COMP2521 19T0 lec03 cs2521@ jashankj@

COMP2521 19T0

Week 2, Tuesday: Algorithms!

Jashank Jeremy jashank.jeremy@unsw.edu.au

> algorithm analysis complexity recursion

COMP2521 19T0 lec03

cs2521@ jashankj@

Complexity

Determining Timing bsearch Big-O Theory

Complexity

COMP2521 19T0 lec03

cs2521@ jashankj@

Complexity

Determining Timing bsearch Big-O Theory

Recursion

Problems, Algorithms, Programs, Processes

problem something that needs to be solved

algorithm well-defined instructions to solve the problem

program implementation of the algorithm

in a particular programming language

process an instance of a program being executed

Analysis of Software 19T0 lec03 cs2521@ jashankj@ Complexity What makes software 'good'? correctness returns expected result for all valid inputs robustness behaves 'sensibly' for non-valid inputs efficiency returns results reasonably quickly (even for large inputs) clarity clear code, easy to maintain/modify consistency interface is clear and consistent (API or GUI) lecture 2: correctness. today: efficiency. Algorithm Efficiency COMP2521 19T0 lec03 cs2521@ jashankj@ Determining algorithm runtime tends to be a function of input size often difficult to determine the average run time we tend to focus on asymptotic worst-case execution time ... easier to analyse! ... crucial to many applications: finance, robotics, games, ... Algorithm Efficiency COMP2521 19T0 lec03 cs2521@ jashankj@ Determining By far, the most important determinant of a program's efficiency. Small, often constant-factor speedups from operating systems, · compilers, hardware, · implementation details

More important: an efficient algorithm.

COMP2521

Algorithm Efficiency 19T0 lec03 Determining Theoretically and Empirically cs2521@ jashankj@ Determining Design complexity theory! Implementation and Testing measure its properties! ...run-time using time(1) ...profiling tools like *qprof(1)* ...performance counters like pmc(3), hwpmc(4)Algorithm Efficiency, Empirically COMP2521 19T0 lec03 cs2521@ jashankj@ Determining Write a program that implements an algorithm. ... which may not always be possible! Run the program with inputs of varying size and composition. ... which may not always be possible! ... choosing good inputs is extremely important Measure the actual runtime. ... which may not always be possible (or easy)! ... similar runtime environments required Plot the results. (Optionally, be confused about the results.) COMP2521 Algorithm Efficiency, Theoretically 19T0 lec03 cs2521@ jashankj@ Determining Don't necessarily use an implementation! ... Use pseudocode or something close to it.

Characterise efficiency as a function of inputs.

· Generally produces a value that is environment-agnostic

... allowing us to evaluate comparative efficiency of algorithms

Take into account all possible inputs

COMP2521

Timing Absolute times will differ between machines, between languages ...so we're not interested in absolute time. We are interested in the relative change as the problem size increases **Timing Execution** COMP2521 19T0 lec03 time(1) cs2521@ jashankj@ Timing We can use the time(1) command to measure execution time (and several other interesting properties). There are two common implementations: one built-into the shell. and one at /usr/bin/time both are ox for our purposes. **Timing Execution** COMP2521 19T0 lec03 time(1) output cs2521@ jashankj@ Timing \$ time ./prog ./prog 0.01s user 0.02s system 97% cpu 0.028 total 0k shared 0k local 11k max 0+3280 faults 13+0 in 0+0+0 out 4 vcsw 4 ivcsw Most of this information isn't interesting to us. The user time is! Redirect input into your program: \$ time ./prog < input > /dev/null

\$./mkinput | time ./prog > /dev/null

COMP2521 19T0 lec03 cs2521@ jashankj@ **Timing Execution**

COMP2521 19T0 lec03 cs2521@ jashankj@

Empirical Analysis Analysis of a Linear Search

Complexity

Timing bsearch Big-O

Recursion

Time a linear search with different-sized inputs —

```
$ ./gen 100 A | time ./linear > /dev/null
$ ./gen 1000 A | time ./linear > /dev/null
```

(repeat a number of times and average)
What is the relation between *input size* and *user time*?

COMP2521 19T0 lec03

cs2521@ jashankj@

Complexity

Timing

Big-O Theory

Recursion

If I know my algorithm is quadratic, and I know that for a dataset of 1000 items, it takes 1.2 seconds to run ...

