

# Notes for Paper on Mis-measured, Binary, Endogenous Regressors

Francis J. DiTraglia & Camilo García-Jimeno

May 8, 2016

## 1 Model and Notation

### Probabilities

$$p_{tk}^* = P(T^* = t, Z = k)$$

$$p_{tk} = P(T = t, Z = k)$$

$$p_k^* = P(T^* = 1|Z = k)$$

$$p_k = P(T = 1|Z = k)$$

$$q = P(Z = 1)$$

$$p_{00}^* = P(T^* = 0|Z = 0)P(Z = 0) = (1 - p_0^*)(1 - q) = \left(\frac{1 - p_0 - \alpha_1}{1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1}\right)(1 - q)$$

$$p_{10}^* = P(T^* = 1|Z = 0)P(Z = 0) = p_0^*(1 - q) = \left(\frac{p_0 - \alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1}\right)(1 - q)$$

$$p_{01}^* = P(T^* = 0|Z = 1)P(Z = 1) = (1 - p_1^*)q = \left(\frac{1 - p_1 - \alpha_1}{1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1}\right)q$$

$$p_{11}^* = P(T^* = 1|Z = 1)P(Z = 1) = p_1^*(1 - q) = \left(\frac{p_1 - \alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1}\right)q$$

**CDFs** For  $t, Z \in \{0, 1\}$  define

$$\begin{aligned} F_{tk}^*(\tau) &= P(Y \leq \tau | T^* = t, Z = k) \\ F_{tk}(\tau) &= P(Y \leq \tau | T = t, Z = k) \\ F_k(\tau) &= P(Y \leq \tau | Z = k) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the second two are observed for all  $t, k$  while the first is never observed since it depends on the unobserved RV  $T^*$ .

## 2 Weakest Bounds on $\alpha_0, \alpha_1$

Assume that  $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 < 1$  that  $T$  is independent of  $Z$  conditional on  $T^*$ . These standard assumptions turn out to yield informative bounds on  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_1$  without *any further restrictions of any kind*. In particular, we assume nothing about the validity of the instrument  $Z$  and nothing about the relationship between the mis-classification error and the outcome  $Y$ : we impose only that the mis-classification error rates do not depend on  $z$  and that the mis-classification is not so bad that  $1 - T$  is a better measure of  $T^*$  than  $T$ .

By the Law of Total Probability and the assumption that  $T$  is conditionally independent of  $Z$  given  $T^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} p_k &= P(T = 1 | Z = k, T^* = 0)(1 - p_k^*) + P(T = 1 | Z = k, T^* = 1)p_k^* \\ &= P(T = 1 | T^* = 0)(1 - p_k^*) + P(T = 1 | T^* = 1)p_k^* \\ &= \alpha_0(1 - p_k^*) + (1 - \alpha_1)p_k^* \\ &= \alpha_0 + (1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1)p_k^* \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - p_k &= P(T = 0 | Z = k, T^* = 0)(1 - p_k^*) + P(T = 0 | Z = k, T^* = 1)p_k^* \\ &= P(T = 0 | T^* = 0)(1 - p_k^*) + P(T = 0 | T^* = 1)p_k^* \\ &= (1 - \alpha_0)(1 - p_k^*) + \alpha_1 p_k^* \\ &= \alpha_1 + (1 - p_k^*)(1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} p_k - \alpha_0 &= (1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1)p_k^* \\ (1 - p_k) - \alpha_1 &= (1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1)(1 - p_k^*) \end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $p_k^*$  and  $(1 - p_k^*)$  are probabilities they are between zero and one which means that the sign of  $p_k - \alpha_0$  as well as that of  $(1 - p_k) - \alpha_1$  are both determined by that of  $1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1$ . Accordingly, provided that  $1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &< p_k \\ \alpha_1 &< (1 - p_k) \end{aligned}$$

so long as  $p_k^*$  does not equal zero or one, which is not a realistic case for any example that we consider. Since these bounds hold for all  $k$ , we can take the tightest bound over all values of  $Z$ .

