### 1 Model and Likelihood

Consider a linear K-factor model for D assets of the form

$$y_{it} = \alpha_d + \mathbf{f}_t' \boldsymbol{\beta}_d + \varepsilon_{it}$$

where d = 1, ..., D and t = 1, ..., T and  $\mathbf{f}'_t = (f_{t1}, ..., f_{tK})$  is a  $K \times 1$  vector. This is a special case of the seemingly unrelated regression (SUR) model in which the regressors are *identical* across equations. Stacking observations for a given time period across assets, define  $\mathbf{y}'_t = (y_{1t}, ..., y_{Dt})$  and analogously  $\varepsilon'_t = (\varepsilon_{t1}, ..., \varepsilon_{tD})$ . Now let  $\mathbf{x}'_t = (1, \mathbf{f}'_t)$  and  $\gamma'_d = (\alpha_d, \beta'_d)$  so we have

$$\mathbf{y}_t = X_t \boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$$

where  $X_t = I_D \otimes \mathbf{x}_t'$  and  $\gamma' = (\gamma_1', \dots, \gamma_D')$ . Now, suppose that

$$\varepsilon_t | \mathbf{x}_t \sim \text{ iid } \mathcal{N}_D(0, \Omega)$$

Let  $Y_T$  denote the full data sample, i.e.  $\{\mathbf{y}_t, \mathbf{x}_t\}_{t=1}^T$ . Then the likelihood is

$$\pi(Y_T|\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \Omega^{-1}) \propto |\Omega^{-1}|^{T/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathbf{y}_t - X_t \boldsymbol{\gamma})' \Omega^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_t - X_t \boldsymbol{\gamma})\right]$$

where we parameterize this problem in terms of the  $D \times D$  precision matrix  $\Omega^{-1}$  and the  $p \times 1$  vector of regression coefficients  $\gamma$ , where p = D(K + 1).

# 2 Prior and Posterior Distribution

To complete the model we specify the following prior distribution

$$\pi(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \Omega^{-1}) = \mathcal{N}_p(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\boldsymbol{\gamma_0}, G_0) \mathcal{W}_D(\Omega^{-1}|\rho_0, R_0)$$

This prior is conditionally conjugate with the normal likelihood. In particular, we have  $\gamma | \Omega^{-1}, Y_T \sim \mathcal{N}_p(\bar{\gamma}, G_T)$  where

$$G_{T} = \left[ G_{0}^{-1} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t}' \Omega^{-1} X_{t} \right]^{-1}$$

$$\bar{\gamma} = G_{T} \left[ G_{0}^{-1} \gamma_{0} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t}' \Omega^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{t} \right]$$

and  $\Omega^{-1}|Y_T \sim \mathcal{W}_D\left(\rho_0 + T, R_T\right)$  where

$$R_T = \left[ R_0^{-1} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathbf{y}_t - X_t \boldsymbol{\gamma}) (\mathbf{y}_t - X_t \boldsymbol{\gamma})' \right]^{-1}$$

# 3 MCMC

Using the full set of conditional posteriors, given in the preceding section, we can simulate from the joint posterior for this model using a Gibbs sampler:

- 1. Select a starting value  $\Omega^{-1(0)}$  for the precision matrix.
- 2. Draw  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(1)} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{(1)}, G_T^{(1)}\right)$  where

$$G_T^{(1)} = \left[ G_0^{-1} + \sum_{t=1}^T X_t' \Omega^{-1(0)} X_t \right]^{-1}$$

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{(1)} = G_T^{(1)} \left[ G_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_0 + \sum_{t=1}^T X_t' \Omega^{-1(0)} \mathbf{y}_t \right]$$

3. Draw  $\Omega^{-1(1)} \sim \mathcal{W}_D\left(\rho_T, R_T^{(1)}\right)$  where

$$R_T^{(1)} = \left[ R_0^{-1} + \sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbf{y}_t - X_t \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(1)}) (\mathbf{y}_t - X_t \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(1)})' \right]^{-1}$$

