# Periodic solutions of Euler-Lagrange equations in an Orlicz-Sobolev space setting by the dual least action principle

Sonia Acinas \*

Dpto. de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales Universidad Nacional de La Pampa (L6300CLB) Santa Rosa, La Pampa, Argentina

sonia.acinas@gmail.com

Fernando D. Mazzone †

Dpto. de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Físico-Químicas y Naturales Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto (5800) Río Cuarto, Córdoba, Argentina,

fmazzone@exa.unrc.edu.ar

#### **Abstract**

#### 1 Introduction

This paper deals with system of equations of the type:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} D_y \mathcal{L}(t, u(t), u'(t)) = D_x \mathcal{L}(t, u(t), u'(t)) & \text{a.e. } t \in (0, T) \\ u(0) - u(T) = u'(0) - u'(T) = 0, \end{cases}$$
 (P<sub>1</sub>)

where  $\mathcal{L}:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R},\ d\geqslant 1$ , is called the Lagrange function or lagrangian and the unknown function  $u:[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}^d$  is absolutely continuous. In other words, we are interested in finding periodic weak solutions of Euler-Lagrange system. This topic was deeply addressed for the Lagrange function

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,F}(t,x,y) \coloneqq \frac{|y|^p}{p} + F(t,x),\tag{1}$$

2010 AMS Subject Classification. Primary: . Secondary: .

Keywords and phrases. .

<sup>\*</sup>SECyT-UNRC and FCEyN-UNLPam

<sup>†</sup>SECyT-UNRC, FCEyN-UNLPam and CONICET

for  $1 . For example, the classic book [Mawhin and Willem, 1989] deals mainly with problem <math>(P_1)$ , for the lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_{2,F}$ , through various methods: direct, dual action, minimax, etc. The results in [Mawhin and Willem, 1989] were extended and improved in several articles, see [Tang, 1995, Tang, 1998, Wu and Tang, 1999, Tang and Wu, 2001, Zhao and Wu, 2004] to cite some examples. Lagrange functions (1) for arbitrary  $1 were considered in [Tian and Ge, 2007, Tang and Zhang, 2010] and in this case <math>(P_1)$  is reduced to the p-laplacian system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \left( u'(t)|u'|^{p-2} \right) = \nabla F(t, u(t)) & \text{a.e. } t \in (0, T) \\ u(0) - u(T) = u'(0) - u'(T) = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (P<sub>2</sub>)

In this context, it is customary to call F a potential function, and it is assumed that F(t,x) is differentiable with respect to x for a.e.  $t \in [0,T]$  and the following conditions are verified:

- (C) F and its gradient  $\nabla F$ , with respect to  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , are Carathéodory functions, i.e. they are measurable functions with respect to  $t \in [0,T]$ , for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and they are continuous functions with respect to  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for a.e.  $t \in [0,T]$ .
- (A) For a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds that

$$|F(t,x)| + |\nabla F(t,x)| \le a(|x|)b(t). \tag{2}$$

In this inequality we assume that the function  $a:[0,+\infty) \to [0,+\infty)$  is continuous and non decreasing and  $0 \le b \in L^1([0,T],\mathbb{R})$ .

In [Acinas et al., 2015] it is treated the case of a lagrangian  $\mathcal L$  which is lower bounded by a Lagrange function like

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Phi,F}(t,x,y) \coloneqq \Phi(|y|) + F(t,x),\tag{3}$$

where  $\Phi$  is an N-function (see section 2 for the definition of this concept).

In the framework of anisotropic Sobolev-Orlicz spaces, we can study system of p-laplacian equations as the following example shows.

**Example 1.** Let  $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ . We define  $\Phi_{p_1, p_2} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$  by

$$\Phi_{p_1,p_2}(y_1,y_2) \coloneqq \frac{|y_1|}{p_1} + \frac{|y_2|}{p_2}.$$

where  $|\cdot|$  is the Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . And, we consider the following Lagrange function

$$\mathcal{L}(t,x,y) = \Phi_{p_1,p_2}(y) + F(t,x).$$

Then the equations  $(P_1)$  become

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \left( |u_1'|^{p_1-2} u_1' \right) = F_{x_1}(t, u) & \text{a.e. } t \in (0, T) \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left( |u_2'|^{p_2-2} u_2' \right) = F_{x_2}(t, u) & \text{a.e. } t \in (0, T) \\ u(0) - u(T) = u'(0) - u'(T) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(P<sub>3</sub>)

In the literature, these equations are known as  $(p_1, p_2)$ -Laplacian system, see [Yang and Chen, 2013, Pasca and Wang, 2016, Yang and Chen, 2012, Pasca, 2010, Paşca and Tang, 2010, Pasca and Tang, 2011].

### 2 Anisotropic Orlicz and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces

In this section, we give a short introduction to Orlicz and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces of vector valued functions associated to anisotropic Young functions  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ , i.e. functions such that  $\Phi(x)$  depends on the direction of x, unlike the radial case where  $\Phi(x) = \Phi(|x|)$ . References for these topics are [Schappacher, 2005, Skaff, 1969, Desch and Grimmer, 2001].

On the other hand, anisotropic Orlicz-Sobolev spaces allow us to simplify the writing, and they provide the natural frame for statements of the type [Tian and Ge, 2007, Lemma 3.1]. This type of question motivated us to use these spaces.

