

# Demo: Counterpoint Analysis and Synthesis

John Leo  
Halfaya Research  
Bellevue, WA, USA  
leo@halfaya.org

## Abstract

We present an Agda library to help analyze and synthesize musical counterpoint. The tool allows expression of generic constraints in a higher-level musical language which are translated to a lower level for use both to find rule violations in existing music and to generate (using an SMT solver) new music satisfying the constraints. The tool is intended for use by musicians who need only have a basic knowledge of Agda.

**Keywords:** Counterpoint, Agda, SMT

## 1 Introduction

We demonstrate work in progress on a tool to assist in the analysis and synthesis of musical counterpoint. Since the mid-18th century, the composition of counterpoint has been guided by principles enunciated in Fux’s *Gradus ad Parnasum* [Fux 1965], first published in 1725. Fux presents an increasingly sophisticated series of “species” (one note against one note, two notes against one note, etc.) along with rules governing intervals between notes and motion between intervals designed to ensure consonance and independence of voices. It is well documented [Mann 1987] that composers including Haydn, Mozart and Beethoven both studied and taught from this text, and its fundamentals continue to be taught to music students today (for example [Aldwell and Cadwallader 2018; Kennan 1999]).

In previous work, Cong and Leo [Cong and Leo 2019] encode the rules of first-species (note against note) counterpoint as type constructors in the dependently-typed language Agda. This enforces correct-by-construction counterpoint and allows use of the Agda typechecker to return errors with no additional effort required. On the down side, these errors can be difficult to interpret for those less familiar with type errors. Furthermore, encoding rules into constructors is awkward for handling more complex species and more global constraints. One could separate the construction of the pure music from the constraints which can be added as a local or global predicate (as in refinement types), but one may also wish to deliberately violate some of the rules of strict counterpoint, as composers often do in practice, and simply be informed of where the violations occur without being prohibited from incorporating them.



Figure 1. Beethoven Exercise 146

Another approach then is to write a special-purpose “type checker” which can be run on previously-created music and which can generate clear and precise error messages which are musically meaningful. The checker is ideally easily customizable in terms of what constraints one would like to impose. It turns out these constraints can be expressed (at a low level) in the quantifier-free logic of linear arithmetic and uninterpreted functions (QF-UFLIA [Barrett et al. 2010]), which allows one to not only analyze existing counterpoint, but also synthesize counterpoint satisfying the constraints using an SMT solver.

The initial prototype [Leo 2022a] of this tool was written in Haskell, chosen due to the availability of the high-quality and feature-rich SMT library SBV [Erk k 2022]. It was then ported to the Agda Music Tools library [Leo 2022b], using Agda’s Haskell foreign function interface (FFI) to call SBV. There is also an Agda interface [Kokke 2022] to SMT and we might convert to using that at some point in the future.

The following sections give an overview of current functionality.

## 2 An Example

We briefly describe one way in which this tool can be used for both analysis and synthesis. We first examine the example numbered 146 in the critical edition of Beethoven’s studies with Haydn ([Ronge 2014]; see also [Nottebohm 1971], p. 31 and [Mann 1987], p. 115). It is shown in modern notation in Figure 1.

Here Haydn has supplied the top voice, marked “C.F.” for cantus firmus, and Beethoven’s task was to supply the remaining three voices following first species counterpoint rules. He uses only tones from triads, all of them complete save the last and all in root position save the second triad in bar 4. Since the notes form triads they automatically satisfy consonance rules (only perfect intervals, thirds or sixths between any pair of notes vertically); note that a perfect fourth is prohibited in two part strict counterpoint but allowed with more voices. Perfect fourths can be found between the top two voices in the first, fourth and last bars.



**Figure 2.** Three Notes Changed



**Figure 3.** Generated Counterpoint

There are boundary rules that the top and bottom voices must form octaves at the beginning and end, and motion rules which are designed to ensure independence of voices. In particular parallel and similar (both voices moving in the same direction) motion into fifths and octaves is prohibited. Here we can see that Beethoven has in fact made two errors in the top two voices: similar motion into a fifth in bar 3 and then into an octave between bars 3 and 4. Haydn fixes both errors by changing the F in the second voice (marked with the red X) to an A.

For simplicity we now focus only on the top two voices. Feeding Beethoven’s notes into the tool as two part first species counterpoint, it easily finds and reports errors in the use of perfect fourths, missing octaves at the boundaries, and similar motion into fifths and octaves. We can disable the boundary rules as they are not relevant and relax the consonance rule to allow perfect fourths, leaving only the motion errors. We can replace the note F that Haydn fixed with a hole, and run the tool in synthesis mode using the same rules. It makes the same fix Haydn did. Perhaps we gave it too much guidance, so instead we can create three holes in a row near the error, which generates the solution shown in Figure 2. Note that this introduces a series of five parallel 6ths, and there is a “soft” rule that one should not have long sequences of 3rds or 6ths as that also diminishes independence of voices. However we can easily add a constraint limiting parallel intervals to at most two in a row; the solution returned changes the G in bar three to an A, breaking the chain with a fifth, a small improvement but one might hope for more.

This can be done by adding a constraint to increase contrary motion (in which both voices move in opposite directions), and in fact we can try generating our own two part counterpoint following Haydn’s cantus firmus, fixing only the first and last of Beethoven’s notes. To help create better quality counterpoint we add constraints to limit the number of leaps (horizontal intervals of more than a major third) to at most one and require at least six instances of contrary motion, which turns out to be maximal. Note that it would be extremely difficult for a human being with no computer assistance to generate counterpoint following such restrictions, but the SMT solver instantly returns the solution shown in Figure 3.