### 3 Stronger Bounds for $\alpha_0, \alpha_1$

Now suppose we add the assumption that  $T$  is conditionally independent of  $Y$  given  $T^*$ . This is essentially the non-differential measurement error assumption although it is slightly stronger than the version used by Mahajan (2006) who assumes only conditional mean independence. This assumption allows us to considerably strengthen the bounds from the preceding section by exploiting information contained in the conditional distribution of  $Y$  given  $T$  and  $Z$ . The key ingredient is a relationship that we can derive between the unobservable distributions  $F_{tk}^*$  and the observable distributions  $F_{tk}$  using this new conditional independence assumption. To begin, note that by Bayes' rule we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(T^* = 1|T = 1, Z = k) &= P(T = 1|T^* = 1) \left( \frac{p_k^*}{p_k} \right) = (1 - \alpha_1) \left( \frac{p_k^*}{p_k} \right) \\ P(T^* = 1|T = 0, Z = k) &= P(T = 0|T^* = 1) \left( \frac{p_k^*}{1 - p_k} \right) = \alpha_1 \left( \frac{p_k^*}{1 - p_k} \right) \\ P(T^* = 0|T = 1, Z = k) &= P(T = 1|T^* = 0) \left( \frac{1 - p_k^*}{p_k} \right) = \alpha_0 \left( \frac{1 - p_k^*}{p_k} \right) \\ P(T^* = 0|T = 0, Z = k) &= P(T = 0|T^* = 0) \left( \frac{1 - p_k^*}{1 - p_k} \right) = (1 - \alpha_0) \left( \frac{1 - p_k^*}{1 - p_k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the conditional independence assumption

$$P(Y \leq \tau | T^* = 0, T = t, Z = k) = P(Y \leq \tau | T^* = 0, Z = k) = F_{0k}^*(\tau)$$

$$P(Y \leq \tau | T^* = 1, T = t, Z = k) = P(Y \leq \tau | T^* = 1, Z = k) = F_{1k}^*(\tau)$$

Finally, putting everything together using the Law of Total Probability, we find that

$$(1 - p_k)F_{0k}(\tau) = (1 - \alpha_0)(1 - p_k^*)F_{0k}^*(\tau) + \alpha_1 p_k^* F_{1k}^*(\tau)$$

$$p_k F_{1k}(\tau) = \alpha_0(1 - p_k^*)F_{0k}^*(\tau) + (1 - \alpha_1)p_k^* F_{1k}^*(\tau)$$

for all  $k$ . Defining the shorthand

$$\tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) \equiv (1 - p_k)F_{0k}(\tau)$$

$$\tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) \equiv p_k F_{1k}(\tau)$$

this becomes

$$\tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) = (1 - \alpha_0)(1 - p_k^*)F_{0k}^*(\tau) + \alpha_1 p_k^* F_{1k}^*(\tau) \quad (3.1)$$

$$\tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) = \alpha_0(1 - p_k^*)F_{0k}^*(\tau) + (1 - \alpha_1)p_k^* F_{1k}^*(\tau) \quad (3.2)$$

Now, solving Equation 3.1 for  $p_k^* F_{1k}^*(\tau)$  we have

$$p_k^* F_{1k}^*(\tau) = \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \left[ \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - (1 - \alpha_0)(1 - p_k^*)F_{0k}^*(\tau) \right]$$

