Stand-replacing decay coefficient—clarifying concepts and exten-

₂ sions

- Michael J. Koontz^{1,2,*}, Others?
- ⁴ Graduate Group in Ecology, University of Californa, Davis, CA, USA
- ⁵ Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA, USA
- *Correspondence: michael.koontz@colorado.edu
- ⁷ Date report generated: March 26, 2019

Abstract

₉ Introduction

- Collins et al. (2017) and Stevens et al. (2017) introduce a new metric—the "stand-replacing decay coefficient"—
- as an alternative means to characterize forest fire regimes that incorporates spatial patterns of wildfire
- severity. Further, Collins et al. (2017) and Stevens et al. (2017) demonstrate its utility as a broad-sense
- landscape metric as well as a tool for detecting drivers of changing fire regimes in a case study of California
- 14 mixed-conifer systems.

15 Benefits:

- 1. Summarizes complex spatial configurations of severity within a wildfire in a single number.
- 2. Captures key macroscale relationships between fire effects and their drivers (e.g., suppression effects, climate conditions during burning)
- 3. Is founded on critical ecological first-principles with respect to regeneration potential of dry forest without nearby seed sources.
- 4. Makes clever use of fusion between probability theory and geometric theory by exactly measuring the probability that a point will be further than X distance from the edge of an arbitrary polygon.

23 Limitations:

27

- 1. Can only be measured on wildfires that experience any high severity fire.
- 2. Doesn't incorporate any area that burns at low- to moderate-severity, or tree islands— area within the fire perimeter that doesn't burn at all.
 - 3. Can be computationally demanding to calculate.

- 4. Relies on an imperfectly-fitting logarithmic model to describe a relationship better defined and described in a probability framework (i.e., cumulative distribution functions, probability density functions, geometric relationships between the area of polygons and the probability of a point within them being some distance from the edge).
- 5. Has no intuitive connection to measurable ecological parameters.
- Here, we describe the stand-replacing decay coefficient in terms of probability theory, offer a computationally
- less-demanding approach to approximating it (by 2 orders of magnitude), and extend the concept to a)
- 35 contexts beyond fire ecology and b) incorporate less-than-stand-replacing severity in the broader-sense
- 36 alternative characterization of fire regimes.

37 References

- 38 Collins BM, Stevens JT, Miller JD et al (2017) Alternative characterization of forest fire regimes: Incorporating
- spatial patterns. Landscape Ecology 32:1543-1552. doi: 10.1007/s10980-017-0528-5
- 40 Stevens JT, Collins BM, Miller JD et al (2017) Changing spatial patterns of stand-replacing fire in California
- conifer forests. Forest Ecology and Management 406:28–36. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2017.08.051