- 4. Repeat the preceding two steps a total of G times. In the gth iteration:
  - (i) Draw  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(g)} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{(g)}, G_T^{(g)}\right)$  where

$$G_T^{(g)} = \left[ G_0^{-1} + \sum_{t=1}^T X_t' \Omega^{-1(g-1)} X_t \right]^{-1}$$

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{(g)} = G_T^{(g)} \left[ G_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_0 + \sum_{t=1}^T X_t' \Omega^{-1(g-1)} \mathbf{y}_t \right]$$

(ii) Draw 
$$\Omega^{-1(g)} \sim \mathcal{W}_D\left(\rho_T, R_T^{(g)}\right)$$
 where

$$R_T^{(g)} = \left[ R_0^{-1} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathbf{y}_t - X_t \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(g)}) (\mathbf{y}_t - X_t \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(g)})' \right]^{-1}$$

5. Discard the first B draws.

Note that in iteration g,  $G_T^{(g)}$  and  $\bar{\gamma}^{(g)}$  are calculated using  $\Omega^{-1(g-1)}$  while  $R_T^{(g)}$  is calculated using  $\gamma^{(0)}$ . This is because we choose to initialize the sample with a starting value  $\Omega^{-1(0)}$  for the precision matrix rather than for the vector of regression coefficients.

## 4 Numerical Details

## 4.1 Evaluating the MV Normal Density

As one of the steps in the calculation of the marginal likelihood (see below) we will need to repeatedly evaluate the log of a multivariate normal density at a fixed set of parameter values. Let Z be a  $p \times n$  matrix, each of whose columns is a point  $\mathbf{z}$  at which we wish to evaluate  $\log \mathcal{N}_p(\mathbf{z}|\mu,\Sigma)$  where  $\mu$  is the mean vector and  $\Sigma$  the covariance matrix of a multivariate normal. Because our problem is parameterizes in terms of the *precision* matrix rather than the covariance matrix, the calculations given here assume that we are given  $\Sigma^{-1}$  rather than  $\Sigma$ . In terms of the precision matrix, the log of the MV normal density is given by

$$\log \mathcal{N}_p\left(\mathbf{z}|\mu, \Sigma^{-1}\right) = -\frac{p}{2}\log\left(2\pi\right) + \frac{1}{2}\log\left|\Sigma^{-1}\right| - \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{z} - \mu\right)'\Sigma^{-1}\left(\mathbf{z} - \mu\right)$$

Now let R be the Cholesky factor of  $\Sigma^{-1}$  so that  $\Sigma^{-1} = R'R$  and define  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{z} - \mu$  and  $\mathbf{v} = R\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ . Using these definitions,

$$(\mathbf{z} - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{z} - \mu) = (R\tilde{\mathbf{z}})'(R\tilde{\mathbf{z}}) = \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}$$

and, letting  $R_{ii}$  denote the *i*th diagonal element of R,

$$\log |\Sigma^{-1}| = \log |R'R| = \log (|R'| \cdot |R|) = \log |R'| + \log |R|$$

$$= 2\log |R| = 2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{p} \log R_{ii}$$

since |A| = |A'|,  $|AB| = |A| \cdot |B|$  and the determinant of a triangular matrix equals the product of its diagonal elements. Thus, we have

$$\log \mathcal{N}_p\left(\mathbf{z}|\mu, \Sigma^{-1}\right) = -\frac{p}{2}\log\left(2\pi\right) + \operatorname{trace}\left[\log\left(\operatorname{diag}\left\{R\right\}\right)\right] - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}$$

The only term in the preceding expression that depends on  $\mathbf{z}$  is  $\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}$ . We can calculate this term simultaneously for all columns of Z as follows. First let  $\widetilde{Z}$  denote the result of subtracting of subtracting the vector  $\mu$  from each column of Z, i.e.  $\widetilde{Z} = Z - \mu \mathbf{1}'_n$ . To calculate  $\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}$  for each column of Z we simply square the elements of  $R\widetilde{Z}$  and take the column sums of the resulting matrix.