Substituting this into Equation 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) &= \alpha_0(1 - p_k^*)F_{0k}^*(\tau) + \frac{1 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \left[ \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - (1 - \alpha_0)(1 - p_k^*)F_{0k}^*(\tau) \right] \\ &= \frac{1 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) + \left[ \alpha_0 - \frac{(1 - \alpha_1)(1 - \alpha_0)}{\alpha_1} \right] (1 - p_k^*)F_{0k}^*(\tau) \\ &= \frac{1 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) + \left[ \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_1 - (1 - \alpha_1)(1 - \alpha_0)}{\alpha_1} \right] (1 - p_k^*)F_{0k}^*(\tau) \\ &= \frac{1 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - \left[ \frac{(1 - \alpha_1)(1 - \alpha_0) - \alpha_0 \alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \right] (1 - p_k^*)F_{0k}^*(\tau) \\ &= \frac{1 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - \left[ \frac{1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_0}{\alpha_1} \right] \left( \frac{1 - p_k - \alpha_1}{1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1} \right) F_{0k}^*(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) = \frac{1 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - \frac{1 - p_k - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1} F_{0k}^*(\tau) \quad (3.3)$$

Equation 3.3 relates the observable  $\tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau)$  to the mis-classification error rate  $\alpha_1$  and the unobservable CDF  $F_{0k}^*(\tau)$ . Since  $F_{0k}^*(\tau)$  is a CDF, however, it lies in the interval  $[0, 1]$ . Accordingly, substituting 0 in place of  $F_{0k}^*(\tau)$  gives

$$\tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) \leq \frac{1 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) \quad (3.4)$$

while substituting 1 gives

$$\tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) \geq \frac{1 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - \frac{1 - p_k - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \quad (3.5)$$

Rearranging Equation 3.4

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) &\leq (1 - \alpha_1) \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) \\ \alpha_1 \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) &\leq \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - \alpha_1 \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) \\ \alpha_1 [\tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) + \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau)] &\leq \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\alpha_1 \in [0, 1]$  and therefore

$$\alpha_1 \leq \frac{\tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau)}{\tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) + \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau)} = (1 - p_k) \left[ \frac{F_{0k}(\tau)}{F_k(\tau)} \right] \quad (3.6)$$

since  $\tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) + \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) \geq 0$ . Proceeding similarly for Equation 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) &\geq (1 - \alpha_1) \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - (1 - p_k - \alpha_1) \\ \alpha_1 [\tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) + \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - 1] &\geq \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - (1 - p_k) \\ -\alpha_1 [1 - \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) - \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau)] &\geq -[1 - \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - p_k] \\ \alpha_1 [1 - \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) - \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau)] &\leq 1 - \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - p_k \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $\tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) = p_k F_{1k}(\tau) \leq p_k$  and  $\tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) = (1 - p_k) F_{0k}(\tau) \leq (1 - p_k)$  it follows that  $1 - \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) - \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) \geq 0$  and hence

$$\alpha_1 \leq \frac{1 - \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - p_k}{1 - \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) - \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau)} = (1 - p_k) \left[ \frac{1 - F_{0k}(\tau)}{1 - F_k(\tau)} \right] \quad (3.7)$$

The bounds given in Equations 3.6 and 3.7 relate  $\alpha_1$  to observable quantities *only* and hold for all values of  $\tau$  for which their respective denominators are non-zero. Moreover, these bounds hold for any value  $k$  that the instrument takes on.

We can proceed similarly for  $\alpha_0$ . First solve Equation 3.1 for  $(1 - p_k^*)F_{0k}^*(\tau)$ :

$$(1 - p_k^*)F_{0k}^*(\tau) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_0} [\tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - \alpha_1 p_k^* F_{1k}^*(\tau)]$$

and then substitute into Equation 3.2:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) &= \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0} [\tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - \alpha_1 p_k^* F_{1k}^*(\tau)] + (1 - \alpha_1) p_k^* F_{1k}^*(\tau) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0} \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) + \left[ (1 - \alpha_1) - \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_1}{1 - \alpha_0} \right] p_k^* F_{1k}^*(\tau) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0} \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) + \left[ \frac{(1 - \alpha_1)(1 - \alpha_0) - \alpha_0 \alpha_1}{1 - \alpha_0} \right] p_k^* F_{1k}^*(\tau) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0} \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) + \left[ \frac{1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1}{1 - \alpha_0} \right] \frac{p_k - \alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1} F_{1k}^*(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) = \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0} \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) + \frac{p_k - \alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0} F_{1k}^*(\tau) \quad (3.8)$$