Hereafter we denote by  $\mathbb{R}^+$  the set of all non negative real numbers. A function  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is called an *Young's function* if  $\Phi$  is convex,  $\Phi(0) = 0$ ,  $\Phi(-x) = \Phi(x)$  and  $\Phi(x) \to +\infty$ , when  $|x| \to +\infty$ . Additionally, we assume that Young's functions which we deal with, satisfy that  $\Phi(x) > 0$  when  $x \neq 0$ . Following [Schappacher, 2005] we say that  $\Phi$  is an  $N_\infty$ -function if

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty}\frac{\Phi(x)}{|x|}=+\infty.$$

Given a Young's function  $\Phi$ , we define function  $A_{\Phi}: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$A_{\Phi}(s) = \min\left\{\Phi(x) \left| |x| = s\right\},\right. \tag{4}$$

Let us establish some elementary properties of  $A_{\Phi}$  that we will use in this article.

**Proposition 2.1.** The function  $A_{\Phi}$  has the following properties:

- 1.  $A_{\Phi}$  is continuous,
- 2.  $A_{\Phi}(s)/s$  is increasing,
- 3.  $A_{\Phi}(|x|)$  is the greatest radial minorant of  $\Phi(x)$ ,
- 4.  $\Phi$  is  $N_{\infty}$  if and only if  $A_{\Phi}$  is.

*Proof.* It is well known that finite and convex functions defined on finite dimensional vector spaces are locally Lipschitz functions (see [Clarke, 2013]). This fact implies item 1 immediately.

In order to prove item 2, suppose 0 < r < s and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $A_{\Phi}(s) = \Phi(x)$ . Then, from the definition of  $A_{\Phi}$  and the convexity of  $\Phi$ ,

$$\frac{A_{\Phi}(r)}{r} \leqslant \frac{\Phi\left(\frac{r}{s}x\right)}{r} \leqslant \frac{\Phi\left(x\right)}{s} = \frac{A_{\Phi}(s)}{s}.$$

Property in items 3 and 4 are obtained easily.

**Example 2.** We compute  $A_{\Phi}$  for the function  $\Phi = \Phi_{p_1,p_2}$  given in Example (??). We apply the method of Lagrange multipliers to solve the problem

$$G(r) = \min \{\Phi(x,y) : |(x,y)|_2^2 = r^2\}$$

The first order equations are

$$\begin{cases} |x|^{p_1-2}x + \lambda x &= 0\\ |y|^{p_2-2}y + \lambda y &= 0\\ |x|^2 + |y|^2 &= r^2 \end{cases}$$

These equations are solved, among others, by the following sets (if n > 1 infinite) of citical values: a) |x| = r, y = 0 and  $\lambda = -r^{p_1-2}$  and b) x = 0, |y| = r and  $\lambda = -r^{p_2-2}$ . Associated with these critical points we have the following critical values: a)  $r^{p_1}/p_1$  and b)  $r^{p_2}/p_2$ .

Now, suppose that  $x \neq 0$  and  $y \neq 0$  then  $|x|^2 + |y|^2 = r^2$  and  $|y| = |x|^{\frac{p_1-2}{p_2-2}}$  and  $\lambda = -|x|^{p_1-2}$ .

We have to split the analysis in several cases.

Now, we consider  $p_1 \le 2$  and  $p_2 \le 2$  with of them different to 2.

There exists (z,w) such that  $zx^t + wy^t = 0$  (z=-y, w=x) where  $H = |\lambda||y|^2|x|^2[(p_1-2)|x|^{-2} + (p_2-2)|y|^{-2}] < 0$ 

#### (aclarar algo de H, poner un nombre adecuado y cambiar el formato de letra)

Then, by the second order criteria [?, Thm....], at (x, y) there cannot be a minimum. Therefore, the minima occur at x = 0 or y = 0.

The remaining cases can be treated with similar techniques.

Finally, we conclude that

$$K_1 \min\{r^{p_1}, r^{p_2}\} \le A_{\Phi} \le K_2 \max\{r^{p_1}, r^{p_2}\}$$

with  $K_1, K_2 > 0$ .

We also say that  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2^{\infty}$ -condition, denoted by  $\Phi \in \Delta_2^{\infty}$ , if there exist constants K > 0 and  $M \geqslant 0$  such that

$$\Phi(2x) \leqslant KH(x),\tag{5}$$

for every  $|x| \ge M$ .

If  $\Phi$  is a Young's function we define its *Fenchel conjugate*  $\Phi^* : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^+$  by:

$$\Phi^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} x \cdot y - \Phi(x) \tag{6}$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)$ , with  $d \ge 1$ , the set of all measurable functions (i.e. functions which are limits of simple functions) defined on [0,T] with values on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and we write  $u = (u_1,\ldots,u_d)$  for  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ . For the set of functions  $\mathcal{M}$ , as for other similar sets, we will omit the reference to codomain  $\mathbb{R}^d$  when d = 1.