## 4.2 Efficient Calculation of $R_T$

In the second step of each iteration we compute  $\left(R_0^{-1} + \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_t \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_t'\right)^{-1}$  where  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_t = \mathbf{y}_t - X_t \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ . Since  $R_0$  is simply the prior scale matrix for  $\Omega^{-1}$  and hence remains unchanged during the iterations, we can pre-compute it and store the result before starting the sampler. Since  $X_t$  is a sparse matrix, there is a much more efficient and compact way to compute the sum of outer products of residuals. Define:

$$\widetilde{Y} = \left[ egin{array}{c} \mathbf{y}_1' \\ draversymbol{arphi} \\ \mathbf{y}_T' \end{array} 
ight], \quad \widetilde{X} = \left[ egin{array}{c} \mathbf{x}_1' \\ draversymbol{arphi} \\ \mathbf{x}_T' \end{array} 
ight], \quad \Gamma = \left[ egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{\gamma}_1 & \cdots & oldsymbol{\gamma}_D \end{array} 
ight], \quad \hat{oldsymbol{arepsilon}} = \left[ egin{array}{c} \hat{oldsymbol{arepsilon}}_1' \\ draversymbol{arepsilon} \\ \hat{oldsymbol{arepsilon}}_T' \end{array} 
ight]$$

so that  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \widetilde{Y} - \widetilde{X}\Gamma$ . Note that the vector of regression coefficients  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$  is the vec of the *matrix* of regression coefficients  $\Gamma$ . Thus, expressed in terms of dense matrix operations

$$R_T^{-1} = R_0^{-1} + \left(\widetilde{Y} - \widetilde{X}\Gamma\right)' \left(\widetilde{Y} - \widetilde{X}\Gamma\right)$$

The final step is to invert this sum (which is positive definite) to calculate  $R_T$ . Note that the Matrix Inversion Lemma (Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury Formula) does *not* simplify this calculation unless D > T.

#### 4.3 Efficient Calculation of $G_T$

Because we parameterize our multivariate normal sampler in terms of the precision matrix rather than the covariance matrix, we work with the inverse of  $G_T$ , namely

$$G_T^{-1} = G_0^{-1} + \sum_{t=1}^T X_t' \Omega^{-1} X_t$$

Since it is simply the prior precision matrix for the vector  $\gamma$  of regression coefficients we can pre-compute  $G_0$  (assuming that we elicit a prior in terms of the covariance matrix). Now, the sum over  $X'_t\Omega X_t$  can in fact be simplified using the properties of the Kronecker product.<sup>1</sup> Recall that  $X_t = I_D \otimes \mathbf{x}'_t$ . Since  $(A \otimes B)' = A' \otimes B'$ ,

$$X_t'\Omega^{-1}X_t = (I_D \otimes \mathbf{x}_t)\,\Omega^{-1}X_t$$

Since  $\Omega^{-1}X_t = (\Omega^{-1}X_t) \otimes 1$ ,  $\Omega^{-1} = \Omega^{-1} \otimes 1$ , and  $(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD$ , provided that everything is conformable, we have

$$(I_D \otimes \mathbf{x}_t) \Omega^{-1} X_t = (I_D \otimes \mathbf{x}_t) (\Omega^{-1} X_t \otimes 1)$$

$$= \Omega^{-1} X_t \otimes \mathbf{x}_t = [\Omega^{-1} (I_D \otimes \mathbf{x}_t')] \otimes \mathbf{x}_t$$

$$= [(\Omega^{-1} \otimes 1) (I_D \otimes \mathbf{x}_t')] \otimes \mathbf{x}_t$$

$$= \Omega^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{x}_t' \otimes \mathbf{x}_t$$

Finally, since  $A \otimes (B + C) = A \otimes B + A \otimes C$ ,

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} X_t' \Omega^{-1} X_t = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \Omega^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{x}_t' \otimes \mathbf{x}_t = \Omega^{-1} \otimes \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{x}_t' \otimes \mathbf{x}_t \right)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See, e.g., Horn and Johnson (1994) Chapter 4.2.