Now we can again obtain two bounds by substituting the smallest and largest possible values of  $F_{1k}^*(\tau)$ . Substituting zero gives

$$\tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) \geq \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0} \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) \quad (3.9)$$

while substituting one gives

$$\tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0} \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) + \frac{p_k - \alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0} \quad (3.10)$$

Now, rearranging Equation 3.9,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha_0) \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) &\geq \alpha_0 \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) \\ \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) &\geq \alpha_0 [\tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) + \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau)] \end{aligned}$$

since  $1 - \alpha_0 \geq 0$ . Therefore,

$$\alpha_0 \leq \frac{\tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau)}{\tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) + \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau)} = p_k \left[ \frac{F_{1k}(\tau)}{F_k(\tau)} \right] \quad (3.11)$$

since  $[\tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) + \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau)] \geq 0$ . Similarly, rearranging Equation 3.10

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha_0)\tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) &\leq \alpha_0\tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) + p_k - \alpha_0 \\ \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) - p_k &\leq \alpha_0 [\tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) + \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) - 1] \\ - [1 - \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) - (1 - p_k)] &\leq -\alpha_0 [1 - \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau)] \\ [1 - \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) - (1 - p_k)] &\geq \alpha_0 [1 - \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau)] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\alpha_0 \leq \frac{1 - \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau) - (1 - p_k)}{1 - \tilde{F}_{0k}(\tau) - \tilde{F}_{1k}(\tau)} = p_k \left[ \frac{1 - F_{1k}(\tau)}{1 - F_k(\tau)} \right] \quad (3.12)$$

**Putting Everything Together** For all  $k$  we have

$$\alpha_0 \leq p_k \min_{\tau} \left\{ \left[ \frac{F_{1k}(\tau)}{F_k(\tau)} \right] \wedge \left[ \frac{1 - F_{1k}(\tau)}{1 - F_k(\tau)} \right] \right\} \leq p_k \quad (3.13)$$

$$\alpha_1 \leq (1 - p_k) \min_{\tau} \left\{ \left[ \frac{F_{0k}(\tau)}{F_k(\tau)} \right] \wedge \left[ \frac{1 - F_{0k}(\tau)}{1 - F_k(\tau)} \right] \right\} \leq (1 - p_k) \quad (3.14)$$

Note that these bounds can only improve upon those derived in the previous section since the ratio of CDFs tends to one as  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ . To derive these tighter bounds we have made no assumption regarding the relationship between  $Z$  and the error term  $\varepsilon$ . These bounds use only the assumption that  $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 < 1$ , and the assumption that  $T$  is conditionally independent of  $Z, Y$  given  $T^*$ . Notice that that the bounds are related. In particular,

$$p_k \left[ \frac{F_{1k}(\tau)}{F_k(\tau)} \right] = 1 - (1 - p_k) \left[ \frac{F_{0k}(\tau)}{F_k(\tau)} \right]$$

and

$$p_k \left[ \frac{1 - F_{1k}(\tau)}{1 - F_k(\tau)} \right] = 1 - (1 - p_k) \left[ \frac{1 - F_{0k}(\tau)}{1 - F_k(\tau)} \right]$$

## 4 Even Stronger Bounds on $\alpha_0, \alpha_1$

Try applying the stochastic dominance conditions from our simulation study.