### 3 Selected Features

We describe at a high level some of the key features of the library. Details can be found in the code repository [Leo 2022a].

At a high level music is represented as a list of voices, which in turn are a list of bars, which are in turn a list of beats. Here dependent types would be useful to ensure for example that every voice has the same number of bars, but a run-time check can also be used to enforce this. Each of these elements is parameterized, allowing a number of pitch-like elements to be used to construct music. These could be algebraic data types representing name (like C#) and octave, or a numeric representation of pitch. Either datum can then be embedded into a wrapper, such as MPitch which can either be a fixed pitch or a named hole to be solved for.

The above representation of music allows natural handling of first, second and third species counterpoint (one to four notes per cantus firmus note) as well as multi-part counterpoint. At a lower level the music is translated into a simpler form—for example first-species two part counterpoint is most simply represented as a list of pairs.

We plan to try to implement a constraint language as an embedded DSL. However for now Haskell typeclasses are used, which have the advantage that constraints can be written in natural Haskell; the only drawback is that type signatures are somewhat abstract. The main challenge is that we want to write constraints only once and use them for both analysis and synthesis. The former expects low-level integers and booleans while the latter their symbolic counterparts. This is handled by using typeclasses which can be instantiated with either.

Analysis and synthesis then both take the same music and list of constraints. The former checks the constraints returning error messages in the higher-level language used to express the music. The latter generates (if possible) music by filling in the given holes using the constraints and the SMT solver. MIDI is also generated, which can then be opened and viewed with notation software such as MuseScore.

### 4 Future Plans

Future plans include possible integration with Liquid Haskell [Vazou et al. 2014] (for analysis) and Synquid [Polikarpova et al. 2016] (for synthesis). In addition to handling higher species we would like to also incorporate the rules and conventions of galant schemata [Gjerdingen 2007], which informed much of the music composed in the era of Haydn and Mozart. Ideally one could use the tool to compose convincing music in the galant style.

Concurrently Cong [Cong 2022a,b] is exploring another approach using a different representation of constraints within a type system. Recent work by Tanaka [Tanaka 2022] uses integer programming to express constraints, and we plan to compare our method with this.

## Acknowledgements

Thanks to Youyou Cong for many enlightening discussions about type theory and music over the past several years, and for suggesting to look at Beethoven's exercises as a source of examples. Thanks also to Emina Torlak and Stephen Rumph for their generosity in allowing me to audit their classes at the University of Washington. CSE 507 was invaluable for learning the fundamentals of SMT solvers, and MUHST 211 and 430 introduced me to galant schemata. Finally, thanks the anonymous referees for many helpful comments and suggestions.

## References

- E. Aldwell and A. Cadwallader. 2018. *Harmony and Voice Leading*. Cengage Learning.
- Clark W. Barrett, Aaron Stump, and Cesare Tinelli. 2010. The SMT-LIB Standard Version 2.0.
- Youyou Cong. 2022a. Composing Music from Types. [https://types22.inria.fr/files/2022/06/TYPES\\_2022\\_Cong.pdf](https://types22.inria.fr/files/2022/06/TYPES_2022_Cong.pdf). TYPES 2022 Invited Talk.
- Youyou Cong. 2022b. Towards Type-Based Music Composition. <https://drive.google.com/file/d/1GZalMD3T5YFVfiO4xeUL4G35Y4pURFDE/view>. Presented at TFPiE 2022.
- Youyou Cong and John Leo. 2019. Demo: Counterpoint by Construction. In *Proceedings of the 7th ACM SIGPLAN International Workshop on Functional Art, Music, Modeling, and Design* (Berlin, Germany) (FARM 2019). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 22–24. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3331543.3342578>
- Levent Erkök. 2022. SBV. <http://leventerkok.github.io/sbv>.
- Johann Joseph Fux. 1965. *The Study of Counterpoint*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- Robert Gjerdingen. 2007. *Music in the Galant Style*. Oxford University Press, Cary.
- Kent Kennan. 1999. *Counterpoint : based on eighteenth-century practice* (4th ed. ed.). Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Wen Kokke. 2022. Schmittty. <https://github.com/wenkokke/schmittty>.
- John Leo. 2022a. CProto. <https://github.com/halfaya/CProto>.
- John Leo. 2022b. Music Tools. <https://github.com/halfaya/MusicTools>.
- Alfred Mann. 1987. *Theory and practice : the great composer as student and teacher* (1st ed.). Norton, New York.
- Gustav Nottebohm. 1971. *Beethoven's studien*. M. Sändig, Niederwalluf bei Wiesbaden.
- Nadia Polikarpova, Ivan Kuraj, and Armando Solar-Lezama. 2016. Program Synthesis from Polymorphic Refinement Types. *SIGPLAN Not.* 51, 6 (jun 2016), 522–538. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2980983.2980993>
- Julia Ronge (Ed.). 2014. *Beethoven Werke XIII: Kompositionsstudien bei Joseph Haydn, Johann Georg Albrechtsberger und Antonio Salieri*. G. Henle Verlag, München.
- Tsubasa Tanaka. 2022. Formulating First Species Counterpoint With Integer Programming. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6798337>
- Niki Vazou, Eric L. Seidel, Ranjit Jhala, Dimitrios Vytiniotis, and Simon Peyton-Jones. 2014. Refinement Types for Haskell. *SIGPLAN Not.* 49, 9 (aug 2014), 269–282. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2692915.2628161>