

## Home Work 2

Francisco J. Díaz Riollano  
Student ID: 802-15-2172

September 9, 2018

### Question 1.4.3

Is it the case that for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $L^i \cap L^{i+1} = \emptyset$  ? If this indeed the case, prove it. Otherwise provide a counterexample.

Let  $L$  be a formal language over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  .  
Such that  $L = \{l : l \text{ is a string over } \Sigma\}$

Proof: We first demonstrate by induction that  $\forall a \in L^n, |a| = n$  .

Then the proof will go as follows:

**Base Case:**

For  $n = 1$  . Since  $L$  is a language over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  each  $a \in L$  is a symbol, and therefore  $|a| = 1$ .

**Inductive Hypothesis:**  $\forall a \in L^n, |a| = n$  . Then,  
 $L^{n+1} = L^n L = \{w : w = xy | x \in L^n \wedge y \in L\}$  by property of concatenation.  
Since  $|xy| = |x| + |y|$  , using the inductive hypothesis we get  $|xy| = n + 1$  .

By proving that every element in  $L^n$  cannot possibly be  $L^{n+1}$  and viceversa, the elements of each of these formal languages have different string length, we can conclude:

$$i \in \mathbb{N}, L^i \cap L^{i+1} = \emptyset$$