This is an extremely useful simplification: because  $\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{t}' \otimes \mathbf{x}_{t}$  involves neither  $\Omega^{-1}$  nor  $\gamma$ , only the data, we can pre-compute this quantity. In fact, there is one final simplification that makes this quantity even simpler. By writing out the definition of the Kronecker Product, we see that  $\mathbf{x}_{t}' \otimes \mathbf{x}_{t} = \mathbf{x}_{t} \mathbf{x}_{t}'$  and hence

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} X_t' \Omega^{-1} X_t = \Omega^{-1} \otimes \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t' \right) = \Omega^{-1} \otimes \widetilde{X}' \widetilde{X}$$

where  $\widetilde{X}' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_T \end{bmatrix}$ . Thus, we have  $G_T^{-1} = G_0^{-1} + \Omega^{-1} \otimes \widetilde{X}'\widetilde{X}$ .

## 4.4 Efficient Calculation of $\bar{\gamma}$

The vector  $\bar{\gamma}$  is constructed from several pieces. The first is  $G_0^{-1}\gamma_0$ , the solution to the linear system  $G_0\mathbf{v} = \gamma_0$ . Since this piece depends only on the prior, we can pre-compute it. The next piece is the sum  $\sum_{t=1}^T X_t' \Omega^{-1} \mathbf{y}_t$ . We we noted above,  $X_t$  is sparse so there is a more efficient way to compute this quantity. Indeed, while this is far from obvious at first glance, it is possible to factor  $\Omega^{-1}$  outside of the sum using some clever matrix operations, allowing us to drastically reduce the computational complexity of the sampler. To accomplish this simplification we combine the definition of  $X_t$  as  $I_D \otimes \mathbf{x}_t'$  with two properties of the Kronecker Product, namely:

$$(A \otimes B) (C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD$$

which holds provided that the respective matrices are conformable and

$$\operatorname{vec}(AB) = (B' \otimes I_k) \operatorname{vec}(A)$$

where A is  $k \times \ell$  and B is  $\ell \times m$ . Applying the first property twice in succession followed by the second property, we find that

$$X'_{t}\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{y}_{t} = (I_{D} \otimes \mathbf{x}'_{t})'\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{y}_{t} = (I_{D} \otimes \mathbf{x}_{t})\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{y}_{t}$$

$$= (I_{D} \otimes \mathbf{x}_{t})(\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{y}_{t} \otimes \mathbf{1}) = I_{D}\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{y}_{t} \otimes \mathbf{x}_{t}\mathbf{1}$$

$$= \Omega^{-1}\mathbf{y}_{t} \otimes \mathbf{x}_{t} = [(\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{y}_{t})\mathbf{1}] \otimes [I_{K+1}\mathbf{x}_{t}]$$

$$= (\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{y}_{t} \otimes I_{K+1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{x}_{t})$$

$$= ([\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{y}_{t}] \otimes I_{K+1})\mathbf{x}_{t} = ([\mathbf{y}'_{t}\Omega^{-1}]' \otimes I_{K+1})\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{x}_{t})$$

$$= \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{x}_{t}\mathbf{y}'_{t}\Omega^{-1})$$

where we have used the fact that  $vec(\mathbf{x}_t) = \mathbf{x}_t$ . Finally, since we can interchange the vec summation operations,

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \operatorname{vec} \left( \mathbf{x}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t}' \Omega^{-1} \right) = \operatorname{vec} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t}' \Omega^{-1} \right] = \operatorname{vec} \left[ \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t}' \right) \Omega^{-1} \right]$$
$$= \operatorname{vec} \left( \widetilde{X}' \widetilde{Y} \Omega^{-1} \right)$$

where, as above,

$$\widetilde{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1' \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{y}_T' \end{bmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1' \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_T' \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus we see that

$$\bar{\gamma} = G_T \left[ G_0^{-1} \gamma_0 + \text{vec} \left( \widetilde{X}' \widetilde{Y} \Omega^{-1} \right) \right]$$

