

United States 1980

1 Survey Description

Survey: The Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID) is the longest longitudinal household survey in the world. The Study started in 1968 and is currently being developed by the University of Michigan. It includes data related to employment, income, wealth, expenditures, health, marriage, childbearing, child development, philanthropy, education, and other topics. This document refers specifically to the data collected in 1980.

Link to the document: <https://simba.isr.umich.edu/data/data.aspx>

Sample: The sample of the PSID was originally composed of approximately 18,000 people in 5,000 households, making it a representative sample of the entire country. This sample was complemented with a non-representative oversample of low-income families to facilitate the investigation of issues related to poverty and vulnerability. Over the years, the sample size has changed as the number of members in the families considered originally has grown. There are 9,338 individuals in the total sample and 5,470 individuals in the analysis sample. Section 3 of this document describes the prevalence and pattern of missing data.

Weights: Population weights are computed as the ratio between specific weights for the Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID) and the Current Population Survey (CPS). These weights, based on sex, age, and ethnicity, are tailored for different demographic groups. Age groups are formed, and missing values are imputed using historical data. The process ensures a more representative combined dataset for analysis.

Outcome: The outcome variables are annual equivalized household disposable total (*eq_iinc*) income in dollars PPP 2017.¹

Circumstances:

- Race 'ethnicity'(white, black, american indian, aleut, eskimo, asian, pacific islander, hispanic and others described in Table 1)
- Sex (female, male)
- Region of upbringing (6 categories described in Table 1)
- Fathers's edu. (years of education, described in Table 2)
- Mother's edu. (years of education, described in Table 2)
- Father's occ. (3 categories, High: includes ISCO 1, 2 and 3, Medium: includes ISCO 4, 5 and 6, and Low: ISCO 7, 8, 9 and 0, described in Table 3)
- Mother's occ. (3 categories, High: includes ISCO 1, 2 and 3, Medium: includes ISCO 4, 5 and 6, and Low: ISCO 7, 8, 9 and 0, described in Table 3)

¹Income variable was equivalized using the square root scale.

2 Descriptive Statistics

Table 1: Respondant's socio-demographics - 1980

	Analysis sample	Total sample
	(N=5,470)	(N=9,338)
Gender		
0 Female	3,651 (66.7%)	5,255 (56.3%)
1 Male	1,819 (33.3%)	4,083 (43.7%)
ethnicity		
1 White	3,702 (67.7%)	5,602 (60.0%)
2 Black	1,559 (28.5%)	3,392 (36.3%)
3 Am. Indian, Aleut, Eskimo	89 (1.6%)	120 (1.3%)
4 Asian, Pac. Islander	17 (0.3%)	26 (0.3%)
5 Hispanic	51 (0.9%)	95 (1.0%)
7 Other	52 (1.0%)	103 (1.1%)
Region of upbringing		
1 Northeast	982 (18.0%)	1,522 (16.3%)
2 North central	1,539 (28.1%)	2,297 (24.6%)
3 South	2,245 (41.0%)	4,255 (45.6%)
4 West	580 (10.6%)	966 (10.3%)
5 Alaska, Hawaii	1 (0.0%)	3 (0.0%)
6 Foreign country	123 (2.2%)	200 (2.1%)
Missing	0 (0%)	95 (1.0%)

Table 2: Parental education - 1980

	N	Mean	SD	Median	Min	Max	Missing
Analysis sample - Mother's edu	5,470	3.306	1.887	3	0	8	0
Analysis sample - Father's edu	5,470	3.437	1.682	4	0	8	0
Total sample - Mother's edu	9,338	3.175	1.851	2	0	8	398
Total sample - Father's edu	9,338	3.316	1.672	3	0	8	444

Table 3: Parental occupation - 1980

	Analysis sample	Total sample
	(N=5,470)	(N=9,338)
Father's occupation (ISCO)		
1 Low	2,502 (45.7%)	4,600 (49.3%)
2 Middle	2,042 (37.3%)	3,371 (36.1%)
3 High	926 (16.9%)	1,309 (14.0%)
Missing	0 (0%)	58 (0.6%)
Mother's occupation (ISCO)		
1 Low	4,343 (79.4%)	4,498 (48.2%)
2 Middle	404 (7.4%)	419 (4.5%)
3 High	723 (13.2%)	742 (7.9%)
Missing	0 (0%)	3,679 (39.4%)

Table 4: Respondant's income - 1980

	N	Mean	SD	Median	Min	Max	Missing
Analysis sample	5,470	34,390	44,790	29,166	474.0	2,057,051	0
Total sample	9,338	32,652	46,244	27,874	238.1	2,057,051	0

3 Missing data analysis

3.1 Missing patterns




Figure 1: Missing patterns: *Left*: Marginal distribution of missing observations per variable. *Right*: Combination of missingness across cases

3.2 Differences in expected total equivalized household income between samples




Figure 2: Differences in expected total equivalized household income between the sample with non-missing circumstances and the total sample

3.3 Gini coefficient

Table 5: Gini coefficient in analysis sample and total sample

Wave	Sample	Gini	Lower bound	Upper bound	Average income
Wave 1980	Analysis sample	0.326	0.319	0.366	30,433
Wave 1980	Total sample	0.334	0.327	0.368	27,924

3.4 Differences in Gini coefficient between samples




Figure 3: Differences in Gini coefficient between the sample with non-missing circumstances and the total sample