Given an N-function  $\Phi$  we define the modular function  $\rho_{\Phi}: \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{+\infty\}$  by

$$\rho_{\Phi}(u) \coloneqq \int_0^T \Phi(u) \ dt.$$

Here  $|\cdot|$  is the euclidean norm of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Now, we introduce the *Orlicz class*  $C^{\Phi} = C^{\Phi}([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)$  by setting

$$C^{\Phi} := \{ u \in \mathcal{M} | \rho_{\Phi}(u) < \infty \}. \tag{7}$$

The Orlicz space  $L^{\Phi} = L^{\Phi}([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the linear hull of  $C^{\Phi}$ ; equivalently,

$$L^{\Phi} := \{ u \in \mathcal{M} | \exists \lambda > 0 : \rho_{\Phi}(\lambda u) < \infty \}.$$
 (8)

The Orlicz space  $L^{\Phi}$  equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{L^\Phi}\coloneqq\inf\left\{\lambda\left|\rho_\Phi\left(\frac{v}{\lambda}\right)dt\leqslant1\right\},$$

is a Banach space. By  $u \cdot v$  we denote the usual dot product in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  between u and v.

The subspace  $E^{\Phi} = E^{\Phi}([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)$  is defined as the closure in  $L^{\Phi}$  of the subspace  $L^{\infty}([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)$  of all  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued essentially bounded functions. It is shown that (see [Schappacher, 2005, Thm. 5.1])  $u \in E^{\Phi}$  if and only if  $\rho_{\Phi}(\lambda u) < \infty$  for any  $\lambda > 0$ . The equality  $L^{\Phi} = E^{\Phi}$  is true if and only if  $\Phi \in \Delta_2^{\infty}$  (see [Schappacher, 2005, Thm. 5.2]). Another alternative characterization of  $E^{\Phi}$ , which is particularly useful for us, is that  $u \in E^{\Phi}$  if and only if u has absolutely continuous norm, i.e. if  $E_n \subset [0,T]$ ,  $n=1,2,\ldots$  then  $\|\chi_{E_n}u\| \to 0$  when  $|E_n| \to 0$ .

A generalized version of *Hölder's inequality* holds in Orlicz spaces (see [Skaff, 1969, Thm. 4.1]). Namely, if  $u \in L^{\Phi}$  and  $v \in L^{\Psi}$  then  $u \cdot v \in L^{1}$  and

$$\int_{0}^{T} v \cdot u \, dt \le 2||u||_{L^{\Phi}} ||v||_{L^{\Phi^{*}}}. \tag{9}$$

Like in [Krasnosel'skiĭ and Rutickiĭ, 1961] we will consider the subset  $\Pi(E^{\Phi},r)$  of  $L^{\Phi}$  given by

$$\Pi(E^{\Phi}, r) := \{ u \in L^{\Phi} | d(u, E^{\Phi}) < r \}.$$

This set is related to the Orlicz class  $C^{\Phi}$  by means of inclusions, namely,

$$\Pi(E^{\Phi}, r) \subset rC^{\Phi} \subset \overline{\Pi(E^{\Phi}, r)} \tag{10}$$

for any positive r (see [Schappacher, 2005, Thm. 5.6]). If  $\Phi \in \Delta_2^{\infty}$ , then the sets  $L^{\Phi}$ ,  $E^{\Phi}$ ,  $\Pi(E^{\Phi}, r)$  and  $C^{\Phi}$  are equal.

Following to [Desch and Grimmer, 2001] we introduce the next definition.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $u_n, u \in L^{\Phi}([0,T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ . We say that  $u_n$  converges monotonically to u if there exists  $\alpha_n \in L^{\infty}([0,T], \mathbb{R})$ , n = 1, 2, ..., such that  $0 \le \alpha_n(t) \le \alpha_{n+1}(t)$ ,  $\alpha_n(t) \to 1$  a.e., when  $n \to \infty$  and  $u_n(t) = \alpha_n(t)u(t)$ .

As usual, if  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  is a normed space and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  is a linear subspace of X, we write  $Y \hookrightarrow X$  and we say that Y is *embedded* in X when there exists C > 0 such that  $\|y\|_X \leqslant C\|y\|_Y$  for any  $y \in Y$ . With this notation, Hölder's inequality states that  $L^{\Psi} \hookrightarrow [L^{\Phi}]^*$ , where a function  $v \in L^{\Psi}$  is associated to  $\xi_v \in [L^{\Phi}]^*$  being

$$\xi_v(u) = \langle \xi_v, u \rangle = \int_0^T v \cdot u \, dt, \tag{11}$$

In [Desch and Grimmer, 2001, Thm 2.9] it was characterized a subspace of  $\left[L^{\Phi}\right]^*$  which can be identified with  $L^{\Psi}$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $F \in [L^{\Phi}([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)]^*$ . Then the following statements are equivalent

- 1.  $\xi \in L^{\Psi}([0,T], \mathbb{R}^d)$
- 2.  $\xi$  satisfies the monotone convergence property, which is if  $u_n$  converges monotonically to u then  $\langle \xi, u_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle \xi, u \rangle$ .

If  $\Phi \in \Delta_2^{\infty}$  and  $\Phi$  is  $N_{\infty}$  then  $L^{\Psi}([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d) = [L^{\Phi}([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)]^*$  (see [Desch and Grimmer, 2001, Thm. 2.9 , Thm. 2.10]).