Because it does not change between iterations, we can pre-compute the product  $\widetilde{X}'\widetilde{Y}$ . The only term that remains to be addressed is  $G_T$ . Because our normal sampler is parameterized in terms of the precision matrix rather than the covariance matrix we calculated  $G_T^{-1}$  rather than  $G_T$  above. Rather than inverting it in this step, which is a very bad idea given its size, we notice that our expression for  $\bar{\gamma}$  takes the form  $\mathbf{v} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ . Therefore,

$$\bar{\gamma} = \text{solve}\left[G_T^{-1}, G_0^{-1} \gamma_0 + \text{vec}\left(\widetilde{X}'\widetilde{Y}\Omega^{-1}\right)\right]$$

# 5 Calculating the Marginal likelihood

We calculate the marginal likelihood using the method of Chib (1995). Let  $\theta$  denote the full collection of parameters. By Bayes' Rule

$$\pi(\theta|Y_T) = \frac{\pi(\theta)f(Y_T|\theta)}{f(Y_T)}$$

where  $f(Y_T)$  is the marginal likelihood, aka the marginal data density, aka the evidence. This identity holds true for any value of  $\theta$ . In particular it holds at the posterior mean  $\theta^*$ . Solving for  $f(Y_T)$  and evaluating the result at  $\theta^*$ , we have

$$f(Y_T) = \frac{\pi(\theta^*)f(Y_T|\theta^*)}{\pi(\theta^*|Y_T)}$$

Thus, we can express the *log* marginal likelihood as

$$\log f(Y_T) = \log \pi(\theta^*) + \log f(Y_T | \theta^*) - \pi(\theta^* | Y_T)$$

Specializing this to the SUR model considered above,

$$\log f(Y_T) = \log \pi(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^*) + \log \pi \left(\Omega^{-1*}\right) + \log f\left(Y_T|\boldsymbol{\gamma}^*, \Omega^{-1*}\right) - \pi \left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^*, \Omega^{-1*}|Y_T\right)$$

since our priors over  $\gamma$  and  $\Omega^{-1}$  are independent. The Chib (1995) method approximates  $\log f(Y_T)$  by evaluating each of the terms on the right-hand-side of the preceding expression using the output of the Gibbs sampler.

The Contribution of the Prior Evaluating the first two terms,  $\log \pi(\gamma^*)$  and  $\log \pi(\Omega^{-1*})$ , is easy: these are simply the priors for  $\gamma$  and  $\Omega^{-1}$  evaluated at the posterior means. We take the sample average of the Gibbs draws to approximate  $\gamma^*$  and  $\Omega^{-1*}$  and evaluate the Normal and Wishart distributions at these points, with parameters given by the prior:

$$\pi (\boldsymbol{\gamma}^*) = \mathcal{N}_p (\boldsymbol{\gamma}^* | \boldsymbol{\gamma}_0, G_0)$$
  
$$\pi (\Omega^{-1*}) = \mathcal{W}_D (\Omega^{-1*} | \rho_0, R_0)$$

The Contribution of the Likelihood Above we assumed that  $\varepsilon_t | \mathbf{x}_t \sim \text{iid } \mathcal{N}_D(0, \Omega)$ 

The Contribution of the Posterior To evaluate the third term, we factorize the joint posterior as the product of a conditional and marginal, namely:

$$\pi\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^*, \Omega^{-1*}|Y_T\right) = \pi\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^*|\Omega^{-1*}, Y_T\right) \times \pi\left(\Omega^{-1*}|Y_T\right)$$

Because we have analytical expressions for the conditional posteriors in this model we can evaluate the first term in the product immediately. We have  $\gamma | \Omega^{-1} \sim \mathcal{N}_p(\gamma | \bar{\gamma}, G_T)$  where  $G_T$  and  $\bar{\gamma}$  depend only on the prior, the data, and  $\Omega^{-1}$ . To perform the required calculation, we simply evaluate the normal density at  $\gamma^*$  and evaluate  $G_T$  and  $\bar{\gamma}$  at  $\Omega^{-1*}$ , that is:

$$\pi\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^*|\Omega^{-1*},Y_T\right) = \mathcal{N}_p\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^*|\bar{\gamma}^*,G_T^*\right)$$

where

$$G_{T}^{*} = \left[ G_{0}^{-1} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t}' \Omega^{-1*} X_{t} \right]^{-1} = \left[ G_{0}^{-1} + \Omega^{-1*} \otimes \widetilde{X}' \widetilde{X} \right]^{-1}$$

$$\bar{\gamma}^{*} = G_{T}^{*} \left[ G_{0}^{-1} \gamma_{0} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t}' \Omega^{-1*} \mathbf{y}_{t} \right] = G_{T}^{*} \left[ G_{0}^{-1} \gamma_{0} + \operatorname{vec} \left( \widetilde{X}' \widetilde{Y} \Omega^{-1*} \right) \right]$$