- how long for 2000?4.8 seconds
- how long for 10,000? 120 seconds (2 mins)
- how long for 100,000? 12000 seconds (3.3 hours)
- how long for 1,000,000?
 1200000 seconds (13.9 days)

COMP2521 19T0 lec03

cs2521@ jashankj@

Complexity

Determining

bsearch Big-O Theory

Theory

Recursion

Searching in a Sorted Array

```
Given an array a of n elements, where for any pair of indices i, j, i \le j < n implies a[i] \le a[j] search for an element e in the array.
```

COMP2521 19T0 lec03

cs2521@ jashankj@

Complexity

bsearch Riσ-∩

Theory

Recursion

Searching in a Sorted Array

Complexity Analysis

How many comparisons do we need for an array of size N?

Best case: $t(N) \sim O(1)$

Worst case: $t(N) \sim O(N)$

Average case: $t(N) \sim O(N/2) \ O(N)$

Still a *linear* algorithm! Can we do better?

COMP2521 19T0 lec03

cs2521@ jashankj@

Complexity

Determining

bsearch Big-O

Recursion

Searching in a Sorted Array

Exploiting a Binary Search

Let's start in the middle.

- If e==a[N/2], we found e; we're done!
- Otherwise, we split the array:

... if e < a[N/2], we search the left half (a[0] to a[(N/2) - 1)])

... if e>a[N/2], we search the right half (a[(N/2)+1)] to a[N-1])

COMP2521 19T0 lec03

cs2521@ jashankj@

Complexity

Determining

bsearch

Big-O Theory

Recursion

Why Binary Search?

How many comparisons do we need for an array of size N?

Best case: $t(n) \sim O(1)$

Worst case:

$$t(N) = 1 + t(\frac{N}{2})$$

$$t(N) = \log_2 N + 1$$

$$t(N) \sim O(\log N)$$

COMP2521 19T0 lec03

cs2521@ jashankj@

Complexity
Determining
Timing

Big-O Theory

Recursion

Algorithm Efficiency, Theoretically

Cost Modelling of Primitive Operations

In C, a line of code can do lots of things!

We're interested in 'primitive operations', though: operations that can execute in one step, which we can think of as hardware instructions.

(In COMP1521, we use the MIPS instruction set; we get a feel for the primitive nature of instructions.)

Our cost-modelling will roughly follow the same lines, but strictly we don't need to consider how long a primop takes.

We'll see why in a moment.

COMP2521 19T0 lec03

cs2521@ jashankj@

Complexity
Determining
Timing
bsearch

Theory

Recursion

Expressing Complexity Classes

We express complexity using a range of complexity models and complexity classes.

Most commonly, time complexity, for which we use Big-O notation, representing asymptotic worst-case time complexity. I'll sometimes call this WCET.

Sometimes, space complexity too. (Not so much in this course, but useful!)

COMP2521 19T0 lec03

cs2521@ jashankj@

Determining
Timing
bsearch

Recursion

Theory

Worst-Case Execption Time

```
ssize_t lsearch (int a[], size_t n, int key)
1
2
        for (size_t i = 0; i < n; i++)
3
                                                           1 + (n+1) + n(1+
            if (a[i] == key)
                                                            2 + (
5
                return i;
                                                              0)
6
        return -1;
                                                           ) + 1
7
   }
```

- When does the worst case occur? ... key $\notin a$
- How many data comparisons were made? ... n
- What is the worst-case cost? ... 3+4n ... O(n)

COMP2521 19T0 lec03 cs2521@

jashankj@

Theory

Complexity Theory

Big-O Notation

Growth rate is not affected (much, usually) by constant factors or lower-order terms ... so we discard them.

$$3+4n$$
 becomes $O\left(n\right)$ — a linear function $3+4n+3n^2$ becomes $O\left(n^2\right)$ — a quadratic function

These are an *intrinsic property* of the algorithm.

