest structure and disturbance history. Plot locations were selected specifically in areas with >40% ponderosa pine basal area and >10% ponderosa pine mortality. The 0.04ha circular plots are clustered along transects in groups of 5, with between 80 and 200m between each plot. All trees within the plot were assessed as dead or alive, and the year of death for dead trees was estimated based on the amount of needles remaining (no needles= 2+ years prior to survey, very few needles= one year prior to survey, lots of brown needles = same year as survey). The stem location of all trees was mapped relative

to the center of each plot using azimuth/distance measurements. Tree identity to species and diameter at breast height (dbh) were recorded if dbh was greater than 6.35cm.

We will fly preprogrammed transect flights using the sUAS over the 40 hectares surrounding each of transects (with 5 plots each) in the remaining sites within the Eldorado, Stanislaus, Sierra, and Sequoia National Forests. As before, two types of data will be collected simultaneously: 1) georeferenced multispectral reflectance in red, blue, green, and infrared wavelengths, and 2) georeferenced photographs. Our primary response variable will be tree condition at the individual tree scale. We will use the multispectral data to calculate vegetation indices (e.g., NDVI) for each tree, which can be used to assess the various stages of bark beetle attack (Näsi *et al.* 2015). The primary explanatory variable for our study will be the forest structure, which we can determine from the georeferenced photographs. We will apply the photogrammetry software to the photographs to stitch them together and generate a 3-D point cloud (akin to the data returned from LiDAR instruments) representing complex forest structure. We will develop a workflow to convert that 3-D point cloud to 2-D forest structure describing the forest's ICO pattern (Lydersen *et al.* 2013). Individual trees (I), trees belonging to clusters (C), the number of trees in each cluster, and the openings between trees (O) will be mapped (Lydersen *et al.* 2013).

Statistical model

The response would still be a Bernoulli distribution of alive/dead We'd use a logit link to the theta parameter of that Bernoulli distribution and a linear combination of predictors of logit(theta). That linear combination of predictors would include: A couple of tree-level covariates to predict logit(theta): tree size and voronoi polygon area (need to think more about how this might covary with the kernel parameters), perhaps topographic position (so as to augment the sub-site measure of CWD) One sub-site level covariate I'm thinking of to predict logit(theta): climatic water deficit (there are about 4 CWD pixels per site; but we could also just assign a bilinearly interpolated CWD value to each tree to eliminate this sub-site part of the hierarchy) One site-level effect I'm thinking of: the spatial covariance of logit(theta) within a site [so the site-level parameter to estimate would be the parameters of the decay kernel at each site]

My goal is to tease apart the relative role of environmental drivers versus behavioral drivers of bark beetle-induced tree mortality. I think teasing these apart will help with inference about the mechanism underlying the effect of forest structure on disturbance severity. Crowded forests means trees are both water stressed and are closer targets for new attacks [i.e., shorter dispersal needed to attack the next tree], and I think comparing the "voronoi polygon area" effect with the "spatial covariance of mortality kernel" effect across

sites will tell us whether it's the water stress or the smaller dispersal requirements driving mortality patterns. A big voronoi polygon area effect and a short covariance kernel tells us that it's a water stress effect— a crowded tree gets attacked regardless of whether nearby trees were attacked. A small voronoi polygon area effect and a long covariance kernel tells us that the mortality is patterned more based on there being spillover from nearby attacked neighbors instead of how crowded any given tree is. I expect we might see different relative magnitudes of voronoi polygon area and covariance kernel effects depending on CWD.

Statistical software and data availability

We used R for all statistical analyses (R Core Team 2018). Data are available via the Open Science Framework.

Results

Discussion

References

- Fettig CJ. 2012. Chapter 2: Forest health and bark beetles. In: Managing Sierra Nevada Forests. PSW-GTR-237. USDA Forest Service.
- Fettig CJ, Mortenson LA, Bulaon BM, and Foulk PB. 2019. Tree mortality following drought in the central and southern Sierra Nevada, California, U.S. *Forest Ecology and Management* **432**: 164–78.
- Kane VR, North MP, and Lutz JA *et al.* 2014. Assessing fire effects on forest spatial structure using a fusion of Landsat and airborne LiDAR data in Yosemite National Park. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **151**: 89–101.
- Larson AJ and Churchill D. 2012. Tree spatial patterns in fire-frequent forests of western North America, including mechanisms of pattern formation and implications for designing fuel reduction and restoration treatments. *Forest Ecology and Management* **267**: 74–92.
- Lydersen JM, North MP, Knapp EE, and Collins BM. 2013. Quantifying spatial patterns of tree groups and gaps in mixed-conifer forests: Reference conditions and long-term changes following fire suppression and logging. *Forest Ecology and Management* **304**: 370–82.
- Millar CI and Stephenson NL. 2015. Temperate forest health in an era of emerging megadisturbance. *Science*

143 **349**: 823–6.

144 Näsi R, Honkavaara E, and Lyytikäinen-Saarenmaa P *et al.* 2015. Using UAV-Based Photogrammetry and
 145 Hyperspectral Imaging for Mapping Bark Beetle Damage at Tree-Level. *Remote Sensing* **7**: 15467–93.

146 North MP, Stephens SL, and Collins BM *et al.* 2015. Reform forest fire management. *Science* **349**: 1280–1.

147 R Core Team. 2018. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R
 148 Foundation for Statistical Computing.

149 Raffa KF, Aukema BH, and Bentz BJ *et al.* 2008. Cross-scale Drivers of Natural Disturbances Prone to
 150 Anthropogenic Amplification: The Dynamics of Bark Beetle Eruptions. *BioScience* **58**: 501–17.

151 USDAFS. 2019. Press Release: Survey finds 18 million trees died in California in 2018[https://www.fs.usda.](https://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/FSEPRD609321.pdf)
 152 [gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/FSEPRD609321.pdf](https://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/FSEPRD609321.pdf). Viewed 22 Feb 2019.

153 Young DJN, Stevens JT, and Earles JM *et al.* 2017. Long-term climate and competition explain forest
 154 mortality patterns under extreme drought. *Ecology Letters* **20**: 78–86.