The evaluation of the second term in the product that gives the contribution of the posterior to the marginal likelihood is a bit more involved. We write

$$\pi \left(\Omega^{-1*}|Y_T\right) = \int \pi \left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \Omega^{-1*}|Y_T\right) d\boldsymbol{\gamma}$$
$$= \int \pi \left(\Omega^{-1*}|\boldsymbol{\gamma}, Y_T\right) \pi \left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|Y_T\right) d\boldsymbol{\gamma}$$

and approximate the second integral using the draws from the Gibbs sampler:

$$\pi \left(\Omega^{-1*}|Y_T\right) \approx \frac{1}{G} \sum_{g=1}^G \pi \left(\Omega^{-1*}|\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(g)}, Y_T\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{G} \sum_{g=1}^G \mathcal{W}_D\left(\Omega^{-1*} \middle| \rho_T, R_T^{(g)}\right)$$

where

$$R_T^{(g)} = \left[ R_0^{-1} + \sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbf{y}_t - X_t \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(g)}) (\mathbf{y}_t - X_t \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(g)})' \right]^{-1}$$
$$= \left[ R_0^{-1} + (\widetilde{Y} - \widetilde{X} \Gamma^{(g)})' (\widetilde{Y} - \widetilde{X} \Gamma^{(g)}) \right]^{-1}$$

# 6 Prediction

Suppose we are interested in predicting the cross-section of returns  $y_{n+1}$  at time (n+1). The Bayes prediction density of these returns, conditioned on the data  $Y_{n+1}$  and the factors  $f_{n+1}$ , is given by

$$p(y_{n+1}|Y_n, f_{n+1}) = \int_{\gamma, \Omega^{-1}} \mathcal{N}_d(y_{n+1}|X_{n+1}\gamma, \Omega) d\pi \left(\gamma, \Omega^{-1}|Y_n\right)$$

which is estimated by the ergodic Monte Carlo average

$$p(y_{n+1}|Y_n, f_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{G} \sum_{g=1}^{G} \mathcal{N}_d \left( y_{n+1} | X_{n+1} \gamma^{(g)}, \Omega^{(g)} \right)$$

with the MCMC draws  $\left\{\gamma^{(g)},\Omega^{(g)}\right\}$  from the posterior distribution.

## 7 Student-t errors

Suppose now that the errors are distributed as multivariate-t

$$\varepsilon_t \sim t_{d,\nu}\left(0,\Omega\right)$$

so that

$$E(\varepsilon_t) = 0, \ \nu > 1$$
 
$$Var(\varepsilon_t) = \frac{\nu}{\nu - 2} \Omega, \ \nu > 2$$

The analysis of this model utilizes the hierarchical reprentation

$$\varepsilon_t | \lambda_t \sim N\left(0, \lambda_t^{-1}\Omega\right)$$

$$\lambda_t \sim G\left(\frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right)$$

which means that conditioned on  $(\nu, \{\lambda_t\})$ , the results presented for the Gaussian model can be applied with minor modifications. The MCMC sampling is completed with the sampling of  $(\nu, \{\lambda_t\})$ .