## 5 Independent Instrument

Assume that  $Z \perp U$ . The model is  $Y = \beta T^* + U$  and

$$F_U(\tau) = P(U \leq \tau) = P(Y - \beta T^* \leq \tau)$$

but if  $Z$  is independent of  $U$  then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F_U(\tau) &= F_{U|Z=k}(\tau) = P(U \leq \tau | Z = k) = P(Y - \beta T^* \leq \tau | Z = k) \\ &= P(Y \leq \tau | T^* = 0, Z = k)(1 - p_k^*) + P(Y \leq \tau + \beta | T^* = 1, Z = k)p_k^* \\ &= (1 - p_k^*)F_{0k}^*(\tau) + p_k^*F_{1k}^*(\tau + \beta) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $k$  by the Law of Total Probability. Similarly,

$$F_k(\tau) = (1 - p_k^*)F_{0k}^*(\tau) + p_k^*F_{1k}^*(\tau)$$

and rearranging

$$(1 - p_k^*)F_{0k}^*(\tau) = F_k(\tau) - p_k^*F_{1k}^*(\tau)$$

Substituting this expression into the equation for  $F_U(\tau)$  from above, we have

$$F_U(\tau) = F_k(\tau) + p_k^* [F_{1k}^*(\tau + \beta) - F_{1k}^*(\tau)]$$

for all  $k$  and all  $\tau$ . Evaluating at two values  $k$  and  $\ell$  in the support of  $Z$  and equating

$$F_k(\tau) + p_k^* [F_{1k}^*(\tau + \beta) - F_{1k}^*(\tau)] = F_\ell(\tau) + p_\ell^* [F_{1\ell}^*(\tau + \beta) - F_{1\ell}^*(\tau)]$$

or equivalently

$$F_k(\tau) - F_\ell(\tau) = p_\ell^* [F_{1\ell}^*(\tau + \beta) - F_{1\ell}^*(\tau)] - p_k^* [F_{1k}^*(\tau + \beta) - F_{1k}^*(\tau)] \quad (5.1)$$

for all  $\tau$ . Now we simply need to re-express all of the “star” quantities, namely  $p_k^*, p_\ell^*$  and  $F_{1k}^*, F_{1\ell}^*$  in terms of  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1$  and the *observable* probability distributions  $F_{1k}$  and  $F_{1\ell}$



and observable probabilities  $p_k, p_\ell$ . To do this, we use the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{0k}(\tau) &= \frac{1 - \alpha_0}{1 - p_k} (1 - p_k^*) F_{0k}^*(\tau) + \frac{\alpha_1}{1 - p_k} p_k^* F_{1k}^*(\tau) \\ F_{1k}(\tau) &= \frac{\alpha_0}{p_k} (1 - p_k^*) F_{0k}^*(\tau) + \frac{1 - \alpha_1}{p_k} p_k^* F_{1k}^*(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $k$  by Bayes' rule. Solving these equations,

$$p_k^* F_{1k}^*(\tau) = \frac{1 - \alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1} p_k F_{1k}(\tau) - \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1} (1 - p_k) F_{0k}(\tau)$$

for all  $k$ . Combining this with Equation 5.1, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1) [F_k(\tau) - F_\ell(\tau)] &= \alpha_0 \{ (1 - p_k) [F_{0k}(\tau + \beta) - F_{0k}(\tau)] - (1 - p_\ell) [F_{0\ell}(\tau + \beta) - F_{0\ell}(\tau)] \} \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_0) \{ p_k [F_{1k}(\tau + \beta) - F_{1k}(\tau)] - p_\ell [F_{1\ell}(\tau + \beta) - F_{1\ell}(\tau)] \} \end{aligned}$$

Now, define

$$\Delta_{tk}^\tau(\beta) = F_{tk}(\tau + \beta) - F_{tk}(\tau) = E \left[ \frac{\mathbf{1}\{T = t, Z = k\}}{p_{tk}} (\mathbf{1}\{Y \leq \tau + \beta\} - \mathbf{1}\{Y \leq \tau\}) \right]$$

and note that we can express  $F_k(\tau) - F_\ell(\tau)$  similarly as

$$F_k(\tau) - F_\ell(\tau) = E \left[ \mathbf{1}\{Y \leq \tau\} \left( \frac{\mathbf{1}\{Z = k\}}{q_k} - \frac{\mathbf{1}\{Z = \ell\}}{q_\ell} \right) \right]$$

