Selection by suppression

Michael J. Koontz[1,2,*], Zachary L. Steel[3], Andrew M. Latimer[1,2], Malcolm P. North[1,2,4]

[1]Graduate Group in Ecology, University of Californa, Davis, CA, USA

[2]Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA, USA

[3]Department of Environmental Science and Policy, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

[4]USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Davis, CA, USA

[*]Correspondence: `michael.koontz@colorado.edu`

Date report generated: March 12, 2019

Abstract

Introduction

Fire suppression is an oft-cited root cause of the modern trend of larger, more severe wildfires in the yellow pine/mixed-conifer forests of California's Sierra Nevada mountain range. While this system would experience frequent, low- to moderate-severity wildfire every 8 to 15 years in the several centuries prior to Euroamerican settlement, suppression

Bimodal distribution of fire sizes under suppression policy: either the fires were quickly put out and remained very small, or they escaped suppression efforts and grew exceptionally large due to regional climate conspiring with accumulated fuel conditions. Indeed, Miller and Safford (2017) found evidence for this pattern in that the average size of all fires is much smaller under a modern fire suppression management regime compared to pre-Euroamerican settlement fires (as one might intuitively expect given the goal of suppression is to reduce fire size), but the average size of larger fires (>4 hectares) is counter-intuitively much greater under modern suppression management. Many studies have suggested that adding more fire to the landscape is a way to return forests to pre-Euroamerican settlement resilient conditions (North *et al.* 2015) (XXXXX Stephens, Stevens, Collins). This

Thus, the cumulative effect of fire suppression policy has led to a management paradox with respect to maintaining forest health: *we shouldn't put out the fires that we can, but we can't put out the fires that we should*. Climate change has complicated the paradox further. Earlier snowmelt, drier and hotter conditions, as well as longer fire seasons (Westerling 2006, 2016; Abatzoglou and Kolden 2013; Abatzoglou and Williams 2016).

We use a comprehensive dataset of fire occurrence (Short 2017) as well as a new dataset of fire severity

(Koontz *et al.* 2019a) to measure this "selection by suppression" effect.

Methods

Description of forest type. Using FRID designation of yellow pine/mixed-conifer to represent "potential vegetation" (Harvey *et al.* 2016; Steel *et al.* 2018; Koontz *et al.* 2019b).

Some summary stats of the Sierra Nevada yellow pine/mixed-conifer subset of the Short (2017) fire occurrence dataset.

Some summary stats of the Koontz *et al.* (2019a) severity dataset.

Results

Discussion

My plan is to write a paper about the interaction between fire size and suppression management using the new dataset of severity in YPMC that includes fires down to 4 hectares in size.

The idea was originally suggested by Jennifer Balch as something she was curious about while I was interviewing for the Earth Lab postdoc. The development from there has largely been shaped by how you've talked about the "selection" effect of suppression resulting in especially large fires because the ones that grow large are only able to do so because they burn under extreme conditions. Steel *et al.* (2018) found only a small difference in the measure of regional climate during suppression versus wildfire use fires (modeled fuel moisture), which led to the conclusion that an "extreme fuel" effect underlied the differences in the size/configuration of high and unburned severity patches. But that analysis only included fires greater than 80 hectares in size, which is still pretty big. Analyzing big fires made sense for that paper, because they affect the most area, but if we want to suggest "let fires burn at more moderate weather conditions" as a mitigation strategy for a century of making fuel conditions more extreme, then I think this paper would serve the purpose of measuring the degree to which good work can be done by a fire (even in extreme fuel conditions) in milder weather conditions.

Good starting points:

Fire occurrence data: (Short 2017) Papers on interacting effects of vegetation, regional climate, fire size, and severity patterns: (Cansler and McKenzie 2014; Harvey *et al.* 2016).

References

Abatzoglou JT and Kolden CA. 2013. Relationships between climate and macroscale area burned in the western United States. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **22**: 1003.

Abatzoglou JT and Williams AP. 2016. Impact of anthropogenic climate change on wildfire across western US forests. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**: 11770–5.

Cansler CA and McKenzie D. 2014. Climate, fire size, and biophysical setting control fire severity and spatial pattern in the northern Cascade Range, USA. *Ecological Applications* **24**: 1037–56.

Harvey BJ, Donato DC, and Turner MG. 2016. Drivers and trends in landscape patterns of stand-replacing fire in forests of the US Northern Rocky Mountains (1984-2010). *Landscape Ecology* **31**: 2367–83.

Koontz MJ, Fick SE, and Werner CM *et al.* 2019a. Wildfire severity, vegetation characteristics, and regional climate for fires covering more than 4 hectares burning in yellow pine/mixed-conifer forests of the Sierra Nevada, California, USA from 1984 to 2017. *Open Science Framework*.

Koontz MJ, North MP, and Werner CM *et al.* 2019b. Local variability of vegetation structure increases forest resilience to wildfire. *EcoEvoRxiv*.

Miller JD and Safford HD. 2017. Corroborating Evidence of a Pre-Euro-American Low- to Moderate-Severity Fire Regime in Yellow PineMixed Conifer Forests of the Sierra Nevada, California, USA. *Fire Ecology* **13**: 58–90.

North MP, Stephens SL, and Collins BM *et al.* 2015. Reform forest fire management. *Science* **349**: 1280–1.

Short KC. 2017. Spatial wildfire occurrence data for the United States, 1992-2015 [FPA_FOD_20170508] (4th Edition).

Steel ZL, Koontz MJ, and Safford HD. 2018. The changing landscape of wildfire: Burn pattern trends and implications for California's yellow pine and mixed conifer forests. *Landscape Ecology* **33**: 1159–76.

Westerling AL. 2006. Warming and Earlier Spring Increase Western U.S. Forest Wildfire Activity. *Science* **313**: 940–3.

Westerling AL. 2016. Increasing western US forest wildfire activity: Sensitivity to changes in the timing of spring. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **371**: 20150178.