We define the *Sobolev-Orlicz space*  $W^1L^{\Phi}$  by

 $W^1L^{\Phi}([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)\coloneqq\{u|u\text{ is absolutely continuous on }[0,T]\text{ and }u'\in L^{\Phi}([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)\}.$ 

 $W^1L^{\Phi}([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$||u||_{W^1L^{\Phi}} = ||u||_{L^{\Phi}} + ||u'||_{L^{\Phi}}.$$
(12)

And, we introduce the following subspaces of  $W^1L^{\Phi}$ 

$$W^{1}E^{\Phi} = \{u \in W^{1}L^{\Phi} | u' \in E^{\Phi}\},\$$

$$W^{1}E^{\Phi}_{T} = \{u \in W^{1}E^{\Phi} | u(0) = u(T)\}.$$
(13)

We will use repeatedly the decomposition  $u = \overline{u} + \widetilde{u}$  for a function  $u \in L^1([0,T])$  where  $\overline{u} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T u(t) \, dt$  and  $\widetilde{u} = u - \overline{u}$ .

The following lemma is an elementary generalization to anisotropic Sobolev-Orlicz spaces of known results of Sobolev spaces.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, +\infty)$  be a Young's function and let  $u \in W^1L^{\Phi}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Let  $A_{\Phi} : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be the function defined by (4). Then

1. For every  $s, t \in [0, T]$ ,  $s \neq t$ ,

$$|u(t) - u(s)| \le ||u'||_{L^{\Phi}} |s - t| A_{\Phi}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{|s - t|}\right)$$
 (Morrey's inequality)  
$$||u||_{L^{\infty}} \le A_{\Phi}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \max\{1, T\} ||u||_{W^{1}L^{\Phi}}$$
 (Sobolev's inequality)

2. We have  $\widetilde{u} \in L^{\infty}([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)$  and

$$\|\widetilde{u}\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant TA_{\Phi}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)\|u'\|_{L^{\Phi}}$$
 (Sobolev-Wirtinger's inequality)

3. If  $\Phi$  is  $N_{\infty}$  then the space  $W^1L^{\Phi}([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)$  is compactly embedded in the space of continuous functions  $C([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

*Proof.* By the absolutely continuity of u, Jensen's inequality and the definition of the Luxemburg norm, we have

$$\Phi\left(\frac{u(t) - u(s)}{\|u'\|_{L^{\Phi}}|s - t|}\right) \leqslant \Phi\left(\frac{1}{|s - t|} \int_{s}^{t} \frac{u'(r)}{\|u'\|_{L^{\Phi}}} dr\right) 
\leqslant \frac{1}{|s - t|} \int_{s}^{t} \Phi\left(\frac{u'(r)}{\|u'\|_{L^{\Phi}}}\right) dr \leqslant \frac{1}{|s - t|}.$$

By Proposition 2.1(3) we have  $A_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi(x) \ge |x|$ , therefore we get

$$\frac{|u(t) - u(s)|}{\|u'\|_{L^{\Phi}} |s - t|} \le A_{\Phi}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{|s - t|}\right),$$

then 1 holds.

Now, we use Morrey's inequality and Proposition 2.1 (2) and we have

$$|u(t) - \overline{u}| = \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T u(t) - u(s) \, ds \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |u(t) - u(s)| \, ds$$

$$\leq \|u'\|_{L^{\Phi}} T A_{\Phi}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{T}\right)$$

In order to prove the Sobolev's inequality, we note that, using Jensen's inequality and the definition of  $\|u\|_{L^{\Phi}}$ , we obtain

$$\Phi\left(\frac{\overline{u}}{\|u\|_{L^{\Phi}}}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \Phi\left(\frac{u(s)}{\|u\|_{L^{\Phi}}}\right) ds \leqslant \frac{1}{T}$$

Then by By Proposition 2.1(3)

$$|\overline{u}| \leqslant A_{\Phi}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \|u\|_{L^{\Phi}}.$$

Therefore, from this and (Sobolev-Wirtinger's inequality) we get

$$\begin{split} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}} & \leqslant |\overline{u}| + \|\widetilde{u}\|_{L^{\infty}} \\ & \leqslant A_{\Phi}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \|u\|_{L^{\Phi}} + T A_{\Phi}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \|u'\|_{L^{\Phi}} \\ & \leqslant A_{\Phi}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \max\{1, T\} \|u\|_{W^{1} L^{\Phi}} \end{split}$$

In order to prove item 3, we take a bounded sequence  $u_n$  in  $W^1L^\Phi([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Since  $\Phi$  is  $N_\infty$ , from Proposition 2.1(4) we obtain  $sA_\Phi^{-1}(1/s) \to 0$  when  $s \to 0$ . Therefore (Morrey's inequality) implies that  $u_n$  are equicontinuous. Furthermore (??) implies that  $u_n$  is bounded in  $C([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Therefore by the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem we obtain a subsequence  $n_k$  and  $u \in C([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $u_{n_k} \to u$  in  $C([0,T],\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of functions in  $\Pi(E^{\Phi},1)$  converging to  $u\in\Pi(E^{\Phi},1)$  in the  $L^{\Phi}$ -norm. Then, there exist a subsequence  $u_{n_k}$  and a real valued function  $h\in L^1([0,T],\mathbb{R})$  such that  $u_{n_k}\to u$ —a.e. and  $\Phi(u_{n_k})\leqslant h$ —a.e.