Using this notation, we can write the preceding as

$$(1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1) [F_k(\tau) - F_\ell(\tau)] = \alpha_0 [(1 - p_k) \Delta_{0k}^\tau(\beta) - (1 - p_\ell) \Delta_{0\ell}^\tau(\beta)] - (1 - \alpha_0) [p_k \Delta_{1k}^\tau(\beta) - p_\ell \Delta_{1\ell}^\tau(\beta)]$$

or in moment-condition form

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[ (1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1) \mathbf{1}\{Y \leq \tau\} \left( \frac{\mathbf{1}\{Z = k\}}{q_k} - \frac{\mathbf{1}\{Z = \ell\}}{q_\ell} \right) - (\mathbf{1}\{Y \leq \tau + \beta\} - \mathbf{1}\{Y \leq \tau\}) \left\{ \right. \\ \alpha_0 \left( (1 - p_k) \frac{\mathbf{1}\{T = 0, Z = k\}}{p_{0k}} - (1 - p_\ell) \frac{\mathbf{1}\{T = 0, Z = \ell\}}{p_{0\ell}} \right) \\ \left. - (1 - \alpha_0) \left( p_k \frac{\mathbf{1}\{T = 1, Z = k\}}{p_{1k}} - p_\ell \frac{\mathbf{1}\{T = 1, Z = \ell\}}{p_{1\ell}} \right) \right\} \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Each value of  $\tau$  yields a moment condition.

## 6 Special Case: $\alpha_0 = 0$

In this case the expressions from above simplify to

$$(1 - \alpha_1) [F_k(\tau) - F_\ell(\tau)] = [p_\ell F_{1\ell}(\tau + \beta) - p_k F_{1k}(\tau + \beta) - p_\ell F_{1\ell}(\tau) + p_k F_{1k}(\tau)] \quad (6.1)$$

for all  $\tau$ . Now, provided that all of the CDFs are differentiable we have<sup>1</sup>

$$e^{i\omega\tau}(1 - \alpha_1) [f_k(\tau) - f_\ell(\tau)] = e^{i\omega\tau} [p_\ell f_{1\ell}(\tau + \beta) - p_k f_{1k}(\tau + \beta) - p_\ell f_{1\ell}(\tau) + p_k f_{1k}(\tau)]$$

where we have pre-multiplied both sides by  $e^{i\omega\tau}$ . Finally, integrating both sides with respect to  $\tau$  over  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , we have

$$(1 - \alpha_1) [\varphi_k(\omega) - \varphi_\ell(\omega)] = \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega\tau} [p_\ell f_{1\ell}(\tau + \beta) - p_k f_{1k}(\tau + \beta)] d\tau - p_\ell \varphi_{1\ell}(\omega) + p_k \varphi_{1k}(\omega) \right\}$$

where  $\varphi_k$  is the conditional characteristic function of  $Y$  given  $Z = k$  and  $\varphi_{1k}$  is the conditional characteristic function of  $Y$  given  $T = 1, Z = k$ . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega\tau} p_\ell f_{1\ell}(\tau + \beta) d\tau &= e^{i\omega\beta} p_\ell \int_{u=-\infty+\beta}^{u=\infty+\beta} e^{i\omega u} f_{1\ell}(u) du \\ &= e^{-i\omega\beta} p_\ell \varphi_{1\ell}(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

using the substitution  $u = \tau + \beta$ . Changing subscripts, the same holds for  $k$  and thus

$$(1 - \alpha_1) [\varphi_k(\omega) - \varphi_\ell(\omega)] = e^{-i\omega\beta} [p_\ell \varphi_{1\ell}(\omega) - p_k \varphi_{1k}(\omega)] + [p_k \varphi_{1k}(\omega) - p_\ell \varphi_{1\ell}(\omega)]$$

which, after collecting terms, simplifies to

$$(1 - \alpha_1) [\varphi_k(\omega) - \varphi_\ell(\omega)] = (e^{-i\omega\beta} - 1) [p_\ell \varphi_{1\ell}(\omega) - p_k \varphi_{1k}(\omega)] \quad (6.2)$$

for all  $\omega$ . Equation 6.2 contains exactly the same information as Equation 6.1 but gives us a more convenient way to prove identification since  $\beta$  enters in a simpler way.