COMP2521 19T0 lec03

cs2521@ jashankj@

Theory

Complexity Theory

Discarding Less Obvious Terms

If a is time taken by the fastest primitive operation, and b is time taken by the slowest primitive operation, and t(n) is the WCET of our algorithm ...

$$a \cdot (3+4n) < t(n) < b \cdot (3+4n)$$

Where does the log-base go? $O(\log_2 n) \equiv O(\log_3 n) \equiv \cdots$

$$O(\log_2 n) \equiv O(\log_3 n) \equiv \cdots$$

(since $\log_b(a) \times \log_a(n) = \log_b(n)$)

COMP2521 19T0 lec03

cs2521@ jashankj@

Theory

Complexity Classes

All The Mathematics!

$$f\left(n\right) \text{ is } O\left(g\left(n\right)\right) \\ \text{if } f\left(n\right) \text{ is asymptotically less than or equal to } g\left(n\right) \\ f\left(n\right) \text{ is } \Omega\left(g\left(n\right)\right) \\ \text{if } f\left(n\right) \text{ is asymptotically greater than or equal to } g\left(n\right) \\ f\left(n\right) \text{ is } \Theta\left(g\left(n\right)\right) \\ \text{if } f\left(n\right) \text{ is asymptotically equal to } g\left(n\right) \\ \end{cases}$$

Given
$$f(n)$$
 and $g(n)$, we say $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ if we have positive constants c and n_0 such that $\forall n \geq n_0, f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$

COMP2521 **Complexity Theory** 19T0 lec03 Some Common Big-O Functions cs2521@ jashankj@ constant O(1) ...constant-time execution, independent of the input size. logarithmic $O(\log n)$...some divide-and-conquer algorithms with trivial split/recombine operations Theory linear O(n) ...every element of the input has to be processed (in a straightforward way) n-log-n $O(n \log n)$...divide-and-conquer algorithms, where split/recombine is proportional to input quadratic $O(n^2)$...compute every input with every other input ...problematic for large inputs! cubic $O(n^3)$... misery factorial O(n!) ... real misery exponential $O(2^n)$... running forever is fine, right? COMP2521 **Complexity Theory** 19T0 lec03 **Complexity Classes** cs2521@ jashankj@ tractable have a polynomial-time ('P') algorithm Theory ... polynomial worst-case performance (e.g., $O(n^2)$) ... (useful and usable in practical applications) intractable no tractable algorithm exists (usually 'NP'1) ... worse than polynomial performance (e.g., $O(2^n)$) ... (feasible only for small n) non-computable no algorithm exists (or can exist) ¹nondeterministic polynomial time, on a theoretical Turing Machine COMP2521 Thinking about Complexity 19T0 lec03 cs2521@ jashankj@ What would be the time complexity of Theory inserting an element at the beginning of ... a linked list? ... an array?

What about the end?

What if it's ordered?

COMP2521 19T0 lec03 cs2521@ jashankj@

Complexit

Recursion

Recursion

COMP2521 19T0 lec03

cs2521@ jashankj@

Complexity

Recursion

Recursive Functions

Sometimes, problems can be expressed in terms of a simpler instance of the same problem.

• 1! = 1

• $2! = 2 \times 1$

• $3! = 3 \times 2 \times 1$

. :

• $(n-1)! = (n-1) \times \cdots \times 3 \times 2 \times 1$

• $(n)! = n \times (n-1) \times \cdots \times 3 \times 2 \times 1$

$$n! = (n-1)! \times n$$

COMP2521 19T0 lec03

cs2521@ jashankj@

Complexity

Recursion

Recursive Functions

Solving problems recursively in a program involves developing a program that calls itself.

base case (or stopping case)
no recursive call is needed

recursive case

calls the function on a smaller version of the problem

COMP2521 19T0 lec03

cs2521@ jashankj@

Complexity

Recursion

```
Iteration vs Recursion
```

```
int factorial (int n) {
    int result = 1;
    for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++)
        result *= i;
    return result;
}

int factorial (int n) {
    if (n == 1) return 1;
    else return n * factorial (n - 1);
}</pre>
```

COMP2521 19T0 lec03

cs2521@ jashankj@

Complexity

Recursion

Too Many Damn Rabbits

Recursive code can be horribly inefficient! 2^n calls is $O(k^n)$ time — exponential!

```
switch (n) {
case 0: return 0;
case 1: return 1;
default: return fib (n - 1) + fib (n - 2);
}
```