*Proof.* Since  $d(u, E^{\Phi}) < 1$  and  $u_n$  converges to u, there exists  $u_0 \in E^{\Phi}$ , a subsequence of  $u_n$  (again denoted  $u_n$ ) and 0 < r < 1 such that  $d(u_n, u_0) < r$ . Let  $\lambda_0 \in (r, 1)$ . By extracting more subsequences, if necessary, we can assume that  $u_n \to u$  a.e. and

$$\lambda_n := \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_{L^{\Phi}} < \frac{1 - \lambda_0}{2^n}, \quad \text{for } n \geqslant 1.$$

We can assume  $\lambda_n > 0$  for every  $n = 0, \ldots$ 

Let  $\lambda := 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n$  and define  $h : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$h(x) = \lambda \Phi\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n \Phi\left(\frac{u_{n+1} - u_n}{\lambda_n}\right). \tag{14}$$

Note that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n + \lambda = 1$ , therefore for any  $n = 1, \dots$ 

$$\Phi(u_n) = \Phi\left(\lambda \frac{u_0}{\lambda} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \lambda_j \frac{u_{j+1} - u_j}{\lambda_j}\right)$$

$$\leq \lambda \Phi\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \lambda_j \Phi\left(\frac{u_{j+1} - u_j}{\lambda_j}\right) \leq h$$

Since  $u_0 \in E^{\Phi} \subset C^{\Phi}$  and  $E^{\Phi}$  is a subspace we have that  $\Phi(u_0/\lambda) \in L^1([0,T],\mathbb{R})$ . On the other hand  $||u_{n+1} - u_n||_{L^{\Phi}} \leq \lambda_n$ , therefore

$$\int_0^T \Phi\left(\frac{u_{j+1} - u_j}{\lambda_j}\right) dt \leqslant 1.$$

Then  $h \in L^1([0,T],\mathbb{R})$ .

# 3 Differentiability Gateâux of action integrals in anisotropic Orlicz spaces

In this section we give a brief introduction to superposition operators between anistropic Orlicz Spaces. We apply these results to obtain Gateâux differentiability of action integrals associated to lagrangian functions defined on Sobolev-Orlicz spaces.

Henceforth we assume that  $f:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$  is a Carathéodory function, i.e.

(C) f is measurable with respect to  $t \in [0, T]$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and f is a continuous function with respect to  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$ .

**Definition 3.1.** For  $f:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$  we denote by  $\boldsymbol{f}$  the Nemytskii (o superposition) operator defined for functions  $u:[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}^d$  by

$$\boldsymbol{f}u(t) = f(t, u(t))$$

In the following Theorem we enumerate some known properties for superposition operators defined on anisotropic Orlicz spaces of vector functions. For the proofs see [Krasnosel'skii et al., 2011] for scalar functions and [Płuciennik, 1987, Płuciennik, 1985b, Płuciennik, 1985a] for the generalization to  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued (moreover Banach spaces valued) functions in a anisotropic Orlicz Spaces (moreover modular anisotropic spaces).

**Theorem 3.2.** We assume that f satisfies condition ((C)) and that  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, +\infty)$  are anisotropic Young functions. Then

- Measurability. The operator f maps measurable function into measurable functions
- 2. Extensibility. If the operator f acts from the ball  $B_{L^{\Phi_1}}(r) \coloneqq \{u \in L^{\Phi_1} | \|u\|_{L^{\Phi_1}} < r\}$  into the space  $L^{\Phi_2}$  or the space  $E^{\Phi_2}$  then f can be extended from  $\Pi(E^{\Phi_1}, r)$  into space  $L^{\Phi_2}$  or  $E^{\Phi_2}$ , respectively.
- 3. Continuity. If the operator f acts from  $\Pi(E^{\Phi_1}, r)$  into space  $E^{\Phi_2}$ , then f is continuous.

HABRÍA QUE VER DÓNDE SE UBICA LA CONDICIÓN DE ESTRUCTURA...QUIZÁS EN LA INTRODUCCIÓN?....

We assume that the Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$  is Carathéodory and differentiable function satisfying

$$|\mathcal{L}(t,x,y)| + |D_x \mathcal{L}(t,x,y)| + \Psi(D_y \mathcal{L}(t,x,y)) \le a(|x|) (b(t) + \Phi(y)), (15)$$

where  $a \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $b \in L^1_1([0,T])$ ,  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are  $N_{\infty}$ -functions (complementary????? o en el teorema o nunca?)

Next, we deal with the differentiability of the action integral

$$I(\boldsymbol{u}) = \int_0^T \mathcal{L}(t, u(t), \dot{u}(t)) dt.$$
 (16)

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a differentiable Carathéodory function satisfying (15). Then the following statements hold:

- 1. The action integral given by (16) is finitely defined on  $\mathcal{E}^{\Phi}(\lambda) := W^1 L^{\Phi} \cap \{u | \dot{u} \in \Pi(E^{\Phi}, 1)\}.$
- 2. The function I is Gâteaux differentiable on  $\mathcal{E}^{\Phi}(\lambda)$  and its derivative I' is demicontinuous from  $\mathcal{E}^{\Phi}(\lambda)$  into  $\left[W^1L^{\Phi}\right]^*$ . Moreover, I' is given by the following expression

$$\langle I'(u), v \rangle = \int_0^T \left\{ D_x \mathcal{L}(t, u, \dot{u}) \cdot v + D_y \mathcal{L}(t, u, \dot{u}) \cdot \dot{v} \right\} dt. \tag{17}$$

3. If  $\Psi \in \Delta_2$  then I' is continuous from  $\mathcal{E}^{\Phi}(\lambda)$  into  $[W^1L^{\Phi}]^*$  when both spaces are equipped with the strong topology.

