Estimating the Effect of a Mis-measured, Endogenous, Binary Treatment

Francis J. DiTraglia Camilo Garcia-Jimeno

University of Pennsylvania

March 5th, 2016

What is the causal effect of T^* ?

$$y_i = h(T_i^*, \mathbf{x}_i) + \varepsilon_i$$

- ▶ y Outcome of interest
- ▶ h Unknown function that does not depend on i
- ▶ T* Unobserved, endogenous binary treatment
- ➤ T Observed, mis-measured binary surrogate for T*
- ▶ x − Exogenous covariates
- \triangleright ε Mean-zero error term
- ▶ z Discrete instrumental variable

Example: Smoking and Birthweight (SNAP Trial)

Coleman et al. (N Engl J Med, 2012)

RCT with 1050 pregnant smokers in England: 521 given nicotine patches, the rest given placebo patches.

- ▶ y Birthweight
- ▶ T* True smoking behavior
- ► T Self-reported smoking behavior
- x Mother characteristics
- z Indicator of nicotine patch

Example: Returns to Schooling

Oreopoulos (2006, AER)

Fuzzy RD: minimum school-leaving age in UK increased from 14 to 15 in 1947 but some already stayed until 15 before the law and others failed to comply after it.

- y − Log wage
- ▶ T* School attendance at age 15
- ➤ T Self-report of school attendance at age 15
- x Individual characteristics
- ▶ z Indicator: born in or after 1933

Related Literature

Continuous Treatment

Lewbel (1997, 2012), Schennach (2004, 2007), Chen et al. (2005), Hu & Schennach (2008), Song (2015), Hu et al. (2015)...

Binary, Exogenous Treatment

Aigner (1973), Bollinger (1996), Kane et al. (1999), Black et al. (2000), Frazis & Loewenstein (2003), Mahajan (2006), Lewbel (2007)

Binary, Endogenous Treatment

Mahajan (2006), Shiu (2015), Ura (2015)

Model: $y = c + \beta T^* + \varepsilon$

First-stage

$$ho_k^* \equiv \mathbb{P}(T^* = 1|z = z_k)
eq \mathbb{P}(T^* = 1|z = z_\ell) \equiv
ho_\ell^*, \ k
eq \ell$$

Measurement Error

- ▶ Non-differential: $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon|T^*,T,z] = \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon|T^*,z]$
- Does not depend on z:

$$\alpha_0 = \mathbb{P}(T = 1 | T^* = 0, z)$$

$$\alpha_1 = \mathbb{P}(T=0|T^*=1,z)$$

Notation

Define error term that absorbs constant: $u = c + \varepsilon$

Observable Moments: $y = \beta T^* + u$

$$ar{y}_{tk} = \mathbb{E}[y|T=t,z=z_k], \quad p_{tk} = q_k p_k$$
 $q_k = \mathbb{P}(z=z_k), \quad p_k = \mathbb{P}(T=1|z=z_k)$

Unobservable Moments: $y = \beta T^* + u$

$$z = 1 z = 2 ... z = K$$

$$T^* = 0 m_{01}^* m_{02}^* ... m_{0K}^* p_{0K}^*$$

$$T^* = 1 p_{11}^* m_{12}^* ... m_{1K}^*$$

$$m_{tk}^* = \mathbb{E}[u|T^* = t, z = z_k], \quad p_{tk}^* = q_k p_k^*$$

$$p_k^* = \mathbb{P}(T^* = 1|z = z_k) = \frac{p_k - \alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1}$$

Possible Assumptions On m_{tk}^*

Joint Exogeneity:
$$\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon|T^*,z]=0$$
 $\implies m_{tk}^*=c \quad \text{for all } t,k$

Exogenous Treatment: $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon|T^*]=0$
 $\implies \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(T^*=t)}\sum_k p_{tk}^* m_{tk}^*=c \quad \text{for all } t$

Exogenous Instrument: $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon|z]=0$
 $\implies (1-p_k^*)m_{0k}^*+p_k^*m_{1k}^*=c \quad \text{for all } k$

Theorem: β is undentified regardless of K.

(For general case, see paper.)

Proof of special case: $\alpha_0 = 0$

1. System of equations simplifies to

$$\hat{y}_{0k} = c + p_k \beta \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{1 - \alpha_1}\right) - p_k m_{1k}^*$$

$$\hat{y}_{1k} = p_k \beta + p_k m_{1k}^*$$

2. $\beta/(1-\alpha_1) \equiv \mathcal{W}$ is identified and imposing this, algebra gives $\beta \alpha_1/(1-\alpha_1) = \mathcal{W} - \beta$.

Theorem: β is undentified regardless of K.

(For general case, see paper.)