Following Albert and Chib (1993), let us assume that the support of  $\nu$  is the set of values  $\{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^J$ , for example,  $\{4,6,8,10,12,14,16\}$  and that a priori

$$\Pr\left(\nu = \nu_j\right) = q_j$$

Then, simple calculations show that

$$\gamma | Y_n, \Omega^{-1}, \nu, \{\lambda_t\} \sim \mathcal{N}_{d+p} \left( \hat{\gamma}_{\lambda}, G_{n,\lambda} \right)$$

where

$$\hat{\gamma}_{\lambda} = G_{n,\lambda} \left( G_0^{-1} \gamma_0 + \sum_{t=1}^n \lambda_t X_t' \Omega^{-1} y_t \right)$$

$$G_{n,\lambda} = \left( G_0^{-1} + \sum_{t=1}^n \lambda_t X_t' \Omega^{-1} X_t \right)^{-1}$$

and

$$\Omega^{-1}|Y_n, \gamma, \nu, \{\lambda_t\} \sim \mathcal{W}_d\left(\rho_0 + n, \left(R_0^{-1} + \sum_{t=1}^n \lambda_t (y_t - X_t \gamma) (y_t - X_t \gamma)'\right)^{-1}\right)$$

Moreover,

$$\Pr\left(\nu = \nu_j | Y_n, \gamma, \Omega^{-1}\right) \propto q_j \prod_{t=1}^n t_{d,\nu_j} \left(y_t | X_t \gamma, \Omega\right)$$

and

$$\lambda_t | Y_n, \gamma, \nu \sim G\left(\frac{\nu+d}{2}, \frac{\nu + (y_t - X_t \gamma)'(y_t - X_t \gamma)}{2}\right)$$

One sweep of the MCMC sampling is completed by sampling these four distributions in this order.

#### 7.1 Marginal likelihood

The Chib (1995) method can again be applied to find the log marginal likelihood as

$$\log \Pr(\nu^*) + \log \pi (\gamma^*) + \log \pi (\Omega^{-1*}) + \sum_{t=1}^{n} \log t_{d,\nu^*} (y_t | X_t \gamma^*, \Omega^*) - \log \pi (\nu^*, \gamma^*, \Omega^{-1*} | Y_n)$$

where  $\nu^*$  is the posterior mode (which is easily computed from the sampled values), the last term is calculated as

$$\Pr\left(\nu^*|Y_n\right) \times \pi\left(\Omega^{-1*}|Y_n,\nu^*\right) \times \pi\left(\gamma^*|Y_n,\Omega^{-1*},\nu^*\right)$$

in which the first term is obtained from the posterior frequency distribution of  $\nu$ , the second term is obtained from a reduced run in which  $\nu$  is fixed at  $\nu^*$  and the remaining three distributions are sampled and the draws

$$\left\{\gamma^{(g)}, \lambda_t^{(g)}\right\}_{g=1}^G$$

from this reduced MCMC run are used to calculate  $\pi\left(\Omega^{-1*}|Y_n,\nu^*\right)$  as

$$\frac{1}{G} \sum_{g=1}^{G} \mathcal{W}_d \left( \Omega^{-1*} | \rho_0 + n, \left( R_0^{-1} + \sum_{t=1}^{n} \lambda_t^{(g)} \left( y_t - X_t \gamma^{(g)} \right) \left( y_t - X_t \gamma^{(g)} \right)' \right)^{-1} \right)$$

and the final term  $\pi\left(\gamma^*|Y_n,\Omega^{-1*}\right)$  is obtained from a second reduced run in which  $\nu$  is fixed at  $\nu^*$  and  $\Omega^{-1}$  is fixed at  $\Omega^{-1*}$  and the draws

$$\left\{\lambda_t^{(g)}\right\}$$

from this reduced run are used to give

$$\pi(\gamma^*|Y_n, \Omega^{-1*}, \nu^*) = \frac{1}{G} \sum_{g=1}^G \mathcal{N}_{d+p} \left( \gamma^* | \hat{\gamma}_{\lambda^{(g)}}^*, G_{n,\lambda^{(g)}}^* \right)$$

where

$$\hat{\gamma}_{\lambda^{(g)}}^* = G_{n,\lambda^{(g)}}^* \left( G_0^{-1} \gamma_0 + \sum_{t=1}^n \lambda_t^{(g)} X_t' \Omega^{-1*} y_t \right)$$

$$G_{n,\lambda^{(g)}}^* = \left( G_0^{-1} + \sum_{t=1}^n \lambda_t^{(g)} X_t' \Omega^{-1*} X_t \right)^{-1}$$