*Proof.* Let 
$$u \in \mathcal{E}^{\Phi}(\lambda)$$
. As

$$\dot{u} \in \Pi(E^{\Phi}, 1) \subset C_1^{\Phi} \tag{18}$$

and (10), then  $\Phi(\dot{u}(t)) \in L^1$ . Now,

$$|\mathcal{L}(\cdot, u, \dot{u})| \leqslant A(\|u\|_{W^1L^{\Phi}})(b + \Phi(\dot{u})) \in L^1,$$

by Corollary 2.3 in [Acinas et al., 2015] and (15). Thus item (1) is proved.

Aquí conviene escribir lo de arriba o decir directamente que se prueba como en teorema del [Acinas et al., 2015]???? Y  $\mathcal{E}^{\Phi}(\lambda)$  contiene  $\lambda$  y ahora estamos trabajando con  $\lambda = 1$ , qué hacemos????

We split up the proof of item 2 into four steps.

Step 1. The non linear operator  $u \mapsto D_x \mathcal{L}(t, u, \dot{u})$  is continuous from  $\mathcal{E}^{\Phi}(\lambda)$  into  $L^1_{\ell}[0,T]$ ) with the strong topology on both sets.

If  $u \in \mathcal{E}^{\Phi}(\lambda)$ , from (15) and (18), we obtain

$$|D_x \mathcal{L}(\cdot, u, \dot{u})| \leq A(\|u\|_{W^1L^{\Phi}}) \left(b + \Phi(\dot{u})\right) \in L^1.(19)$$

Se podría poner número a la primera ecuación de la demo y decir que se razona igual????

Let  $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of functions in  $\mathcal{E}^{\Phi}(\lambda)$  and let  $u\in\mathcal{E}^{\Phi}(\lambda)$  such that  $u_n\to u$  in  $W^1L^{\Phi}$ . By (Sobolev's inequality), we have

$$|u_n(t) - u(t)| \le TA_{\Phi}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{T}\right) ||u_n - u||_{L^{\Phi}}$$

then  $u_n \to u$  uniformly. As  $\dot{u}_n \to \dot{u} \in \mathcal{E}^\Phi(\lambda)$ , by Lemma 2.5, there exist a subsequence of  $\dot{u}_{n_k}$  (again denoted  $\dot{u}_{n_k}$ ) and a function  $h \in \Pi(E^\Phi,1)$ ) such that  $\dot{u}_{n_k} \to \dot{u}$  a.e. and  $\Phi(\dot{u}_{n_k}) \leqslant h$  a.e.

Since  $u_{n_k}$ ,  $k=1,2,\ldots$ , is a strong convergent sequence in  $W^1L^\Phi$ , it is a bounded sequence in . According to Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.3 in [Acinas et al., 2015], there exists M>0 such that  $\|\boldsymbol{a}(u_{n_k})\|_{L^\infty}\leqslant M$ ,  $k=1,2,\ldots$  From the previous facts and (19), we get

$$|D_x \mathcal{L}(\cdot, u_{n_k}, \dot{u}_{n_k})| \leqslant a(|u_{n_k}|)(b + \Phi(\dot{u}_{n_k})) \leqslant M(b + h) \in L^1.$$

On the other hand, by the continuous differentiability of  $\mathcal{L}$ , we have

$$D_x \mathcal{L}(t, u_{n_h}(t), \dot{u}_{n_h}(t)) \to D_x \mathcal{L}(t, u(t), \dot{u}(t))$$
 for a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$ .

Applying the Dominated Convergence Theorem we conclude the proof of step 1. Step 2. The non linear operator  $u \mapsto D_y \mathcal{L}(t,u,\dot{u})$  is continuous from  $\mathcal{E}^{\Phi}(\lambda)$  with the strong topology into  $\left[L^{\Phi}\right]^*$  with the weak\* topology.

Let  $u \in \mathcal{E}^{\Phi}(\lambda)$ . From (15) and Corollary 2.3 in [Acinas et al., 2015] it follows that

$$\Psi(D_y \mathcal{L}(\cdot, u, \dot{u})) \leqslant a(|u|)(b + \Phi(\dot{u})) \in L^1$$
(20)

then

$$D_{\nu}\mathcal{L}(\cdot, u, \dot{u}) \in C^{\Psi}.$$
 (21)

Note that (19), (21) and the imbeddings  $W^1L^\Phi \to L^\infty$  and  $L^\Psi \to \left[L^\Phi\right]^*$  imply that the second member of (17) defines an element of  $\left[W^1L^\Phi\right]^*$ .

Let  $u_n, u \in \mathcal{E}^{\Phi}(\lambda)$  such that  $u_n \to u$  in the norm of  $W^1L^{\Phi}$ . We must prove that  $D_y\mathcal{L}(\cdot, u_n, \dot{u}_n) \stackrel{w^*}{\rightharpoonup} D_y\mathcal{L}(\cdot, u, \dot{u})$ . On the contrary, there exist  $v \in L^{\Phi}$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  and a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$  (denoted  $\{u_n\}$  for simplicity) such that

$$|\langle D_{\nu} \mathcal{L}(\cdot, u_n, \dot{u}_n), v \rangle - \langle D_{\nu} \mathcal{L}(\cdot, u, \dot{u}), v \rangle| \ge \epsilon. \tag{22}$$