Proof of special case: $\alpha_0 = 0$ continued...

3. Substituting:

$$(c + p_k W - \hat{y}_{0k})/p_k = \beta + m_{1k}^*$$

 $\hat{y}_{1k}/p_k = \beta + m_{1k}^*$

- 4. Linear system in (β, m_{1k}^*) no solution or ∞ of solutions.
- 5. Sum original pair of equations $\implies c + p_k W \hat{y}_{0k} = \hat{y}_{1k}$ thus ∞ of solutions. The model is unidentified.

Conditional Second Moment Independence.

New Assumption

Homoskedastic errors w.r.t. the *instrument*: $E[\varepsilon^2|z] = E[\varepsilon^2]$

Reasonable?

Makes sense in an RCT or a natural experiment.

New Moment Conditions

Defining
$$\mu_{k\ell}^* = (p_k - \alpha_0)m_{1k}^* - (p_\ell - \alpha_0)m_{k\ell}^*$$
,

$$\mathbb{E}(y^2|z_k) - \mathbb{E}(y^2|z_\ell) \equiv \Delta \overline{y^2} = \beta \mathcal{W}(p_k - p_\ell) + 2\mathcal{W}\mu_{k\ell}^*$$

$$\mathbb{E}(yT|z_k) - \mathbb{E}(yT|z_\ell) \equiv \Delta \overline{yT} = (1 - \alpha_1)\mathcal{W}(p_k - p_\ell) + \mu_{k\ell}^*$$

Theorem: $(\alpha_1 - \alpha_0)$ is Identified if $E[\varepsilon^2|z] = E[\varepsilon^2]$

Requires only binary z

Solve for $\mu_{k\ell}^*$, substitute $\beta = \mathcal{W}(1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1)$, rearrange to find

$$lpha_1 - lpha_0 = 1 + \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{W}, \quad ext{where} \quad \mathcal{R} \equiv rac{\Delta \overline{y^2} - 2 \mathcal{W} \Delta \overline{y} \overline{T}}{\mathcal{W}(p_k - p_\ell)}.$$

What good is $(\alpha_1 - \alpha_0)$?

- ▶ Test necessary condition for *no mis-classification*: $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1$
- ightharpoonup Simple, tighter partial identification bounds for eta
- ▶ If α_0 known, e.g. zero $\implies \beta$ point identified

Conditional Third Moment Independence

New Assumption

Third moment independence w.r.t instrument: $E[\varepsilon^3|z] = E[\varepsilon^3]$

New Moment Conditions

Define
$$\lambda_{k\ell}^* = (p_k - \alpha_0)v_{1k}^* - (p_\ell - \alpha_0)v_{1\ell}^*$$

where $v_{tk}^* = \mathbb{E}(u^2|T^* = t, z_k)$. Then

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(y^3|z_k) &- \mathbb{E}(y^3|z_\ell) \equiv \\ \Delta \overline{y^3} &= \beta^2 \mathcal{W}(p_k - p_\ell) + 3\beta \mathcal{W} \mu_{k\ell}^* + 3\mathcal{W} \lambda_{k\ell}^* \\ \mathbb{E}(y^2 T|z_k) &- \mathbb{E}(y^2 T|z_\ell) \equiv \\ \Delta \overline{y^2 T} &= \beta(1 - \alpha_1) \mathcal{W}(p_k - p_\ell) + 2(1 - \alpha_1) \mathcal{W} \mu_{k\ell}^* + \lambda_{k\ell}^* \end{split}$$

Theorem: β , α_0 and α_1 identified

Adding $E[\varepsilon^3|z] = E[\varepsilon^3]$, z need only be binary.

Solve for $\lambda_{k\ell}^*$, substitute and rearrange. After further substitutions:

$$2\mathcal{W}^2(1-\alpha_1)^2 + 2\mathcal{R}\mathcal{W}(1-\alpha_1) + (\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{R}^2) = 0$$

where

$$\mathcal{S} \equiv rac{\Delta \overline{y^3} - 3 \mathcal{W} \left[\Delta \overline{y^2 \, T} + \mathcal{R} \Delta \overline{y \, T}
ight]}{\mathcal{W}(
ho_k -
ho_\ell)}$$

- Quadratic in $(1 \alpha_1)$ and observables only
- ▶ Always two real roots: one is $(1 \alpha_1)$ and the other is α_0 .
- ▶ To tell which is which, need $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 < 1$.

Conclusion

- ▶ Effect of endogenous, mis-measured, binary treatment.
- Important in applied work but no solution in the literature.
- New partial and point identification results by exploiting higher moments of outcome variable.
- Test necessary condition for absence of measurement error.
- Next steps: use full independence of z o optimal estimator