---

<sup>1</sup>There must be a way to generalize this using Lebesgue.

Leibniz's formula for the  $r$ th derivative of a product of two functions  $f$  and  $g$  is:

$$(fg)^{(r)} = \sum_{s=0}^r \binom{r}{s} f^{(s)} g^{(r-s)}$$

where  $f^{(r)}$  denotes the  $r$ th derivative of the function  $f$  and  $g^{(r-s)}$  denotes the  $(r-s)$ th derivative of the function  $g$ . Applying this to the RHS,  $R(\omega)$  of Equation 6.2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\omega^r} R(\omega) &= \sum_{s=0}^r \binom{r}{s} \frac{d}{d\omega^s} (e^{-i\omega\beta} - 1) \frac{d}{d\omega^{r-s}} [p_\ell \varphi_{1\ell}(\omega) - p_k \varphi_{1k}(\omega)] \\ &= (e^{-i\omega\beta} - 1) [p_\ell \varphi_{1\ell}^{(r)}(\omega) - p_k \varphi_{1k}^{(r)}(\omega)] + e^{-i\omega\beta} \sum_{s=1}^r \binom{r}{s} (-i\beta)^s [p_\ell \varphi_{1\ell}^{(r-s)}(\omega) - p_k \varphi_{1k}^{(r-s)}(\omega)] \end{aligned}$$

where we split off the  $s = 0$  term because our generic expression for the  $s$ th derivative of  $(e^{-i\omega\beta} - 1)$  only applies for  $s \geq 1$ . Evaluating at zero:

$$\frac{d}{d\omega^r} R(0) = \sum_{s=1}^r \binom{r}{s} (-i\beta)^s [p_\ell \varphi_{1\ell}^{(r-s)}(0) - p_k \varphi_{1k}^{(r-s)}(0)]$$

Combining this with the LHS of Equation 6.2, also differentiated  $r$  times and evaluated at zero, we have

$$(1 - \alpha_1) [\varphi_k^{(r)}(0) - \varphi_\ell^{(r)}(0)] = \sum_{s=1}^r \binom{r}{s} (-i\beta)^s [p_\ell \varphi_{1\ell}^{(r-s)}(0) - p_k \varphi_{1k}^{(r-s)}(0)]$$

Now, recall that if  $\varphi(\omega)$  is the characteristic function of  $Y$  then  $\varphi^{(r)}(0) = i^r E[Y^r]$  provided that the expectation exists where  $\varphi^{(r)}$  denotes the  $r$ th derivative of  $\varphi$ . The same applies for the conditional characteristic functions we consider here. Hence, provided that the  $r$ th moments exist,

$$i^r (1 - \alpha_1) \{E[Y^r|Z = k] - E[Y^r|Z = \ell]\} = \sum_{s=1}^r \binom{r}{s} (-i\beta)^s i^{r-s} (p_\ell E[Y^{r-s}|T = 1, Z = \ell] - p_k E[Y^{r-s}|T = 1, Z = k])$$

After simplifying the terms involving  $i$  and cancelling them from both sides,

$$(1 - \alpha_1) (E[Y^r|Z = k] - E[Y^r|Z = \ell]) = \sum_{s=1}^r \binom{r}{s} (-\beta)^s (p_\ell E[Y^{r-s}|T = 1, Z = \ell] - p_k E[Y^{r-s}|T = 1, Z = k])$$

again provided that the moments exist. Abbreviating the conditional expectations according to  $E[Y^r|Z = k] = E_k[Y^r]$  and  $E[Y^r|T = t, Z = k] = E_{tk}[Y^r]$ , this becomes

$$(1 - \alpha_1)(E_k[Y^r] - E_\ell[Y^r]) = \sum_{s=1}^r \binom{r}{s} (-\beta)^s (p_\ell E_{1\ell}[Y^{r-s}] - p_k E_{1k}[Y^{r-s}]) \quad (6.3)$$