We have  $u_n \to u$  in  $L^\Phi$  and  $\dot{u}_n \to \dot{u}$  in  $L^\Phi$ . By Lemma 2.5, there exist a subsequence  $u_{n_k}$  and a function  $h \in \Pi(E^\Phi,1)$  such that  $u_{n_k} \to u$ —a.e.,  $\dot{u}_{n_k} \to \dot{u}$ —a.e. and  $\Phi(\dot{u}_{n_k}) \leqslant h$ —a.e. As in the previous step, since  $u_n$  is a convergent sequence, Corollary 2.3 in [Acinas et al., 2015] implies that  $a(|u_n(t)|)$  is uniformly bounded by a certain constant M>0. Therefore, with  $u_{n_k}$  instead of u, inequality (20) becomes

$$\Psi(D_y \mathcal{L}(\cdot, u_{n_k}, \dot{u}_{n_k})) \leqslant M(b+h) \in L^1.(23)$$

As  $v \in L^{\Phi}$  there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\Phi(\frac{v}{\lambda}) \in L^1$ . Now, by Young inequality and (23), we have

$$\lambda D_{y} \mathcal{L}(\cdot, u_{n_{k}}, \dot{u}_{n_{k}}) \cdot \frac{v(t)}{\lambda}$$

$$\leq \lambda \left[ \Psi(D_{y} \mathcal{L}(\cdot, u_{n_{k}}, \dot{u}_{n_{k}})) + \Phi\left(\frac{v}{\lambda}\right) \right]$$

$$\leq \lambda M(b+h) + \lambda \Phi\left(\frac{v}{\lambda}\right) \in L^{1}$$
(24)

Finally, from the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we deduce

$$\int_0^T D_y \mathcal{L}(t, u_{n_k}, \dot{u}_{n_k}) \cdot v \, dt \to \int_0^T D_y \mathcal{L}(t, u, \dot{u}) \cdot v \, dt \tag{25}$$

which contradicts the inequality (22). This completes the proof of step 2.

Step 3. We will prove (17). For  $u \in \mathcal{E}^{\Phi}(\lambda)$  and  $0 \neq v \in W^1L^{\Phi}$ , we define the function

$$H(s,t) \coloneqq \mathcal{L}(t,u(t) + sv(t), \dot{u}(t) + s\dot{v}(t)).$$

For  $|s| \le s_0 := (1 - d(\dot{u}, E^{\Phi})) / ||v||_{W^1 L^{\Phi}}$  we have

$$d(\dot{u} + s\dot{v}, E^{\Phi}) \leq d(\dot{u} + s\dot{v}, \dot{u}) + d(\dot{u}, E^{\Phi}) < 1$$

????Thus  $\dot{u} + s\dot{v} \in \Pi(E^{\Phi}, 1)$  and  $|\dot{u}| + s|\dot{v}| \in \Pi(E^{\Phi}, 1)$ . These facts imply, in virtue of Theorem **??** item **??**, that I(u + sv) is well defined and finite for  $|s| \leq s_0$ . Estaba en la versión original, y acá???

We also have  $\|u+sv\|_{W^1L^\Phi} \le \|u\|_{W^1L^\Phi} + s_0\|v\|_{W^1L^\Phi}$ ; then, by Corollary 2.3 in [Acinas et al., 2015], there exists M>0 such that  $\|a(|u+sv|)\|_{L^\infty} \le M$ .

Let  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\Phi(\frac{\dot{v}}{\lambda}) \in L^1$ . On the other hand, if  $\dot{v} \in L^{\Phi}$  and  $|s| \leq s_0$ , from the convexity of  $\Phi$  we get

$$\Phi(\dot{u} + s\dot{v}) = \Phi\left((1 - s_0)\frac{\dot{u}}{1 - s_0} + s_0\frac{s}{s_0}\dot{v}\right) \leqslant (1 - s_0)\Phi\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{1 - s_0}\right) + s_0\Phi\left(\frac{s}{s_0}\dot{v}\right) 
\leqslant (1 - s_0)\Phi\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{1 - s_0}\right) + s\Phi(\dot{v}) \leqslant (1 - s_0)\Phi\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{1 - s_0}\right) + s_0\Phi(\dot{v}) \in L^1$$

 $\dot{u} \in \Pi(E^{\Phi}, 1)$ , porque anotamos

$$d(\frac{\dot{u}}{1 - s_0}, E^{\Phi}) = \frac{1}{1 - s_0} d(\dot{u}, E^{\Phi}) < 1$$

Para qué????

Now, applying (19), (24), (20) the fact that  $v \in L^{\infty}$  and  $\dot{v} \in L^{\Phi}$ , we get

$$|D_{s}H(s,t)| = \left| D_{x}\mathcal{L}(t,u+sv,\dot{u}+s\dot{v}) \cdot v + \lambda D_{y}\mathcal{L}(t,u+sv,\dot{u}+s\dot{v}) \cdot \frac{\dot{v}}{\lambda} \right|$$

$$\leq M \left\{ \left[ b(t) + \Phi(\dot{u}+s\dot{v}) \right] |v| \right\}$$

$$+ \lambda \left[ \Psi(D_{y}\mathcal{L}(t,u+sv,\dot{u}+s\dot{v})) + \Phi\left(\frac{\dot{v}}{\lambda}\right) \right]$$

$$\leq M \left\{ \left[ b(t) + \Phi(\dot{u}+s\dot{v}) \right] |v| \right\} + \lambda M \left[ b(t) + \Phi(\dot{u}+s\dot{v}) \right] + \lambda \Phi\left(\frac{\dot{v}}{\lambda}\right)$$

$$= M \left[ b(t) + \Phi(\dot{u}+s\dot{v}) \right] (|v| + \lambda) + \lambda \Phi\left(\frac{\dot{v}}{\lambda}\right) \in L_{1}^{1}.$$
(26)

FALTA PASAR DESDE LA PÁGINA 8 DEL APUNTE

## Acknowledgments

The authors are partially supported by a UNRC grant number 18/C417. The first author is partially supported by a UNSL grant number 22/F223.

#### References

[Acinas et al., 2015] Acinas, S., Buri, L., Giubergia, G., Mazzone, F., and Schwindt, E. (2015). Some existence results on periodic solutions of Euler-Lagrange equations in an Orlicz-Sobolev space setting. *Nonlinear Analysis*, *TMA*., 125:681 – 698.

[Clarke, 2013] Clarke, F. (2013). Functional Analysis, Calculus of Variations and Optimal Control. Graduate Texts in Mathematics.

[Desch and Grimmer, 2001] Desch, W. and Grimmer, R. (2001). On the well-posedness of constitutive laws involving dissipation potentials. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc*, (353):5095–5120.

- [Krasnosel'skii et al., 2011] Krasnosel'skii, M., Zabreyko, P., Pustylnik, E., and Sobolevski, P. (2011). *Integral operators in spaces of summable functions*. Mechanics: Analysis. Springer Netherlands.
- [Krasnosel'skii and Rutickii, 1961] Krasnosel'skii, M. A. and Rutickii, J. B. (1961). *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*. P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen.
- [Mawhin and Willem, 1989] Mawhin, J. and Willem, M. (1989). *Critical point theory and Hamiltonian systems*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [Pasca, 2010] Pasca, D. (2010). Periodic solutions of a class of nonautonomous second order differential systems with (q, p)-laplacian. *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society-Simon Stevin*, 17(5):841–851.
- [Paşca and Tang, 2010] Paşca, D. and Tang, C.-L. (2010). Some existence results on periodic solutions of nonautonomous second-order differential systems with (q, p)-laplacian. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 23(3):246–251.
- [Pasca and Tang, 2011] Pasca, D. and Tang, C.-L. (2011). Some existence results on periodic solutions of ordinary (q, p)-laplacian systems. *Journal of applied mathematics & informatics*, 29(1.2):39–48.
- [Pasca and Wang, 2016] Pasca, D. and Wang, Z. (2016). On periodic solutions of nonautonomous second order hamiltonian systems with (q, p)-laplacian. *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, 2016(106):1–9.
- [Płuciennik, 1985a] Płuciennik, R. (1985a). Boundedness of the superposition operator in generalized Orlicz spaces of vector-valued functions. *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci.*, *Math.*, 33:531â540.
- [Płuciennik, 1985b] Płuciennik, R. (1985b). On some properties of the superposition operator in generalized Orlicz spaces of vector-valued functions. *Ann. Soc. Math. Pol., Ser. I, Commentat. Math.*, 25:321â337.
- [Płuciennik, 1987] Płuciennik, R. (1987). The superposition operator in Musielak-Orlicz spaces of vector-valfued functions. Abstract analysis, Proc. 14th Winter Sch., Srní/Czech. 1986, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, II. Ser. 14, 411-417 (1987).
- [Schappacher, 2005] Schappacher, G. (2005). A notion of Orlicz spaces for vector valued functions. *Appl. Math.*, 50(4):355–386.
- [Skaff, 1969] Skaff, M. S. (1969). Vector valued orlicz spaces. ii. *Pacific J. Math.*, 28(2):413–430.
- [Tang, 1995] Tang, C.-L. (1995). Periodic solutions of non-autonomous second-order systems with  $\gamma$ -quasisubadditive potential. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 189(3):671–675.
- [Tang, 1998] Tang, C.-L. (1998). Periodic solutions for nonautonomous second order systems with sublinear nonlinearity. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 126(11):3263–3270.

- [Tang and Wu, 2001] Tang, C. L. and Wu, X.-P. (2001). Periodic solutions for second order systems with not uniformly coercive potential. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 259(2):386–397.
- [Tang and Zhang, 2010] Tang, X. and Zhang, X. (2010). Periodic solutions for second-order Hamiltonian systems with a *p*-Laplacian. *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A*, 64(1):93–113.
- [Tian and Ge, 2007] Tian, Y. and Ge, W. (2007). Periodic solutions of non-autonomous second-order systems with a *p*-Laplacian. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 66(1):192–203.
- [Wu and Tang, 1999] Wu, X.-P. and Tang, C.-L. (1999). Periodic solutions of a class of non-autonomous second-order systems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 236(2):227–235.
- [Yang and Chen, 2012] Yang, X. and Chen, H. (2012). Periodic solutions for a non-linear (q, p)-laplacian dynamical system with impulsive effects. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, 40(1-2):607–625.
- [Yang and Chen, 2013] Yang, X. and Chen, H. (2013). Existence of periodic solutions for sublinear second order dynamical system with (q, p)-laplacian. *Mathematica Slovaca*, 63(4):799–816.
- [Zhao and Wu, 2004] Zhao, F. and Wu, X. (2004). Periodic solutions for a class of non-autonomous second order systems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 296(2):422–434.