Equation 6.3 can be used to generate moment equations that are implied by the Equation 6.2 and the equivalent representation in terms of CDFs: Equation 6.1. Assuming that the conditional first moments exist, we can evaluate Equation 6.3 at  $r = 1$ , yielding

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha_1)(E_k[Y] - E_\ell[Y]) &= \sum_{s=1}^1 \binom{1}{s} (-\beta)^s (p_\ell E_{1\ell}[Y^{1-s}] - p_k E_{1k}[Y^{1-s}]) \\ &= -\beta(p_\ell - p_k) \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging, this gives us the expression for the probability limit of the Wald estimator

$$\mathcal{W} \equiv \frac{E_k[Y] - E_\ell[Y]}{p_k - p_\ell} = \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha_1} \quad (6.4)$$

Evaluating Equation 6.3 at  $r = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha_1)(E_k[Y^2] - E_\ell[Y^2]) &= \sum_{s=1}^2 \binom{2}{s} (-\beta)^s (p_\ell E_{1\ell}[Y^{2-s}] - p_k E_{1k}[Y^{2-s}]) \\ &= 2\beta(p_k E_{1k}[Y] - p_\ell E_{1\ell}[Y]) - \beta^2(p_k - p_\ell) \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging, we have

$$E_k[Y^2] - E_\ell[Y^2] = \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha_1} [2(p_k E_{1k}[Y] - p_\ell E_{1\ell}[Y]) - \beta(p_k - p_\ell)] \quad (6.5)$$

Substituting Equation 6.4, we can replace  $\beta/(1 - \alpha_1)$  with a function of observables only, namely  $\mathcal{W}$ . Solving, we find that

$$\beta = \frac{2(p_k E_{1k}[Y] - p_\ell E_{1\ell}[Y])}{p_k - p_\ell} - \frac{E_k[Y^2] - E_\ell[Y^2]}{E_k[Y] - E_\ell[Y]} \quad (6.6)$$

This allows us to state low-level sufficient conditions for identification:

(a)  $\alpha_1 < 1$

(b)  $p_k \neq p_\ell$

(c)  $E_k[Y] \neq E_\ell[Y]$

(d)  $E_{1k}[|Y|], E_{1\ell}[|Y|], E_k[|Y^2|], E_\ell[|Y^2|] < \infty$ .

Note that, although  $\beta = 0$  is always a solution of Equation 6.1 this solution is ruled out by the assumption that  $E_k[Y] \neq E_\ell[Y]$  via Equation 6.4. The mis-classification error rate  $\alpha_1$  is likewise uniquely identified under these assumptions. Substituting  $\beta/\mathcal{W} = 1 - \alpha_1$  into Equation 6.6

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha_1) &= \left\{ \frac{p_k - p_\ell}{E_k[Y] - E_\ell[Y]} \right\} \left\{ \frac{2(p_k E_{1k}[Y] - p_\ell E_{1\ell}[Y])}{p_k - p_\ell} - \frac{E_k[Y^2] - E_\ell[Y^2]}{E_k[Y] - E_\ell[Y]} \right\} \\ &= \frac{2(p_k E_{1k}[Y] - p_\ell E_{1\ell}[Y])}{E_k[Y] - E_\ell[Y]} - (p_k - p_\ell) \left\{ \frac{E_k[Y^2] - E_\ell[Y^2]}{(E_k[Y] - E_\ell[Y])^2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\alpha_1 = 1 + (p_k - p_\ell) \left\{ \frac{E_k[Y^2] - E_\ell[Y^2]}{(E_k[Y] - E_\ell[Y])^2} \right\} - \frac{2(p_k E_{1k}[Y] - p_\ell E_{1\ell}[Y])}{E_k[Y] - E_\ell[Y]}$$